

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
MEETING OF THE LORDSTOWN VILLAGE BOARD OF PUBLIC AFFAIRS
1455 Salt Springs Road, Lordstown, Ohio
July 25, 2019
4:00 p.m. to 6:15 p.m.

IN ATTENDANCE: Mr. Kevin Campbell, President
Mr. Michael Sullivan, Vice-President
Mr. Thomas Dietz, Board Member
Mr. Darren Biggs, Supt. of Utilities
Ms. Cinthia Slusarczyk, Clerk
Mr. Christopher Kogelnik, Engineer

ALSO PRESENT: Mr. Mark Hernon, TJX
Mr. Mark Cavicchi, TJX
Mayor Arno Hill
Ms. Karen Jones, Village Council
Mr. Jeff Smith, CT Consultants

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS taken before me, DEBORAH LAVELLE, RPR, a court reporter and Notary Public within and for the State of Ohio on this 25th of July, 2019.

MR CAMPBELL: I'd like to go ahead and call the meeting to order. Would you please stand with me for the Lord's Prayer and Pledge of Allegiance.

ROLL CALL:

MR. CAMPBELL: Cindy, roll call please.
MS. SLUSARCZYK: Kevin Campbell.
MR. CAMPBELL: Here.
MS. SLUSARCZYK: Thomas Dietz.
MR. DIETZ: Here.
MS. SLUSARCZYK: Michael Sullivan.
MR. SULLIVAN: Yes.
MS. SLUSARCZYK: Darren Biggs.
MR. BIGGS: Yes.
MS. SLUSARCZYK: Cinthia Slusarczyk, present. Chris Kogelnik.
MR. KOGELNIK: Present.

APPROVAL AND CORRECTION OF MINUTES:

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. Thank you. We've got some minutes on the agenda for approval. There's April 25, May 21 and June 13 of this year.

MR. SULLIVAN: I'll make a motion to accept April 25.

MR. CAMPBELL: I'll second that motion. All in favor?

(All respond aye.)

MR. CAMPBELL: All opposed?

(No response.)

MR. CAMPBELL: I'll make a motion for the May 21.

MR. DIETZ: I'll second.

MR. CAMPBELL: All in favor?

(All respond aye.)

MR. CAMPBELL: All opposed?

(No response.)

MR. SULLIVAN: Make a motion for June 13.

MR. CAMPBELL: I'll second that. All in favor?

(All respond aye.)

MR. CAMPBELL: All opposed?

(No response.)

MR. CAMPBELL: That takes care of our minutes. Thanks everybody for their effort in that.

CORRESPONDENCE:

MR. CAMPBELL: Cindy, any correspondence?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: No, sir.

PUBLIC COMMENTS:

MR. CAMPBELL: Public Comments. We have two sections in our minutes, and we ask that you keep it within five minutes. But you do have to stand up and state your name and address so it can be on for the record. Any public comments at this point?

TOM LABRAE: My name's Tom Labrake. 4008 Radtka in the Imperial Communities. I was on vacation last month and I ended up having blood clots in my lungs, in the hospital. My wife had a stroke, she was in another hospital. We get out of the hospital, I get a phone call from Imperial, my water tank had been busted and had been running for a week or more. So I'm just trying to get a break on my water bill. I've got my last statements, and on the 5/19 I paid \$71.25, 2/19 I paid \$61.98, 11/18 I paid \$61.98, and a year ago 5/18 I paid \$73.30. And if I could get a break at least on the sewer, you know, I never go over, I pay a minimum sewer \$45. So if I can get some kind of a break on that.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah. We do understand and sympathize with that situation. Sorry for your tough season there. Unfortunately, the Village pays for the water, so there's nothing we much can do on the water side. But since the sewer didn't go down and get processed I believe there's some room there we can consider not charging from the sewer side on that volume of water. Do you have the --

TOM LABRAE: I don't have the bill for it. I know it's coming.

MR. CAMPBELL: We appreciate you taking the initiative coming to us before so you know it's coming.

MR. SULLIVAN: So the minimum on the sewer we couldn't do?

MR. CAMPBELL: Well, we can do it too, yeah, if that's --

TOM LABRAE: It's always been the minimum, I've never paid over \$45.

