

May 22,

18

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
MEETING OF THE LORDSTOWN VILLAGE BOARD OF PUBLIC AFFAIRS
1455 Salt Springs Road, Lordstown, Ohio
May 22, 2018
4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m.

IN ATTENDANCE: Mr. Kevin Campbell, President
Mr. Michael Sullivan, Vice-President
Mr. Thomas Dietz, Board Member
Mr. L. Bruce Platt, Supt. of Utilities
Ms. Cinthia Slusarczyk, Clerk
Mr. Christopher Kogelnik, Engineer
ALSO PRESENT: Mr. Tom Cowie, Imperial Communities
Ms. Sharyn Dietz
Mr. Ron Radtka, Village Council

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS taken before me, DEBORAH LAVELLE, RPR, a court reporter and Notary Public within and for the State of Ohio on this 22nd of May, 2018.

MR. CAMPBELL: I'll go ahead and call the meeting to order. Please stand with me FOR THE Lord's Prayer and Pledge of Allegiance.

LORD'S PRAYER

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

ROLL CALL:

MR. CAMPBELL: Roll call please.
MS. SLUSARCZYK: Kevin Campbell.
MR. CAMPBELL: Here.
MS. SLUSARCZYK: Thomas Dietz.
MR. DIETZ: Here.
MS. SLUSARCZYK: Michael Sullivan. Bruce Platt.
MR. PLATT: Here.
MS. SLUSARCZYK: Cinthia Slusarczyk, present. Chris Kogelnik.
MR. KOGELNIK: Present.
MR. CAMPBELL: Mike is coming.
MR. DIETZ: Yes.
MR. CAMPBELL: So don't mark him absent, just mark him late or something.
MR. DIETZ: Tardy.
MS. SLUSARCZYK: Has everyone signed in on the sign-in sheet?

APPROVAL AND CORRECTION OF MINUTES:

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. We got three sets of minutes for approval. Any corrections or changes on those? I didn't find anything when I skimmed through them.
MR. DIETZ: I went over the 11th and the 17th.
MR. CAMPBELL: So you do you want to wait on May then?

May 22,

18

MR. DIETZ: May, I didn't go over it.

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. We can keep it until next

--

MS. SLUSARCZYK: He can still vote on them. He's not -- he is allowed to vote to pass them even if he's not in attendance at the meeting. I had that before. But we need individual --

MR. CAMPBELL: I make a motion to approve the April 11, 2018 minutes.

MR. DIETZ: I'll second it.

MR. CAMPBELL: All in favor?

(All respond aye.)

MR. CAMPBELL: All opposed?

(No response.)

MR. CAMPBELL: I'll make a motion to approve the April 17, 2018 minutes.

MR. DIETZ: I second.

MR. CAMPBELL: All in favor?

(All respond aye.)

MR. CAMPBELL: All opposed?

(No response.)

MR. CAMPBELL: And I'll make a motion for approval for May 3, 2018 minutes. All in favor?

(All respond aye.)

MR. CAMPBELL: Well, you're gonna have to abstain. Which ones were you not --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: He can still vote on them.

MR. DIETZ: I wasn't on any of them I don't think.

MR. CAMPBELL: All in favor?

(All respond aye.)

MR. CAMPBELL: All opposed?

(No response.)

CORRESPONDENCE:

MR. CAMPBELL: Correspondence. Any correspondence we need to read or cover?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: What I have is under New Business.

MR. CAMPBELL: That's right, it's listed there. We'll get to it.

PUBLIC COMMENTS:

MR. CAMPBELL: Any Public Comments at this time?

MR. COWIE: We got about four or five items we want to address. I don't know if you want to do it now or later when we're -- I mean, it's up to you guys. I mean, they're mostly -- there's some billing issues and --

MR. CAMPBELL: Well, if it's gonna take a little while because it will be at the end of Old Business and we're already covering with of the Imperial stuff. If you don't mind waiting.

MR. COWIE: No, no.

MR. CAMPBELL: We'll do that that way then rather

May 22,

18

than hold up -- I think this gentleman's here for the first order of business.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I don't think so.

MR. PLATT: No, no. He's -- he's here as public.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Okay. Okay. All right. We'll wait for those. Any other public comments?

NEW BUSINESS:

1. 6571 Woodridge Way (request for additional water tap)

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. We'll move on down to New Business. We have a 6571 Woodridge Way, a request for additional water tap.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Attached to your agenda was the application that was made by Meander Homes to put an additional tap at that address for a pool house, and there's a sketch also attached to where he intends the line to come out from the current home and the pool house. It's all one parcel.

MR. CAMPBELL: So it will be two taps on one parcel. That doesn't break any of our building codes so --

MR. PLATT: No. The regulations just say that the BPA has to approve the second tap if needed or requested.

MR. DIETZ: That's right over --

MR. CAMPBELL: Right behind us there. Okay. He did a nice job drawing it up for us and explaining it. Bruce, do you have any comments?

MR. PLATT: Yeah, we have reviewed it. Things we're concerned with, that everything falls into place. The water line is on the opposite side of the road, okay, it's not on the same side as the house, so there will be a push under the road. But the tap will fall within their frontage, so it's not like they are using someone else's frontage for a tap. So everything -- you know, it's pretty much a rubber stamp.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah, I don't see any problem with it at all.

MR. DIETZ: No.

MR. CAMPBELL: I'll make a motion to approve the additional water tap for 6571 Woodridge Way. All in favor?

MR. DIETZ: I'll second it.

MR. CAMPBELL: That's right, you second. All in favor?

(All respond aye.)

MR. CAMPBELL: All opposed?

(No response.)

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. Very good.

2. Asset Management Plan

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. Our Asset Management Plan, second item under New Business.

MR. PLATT: Okay.

(At this time, Mike Sullivan arrives at the meeting.)

Village of Lordstown Board of Trustees of Public Affairs

May 22,

18

MR. PLATT: We're well under way with our GPS and GIS -- let's see if I can do this. Pretty much we've just been adding things since our last meeting and we're well along the way. One of the things, we were given a heads-up by the Ohio E.P.A. You know A, it has to be in place October 2. They still don't know what is supposed to be in the plan yet, all the things, you know. But what we do know, they gave us a heads-up, the first thing that they're gonna be looking for is a valve maintenance plan.

MR. CAMPBELL: You said that.

MR. PLATT: Which we've had. That's an ongoing thing for us, you know. It's -- we're not 100 percent there as in the past when we only had a water system to take care of and that was it.

MR. CAMPBELL: Well they want a plan, so it doesn't mean -- just maps out --

MR. PLATT: The plan will be in operation, and the plan must also be part of your operating manual because there was some -- somebody -- I got an e-mail from a water system that they came in, they had, you know, yes, here's our valve maintenance program. And they said well, you're in violation because that is not part of your operating plan, you know. And even though there's no rule saying that, they were still found to be in violation, so. So that's our first thing that we're going after. And this I Am GIS is just gonna make it so much nicer for us because we can put our pump stations on there and locations and what-have-you and then keep a log right on this as to hey, I was in there on July 4 and we changed the belts on that motor and that, you know, and just keep your log right on that, you know, so. Doing that fulfills a large part of the Asset Management Plan. It's not 100 percent like fulfill the entire thing, but it's a very large part of it. So we're well on our way with that.

MR. CAMPBELL: That's great.

MR. PLATT: Just some of the things they want like we think that they want is like ages of the water lines. As I pointed out the last time like hey, you know, by the way the water line on Huffman Drive is 70 years old, you know. The water line coming up Salt Springs Road is 70 years old, that 10 inch, not our 12 inch, you know. But it's like things you don't realize until you are told and see the number.

MR. SULLIVAN: Is there a time when you say it's time to replace it?

MR. PLATT: Oh --

MR. SULLIVAN: Or you just wait until failure?

MR. PLATT: You kind of -- wait until failure has been the previous --

MR. SULLIVAN: The normal --

MR. CAMPBELL: The normal, yeah.

MR. PLATT: What they want to get away from is that type of attitude and that mentality. Let's, you know, start thinking about replacing, start planning for it, start putting

May 22,

18

the money away for it and be prepared for it like, you know, have your plan and then you can justify your rate schedule, you know. Like look, here's our all of our things out there and --

MR. CAMPBELL: What it takes to do it.

MR. PLATT: It's gonna take money and here's why we gotta raise your rates 10 cents, 20 cents, you know, that kind of thing, you know, so. So I think we got a pretty good handle on it.

(At this time, Ron Radka arrives at the meeting.)

MR. PLATT: Darrin and Vincent are both at an asset management seminar as we show here. They're gonna be coming back from Cambridge. The RCAP organization had one down there, it was no charge except for lunch type thing, so, and our travel time. So they're getting their first taste of it, you know, as to what's required and that kind of thing, so.

MR. CAMPBELL: Very good. Very good.

MR. PLATT: Then there's another -- well, I'll wait until my report.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Any other questions on the Asset Management Plan or -- I think we're doing well. I hope that we're doing probably better than most districts or, you know, townships or --

MR. PLATT: Right. OTCO, which is that training -- Operative Training Committee of Ohio, they're at the forefront of this thing, getting -- trying to push all the operators to, you know, get on Board and get moving with this. And at end of the month they're having a special training seminar where for \$150 you can send a person with whatever GPS points -- you've gotta turn them in to them -- and I Am GIS will put together your program for you. It will be available to you for one year and one year only, you know. So that somebody can at least field test it a little bit, those communities that go where am I gonna get the money for this, at least they'll have something to go by October then for a very little amount of money, you know, so.

MR. CAMPBELL: Well, that works out well for them. Very good. Okay. Anything else? Thanks, Bruce.

3. Water Rate Review

MR. CAMPBELL: Our Water Rate Review, speaking of you kind of lead us into that with your asset management. I know there's been some discussion, and our environment has changed in the Village. I think where we last left it we were considering 10 cents per thousand gallons as a rate increase. That's what we were considering before the announcement of the second shift from the G.M. plant was gonna be cut loose come July time frame after the shut-down. So we also talked about the aspects of how we're gonna balance some of our costs and appropriately put some of our labor towards the sewer workload. I believe that's been accomplished from Bill's side, correct?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Yeah. And the first pay was done this week where 25 percent of the payroll was charged to the

Village of Lordstown Board of Trustees of Public Affairs

May 22,

18

sewer fund.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. I remember him saying something about 30 percent, but I think we're working up to that. I can't remember.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: He thought 30 percent because it was late in the year, but we're trying to set it and leave it there. We feel that over the department and the organization of how that's covered, if we tried it and we can make this year we should be able to do well with next year as well.