MR. CAMPBELL: And just trying to think. Because nothing else, that would make sense to me. Does it make sense from the billing side that we'd only charge them for the minimum on the sewer since that was his previous trend? You will have to pay the water bill, whatever that's going to end up being.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: The only consideration we had there, we bill Imperial for I&I; and if it reached into the ground, it will still be on Imperial.

MR. CAMPBELL: That's a hard unknown, it really is. That's a hard unknown. We're trying to sympathize. I believe the gentleman has been diligent on paying your bills on time over the years, and I don't think we have any issues of questions on that side. He's here ahead of time, which shows initiative. I, as one person on the Board, would be okay.

MR. SULLIVAN: I would make a motion to accept the minimum for the sewer.

MR. CAMPBELL: For that period that corresponds to his

water tank break. Are you making a motion for that.

MR. SULLIVAN: Yes.

MR. CAMPBELL: I'll second that. All in favor?

(All respond aye.)

MR. CAMPBELL: All opposed?

(No response.)

MR. DIETZ: Are we gonna make arrangements for that he can make payments on that water bill?

MR. CAMPBELL: Well, that's up to that gentleman. He would have to come to us requesting that. He doesn't have the bill yet anyway.

TOM LABRAE: I just paid it 5/19. So it goes three months.

MR. CAMPBELL: He knows it's coming, so --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: We're reading the cycle this week.

MR. CAMPBELL: Any other correspondence?

KATHY HOOD: Hi, I'm Kathy Hood. For about 25 years we've had the white duplex here on Salt Springs. And when anybody rents it, the water goes in their name. The last time this guy rented they wouldn't let me put it in his name, it has to stay in my name, which is ridiculous. I don't know why that's changed. I have to take the bill to him, and then when I get the sewer bill I have to take that to him. Why can't it go in his name anymore.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah, we made some adjustments to our billing.

KATHY HOOD: Yeah, though -- what good reason is there --

MR. CAMPBELL: And we've looked at other surrounding villages and townships that handle the situation similar to what we switched to. It was basically holding accountable for people that own it and switching it over into the people that own the properties, they handle that aspect. We have some situations in the Village that are already that way, and it worked for them.

KATHY HOOD: I have some that are that way too. But this particular one isn't. And why after 25 years I have to drive down there and take him his water bill and drive down there and take him his sewer bill for absolutely no reason --

MR. CAMPBELL: Well, there is reasons from our side of it. And I appreciate you coming to us and expressing that. Would you give me a chance to review with the Board members and we can give you a proper response back rather than trying to hash it out right now?

KATHY HOOD: I mean, and that -- once it goes in the renter's name, then the sewer bill goes in their name too, which is just much better. I mean, like where my beauty shop was we keep that in because there's two different places.

MR. CAMPBELL: Are you connected to Trumbull County sewer then?

KATHY HOOD: Yes. My house is not, but these rentals are.

MR. CAMPBELL: I see what you're saying, okay.

KATHY HOOD: And it's not like anybody ever stiffed you on it, right? I mean, we've always paid.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: What was the address?

KATHY HOOD: And I've always told you if they don't, call me because I'm gonna pay it. I don't want the water turned off.

MR. CAMPBELL: We have some problems with aspect of privacy on that.

KATHY HOOD: I can call Trumbull County sewer and say how much did they pay.

MR. CAMPBELL: It's not the same for the water as the

sewer.

KATHY HOOD: That's my complaint. So talk it over. I don't need to hear the rest of the meeting then, I can go home.

MR. CAMPBELL: We appreciate your time and expressing that. We'll look at some avenues that we weren't aware of that you brought up. Thank you. Any other public comments at this point? All right. Moving on.

NEW BUSINESS:

MR. CAMPBELL: New Business. We had no new items for that.

OLD BUSINESS:

1. TJX Water and Sanitary Sewer

MR. CAMPBELL: Old Business. We have TJX water and sewer. I believe we have some gentlemen here to discuss that topic again; is that correct?

MR. HERNON: Yes.