MR. CAMPBELL: Well, it's definitely a good start in the right direction. I'm not sure 25 percent is really appropriate for --

MR. PLATT: I have my guys keeping track in their daily logs.

MR. CAMPBELL: So we'll have something to go back --

MR. PLATT: Not splitting hairs with it but, you know, like yeah, I spent for hours sewer or two hours sewer.

MR. CAMPBELL: That's beautiful, that's exactly what we need.

MR. SULLIVAN: Right.

MR. PLATT: I'll try to look at the log box and I'll try to keep track of those.

MR. CAMPBELL: We can at least have it implemented this year, and next year we'll have some figures to look at. Maybe pump it up, maybe it stays. At least that will help ease some of the expenses that we've been upped on for the water side. The LEC plant is slowly -- I mean, I know they took and filled up their tank, but they're not gonna be in production until well, end of this year. I'm not sure on that.

MR. PLATT: I really don't know. It's -- until they say turn on the water I can't --

MR. CAMPBELL: But there's been no announcements.

MR. SULLIVAN: Have there been tests?

MR. PLATT: The first little bit at the end of April was well, they wanted to fill their reservoir to see if there was any leaks in the reservoir. That was the main purpose of that. And then they were gonna, of course, clean the reservoir out of any of the debris that they've got, that would help for that. And you know, they haven't taken anything since then that I've been aware of, you know, there so it's --

MR. CAMPBELL: It is what it is for now. All right. Well --

MR. SULLIVAN: On the water rate, won't we be better off like waiting until August when we see the -- what kind of an impact the second shift is gonna have on us or --

MR. CAMPBELL: That's one thought. But if you think about we were already in the hole substantially for the last two years without that even stepping out of the equation, I want -- everything I look at, if we wait and kick the can down the road it will hurt us more. I would like to see us implement a 10 cent a gallon raise, and then come January we can hit some things. We don't have that much time left, only the last billing quarter.

Village of Lordstown Board of Trustees of Public Affairs

May 22,

18

It's not even that have.

MR. SULLIVAN: And just from the last meeting I kind of took it that the 10 cents really wasn't doing what we needed it to do, it would have to be like 20 to survive until January.

MR. CAMPBELL: Well, I don't think 20 would even cut it to actually balance out. No, there's gonna be some changes in the environment. We kind of already paved the way for the 10 cents per thousand gallon. And that's -- it's up to the Board, we have to decide since that's already been kind of paved and been laid out there between the media aspects that have been happening in the Village, do we stay with that, do you want to increase more. Like I said, there's not that much we're gonna gain out of it this year. Next year we can re-evaluate things.

MR. DIETZ: Are the improvements we've put in the tanks gonna save us from flushing a lot water out of the --

MR. PLATT: That will save a considerable amount, okay. The -- because well, we just had the disinfectant by-product number up there.

MR. CAMPBELL: Those are good numbers.

MR. PLATT: Which they came up very good here, okay. We're the top line, 52 of 2018. And in that TTHM column the magic number is 80, you don't want to hit 80 or above.

MR. SULLIVAN: Which column.

MR. PLATT: This -- this column right here, this TTHM column. That assistance for trihalo -- I'm just shortening it to trihalometh. And this column here, the number needs to be -- our average needs to be under 80, okay. So you can see our average for the last four, our number came in at 47.8 and our average is at 50.87. So we've been as high on average -- like we went into violation back in 2015 and it was 88, you know. And then over on this one we've been again in violation one time at 87, you know, so. But when that happens the only fix is to --

MR. CAMPBELL: Flush it.

MR. PLATT: Flush the daylights out of everything and, I mean, just dump and dump and dump. So when we hit that, okay, okay that caused us to like all right, we're gonna have to flush the daylights out of everything before we take the test rather than after we take the test. So that's what we did in these prior months up to about this right here, okay, would be, you know, a considerable flushing, you know. Like we'd take and, you know, just had it set up to close the valve to put a hose on a hydrant and then just pump the water in, you know, type thing for 30 days, you know, running that thirty gallons a minute for 1440 minutes a day times 30 days. That's, you know, a lot of, you know --

MR. CAMPBELL: Unsold water.

MR. PLATT: Right. But that's what -- you had to do it in order to keep the water --

MR. CAMPBELL: Water safe.

MR. PLATT: Keep the water meeting E.P.A. guidelines. With the mixers in February we flushed just a tiny bit, you know. May, this past May, I said no, let's see what it does, let's hold

May 22,

18

our breath and take our chances and it came through real good, you know, with that. So the mixer's helping a great deal. A lot of that too is with the way that MVSD is now treating the water with their clarifiers and things so that helps a lot, they don't have to put as much of the chlorine in that they used to. I still cringe when I read in the paper that they're worried about the outlying areas, you know, so they have to boost the chlorine like when they're sending it to Vienna or whatever. I'm like put in a chlorinator out in Vienna.

MR. KOGELNIK: Yeah.

MR. CAMPBELL: Rather than --

MR. PLATT: Rather than kill everybody. I don't mean kill everybody, you know. Put an amount that hurts Lordstown with higher figures or Niles or Youngstown et cetera, you know, so. But it's looking a lot better.

MR. CAMPBELL: It is helping. And that will keep our costs down. But even so, we know we're taking in substantially less revenue with all aspects positive to it. I'm inclined to at least lead through with the 10 cents per gallon increase, go ahead and get the resolution put in place, at least we have one quarter where we have a little higher rate. I don't see us not needing it at all. It's gonna be flat out needed and probably more come the next year.

MR. SULLIVAN: If we really think that it -- like in January we're gonna have to do a substantial, I'd rather do like 20 now and 30 then rather than 10 now and --

MR. CAMPBELL: As a Board that's what we have to decide. Do you have input?

MR. KOGELNIK: Can I ask how -- do you know, do you have some sort of spreadsheet or analysis that shows the 10 cents?

MR. CAMPBELL: We basically based it on last year's loss, on how much we were short. And from juggling some men to the sewer side and what we're estimating, hopefully we were gonna get six months out of a rate increase. We're not even gonna get that. It keeps getting worse rather than better, and we don't have any actions in place because of what was going on.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: And the water volume that we were selling last year or historically is going to change due to the decrease of General Motors, what we sold historically at 10 cents, because we don't know what we'll be doing this year.

MR. SULLIVAN: Last year we were doing all three shifts, now we're doing one.

MR. KOGELNIK: Is it gonna be -- will General Motors always be one?

MR. SULLIVAN: No.

MR. DIETZ: A lot of times they cut one shift.

MR. KOGELNIK: What I meant by that is would it be safe, for the purposes of determining a cost, to just keep it at one shift? If General Motors goes to two or three shifts great, it's just more revenue.

MR. CAMPBELL: I see, base our rate on the lower

May 22,

18

volume, not the higher.

MR. KOGELNIK: Everybody is reading in the paper that General Motors is scaling back their small car production. So wouldn't it be safe to say that that facility will have just X-amount of employees and the water consumption is gonna be --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Well, how would we calculate what one shift is going to provide to us?

MR. KOGELNIK: You said that they've gone down to one shift before. Don't you have water records for that?

MR. CAMPBELL: That was a while ago, and it wasn't that long.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: And it was like one shift but four 10's, and the plant ran 7 days a week. I don't think we know if the plant's gonna run 5 or 7 days a week.

MR. SULLIVAN: I think the last time they lost a shift for a significant time was like '08 maybe.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Yeah. But they went to one shift, but they went A, B, C, didn't they, with somebody worked Monday through Thursday and Thursday --

MR. SULLIVAN: No, they didn't. What I'm talking about is right there in the bankruptcy they went down to one shift. And they not only went to one shift, they were taking a lot of weeks out on top of it. And they did that almost for a year until the bankruptcy was settled, and they came back up to the three shifts.

MR. KOGELNIK: Have there been any production runs where during a three shift period they have maybe been on vacation for an extended amount of time --

MR. CAMPBELL: Not that I would know of.

MR. KOGELNIK: -- down to the volume of one shift?

MR. CAMPBELL: Good point to know, since we're heading into this, some figures we can base things off of. If it doesn't increase, we're to the better. If we base on the high side and they lose, we're suffering.

MR. KOGELNIK: If you could see your future in front of you and be able to assign rate increases at X- increments at a time it will really help you out.

MR. SULLIVAN: That's what's happening right now. They made so many concessions there just to keep the crews. But the thing was they had another product too because the 30 years I was on bargaining committee they said they couldn't sustain that pace on one shift. So there's a lot of fear that if they don't bring another product in they'll end up closing.

MR. CAMPBELL: It costs too much to run for just one shift.

MR. SULLIVAN: But that may not happen. You know, next year is the contract. And they feel that if nothing else, they'll get a new product during the contract period.

MR. KOGELNIK: Well, I don't. It's one of those anomaly type customers that you've been blessed to have for a number of years. But it might be time to change how you approach determining what your water fee structure is gonna be.

Village of Lordstown Board of Trustees of Public Affairs

May 22,

18

MR. CAMPBELL: Absolutely.

MR. RADTKA: Kevin, if I can. Could we just take our guide off going off what Chris is saying is when they were running three shifts could we just use that as the whole and, okay, that's a third -- second, third and just divide it in thirds?

MR. CAMPBELL: That would get you close.

MR. RADTKA: It's gotta be in the ballpark, don't you think?

MR. CAMPBELL: Most of the work force is on first shift. They cut down and have skeleton crews for.

MR. RADTKA: Divide it by two-thirds.

MR. SULLIVAN: It's not a perfect -- we went from 4,500 with that reduction, now with both plants you're at about 1,300 employees. So it's more than a third.

MR. KOGELNIK: The water that's used, is it primarily domestic or is it -- is there a lot of process involved?