MR. CAMPBELL: I have been on vacation and I just came back yesterday. I try to keep up as much as I can, but we all know how that is when you're on vacation. I did notice and was notified you gentlemen would be coming today. I know some things have been delayed in trying to figure out. I think parts of it's been a miscommunication or misunderstanding after we went through at least two or three meetings and topics on that. So I guess that the point, from what I recall from our last meeting, is we went through in depth with you, you brought very good questions to the table, I think we provided answers back and details back to the topic of what we were looking to do for water and sewer connections at that last meeting. So I guess at this point I'm gonna kind of turn it over to your side and see --

MR. SULLIVAN: Just add to that there was after that meeting -- and then we had a meeting with Council. And after that meeting and there was an e-mail saying that the two meetings where we came to an agreement to move forward with them that that's where we were. Now that is changed.

MR. HERNON: Mark HERNON, TJX companies. I'm not sure I understand the question, if that's a question.

MR. CAMPBELL: I think what part of the confusion is, while I was on vacation I think there was a meeting that was held with Council and the other two BPA members.

MR. SULLIVAN: Tom and I.

MR. CAMPBELL: And I believe a letter was sent out with, I think, Chris' help formulating some of the letter that went out to TJX with the details, what we were expecting, where things were laid out.

MR. HERNON: Correct.

MR. CAMPBELL: And I guess our impression was it was understood from the TJX side and it was okay from the TJX side, and we were gonna move forward with that plan. And now when I come back today I'm kind of seeing emails and messages that you're still uncomfortable with moving forward with that. That's why I was wanting you guys to present your side.

MR. HERNON: The company is still in a belief that the meter manhole project was a situation that was here at the Village and a problem before TJX even showed up. So to -- again, you were trying to fix it, you identified it, you specked it out, you even bid it out, and you bid it out more than once. And again, without regard to TJX. So I guess where we're coming from is you're telling us pay for the whole project because -- and we're not disagreeing that we might be

adding to the problem, but we're not the cause of the entire problem. So -- and that's where we're having a problem with it. And it was bid and it was -- and in your letter you said look, project was bid, it was -- \$67,500 was the lowest bid. And that bid was, you know, from a competent contractor who could do it, who met all the requirements. And that was CT's letter to the Village saying here it is, this is the result of the bid. And in your letter you explained well, at the time, you know, we had the G.M. situation happening and we decided to not go forward with that project. That's fine. Again, it's still -- it doesn't involve us, you decided not to go forward with the project because of the eminent G.M. situation. So now ongoing we have tried a couple different situations. You know, we looked at two or three different situations in the BPA that talked about how we're going to run the sewer. And at your request we even -- you asked CT and us to go back and get you a feasibility study of different ways to do it, which we agree with. And we all sat there and looked at -- in the meeting sat there and looked at it and said you know what, it might be our preferred way, but it also seems to be the most -- the smartest way to do it because none of the Lordstown's sewers are left on our property. And if there was ever an issue in the future, whether it's a leak or a pipe burst or something that had to be fixed, that you would have to come onto our property, fix it and then fix our property. So we -- I thought we were in agreement that that was the best situation for all of us.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yes.

MR. HERNON: So again, we're not causing the meter manhole situation, but we're definitely adding to it. I agree with that 100 percent.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay.

MR. HERNON: So the long and short of it is we just kind of think it's unfair, number one, to ask us to pay for an entire project that was pre-existing before we showed up. And the -- you know, the latest bill too, which it went from in November a \$67,000 project to now over \$100,000 project. And there's \$30,000 in fees for oversight on the meter manhole project, which we don't understand. You know, it's a spot check, it's not like somebody else is doing the work. You know, the contractor's doing the work. So that's an issue for us as well. So -- and that said, we just want to try to resolve that. Again, we firmly believe the project was planned and it was there before us. But we would be happy to help with it, we just don't feel that all of it should be thrown onto us just --

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay.