MR. PLATT: A lot of processing, yeah.

MR. KOGELNIK: So it is a function of the volume of production?

MR. CAMPBELL: Oh, you mean production. Yes.

MR. KOGELNIK: So if it's not domestic, you know, a third or two-thirds --

MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah, it gets in the ballpark.

MR. KOGELNIK: It could be based on production of vehicles.

MR. SULLIVAN: Yes.

MR. KOGELNIK: I would probably lean towards that if you're going to determine a percentage to associate with, you know, a rate increase.

MR. CAMPBELL: The rate of production versus the water usage. It wouldn't take too much to get a ballpark out of it. Back to the question, what do you want to do for the rest of this year? We're not going to gain much. We'll look at it next year and --

MR. SULLIVAN: It kinds of sounds like you're comfortable with a dime.

MR. CAMPBELL: I'm comfortable with a dime. If you guys want to go more, I'm comfortable with that too. In my mind, the minimum was to do the dime. If we increase it a little more I don't think they'll not understand it by any means. If we get too carried away at this point we'll probably get a lot of backlash because you're jumping the gun not knowing some things. There's that fine line to why we need it, but they're not gonna be too outlandish upset about it. That's where we're at.

MR. DIETZ: I'm not okay with raising it.

MR. CAMPBELL: Nobody wants their water rate raised. We pay it too.

MR. KOGELNIK: It's a necessary thing, Tom.

MR. CAMPBELL: So what do you gentlemen think? I voiced mine. I would like to have something forward, at least

May 22,

18

give Cindy some figures to get some legislation put in place so the next time we meet we can pass something for the water rates for the last quarter and get something accomplished with it.

MR. SULLIVAN: Personally I think we would be better off going to the 20, but I don't have a problem if you want to do the 10.

MR. CAMPBELL: Maybe we just split down the middle, do 15. Tom, what do you think?

MR. DIETZ: Yeah, I guess I gotta go along with it because I know where Cindy has showed me and how we're hurting in the --

MR. SULLIVAN: All right. I'll make a motion for the 15.

MR. CAMPBELL: Do you want to add anything?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: We do not have a resolution, so that will have to be passed by resolution.

MR. CAMPBELL: Correct. This is something she'll take to give to Paul.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: If you want to give me two figures and think about it, I can bring both pieces of legislation to you.

MR. SULLIVAN: I'm fine with the 15.

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. You made a motion. I'll second that motion. All in favor?

(All respond aye.)

MR. CAMPBELL: All opposed?

(No response.)

MR. CAMPBELL: All Right. Keep it in mind and as we get closer to January, February hopefully some of the pieces of that puzzle will help us ease out of the situation. One of them is hopefully the power plant. When they go into production and taking water, we can get some revenue off of that.

MR. SULLIVAN: What is that, you say January when they go into full production?

MR. PLATT: I think around January is when they're expected to go to full production if, you know, but --

MR. CAMPBELL: They've already delayed it a couple times.

MR. SULLIVAN: Can I ask a stupid question I should know the answer to? But the electric that they're producing, that's not gonna do anything for Lordstown, is it?

MR. PLATT: No, huh-uh.

MR. SULLIVAN: It will just go into --

MR. PLATT: It goes right into the main grid.

MR. SULLIVAN: Which is --

MR. PLATT: It could go down to Akron or down to the Ohio River. It goes into the grid and First Energy does the billing of it. They sell it to First Energy or whatever, and then that entity bills for it then, so.

OLD BUSINESS:

Review of Water & Sewer Regulations

Village of Lordstown Board of Trustees of Public Affairs

May 22,

18

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Very good. Down to Old Business, number 1, Review of Water and Sewer Regulations. I know we've had some progress at least back and forth on trying to finish up the water. I like Cindy's suggestion to move the glossary words or to move them to the back. So kind of flipping through it would be a pain. But there were some questions on the e-mails that were -- that was the man that we wanted to update and refresh and some things like that, correct?

MR. KOGELNIK: Yes. Everybody should have a copy of the latest water regulations and also the latest water details, the construction details. So we have taken everybody's comments. My own staff have had some comments about these which I have yet to really plow through. But based on everybody's comments here, we've got everything done for the water and we submitted them to Paul for his review. And I tried to give Paul enough background in the e-mail that I sent to everyone on May 18 that was last from you so that he can make his determination. So that's what's happening with the water regs. Do you have any other questions about the water regs?

MR. SULLIVAN: So this one is ready to go then?

MR. KOGELNIK: It's all -- it very close. It needs Paul's review.

MR. CAMPBELL: You talked to Paul. She's got some updates from Paul.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Paul called me earlier today and said legalese it looks fine. And I told him the Board had questioned about the Benefit Improvement District on page 20. He read through it and he says that's something that no one can make you do, it's there in the event if a community says hey, we want water over here in Newton Township, it gives you the right to do an assessment and charge them for it and put it in. He doesn't feel it will hurt you in any way. He's fine with leaving that in there. However, we have to do a little research because it appears that I guess from the birth of the Board of Public Affairs in the late seventies that everything was done by motion and stuff, and we need to make sure that there's no previous legislation on the books so he can repeal all of that stuff or make sure that he does not have legislation to allow these because there are significant changes to them. That's what I'm in the process of doing.

MR. CAMPBELL: God bless you.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: And he's prepare the legislation. And if this is corrected, I feel these are ready for approval once we get the legislation from Paul.

MR. SULLIVAN: All we have to do is wait until next meeting and we should have the legislation and your tweaks?

MR. KOGELNIK: It's possible at the next meeting we can pass the water.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I wanted to actually pass them today, but Paul just got back to me earlier. And I tried, I had books out on my desk.

MR. KOGELNIK: She did.

May 22,

18

MR. CAMPBELL: That's good. They'll hit next meeting. Our big push was TEC, so since that's kind of been delayed we have time to get our ducks in a row.

MR. KOGELNIK: The sewer, I mean, it's close too. And I'm not gonna change that much in the sewer with the exception of our comments from this table here. Really that gets down to just time and formatting to make it look like the water.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay.

MR. SULLIVAN: So is there a possibility we'll have them both then by the next meeting?

MR. KOGELNIK: Yeah, it's possible.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: (Shaking head.)

MR. KOGELNIK: That's a good point. Because of Mr. Asset Management here, that's gonna be some significant work. I'd like to talk about that during my report.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Okay. Very good. All right. Anything else on that topic for now? Thanks for the good progress.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Will you as a Board be ready approve these if Paul prepares the legislation? Is there any corrections that you've seen or I missed or --

MR. CAMPBELL: I am --

MR. SULLIVAN: No, we've been through them enough times that --

2. I&I

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Old Business, second item is I&I. Do we have --

MR. PLATT: A little bit of an update with that. We were able to do a home inspection -- well first of all, we've had the metering flow meter down on Brook Hollow metering the flow from there, and we haven't seen a significant amount of change in the rate of flow like we've had some wet weather now finally and that it's just kind of staying, you know, what it was relative to. So we do see a little boost a little later, but not like we look at there. With that, we've left it in down there. We'll -- we're still just like why take it out, you know, and not put it in somewhere, so at least it's in there. We're trying to -- we'll probably move it over to I'm thinking maybe Goldner Lane, in that area, you know, to see what they do with it type thing. And the other thing that we -- well, we've had it over at Imperial, I think we've seen numbers from over there. So we'll move it when we get the manpower and the stuff going, so. We did do one home inspection about a week-and-a-half ago due to someone --

MR. SULLIVAN: Yeah.

MR. PLATT: -- complaining, you know. But hey, you know, so be it. So we did do an inspection, didn't find anything that was out of the ordinary. Did we do what we call an anatomically thorough inspection, no. You know, we inspected for, you know, where do the floor drains go in, where does that go, you know, and everything went where it was supposed to. The

May 22,

18

evidence of sump crock pumps out to the ditch there, we put the sewer dye in and it -- I mean, it made a real green ditch that somebody was worried about. And I said give it an hour and it will go clear, you know, so. We did all that. It was a nice experience for the people involved so when we do get the okay, we can go start doing inspections now and we can really do inspections. We don't have to wait for smoke tests or whatever, you know, we can do those and get those under our belt, you know, so. I'm gonna try to work that into our schedule right now, you know, so maybe we could put one of those once a week or something like that for a little while so we can be moving forward with it.

MR. SULLIVAN: Are you continuing with the smoke or -

-

MR. PLATT: We're gonna continue with the smoke, yes. Again, we have just not had the opportunity to get out there and do it. The -- you know, I think -- how many yards did the guys work on last week, Cindy?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I don't know a count, but they were all day.

MR. PLATT: Nineteen yards for work that we've done and have to repair somebody's front yard. So you know, right here before Memorial Day when's my yard gonna get fixed, and they all call at once. And we try to accommodate them and, you know, so we're working because if I don't satisfy them guess who the next phone call is. It's either gonna be you or the Mayor, and we don't need that. We know it needs to be done.

MR. DIETZ: Do you fix yards when you tear them up?

MR. PLATT: That's what we're trying to get done right now.

MR. DIETZ: Then I'll go tear mine back up with -- you dug it up and you can come do it.

MR. PLATT: I'll be there, you'll be on the list, so.

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. Very good. Thanks, Bruce.

MR. PLATT: Sure.

3. Imperial Sanitary Sewer Meter Manhole Project

MR. CAMPBELL: Number 3, we've got the Imperial Sanitary Sewer Meter Manhole Project. I think last discussion we were hopeful of the development in that area would come around, which is still in the mix. So I think it's progressing at least in a forward direction at this point, and I guess we're still hopeful that maybe something will come through that we can capitalize on. Has there been any change in our mindset from the engineering side?

MR. KOGELNIK: Not really. I was just following that I was going to be meeting with Dale Grimm June 5, Roads Department Superintendent, to discuss some of the engineering aspects of the TJX facility and then also be talking with the TJX representatives about other elements that basically touch the utilities and whatnot. So I have told him that he needs -- he should consider all of the utilities in the area and as the Village's sanitary sewer to be used for TJX and connecting that

May 22,

18

way. And I also have contacted Gary Newbrough from Trumbull County Sanitary Engineers and have stated that the Village very much wants to be a provider the service for sanitary sewer to TJX; and if the county's sanitary system doesn't have the capacity, then all the more reason for the Village to be providing that service. I haven't gotten a call back from Gary. Gary owes me a number of things with regard to --

MR. SULLIVAN: Highland.