MR. SULLIVAN: Well, I'd just like to address some of the -- you're leaving out that the sewer should run to Lordstown. It's our call where it goes, it's Lordstown's call. CT and you determined that you'd rather have it go to the County. And I said in the meeting I didn't quite understand that because it costs you more per month for sewer service with the County than it does with the Village. But that's the way you wanted to go. So we would lose probably a thousand a billing not having it run to Lordstown. If it did run to Lordstown, you would have had to put in a grinder pump to get it over, and that was the main reason that I felt that you wanted to go to the County. Also you wanted us to take the -- and in the emails I seen it's not -- it only shows four houses, it doesn't show 12, because it doesn't show the ones on Pritchard Ohltown. But for us to take those we would have to meter what's coming in to the park. We don't have to meter it without that. And that -- I think it was you that in two meetings

agreed with that, that yeah, we -- you would need a master meter. So I viewed it as a win-win on both sides. We were getting the master meter and you were getting to go to the County, you were getting rid of those 13 houses that you didn't want -- which if you remember, Bruce was pretty adamant that he wasn't really in favor of taking because of the low amount of flow could cause a lot of problems and the system's, I don't know, what, 20 years old. So it could be a high maintenance cost too. But just to get the project going we agreed to that twice in two different meetings, and then the third meeting we get somebody else saying well, how about justifying that. And I thought we did that the last meeting. That seemed to be everybody again was in agreement, and then we got summoned at the Council meeting and we did all the same things. And then after that is when I seen the agreement. And it's not on us that it went from \$67,000 to \$100,000. When we talked to you I thought it was 67. I think that was the last bid that we got, isn't that correct, or the best?

MR. CAMPBELL: I'll let Chris speak to that.

MR. KOGELNIK: The answer to that, that is the construction cost that we received. It does not include any of the other inspection or construction oversight.

MR. SULLIVAN: But if we go back to the minutes and it was asked, not by you --

MR. KOGELNIK: Jeff.

MR. SULLIVAN: -- who was gonna pay for each one of these, and the answer was the project. Now we want to re-negotiate. I really don't understand that.

MR. HERNON: The answer was the project would pay for that in the BPA meeting?

MR. SULLIVAN: Yes, yes. You'll find that in the minutes. And it's not once, I think it's three different times at two different meetings.

MR. HERNON: I know at one of those meetings it was suggested that TJX should pay for the meter manhole project. It was never agreed to.

MR. SULLIVAN: Well when you say the project's gonna pay for it, shame on me, I think that's an agreement.

MR. HERNON: I don't have the minutes, I don't know what I said. I don't believe that -- and I think I was the only one that was here that would say well, the project would pay for that. Or if it was -- and if that is the case or if that is the agreement, then the project would agree.

MR. SULLIVAN: Do you remember that?

MR. SMITH: That was -- I guess it was stated, but I don't know if he was able to make that commitment at the time. But again --

MR. SULLIVAN: But you asked who's gonna pay for it and I asked who's gonna pay for it, and both answers were the project.

MR. SMITH: Right. That's -- the intent was that the project would pay for TJX. And if I may -- Jeff Smith with CT consultants. The Village of Lordstown -- the letter that went from the Village of Lordstown's BPA to the Lordstown Planning and Zoning, it actually provided an outline of the cost for the meter manhole project. That was construction was \$67,500. We also added a construction contingency of 10 percent, which is \$6,750. Advertisement is \$1,500, and then the bidding administration was \$5,000. And then added in with construction observation, spot inspections, billed hourly at \$95 an hour, was estimated to be \$15,000. And that was an estimated budgetary amount. That's where the total of \$95,750 came from. And then in

addition to the meter manhole there was Village sanitary sewer installation and roadway construction -- reconstruction, observation, spot inspections; and those too were also to be billed hourly at \$95 an hour with, again, a budgetary amount of \$15,000. Just to establish the fees. And I believe that the understanding at the time -- and again, the minutes would hold truer than to what my statement is today -- but from what I understand is that both of these would be attributable to the project because of it being part of the project and the fact that the County sewer were now gonna be re-diverted to Lordstown's sewer system. So you would have the cost of the meter manhole plus the installation and inspections with respect to the sewer installation and roadway reconstruction.

MR. HERNON: I do believe -- so when we did talk about the project would bear the cost it was for that second portion of it, which was the sanitary sewer part of it, not the meter manhole part of it. But that is included, we're doing that, we're paying for it, we're actually doing that.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah. I guess from this Board's point of view, from our side of it, it's always been I guess presented to us -- it was never until actually right now that no, we're not comfortable paying with that. That's always been maybe rumor mill, nothing written back in a letter. We go through all these hoops and explain things again, and you guys collect information and go back; and we felt like we were always being led to we're okay, we're going forward. You did that several times. And now we come to the nitty-gritty and we're on that road to like let's get this thing done, and now all of a sudden it's a problem. So that's where we're a little frustrated and a little confused because if -- you know, initially when we mapped out we're going through all these options, oh here's the one, we'll sacrifice this, you get this, you get that. We put it together and thought we got a good solution. Nothing was hid. We thought that was the project.

MR. HERNON: We all agreed. The smartest thing to do was the one which I think we chose.

MR. CAMPBELL: I think it worked for everybody. So I think part of the muddiness of the situation is that there a history with that part of that puzzle, that part of it. So I guess from the Village's side yes, we had sent it out it bid twice. We never did it because of finances. If we really, really needed it we would have had to have had it done. So it was one of those things where it was a nice thing for the situation, it would help clean up some things. But did we have to have it, no. We didn't have to have it until we started running other homes into that lift station and metering it. And at that point we're bringing in flow that doesn't pertain to Imperial park, and we gotta have something now to discern between the two flows.

MR. HERNON: But the flow's so low that you can't even meter that portion of the flow, or you didn't want that flow either.

MR. CAMPBELL: Well from what I understand from the engineering side of it, a meter works better if there's significant flow or constant flow. When you have a lot less flow, the meter isn't as accurate. So we're saying if we put the meter where it works best, which is the flow from Imperial's side, we can subtract the total from that and we have the difference that we can say is coming from those homes that are connected. It was -- that decision was more based on an engineering decision of it will function better that way. So I know it's the muddiness of the situation.

MR. HERNON: Right. And at the last meeting too we said

look, we agreed we're partners in this project, right, the whole project, not just the meter manhole or that thing. And again, we don't mind being a part of the meter manhole fix, being, you know, what do you want. We don't believe that putting that whole bill on us because we're the big company with the deep pockets is fair to us.

MR. CAMPBELL: So what are you presenting again? You're kind of talking around an issue.

MR. HERNON: In November the price was \$67,000, and we would be happy to pay \$30,000 of that. But it was rebid in March after we owned -- or after we had already owned the project, and now it's -- well, that's where the estimate -- the estimate came back in March. The estimate came March 20.

MR. SULLIVAN: But the construction was \$67,000. But you said in that meeting when it was talked about the advertising and the other situations the project will pay for it.

MR. HERNON: I don't know anything about advertising. What does it cost to advertise in the Trumbull -- I have no idea.

MR. DIETZ: Kevin, let's just simplify the whole thing. Let's go back to them coming into Lordstown, putting a sewer line all the way around their property to take it to Trumbull County, to Ellsworth Bailey and Pritchard Ohltown, down below their property. They can run a sewer line all the way around their property.

MR. SULLIVAN: Back into Lordstown.

MR. DIETZ: And back into Trumbull County and pump theirs to Lordstown, to the trailer park. If that's the way it's gonna settle the argument, let's just kill it and go right there. We're trying to save you time and money by not having to run a sewer line all the way around your property. And if it breaks, then the County's gotta come in and dig your property up, lose production and everything else. We're trying to word it to make it easy for you. But if you want to be like this, we can say okay, you're going to our sewer line, to the east side, now you gotta put in a grinder pump to get across Bailey Road. I'm tired of the arguments and stuff. You've been to the meetings, you don't have the authority to agree to anything, do you?

MR. HERNON: I got the authority to offer --

MR. DIETZ: Say no offer.

MR. HERNON: Offer \$30,000.

MR. CAMPBELL: Well, I believe -- you were offering the lowest bid that we had for that project, which in fairness, none of us have a time machine to go back in time to do that project at that point in time. All we have is what it's gonna cost to do it now. So if you're really wanting to head that way and want the Board to consider something serious, I think you should at least come to the point where it's gonna cost to get that done today.

MR. HERNON: \$100,000?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: To some of that, the breakdown -- do you have a copy of the breakdown? Some of that is for the inspection.

MR. HERNON: \$15,000 for inspections.