MR. KOGELNIK: -- Lordstown. However, I know I'm confident that he will. He's a stand-up guy with the county Sanitary Engineer, so I'm confident he'll reply.

MR. CAMPBELL: Very good.

MR. DIETZ: Can I ask a question? What part of Lordstown -- where is the county sewage treatment plant that they're talking about on T.V. that part of Lordstown goes on?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: It's the Warren treatment plant.

MR. DIETZ: Well no, the county. They are showing pictures of the Trumbull County treatment plant and they're saying part of Lordstown. I know we all -- as far as I know we all went into Warren.

MR. SULLIVAN: Out to Main Street is --

MR. KOGELNIK: That's all you do, you only go into Warren?

MR. DIETZ: Because they're saying part of Lordstown residents go into the county treatment plant.

MR. KOGELNIK: There's two treatment plants, Mosquito and Brookfield. Which one --

MR. DIETZ: They're just saying part of Lordstown is going into the Trumbull County treatment plant, and Trumbull County and Warren are arguing about the prices.

MR. SULLIVAN: Well, Trumbull runs that end all into Warren.

MR. KOGELNIK: All into Warren, right.

MR. DIETZ: That's what I always thought.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: They're Trumbull County districts to the treatment plant that is the Warren treatment plant. Trumbull County has two or three sewer districts and parts, the sewer system you're on, I can't even think of the district name but it is billed through Trumbull County. But the treatment plant itself is Warren.

MR. DIETZ: Well, they're misleading the public by saying part of Lordstown is going into a Trumbull County treatment plant.

MR. KOGELNIK: That might be the case. Bruce, can you speak to the northwest corner of --

MR. DIETZ: No, we go to Warren.

MR. KOGELNIK: I know.

MR. PLATT: Everything goes to the treatment plant there in Warren.

MR. KOGELNIK: In Warren, okay. That's the -- then that might be the case, Tom, you might be right. But I haven't heard that from --

Village of Lordstown Board of Trustees of Public Affairs

May 22,

18

MR. DIETZ: It been on 27 news.

MRS. DIETZ: They were talking about rate increases.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: And what he's saying that is correct, that's why they called us. I thought it myself. But that is incorrect.

MR. DIETZ: It is misleading to the people of Lordstown.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: It's because your bill goes through the county.

MR. DIETZ: Yes, I know.

MR. CAMPBELL: But they don't understand that.

MR. KOGELNIK: So I -- you know, Gary Newbrough will get back to me, and I will report what I learn on June 5 to the BPA at the next meeting as well. But you heard where I'm trying to -- if we can get the meter manhole to being wrapped into an improvement project that would replace the existing pump station, I'm understanding that's what your first attempt is. Secondly, if we don't have --

MR. CAMPBELL: You guys better best be nodding because we're all -- what he's talking about yes, that's the direction we're going. Yes.

MR. KOGELNIK: Okay, I understand.

MR. SULLIVAN: When's that TJX going to Council?

MR. RADTKA: The first public hearing will be Saturday June 16 at 11:00 a.m. for the first reading, for the public hearing and the first reading.

MR. SULLIVAN: Okay. So you got --

MR. RADTKA: Now we're in the 30 day grace period, in the reading of the letter. That was last week, so there's 30 days total. June 16.

MR. SULLIVAN: When I say that they need five, or if you abstain four, votes to overturn --

MR. RADTKA: It would have to be all five to overturn. And you ain't gonna get all five because there's two dead against it and --

MR. CAMPBELL: We're getting sidetracked, and that's a whole other rat's nest with the Village. So yes, that's still working in that direction. We'll have to wait to see how it plays out.

MR. SULLIVAN: I'm just trying to figure when we can figure them as a --

MR. CAMPBELL: I would not put a stake in the ground of anything if we can county any aspects. We have to see how it all plays out and if they end up working with us. As long as Imperial is sit is content with sitting on the situation we're on -- if they want something different than that because we'll be using the calculations for the billing.

MR. DIETZ: I'll make my comments after the meeting is closed.

MR. CAMPBELL: Now we don't want to leave that because I know you had some other items.

MR. COWIE: First one directed at Cindy. We've had a

May 22,

18

double billing issue with our rental units, four in the last year. We've received a bill at the office that is -- the home is empty and there are people actually there. We're sending the letters. Is there something else we have to do?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: When owner A leaves it goes back to Imperial. And then on there you switch the billing customer, you switch him on or off, to send a bill or not send a bill. When I take it back to Imperial, I toggle the way down to the bill and tell them to stop printing the bill because they are owners, they own those homes. So there's no changing them unless you give me another person in the department, which I've been asking for for years and that never happens.

MR. COWIE: We catch it. I mean, it's our due diligence to make sure that --

MR. CAMPBELL: What you're saying, you're getting billed as Imperial Community.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: It prints two bills for the address, it sends it to the owners and the tenants. The tenant is getting billed and another bill is sent to owner -- but --

MR. COWIE: The tenant is usually getting a bill and we've also been getting a bill. Or we get a bill, I guess we know somebody's already living in there, so we contact them to see if they got one and then so we just -- if there was something we could do to help alleviate that, so.

MR. CAMPBELL: That makes sense. When it prints that they get the double bill and then it's smoothing out --

MR. COWIE: Once we notify her it didn't change over, whatever it's supposed to do.

MR. CAMPBELL: I think we're doing the best we can with that situation. Some of those you'll have to catch like you have been.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I apologize. It's one of those things.

MR. COWIE: We caught all of them, so it's just a matter of contacting you and letting you know --

MR. CAMPBELL: We appreciate that.

MR. COWIE: -- where to go next. We need to do something with our -- this is a copy of our bill that we get. And we need to have somewhat of a better explanation, this the last one we got.

MR. CAMPBELL: You're talking water, Tom?

MR. COWIE: The top one is water, the bottom one is sewer. The numbers are really hard to decipher. Cindy and I have talked before, but when the girls get it or we get it after three months it's extremely hard to remember what we talked about, you know what I'm saying. So with the sewer bill are we still on that same formula of -- I think it's --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Yes. And the reason you're getting the readings is because the readings are still being brought in through the reading devices, and I can't override those readings. So the Board by motion gives me the authority to recalculate that bill and come up with the dollar figure. But we need to let the

Village of Lordstown Board of Trustees of Public Affairs

May 22,

18

readings ride out to see the time frame.

MR. COWIE: That's what we need to know. With that the readings aren't really relevant.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Not on your sewer bill.

MR. COWIE: Not on the sewer bill. So would it be possible if we made up a spreadsheet or formula sheet so we can plug 180 gallons per minute by 1.39 hours and the water when we had our agreement was like 25.625, does that sound familiar or did you guys --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I think it's at one point -- I will -- I'd have to go upstairs and pull the sheet myself.

MR. COWIE: Here's the question. Can we get some kind of formula sheet and you plug the numbers in and show us what we're being billed?

MR. CAMPBELL: I get where you're coming from.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: You have them. It was the figures that CT provided. It was the run time times.

MR. CAMPBELL: I think he wants to see like the calculations like --

MR. COWIE: Just a simple one. Here's the water, here's what was subbed. I don't want to make it difficult, but we've gotta be able to see what we're getting billed and why we're getting billed that amount. And it's based on that formula, so we gotta see the numbers that you guys are plugging in, with -- whether it's with water minus the I&I or --

MR. CAMPBELL: I know it's more work on your side, but that doesn't mean anything to them.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: But it doesn't change based on just a couple dollars. I ran the tier until the end of April to get it for a full -- it's actually like 93 days I think it was instead of 88 or 89 to get it to the end of April, so it encompassed an entire month. So I billed for the entire month of April. Other than that it's the run times, whatever the formula is. The credit amounts on that bill was because the payment extension plan on the bill, they paid it, it charged a fee, there was to be no penalty or late fee and the system grabbed it. So I wrote that off as a credit for the fee that was charged on their account.

MR. CAMPBELL: It definitely gets confusing.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: It is. It is. But that's what happens when you don't bill based on --

MR. CAMPBELL: When we're in the situation we're in.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: And I can give you a photocopy of how that calculation is --

MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah, I think it would be fine.

MR. COWIE: Yeah. I mean, you don't just -- so we have something to look at and say this is the formula, these are the numbers and, I mean, it's gonna be basically that. But you're saying the monetary amount is basically the same all the time. This is correct, the monetary amount?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: For --

MR. COWIE: For a sewer bill, 1,000 --

Village of Lordstown Board of Trustees of Public Affairs

May 22,

18

MR. CAMPBELL: -- 971.43.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I would have to say yes. I will double-check that.

MR. COWIE: What I'm saying, even though the numbers aren't right, what it's telling us to pay you is right?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: It doesn't show the amount to be paid on the bottom of the bill. So I can't attest to that without the whole bill.

MR. COWIE: Those -- it's down there on the bottom.

MR. CAMPBELL: Right, that's the full bill.

MR. COWIE: And what I'm asking is, that's what we need to pay even though the numbers aren't.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Correct. Because when I went into your account to override the billing, on your account you had that charge of \$66.10, which was a fee which was based on your agreement you're making payments.

MR. COWIE: We're done with that?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Correct. But this was on your account. So to make it proper, I took that and credited that off your account because it was paid on a previous bill or something. So I took it, wrote off the fee or the charge, the miscellaneous charge that was on your account, and it reduced it. So this is correct. I'll get -- print you an A/R screen so you can see that charge.

MR. COWIE: Even photocopy it when you figure it out, fax it over, just so we have something we can say okay, this is what's happening here.

MR. CAMPBELL: And you have at least two or three that you have the figures, this one and the previous one, right?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Well, probably. I think this is the third billing on the prorated.

MR. CAMPBELL: You would probably like to have --

MR. COWIE: If we could just come up with so we understand what's happening. I don't know that we have to go back. But now knowing that those numbers don't really reflect anything --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Not on the sewer bill. On the water, the water is correct.

MR. CAMPBELL: That's probably his next topic.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: And that's fixed.

MR. CAMPBELL: All right.

MR. COWIE: With the water, and that's the top one on this sheet, we -- I mean, it's showing that we came up with 66,000 gallons now this last time. When I did -- when you run the numbers like we talked about. And that's a significant drop from --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: That is because that account we had where we were not billing based on consumption and there was 225,000 gallons usage. I found one that was in the book but it wasn't reading. That went from zero to 225 starting from the day he moved in and had a new meter installed, and that meter started

May 22,

18

at zero; and until this current billing it registered 225,000 gallons of water. We had to bring the customer in and explain the bill for him to pay. But all that consumption came off of that bill because the meter never read, so when it read it went from zero to 225. And proving that the deduction works, in that 2,158 that was deducted off you'll see that's probably a lot higher than previously. That first line.

MR. COWIE: Okay, yeah, 2,158.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: It's probably a lot higher than previous deductions. But in that 225,000 gallons that you had, in essence, had paid for through that process, well now he's -- it was sent to his account, he was billed for that 225,000 gallons; but everything he gets billed for deducts off of yours.

MR. COWIE: So we're going to be receiving that?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: That's it right there. That's the full credit right there.

MR. CAMPBELL: I know it's confusing. And the dates on that bill, Cindy, that 7/25/14, is that that account? Do you see that? In between the previous and the present.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: It's probably going back to the start of his account because one of those meters had a reading with that date, and if there's one it's gonna go back to that date. The other 278 or 80, 79, I don't even remember how much is on there. But because one reading had that date range going that far back, the bill parameters grab the entire range. That's why it threw that 2014 on there. That's the program, there's nothing I can do about that. But that's kind of a flag for you to see that something went back.

MR. COWIE: How are we gonna be credited for that sewer?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: You weren't billed for the sewer.

MR. COWIE: Well, if he wasn't billed --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: But we're not billing you based on consumption on the meters, we're billing you based on the pump run times.

MR. CAMPBELL: It's not using their water readings for that. It's hard to keep straight what's billed off of. I'm glad we're talking through it.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I want you confident in your billing.

MR. COWIE: And I guess that pretty much satisfies all that. Are you good with that? The last thing, those meters that you changed, Cindy, that last cycle, there were about 11 accounts. Guys were out changing 11 accounts because there was billed little or no usage.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: During the reading period, yes.

MR. COWIE: How are we gonna be compensated for that water? Say those meters are running. Some of them weren't running.

MR. CAMPBELL: Let's back up one step, and I'm gathering we're saying slow meters. What was wrong with these meters we replaced?

May 22,

18

MR. PLATT: We either picked up no reading from them -- generally it's their reading doesn't -- the radio doesn't transmit to our drive-by device. That's the first thing that usually happens. Then the other thing that we pick up with our software and Cindy picks up with her software is those meters that didn't move since the last reading, you know, little or no usage, you know. So it's -- there's reasons for it. Somebody's not in the home, somebody's not using water or it has slowed down and therefore not --

MR. CAMPBELL: Not functioning.

MR. PLATT: -- hasn't, you know, registered much water then, you know, so.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: And sometimes those are corrected by a direct meter reading. If we get a reading of 500 and previously it was 490 and there's a consumption of 10 and the software say oops, that's too low. I send them back out and the meter would say 515, so we know something happened. Or if it sent us a zero read it doesn't mean that the meter quit, it meant that the radio did not pick up the reading so it sent us a zero. So when they read the meter head itself, they get us a reading and consumption.

MR. CAMPBELL: Out of those 11 --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I think there was one account that showed like that she's really not using a lot of water, and she should be using more water than what's being recorded and they changed the meter again. But it was changed last year as well -- I don't want to say last year, it was changed recently. It's the third meter in two or three years in that home.

MR. PLATT: When we go there for any reason, when we go to anybody's -- any customer for whatever reason meaning we didn't get a right reading, didn't get a reading, got a reading of zero, whatever, the first thing we do is look at the meter and go oops, is that a new meter or old meter. If it's an old meter, it immediately comes out. Not just over at Imperial but also at anybody's home, you know, because it's -- they generally got lead, you know, as part of the thing so we're trying to get all the lead out of the system, you know. And it's got a minuscule amount of lead. So we get -- you know, take that out because it's probably a pulse meter that winds up and sends out hey, I used a thousand, hey, I used another thousand. If a mouse or something chews through the wire and shorts the wires out it will read -- you know, we'll get real high, high readings sometimes too from that, so. We're going through quite a few meters now and we gotta continue that until they're all changed, you know, so.

MR. SULLIVAN: So when you drive through and there's say 8 or 10 and some are much higher than they should be and some are lower, then you just go to the home and check them out?

MR. PLATT: Yes, we go right there. The way we read Imperial right now is we have the -- we've been doing it this way for about a year-and-a-half, two years -- we have all of our equipment turned off, okay, our radio receiver is turned off, and

May 22,

18

we drive over to Imperial. And there where the lift station is we generally park at the lift station, turn all the equipment on so it picks those radios up all right now, and then we can get the meter -- the pit readings, you know, so everything is as close together as possible. And in the software, you can look at the software and see under read or whatever. Generally if one comes up missed or what-have-you, if we can decipher which one it is we'll go try to get the reading right then and there. But we want the pit meters to be as close to the reading time of all the other meters that are there. So then they once they do that, then they read the rest of the route, they come back in. And we can very easily determine okay, we need to go see these six that are over Imperial or however many, and they go right over there right away that day to try and knock them out. I'm not sure that this happened. The last time I think we might have --

MR. COWIE: I think you got them all changed that day.

MR. PLATT: So we try to. That was the biggest day that we've had as far as having to change.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: And when that happens, regardless of the reading that they get, if we see it didn't move, if somebody's meter didn't move, that gives me the right to go back and estimate their usage for that billing quarter. So based on what they used in the last four previous readings, not the zero reading but four readings back, I can estimate their average. I put in an average. So in essence they are paying based on an estimate until it changes or they use something they're getting billed for something, and what they get billed does get deducted from your meter.

MR. COWIE: Because I mean, one of our concerns with this situation and the other one, I mean, we still have those master meter accounts that are -- they're up 250,000, 300,000 gallons that's still not accounted for by anybody, you guys can't tell us where it's going, we can't tell you where it's going. We have meters slow down if they are running at 50 percent or whatever percentage they are slowed down, whether it be they don't record the low flow, toilet flushing. We're just concerned we're getting hammered with this water bill on the master meter and nobody can explain it, and then we see something like this and --

MR. CAMPBELL: That's a good question, absolutely.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Again, that's why I sent them out there for a third time in fairness to you. I know what you're saying, but that's why we make them go out and redo it again.

MR. COWIE: I agree with that. But there's still 250,000, 300,000 gallons that nobody can tell us where it's going, how it's being used. That's a pretty big nut to swallow every --

MR. CAMPBELL: I know we originally discussed this. We were hoping we would get to a point where we could see some kind of a trend and see about where it's plateauing. And I'm not sure, it seems like --

Village of Lordstown Board of Trustees of Public Affairs

May 22,

18

MR. COWIE: It started at 173, the last one, because this one was subtracted.

MR. CAMPBELL: This one throws it off.

MR. COWIE: But it's 299 last cycle. It's been as high as 329,000 gallons that's unaccounted for. You guys gotta agree that there's a lot of water that we're paying for that nobody can tell us where it's going.

MR. PLATT: Unfortunately, we -- the only thing we're responsible for is that meter, you know, there.

MR. COWIE: But here we're bringing it up because it concerns us.

MR. CAMPBELL: We're not ignoring it, because we did say at that time we would hopefully get some trending in place to see and look at, here's what it's been doing. We have some -- obviously some data under our belt, and we were hoping that it would be -- my guess is it would be somewhere in the middle, but it doesn't seem to be. It seems to be on the high side and continuing to grow. And why is it growing, I can't answer that. I know there's some lower ones in there, but it's -- I think the upward trend of it is continually growing. Is that a true statement? I know some of the waves there it dips, but it still kind of keeps going up.

MR. COWIE: I mean, it dropped 70,000 gallons in one time, but now it's climbing back up again. And it's just --

MR. CAMPBELL: I don't have an answer for that.

MR. COWIE: Can you sense our frustration?

MR. CAMPBELL: Ours too.

MR. COWIE: It's ours because we're paying for it.

MR. CAMPBELL: We agreed that once we come to something that works we want to adjust back because we didn't know what to do. And I know Chris has beat his head up against it a couple times thinking of options and things we can use, but right now we just don't have a lot of experience with the numbers.

MR. COWIE: Can you consider individual meters only since everything is metered over there?

MR. KOGELNIK: If I may? I mean, this is just basically a discussion of ideas.

MR. COWIE: Right.

MR. KOGELNIK: But in the past when I've worked with trailer parks and other mobile home communities, it's all been on bulk, it's not ever been on individual.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Right.

MR. KOGELNIK: It's so hard individually. That's just an observation that I have seen, you know. And that's not the way this is set up but, you know, it would really simplify things if everything were done on bulk.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: And that's -- I don't know if you remember, but when I first took this job they had me go back and check every trailer park in the surrounding area and see how it was done. And it was like -- and that was that meeting I think in 2007 -- we are to bill Imperial based on the bulk meters. I

Village of Lordstown Board of Trustees of Public Affairs

May 22,

18

couldn't find anybody to do anything different. What we were doing was different--

MR. CAMPBELL: We've got a double meter situation.

MR. COWIE: And we realize that, and we kicked that around too. Is it something we just need to let lie, but still we gotta bring it up because we're the ones that's paying that extra 1,500 bucks, you know.

MR. CAMPBELL: A quarter.

MR. COWIE: On top of everything else we're paying. I mean, everything is metered there but just bringing it up again.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah. I know you guys understand from the Village's side there's water lines there from where those master meters are to all these residents that we're not responsible for. And if there's any leaks or problems, if we switch to just what's metered in the park there could be water lost. Say the system is tight and there's no leaks. But if we take away the part we're not gonna use bulk meters and just use individual ones, what's the incentive to maintain or fix water leaks. I know then it would be our frustration going we're losing a bunch of water here, we don't know where it's at. It's a check and balance system we have in place. And I understand your frustration because you guys don't think there's any water leaks or find anything, and yet you're getting a bill here's the difference. And the only thing we can think of is because of the roll-over issue with the meters. And maybe our trending proves it ends up being a higher value than we expected.