MR. KOGELNIK: Again, that's for a budget.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: That's not for the meter manhole, that's for the sewer. There's the breakdown. Thank you, Jeff.

MR. CAMPBELL: Advertisement of \$15,00, bidding at \$5,000, construction at \$67,500, construction contingencies -- that's for things that go wrong -- 10 percent, so that's \$6,750. Construction observation, spot inspections billed hourly, that's \$15,000. And that comes to a total of \$95,750. And then there is -- what's this \$15,000, roadway construction? Well, that's gonna be part of the sewer, so --

so that's where -- do you have a copy of that to reference?

MR. HERNON: Uh-huh, yes.

MR. CAMPBELL: So you're offering \$30,000 because you feel that's part of justifying from where you're coming from. Is that what you're coming to the Board with?

MR. HERNON: We're offering to help for the project that was already in place that you chose not to go forward with.

MR. CAMPBELL: We chose not to do it.

MR. HERNON: Economically because of General Motors, not because of TJX.

MR. SULLIVAN: General Motors really didn't have anything to do with TJX. It was decided before that that we weren't going to move forward because we didn't need it. But if we got that outside flow coming in, we do need it. And I don't know that even if it went to the Lordstown sewer that we wouldn't need it. You know, if you were pumping like Tom said from around the plant over into with the grinder pump, wouldn't they still need a master meter, or would the flow be so limited?

MR. KOGELNIK: For a grinder pump option?

MR. SULLIVAN: Yeah, if they were coming to Lordstown sewer rather than Trumbull County.

MR. KOGELNIK: Well, it depends on how you billed them. But if a meter needed placed on a grinder pump, that's simple to do that.

MR. SULLIVAN: But you know, like I said, the Village doing that is gonna lose \$1,000 a billing.

MR. HERNON: Every three months. A thousand dollars every three months. When you say a billing --

MR. SULLIVAN: Correct, correct. So what would be a proper figure.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I think the difference in their bill was \$1,000. I don't remember what their daily flow was. But I think it was -- it cost them more to go to Trumbull County, and I think we said the cost was \$1,000 more. I think we test calculated the billing for their sewer is much greater than \$1,000 every three months.

MR. KOGELNIK: I think their project peak waste water was around 50,000 gallons per day peak.

MR. SULLIVAN: So the Lordstown sewer is losing that. So actually in a matter of time, whether it's five years or seven years or whatever, you get all the money back or we lose the money. I don't necessarily say you get it back.

MR. HERNON: But you do pick up some, right, from the 13 houses? Not 50,000 gallons, I have no idea if that's --

MR. CAMPBELL: Nowhere near that.

MR. HERNON: That's a ton. I don't know, it can't be -- I don't know if anybody even knows that.

MR. KOGELNIK: What's your question?

MR. HERNON: We're running bathrooms, that's it.

MR. KOGELNIK: And you have a PTI application you submitted that has a flow projected of 10 to 15 gallons per day per person. So that's where you get that from. You have to --

MR. HERNON: I can't imagine. It's probably similar to the high school, right?

MR. KOGELNIK: I'm telling you 50,000 gallons per day is the peak, and you might not even hit that. Okay.

MR. HERNON: Right, right.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Arno.

MR. HERNON: So -- but that is also the same amount of water that we're buying as well, right?

MR. KOGELNIK: Potentially, if it's all domestic, yes. And if you don't have any process inside, then it would be virtually the same. It should be ideally.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yes, Arno.

MAYOR HILL: One things you guys are assuming is that Lordstown rates are gonna stay where they're at. If you've been following what the City of Warren's been doing, and one thing which former Councilman Mansell found was the sewer rates are set by Council. Well Council has been inactive on that for years for whatever reason. And I made a comment one time well, you know, you ought to look at what the County's charging because or you guys can make recommendations. But I haven't seen anything come forward as far as that, but you're saying they're gonna be cheaper to go for us. You may not be if Warren goes and tries to implement what they're trying to do and we're trying to fight right now.

MR. CAMPBELL: Correct.

MAYOR HILL: Warren came to an agreement with Trumbull County which we don't agree was correct, and then they're trying to back-bill us. We don't feel that's correct, and we're gonna be taking them on. We're waiting to hear about their rate study. But if you're saying well, Lordstown sewer is cheaper, that may or may not be a true statement.