MR. RADTKA: We were hoping you would find something from Bruce's telemetry of the master meters.

MR. PLATT: It's pretty consistent. It's awfully consistent over there.

MR. CAMPBELL: What are you looking at?

MR. PLATT: We have a sheet where each week we read the meter pits, and we have it so they can access that sheet. And so like we try to read it at the same time, same day of the week. You know, sometimes we're off a little bit, but we try to read it and then calculate how much the usage was and is that greater or less than last week and, okay, so that if they do have a leak over there it shows up with a much higher number.

MR. CAMPBELL: But you haven't seen anything?

MR. RADTKA: That's what makes us think are we getting into this roll-over issue or is it a slowing of the meters issue. Because it's like Bruce said, if you see a leak you would see an increase and it would either continue to get worse or plateau; and once it was repaired, well then you'd see you a significant drop. Well, in this case we're not seeing anything.

MR. PLATT: The only thing that I can -- you know, I try to think of everything, you know. And I hear this one guy that I was talking to years ago when I first started this job and we were talking about leak detection and oh, you're crazy, don't look into it; he goes, I've never seen a leak that didn't

May 22,

18

surface, you know. And like how true that is, you're not gonna see a leak if it doesn't surface, you know. So I said what about something that's leaking and maybe going down the sewer, you know, and that kind of thing. So I'm sure you guys have checked that out like in your storm system and that. There's other things that -- maybe one of the things I was thinking of is you can excavate around one of the lines coming out of the pit, out of one of the pits, and then get somebody with location detection equipment and put it on the water line to see if you hear a constant sound and it never drops off. You know, it would have to be a logger type device.

MR. CAMPBELL: It's like an ultrasound device, monitors what they use.

MR. PLATT: We've got a little hand-held one that's not a logger or anything but we use it, stick it on fire hydrants and other things. We go into a home and put it on the meter to see do we hear something coming from the road, you know, and that kind of stuff. You know, there's a lot of other things too to kind of try and help, you know, with that, so.

MR. RADTKA: Do you think you would pick that up in your telemetry of your numbers though too?

MR. PLATT: You would hope so, yes. Right there. But if it's been something that's been going on --

MR. CAMPBELL: I see what you're saying.

MR. PLATT: -- and it currently has been running down the storm drain or something --

MR. CAMPBELL: You're saying if there was a leak existing before we put in the new three master water meters and it's been there and we start adding up all these, it's still there because it's always been leaking?

MR. PLATT: It seems to be -- it's a number and it will get bigger and then it rarely gets smaller, you know, meaning that amount that you're paying for, you know, the dollar amount on the bill that -- you know, and if that's, you know, the case why, you know, maybe we can -- you know, it may be a leak that has been undetected, you know.

MR. KOGELNIK: Let me say this --

MR. PLATT: How likely, you know --

MR. CAMPBELL: I don't know.

MR. KOGELNIK: Can the line be tested?

MR. PLATT: Can it be tested?

MR. KOGELNIK: Hydrostatic --

MR. PLATT: No.

MR. KOGELNIK: Not at all?

MR. PLATT: I doubt it. You would have to shut everybody off.

MR. KOGELNIK: Yeah, for a period of time.

MR. COWIE: That's what -- we talked with some leak detection companies that you would have to isolate every home on individual -- you know, the pits. So you'd have to shut off every home and then listen at that point.

MR. KOGELNIK: Is it doable?

Village of Lordstown Board of Trustees of Public Affairs

May 22,

18

MR. RADTKA: Why, I mean, especially on that Meter Pit 1 that would be the simplest one to --

MR. CAMPBELL: How long would it have to take to --

MR. KOGELNIK: Hydrostatic test is usually two hours.

MR. CAMPBELL: I know it's a pain in the butt, I'm not gonna -- I don't mean that to say demeaning it. But there's a lot to gain if you find it is what I'm saying versus a couple hours inconvenience. Whatever, have a picnic. Everybody come out of your house, shut off the water. Just trying to work through ideas that you can certainly work through validating saying it's solid here, that's good, work on the next meter pit. It would help our situation and your situation because we're not here to, you know, wrongfully bill you. We just want to make sure that it is correct and correct, and we're not sure what to do with it.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Were you able to see any differences now that we have all the homes associated with the correct meter pit? Did it help?

MR. COWIE: No. I think the last ones we pulled up -- you and I pulled up -- it's been a couple months ago since I look at that log.

MR. PLATT: I don't even remember. I'm sorry.

MR. COWIE: I haven't looked at it since you and I talked. Because I mean, we have -- we had -- I think we had everything on the right pit, it was just you guys on the box. I didn't look at those numbers of the individual pits that they changed, I would have to go back in the bills.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: It definitely should have shifted. There was like 20-plus homes that were in wrong books. I didn't make a count, but I know there was quite a few that had to be moved around.

MR. COWIE: I got the billing. You've heard us out. And we just want to keep it there so if --

MR. CAMPBELL: I mean, the Board's not ignoring it. I mean, we're still not sure what to do with it. I mean, the only thing at this point we take the numbers and try to come up with some kind of average. But like I said, I was hoping to see -- if it feels -- in my mind, if it feels a true roll-over issue that you would see some high, some low, some high, some low where you would get plus and minus because of how it's catching some of the cycles of individual meters, but we're not seeing that. You might dip a little, but it keeps climbing, climbing. There's something else going on.

MR. RADTKA: That's what one of our concerns are, are the meters slowing down at a certain percentage. But the master's are fresh, they're new, they pick up per gallon.

MR. CAMPBELL: I don't think there's that many older meters left in the park.

MR. PLATT: I'm not sure how many are over there.

MR. CAMPBELL: Older -- I know there's been a couple refresh cycles for our meters over the years since the park's been in existence.

May 22,

18

MR. PLATT: Guesstimate, there's probably 100 that are like original, you know, meters. So you know, those -- you know, we're trying to -- that's usually what we're changing, you know, when we have an issue with the reading, you know. So you know, it's getting fewer and fewer of the original installs there, so. We're just working on it as we each cycle, you know.

MR. CAMPBELL: Get some more knocked off the list.

MR. PLATT: But that's like what do we read over there, three times a year, four times a year. So what did we change, 11 last time, something like that.

MR. COWIE: 10, 11.

MR. PLATT: We do that four times a year that will be 44.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: But there are some that are changed with final readings and stuff like that too.

MR. PLATT: We did that too. So it's not at the forefront of our -- we've got a lot of other things to do, you know, on the to-do list there, so.

MR. CAMPBELL: But I mean, at that rate you're looking at a couple years before those are pretty much cleaned up at the rate we're currently looking at them.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Uh-huh.

MR. CAMPBELL: And it's like everything else, it's just a guess on what we believe might be happening and if it's an issue or not, if it slows down that much to make that much of a difference. We're talking a lot of water difference, volume difference.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: If something is abnormal.

MR. CAMPBELL: To see that much water difference if there's a slowness of the meters.

MR. PLATT: They -- you know, the other thing that isn't out of the question is that to look at having the meters, you know, certified again. It's like are they correct or -- I think that's part of the agreement that, you know, their -- that, you know --

MR. CAMPBELL: Are you talking the three master ones?

MR. PLATT: Yeah, the three master ones. The only thing is if we find nothing wrong -- I don't know that contract verbatim -- but I believe if it's found we're in error we pay for the thing and do it; but if it's found like nope, those are accurate j, then Imperial pays for that, you know. So am I correct, is that what the agreement reads?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Yes.

MR. RADTKA: I don't remember off the top of my head.

MR. PLATT: So before we would do that we would have to A, make sure what that agreement says and then make sure does Imperial agree with that.

MR. CAMPBELL: Because those meters are what, two years old, three?

MR. PLATT: Probably about three.

MR. CAMPBELL: I think this summer it will be three, if I'm right.

Village of Lordstown Board of Trustees of Public Affairs

May 22,

18

MR. PLATT: Keep in mind, there's a first time for everything, number one. But we have never found a meter that read faster, you know, once we send it out, you know, like. We've had --

MR. CAMPBELL: Slower ones.

MR. PLATT: They usually always slow down is the case, so --

MR. RADTKA: And that's our concern on the individual side, if they're slowing down.

MR. PLATT: I don't know that we have been into the meter pits and verified that the radio's matching the head. We can do that. And I think we've done that a number of times and they've always been --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I think Dustin did that last month because --

MR. CAMPBELL: I remember you stating in the past you had to check that aspect of it.

MR. COWIE: Okay. We're good.

MR. CAMPBELL: Well again, we do appreciate you coming to us to discuss it other than letting it set and fester and have an opportunity to work through things. Hopefully we either get a breakthrough, or if you guys can get adventurous and do a hydrostatic test on it --

MR. RADTKA: Well, we would have to work with Bruce on that because --

MR. COWIE: If we're gonna shut down everything in the park, I mean a section -- I know that front section would be the easiest to do first initially.

MR. CAMPBELL: It's worth a shot.

MR. PLATT: And the way we have it, I think we could probably read like -- read the front section, get a base reading from the meter pit and the homes, and then in like a week later come back and get a base -- a second reading from the meter pit and the homes and see what the difference is, you know. Like okay, you got the bulk meter, 10,000 gallons went through the bulk meter and through the home, 8,000 gallons went through the homes, you know. Go to the Pit 2, do the same thing. You know, we could do that and see oh, look here, Pit 2 says, you know, 100,000 went through the pit and only 50,000 went through the homes, you know. Like okay, let's do that one first, you know, because maybe that's your --

MR. CAMPBELL: That makes some sense too.

MR. PLATT: It takes a little time to do that. But I would think in a matter of two weeks you should have -- you know, it would be like a target; if there's one of the three that is the problem child so-to-speak, you know, the bigger difference, you know, to -- rather than oops, Pit 1 didn't solve it, let's go to Pit 2 and do all that work for Pit 2 and oh, nothing there, you know. And then you go down to Pit 3 and go here it is type thing. And that would be easier for us to try to do, you know --

MR. CAMPBELL: More strategic.

Village of Lordstown Board of Trustees of Public Affairs

May 22,

18

MR. PLATT: Yeah, rather be -- you know, try to assist in turning trailers off or things like that. That's -- you know, it really would be hard for us to accomplish.

MR. COWIE: That's a lot for anybody to do a whole section. I mean, you're into 100-some homes or -- you know, I can't remember the breakdown. But there's -- 2 and 3 has quite a few.

MR. RADTKA: The first one is the one to start with.

MR. PLATT: I know there's a gentleman that lives in Lordstown that has listening equipment and stuff. I don't know about loggers and that kind of thing. But you know, that would be an option, you know, if he's available, something like that, you know. So --

MR. SULLIVAN: But wouldn't it be if you did the one section you'd know then if that was an answer or not?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: For the one section.

MR. RADTKA: For the one section. But I was kind of leaning more toward what Chris was saying.

MR. SULLIVAN: What I was saying is I would think that it would tell you why you were increasing.

MR. KOGELNIK: So you're saying if you found a leak that was of a certain volume you would be able to tell yourself okay, based on this I know that that's the only leak or I should continue looking?

MR. SULLIVAN: Right.

MR. KOGELNIK: It's --

MR. CAMPBELL: I don't know what to say on that.

MR. KOGELNIK: I think Bruce's idea about approaching the problem if you have to go that way is logical. And in that case, I guess you're blessed to have three separate meters feeding three separate branches.

MR. PLATT: Yeah. Cindy, when doing their bill and you're doing the bulk part, is it -- are we able to see like here's what we billed for Pit No. 3 in that section and here's what Pit No. 3 --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Yeah. Their bill actually is by Meter Pit 1, 2 and 3. So it tells you each pit. I'm assuming -- I think these are in 1-2-3 order, like the first area is 394,000, the second pit was 998, and that's why I worked on -- like I said before we go and do any figures - if we don't put all the apples in the right basket, there's no sense in doing any work in that area. So now each home is assigned to the proper master meter.

MR. CAMPBELL: At least we believe some.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: To the best of everybody's knowledge, I got the information from Imperial.

MR. PLATT: So if we could kind of set up that reading section for Pit 1 and a reading for Pit 2 and a reading for Pit 3 to be able to go out and read each one separate to split them out.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Which yeah, understood. But whether you do it for a week or a month or three months, what difference -- what are you gaining from that. That's what my ultimate

May 22,

18

question is. If you read it in a week and it says used 100,000 gallons of water and at the end of twelve weeks it says used 120,000 you're still getting it whether you break it down in a week or a month. I don't see where there's any benefit of more frequent readings.

MR. PLATT: I'm just trying to see if there's a way that we can see like Pit 1, those home totals match Pit 1 pretty daggone close. Pit two, oh those home totals --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: The difference between them.

MR. PLATT: The difference between --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: This is the first bill we could do that with. That's why I said we got to mark that in history because we know this is the bill there's 120 homes and 120 homes used 998,000 gallons of water. Yes. But this is the first one and it takes us three months-- if you wanted to break it down for that purpose to see in that area what it was using on a weekly thing or a weekly average to do that instead of waiting three months, it's -- right now it's gonna take time to see that variable.

MR. PLATT: Kind of like what we did with Brookhollow and just set up those homes on Brookhollow to get a reading and get another reading.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: It takes me some time to create that new route getting them apart.

MR. PLATT: But 22 is a lot different than --

MR. COWIE: 122.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Yeah, but it can be done. I mean, it's cumbersome you know, it takes me some time to create that.

MR. SULLIVAN: Okay. Moving right along. Thanks everybody.

PUBLIC COMMENTS:

MR. CAMPBELL: We're down to -- any more public comments? I think our public comment left.

MR. RADTKA: No.

REPORTS:

1. Solicitor's Report

MR. CAMPBELL: Under -- down to Reports. Anything from our Solicitor other than what you talked to him about?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Nothing additional.

2. Engineer's Report

MR. CAMPBELL: Engineer's Report, Chris.

MR. KOGELNIK: Okay. As I stated, I'm gonna have a meeting June 5 to discuss water, sewer and roadway needs for TJX with Dale, and probably there's gonna be more people involved. I imagine Bruce might be involved in that one too. The air release valve vault project, that's gonna start construction here any day now I told Council last night. So we'll alert the department heads of when this is exactly gonna start so they can plan accordingly. My biggest concern about Salt Springs Road would be

Village of Lordstown Board of Trustees of Public Affairs

May 22,

18

emergency vehicles and the school busses, et cetera. This project will only take about three or four days to probably build once they get started. But they're gonna have to have a maintenance and traffic control plan that they can share with the Village staff.

MR. SULLIVAN: Now what's that?

MR. KOGELNIK: This is the valve vault project on Salt Springs Road. They are going to be installing on two locations on Salt Springs Road. It's basically gonna be a structure, a vault, that's gonna be positioned over the dual force main in two spots.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Is that gonna close the road or just restrict it to one lane?

MR. KOGELNIK: Hopefully it's only gonna be restricting it to one lane. Highland Avenue sanitary sewer, I reported last night that I'm staying in contact with Gary Newbrough. In fact, I was looking in my voicemail; I think I did get one from him while I was in this meeting. So there on Highland Avenue we want him to provide the Village and the BPA with an agreement to connect to the county's interceptor sewer rather than having the pump station. Our project has been on hold ever since we -- I conceived that idea. I think actually the Mayor had conceived that idea. It will be a huge plus if we can get that agreement and proceed in that fashion.

MR. SULLIVAN: Have they come back with my kind of --

MR. KOGELNIK: No. That's why I'm staying in contact with Gary. I know he'll come through.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Mike, isn't that the reason that the east side sewer was brought back to the Village to maintain is because the county -- they wouldn't act?

MR. SULLIVAN: To go through that interceptor and we would have done the end of Highland and Tait. But then the county came back and said they wanted \$1,700 per house and like a dollar a thousand or whatever, and we were thinking it should be like a quarter, just to -- you know, because all we would be doing is running through their substation.

MR. KOGELNIK: Okay. The water system model and water planning. This project right now is really a coordination matter between the Board of Public Affairs and the Utilities Committee about what's who's paying for what. Now like I said --

MR. CAMPBELL: I know there's disagreements on how both sides see it.

MR. KOGELNIK: Exactly. I've stated my opinion, but I don't want my opinion to drive who pays for that because that's not my job.

MR. SULLIVAN: That's not my job, man.

MR. PLATT: I'll voice my opinion again. It is for planning purposes and the BPA -- we aren't involved in planning, we're involved in maintenance.

MR. SULLIVAN: Once it's done they turn it over it us.

MR. PLATT: I mean, it's unfortunate that it got

May 22,

18

started here, but that's because we get the phone calls about hey, how much water can you give, you know. And it really should be the planning department, you know --

MR. SULLIVAN: Right.

MR. PLATT: -- providing that information.

MR. KOGELNIK: That's exactly correct. So I think if I could ask anything, Kevin, it would be to have you contact Bob and work that out.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah, wish me luck.

MR. KOGELNIK: I will, I do. But I honestly think that Bruce has something that is significantly important and I have stated it a number of times. The Village Council needs to embrace planning, comprehensive planning. That's where this is really where that should be born out of. The BPA picks up the nuts and bolts of all the water and sewer problems in town, and it's up to them sometimes or they feel obligated to disseminate them to the various departments. And I can't say that enough. I have been promoting better comprehensive planning for the better part of a year-and-a-half now for the Village. All right. With the planning aspect there was the realization of the measurement of pressure near the Mayor's house. Did you get any more data back from the neighbor's house to the Mayor?

MR. PLATT: I got some data back from the neighbor's house. And his comment was gee, my problem's with low pressure, not high pressure. And the data that we got back showed 70 to 80 psi --

MR. KOGELNIK: Okay.

MR. PLATT: -- at his house. However, when I tried to convert it to the Excel sheet something contaminated the information and I lost it all. So I have to go back and re-data log there. I was on vacation last week and haven't been able to get back over to reset the logger.

MR. KOGELNIK: So by next meeting we should have your analysis. Because right now, from our perspective, at the Mayor's house we feel that that was probably an internal problem with respect to his water tank. I can give you an overview as to why that happened, that might take 10 minutes. But right now all I'll say simply is it looked like the issue that happened in the Mayor's house was inside his basement.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. I'm good with that.

MR. KOGELNIK: BPA meter manhole, that's tied to the TJX thing there. And then sewer/water rules and regulations, yeah I heard what you said about the sewer and how much time that might take. I'll do whatever I can to try and have that to you over the next -- by the next month's meeting there. But in regards to available time for the Village, we're working with several asset management plans right now, and we're realizing that the administrative component and financial components are gonna take a lot of time for some municipalities. You might have a leg up on some municipalities, you very well might. But it's -

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I think with what we can prove it in

May 22,

18

our system. But everything that you learned from previous years about asset management is knowing that there's X-amount of meters and this many valves and this many hydrants, and this is what it cost today and this is what it's gonna cost to replace it. None of that is gathered. He is gathering the stuff and mapping it. I think he's getting the guts for what is our system. But taking all that information and putting it into worksheets, I honestly don't now how this will ever be done by October 1.

MR. KOGELNIK: Can I give you an overview of what we're doing for another community?

MR. CAMPBELL: Sure.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Please. We're just gonna change the header and turn it in as our own.

MR. KOGELNIK: Gees, I must have left that in the 11 -- it's an 11 by 17 spreadsheet. It takes all your assets on the left-hand side of the spreadsheet and it carries over the date that it was installed, the size of the element, what its projected life span is gonna be, it's criticality to failure, how much that asset would cost to be replaced, how much it would cost to be repaired.

MR. CAMPBELL: Sounds like a scary sheet.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Very scary.