MR. CAMPBELL: At the time we did that analysis, we didn't know any of that stuff you just stated, and that's where things were just as a comparison.

MAYOR HILL: You're saying it's cheaper to go into Lordstown. That may not be the fact.

MR. CAMPBELL: Correct. For the rate.

MAYOR HILL: And I don't know if anybody here knows that.

MR. SULLIVAN: Well, at the time it was \$2 a thousand cheaper.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay.

MAYOR HILL: If you saw what the County is trying to go to, if you saw it in the paper, it's ridiculous.

MR. SULLIVAN: And if Warren comes with that the, County's gonna come with it on the other side. Don't you think?

MAYOR HILL: Well, they're already hitting the west side, or trying to. And we're challenging them on that. And they're saying a me-too clause, which the Board did pass here and it went to Council. And they had a fast meeting before a Council meeting, and they automatically passed that. And they're saying that because of that me-too clause we're gonna have to pay, we being the east side are gonna have to pay the same thing what they're paying on the west side, depending on how much markup we go with. So you know, we don't know where we're at. But you know, if you have keep saying it's gonna be cheaper to go into Lordstown sewers, it may or may not be.

MR. CAMPBELL: I already said that is a correct statement. That was all based on what we knew before. The only thing we can actually compare is flow, it's gonna be a different flow.

MR. SULLIVAN: Right, exactly. And we would lose that amount of flow no matter what.

MR. KOGELNIK: I would say this. I have recommended that the rates for Lordstown to go up to Council and to the BPA. Nobody has taken action. You need to. I wouldn't depend on the \$45 per quarter flat fee perpetually from here on out. It's dangerous.

MR. CAMPBELL: Well, let's get back on this topic because that is another thing for another time. So where are we at with this? Are you making an offer for the Board to consider or what's the Board -

MR. SULLIVAN: I agree with what Tom said. Either we go with what we agreed to or we go back to Lordstown and let them come into Lordstown.

MR. HERNON: Kevin, also in your letter you stated it is a request that TJX pay for that. It's not mandatory or that we had to, you're just requesting that we pay for it. And we're saying we would be happy to help.

MR. SULLIVAN: It's also a request from TJX to go to Trumbull County.

MR. HERNON: But that request also proved to be the right one for the Village and for TJX.

MR. SULLIVAN: Not necessarily for the Village. I don't agree with that. The Village is gonna lose if you go to Trumbull County.

MR. HERNON: \$1,000 every three months.

MR. SULLIVAN: Or that's a very minimum amount.

MR. HERNON: But it was also said in that same meeting that to have Village sewer lines on the TJX property would create a potential maintenance issue for you down the road as well, which could cost you more money.

MR. KOGELNIK: It was -- actually it was more detrimental to you. It was our opinion, we thought, to you. Because we knew the value of your timely deliveries, and to upset that would be detrimental.

MR. CAMPBELL: That's why -- and I think we both agreed at the time not to have lines running on your property that doesn't pertain to your business wasn't a good decision. So we said yeah, we can understand that; so how can we avoid that. So that's when we started looking at putting these pieces together.

MR. HERNON: Right.

MR. CAMPBELL: And I mean, I guess I would ask you to consider this. If you didn't know the history of the master meter for Imperial park, correct, just pretend we could just delete it from our memories --

MR. HERNON: Why would we do that, because it's very important here. There's a history here.

MR. SULLIVAN: How is it important when we decided not to do it.

MR. HERNON: Now you're asking us to fix it, pay for the cost to fix it.

MR. CAMPBELL: Obviously we were willing to move forward without it if we canceled it two times and put it out to bid, it's hard for us to justify. We have a system we're using and billing on it. How is it justified to us to spend that kind of money on that issue unless we start putting someone else's flow that into that system. And we said we're gonna accommodate some needs and requirements for TJX. It's gonna go into that, we already know the situation in here how are we gonna make that work. And that's how it came back.

MR. HERNON: It's not reading, right, it's just estimated?

MR. CAMPBELL: There's questions on how accurate it is. That's why we're using run times.