MR. KOGELNIK: Actually when you get into plugging it all in it kind of populates itself. But in essence, that spreadsheet is the basis of everything. Now why I'm saying the financial and managerial part is so daunting is because some people might not have all of that at their fingertips to put it together in paragraph form. I think you kind of say it one time is that these asset management plans might turn out it be like the lead/copper mapping effort that we did a few years ago, about a year ago, and the Ohio E.P.A. does not have all of their requirements of what goes in the box on paper yet. And so I'm really -- I wanted to see what the heck they're gonna get on October 1, 2018. I think that they're gonna get a hodge-podge of stuff.

MR. CAMPBELL: What else could they get.

MR. KOGELNIK: But you know, we can make fun of them until the cows come home, and in the end the asset management plan -- I mean, if somebody has a very good operating asset management plan they're probably a healthy system. They're on top of it.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah.

MR. KOGELNIK: So that's all I have to report.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Any questions for the Engineer?

MR. SULLIVAN: The E.P.A. -- is the state E.P.A. the same as just the -- a subsidiary of the federal E.P.A., or is it two separate --

MR. KOGELNIK: The state E.P.A. basically works under the guidance of the U.S. E.P.A.

MR. SULLIVAN: So they would answer to --

MR. KOGELNIK: Yeah. Yep.

MR. SULLIVAN: Okay.

May 22,

18

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. Anything else?

MR. KOGELNIK: No.

3. Utility Committee Report

MR. CAMPBELL: Utilities Committee Report. Anything from Utilities Committee?

MR. DIETZ: Not that I know of.

MR. RADTKA: I have two things. Going back to what Chris touched on, I think it would be wise to get a hold of the Utility Committee and Council as a whole and have a work session with the BPA and talk about this model for future planning and growth and whatnot. I think it should be -- it should be, you know, a combined effort by, you know, everyone, just not, you know, of three on the Utility Committee because there's three more and the Mayor, and we might want to bring in Kelly from the zoning office or some other people.

MR. CAMPBELL: I think it's more appropriate to at least get everybody on the same page on the it's yours, it's mine kind of thing.

MR. SULLIVAN: I think if we just go to the Utility Committee we're gonna be going nowhere.

MR. RADTKA: We're gonna kick the can around some more. But if we could sit down as a whole group and see what everyone's thoughts are for future growth --

MR. KOGELNIK: I appreciate that idea. I offered for Don Reider for me to do a presentation to Council, but I think it should be to all the committees and departments.

MR. RADTKA: I agree.

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. I think that's a good idea.

MR. RADTKA: Second thing I have real quick. I spoke to the Mayor this morning. His hope is -- it's not concrete yet, but his hope is to get some representatives from the county at the next Council meeting. So I would encourage the BPA to attend it. That's gonna come and speak on the whole thing that Tom touched on with the possible sewer increase rates --

MR. KOGELNIK: Good.

MR. RADTKA: -- from the county. So we might possibly have eight people from the county and Warren there to throw all this to Lordstown of what's going on in the newspaper with, you know, there's rumors of 278 percent to 400 percent increase to our residents. So it might be, you know, a good idea for all of the BPA to attend.

MR. CAMPBELL: What was the date on that?

MR. RADTKA: This will be -- he's saying the next Council meeting. That would be what?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: June 4.

MR. RADTKA: June 4.

MR. KOGELNIK: I won't be here and neither will Dale Grimm, yeah.

MR. RADTKA: Nothing is concrete.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I would like to know for sure if you

May 22,

18

get that confirmed because if I have to move things -- I think that's very important that -- I think a lot can come to light in the meeting if you're gonna get them both in the same room.

MR. RADTKA: But we're trying to talk to Arno this morning. Is it -- are these mandated improvements they want to make or is that the wish list of improvements they want to make, who's paying for it, certain customers. The newspaper is pretty vague and you can't always believe what you read in the paper.

MR. CAMPBELL: And misleading.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: And confused.

MR. DIETZ: I don't read the newspaper, I watch T.V.

MR. RADTKA: So if I do hear something, I will pass it along.

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. Very good.

MR. DIETZ: That's your Utility report.

4. Clerk's Report

MR. CAMPBELL: Clerk's report, Cindy.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Truthfully we covered it. I already mentioned that the payroll -- the first payroll to charge the sewer was done. You covered the additional water tap on Woodridge Way. I will be on vacation next Thursday and Friday. And I have nothing else for you.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Any questions for our Clerk? Thank you, Cindy.

5. Superintendent's Report

MR. CAMPBELL: All right Bruce, Superintendent's Report.

MR. PLATT: Okay. First I have a prospective employee for summer seasonal employment I'd like approved. His name is Samuel Wells. He lives over on Palmyra Road, a graduate on his way to Y.S.U. so he'll work for us for the summer. So if you gentlemen would approve him then I can -- Debbie will get him going and then Council can make the approval. He himself can start to work June 4, but he'll get approved on June 4 at the Council meeting and then probably begin on the 5th then.

MR. SULLIVAN: I'll make a motion to approve Mr. Wells as the part-time --

MR. CAMPBELL: Summer help. I'll second. All in favor.

(All respond aye.)

MR. CAMPBELL: All opposed?

(No response.)

MR. CAMPBELL: Very good. Put him to work. Thanks, Bruce.

MR. PLATT: Second thing I have is there's a conference July 10 in Columbus. It's an AWWA conference, and there's a couple of items on there I would like permission to have Darrin attend. Number one, it's -- one thing it's safe handling and disposal of asbestos cement water main. That's what we've got, so that's one thing, one item that I'm like I've never

May 22,

18

seen this before so I'd like to get in on that. And then another thing in there is asset management plans, a reasonable approach. And that's put on by Bob McNutt and Jacob Whitehead from CT consultants, you know, down there, so. I would like permission to have Darrin attend that conference here. The cost is \$100, you know, for that, for the conference.

MR. SULLIVAN: I'll make a motion to send him to the conference.

MR. DIETZ: I'll second it.

MR. CAMPBELL: All in favor?

(All respond aye.)

MR. CAMPBELL: All opposed?

(No response.)

MR. CAMPBELL: Educate him.

MR. PLATT: We advertised in the Tribune for a full-time person. We received eight responses to it, only eight, you know. Out of the eight there's a couple that we could, but I don't think that's a very big pool to draw from.

MR. CAMPBELL: Well it depends, I guess, did you look through them to see what the caliber of resumes we got?

MR. PLATT: I brought the resumes with me. I was gonna suggest make we could have an executive session for about 15 minutes.

MR. CAMPBELL: After, okay --

MR. PLATT: After and reconvene there and we'll discuss them.

MR. SULLIVAN: So you were thinking that you would rather see a larger pool?

MR. CAMPBELL: Oh, yeah.

MR. PLATT: Yes, I would like to because there is really a -- you know, if everything was staying the same I'd say yeah, we could pick from that. But we lost our most valuable employee because of money, you know. He would have stayed if he would have made more money. So we need to -- you know, a lot of things to address, you know, so. You got LEC update. We had the filling I told you at the last meeting. There was a water hammer there. So on Friday there's gonna be a telephone conference to discuss what can be done to alleviate that situation. Then the other thing that I have is I think we should get our Venturi meter calibrated down at MVSD, you know, just to -- we haven't had it calibrated -- we had it calibrated, I think, two years ago. But now that we're gonna be coming into a situation where I think we should get it calibrated --

MR. CAMPBELL: I think it's money well spent.

MR. PLATT: I think last time it was \$800.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yes, it ain't cheap.

MR. PLATT: He was in the area at the time because comes out of Massachusetts. So I'll try to do the same thing.

MR. CAMPBELL: I'm all for it. Are you gentlemen?

MR. DIETZ: Yeah.

MR. PLATT: Hopefully we can get him to come.

MR. CAMPBELL: The volume of water we're hoping to be

May 22,

18

selling.

MR. DIETZ: Was it off last time, or don't you know?

MR. PLATT: He said it was off a little bit. It was registering a little high. And so since we're seeing like, you know, we need to get that number down, you know. Hopefully come in and say you know, it's right on the money, you know. But we don't know that for sure, so. And that's pretty much all I have. So if we could, you know, at the end here just an executive session for personnel.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. All right. Any questions for Bruce?

MR. DIETZ: No.

MEMBER COMMENTS:

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Member Comments. Any additional member comments at this point?

MR. SULLIVAN: We're at the end.

QUARTERLY APPROVAL OF BILLING ADJUSTMENTS:

MR. CAMPBELL: Quarterly Approval of Billing Adjustments. I looked at those upstairs. Did you bring them down or you didn't bring them down?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: No.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Moving on for the next one. Before we adjourn, I'll make a motion that we go to executive session to cover the personnel aspect of it. I would like to -- are you on Finance?

MR. RADTKA: Finance and Utilities.

MR. CAMPBELL: If you got time, I would like to invite you to stay to talk about some of the -- I'd like to invite Ron from Finance with Bruce and the BPA Board. And at the end we'll adjourn without any action, no action will be taken in the executive session. So I'll give you the adjournment time. All right.

MR. SULLIVAN: Second.

MR. CAMPBELL: She has to read for vote.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: So Kevin, you made the motion?

MR. CAMPBELL: Uh-huh.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Then second Sullivan. Kevin Campbell.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yes.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Thomas Dietz.

MR. DIETZ: Yes.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Mike Sullivan.

MR. SULLIVAN: Yes.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Okay.

MR. CAMPBELL: I'll send you when we adjourn.

(End of meeting on the record at 6:00.)

Village of Lordstown Board of Trustees of Public Affairs

May 22,

18

C E R T I F I C A T E

STATE OF OHIO)
TRUMBULL COUNTY) SS.

I, Deborah I. Lavelle, a Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio, duly commissioned and qualified, do hereby certify that the foregoing meeting before the Board of Public Affairs was written by me in the presence of the Members and transcribed by me using computer-aided transcription according to the stenotype notes taken at the time the said meeting took place.

I do further certify that I am not a relative, counsel or attorney of any Member, or otherwise interested in the event of this action.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal of office at Niles, Ohio on this 14th day of June, 2018.

DEBORAH I. LAVELLE, Notary Public
My Commission expires 4/16/2022

Submitted:

Approved By:

Cinthia Slusarczyk, clerk

Kevin Campbell, President