MR. HERNON: Can we fix the meter?

MR. SULLIVAN: You're saying about the \$67,000? But in the

meeting we had with Council, the clerk said we don't have \$30,000 to make an agreement where we can pay \$30,000. The BPA doesn't have the money to do that.

MR. DIETZ: And furthermore, the lines that would be going down the south side of their property would be Trumbull County lines, they would not be Lordstown lines. The only Lordstown line would be from the grinder pump across Bailey to our pumping station. That's the only lines that would be -- that Lordstown would be responsible for. All the other ones you would have to run to pick up those 11, 12, 13 houses would belong to Trumbull County, they wouldn't be Lordstown. So the County would have to come in and repair them.

MR. HERNON: It's hard for me to visualize that. You know the system, you've lived with it all your life.

MR. DIETZ: Your road right-of-way is going up the south end of the plant, okay.

MR. HERNON: Okay.

MR. DIETZ: Where that road right-of-way is, that's where you're gonna bring that gas line down too. All right.

MR. HERNON: Uh-huh.

MR. DIETZ: South end of the property would be -- would have a County sewer line coming down on your property to connect in below at the west end of the complex, your complex, because I live to the west of your plant about four houses. All right. So you're gonna have to bring that County sewer that takes care of Pritchard Ohltown and Bailey Road down the south side of your property, connect it in down above my house somewhere, the same place your sewer would connect if you tied into the County. The only Lordstown line would be -- if we make you go to Lordstown would be on the east side of your property where the grinder pump would be that would go across Bailey Road.

MR. HERNON: Into right towards the --

MR. DIETZ: Right into our pump station. Is that 4, Mike?

MR. KOGELNIK: Yes.

MR. DIETZ: Pump Station 4. The trailer park is on the east side of you across Bailey Road, that's the east. South would be if you come out of where the construction is and you made a right turn, that's south. I know you can figure out where west and east is from that.

MR. HERNON: Yep.

MR. DIETZ: So to solve the whole problem of the meter pit, let's just say you tie in to us. Now you gotta invest in a grinder pump to pump it up over the hill and put a sewer line in from Bailey Road down around your complex into the County sewer at the same -- at the house you bought that they are gonna sell, Prices' house.

MR. SULLIVAN: Prices' house.

MR. DIETZ: So you're gonna have a sewer line coming all the way down there around your problem that you will have to pay for.

MR. SULLIVAN: For those 13 houses.

MR. DIETZ: Now, which is gonna end up being cheaper for you guys?

MR. HERNON: I don't know. I mean, in theory I get where you're going with that. You know, pay the \$97,000 or we get more expensive for you. Right?

MR. DIETZ: Get more expensive or Trumbull County has gotta come in and dig your line up. What's gonna happen to your production?

MR. KOGELNIK: Well, at this point in time it's probably more in regards to time because it's not so much putting a little grinder pump in and a force main. It's the resubmission of a Permit to

Install application to Ohio E.P.A. and waiting more time in order to get it built.

MR. DIETZ: I'm done.

MR. CAMPBELL: I guess we're stuck at this point. Part of our situation is that yes, the funds on the Village side are low, right. I mean, there's been days in the past where the Village is doing much better than we are, and it would be easier to absorb some of that. We just did a rate increase for the water because the plant's not running. I mean -- and to be honest, we're just bleeding. I mean, it really is. There's a lot of aspects that the Village is bleeding. And hopefully some other stuff comes back around to pick us back up. And it may not be a lot of money to this project, but right now to us we're -- you know, the sewer department is shut down, we don't have any money.

MR. HERNON: So the meter manhole is essential, it's gotta happen regardless or not?

MR. SULLIVAN: Yes.

MR. HERNON: If we go there, it's essential it has to happen? There's no way around it? You can't reconfigure your calculations to add 13 homes in it, it's essential? There's no work and --

MR. KOGELNIK: None that are practical. None that are practical.

MAYOR HILL: Now you are assuming there's a lot of I&I on those 13 houses, but you don't know; am I correct?

MR. CAMPBELL: We don't know if there's any or a lot.

MR. KOGELNIK: I wouldn't assume that. I don't know.

MAYOR HILL: Well, but you're saying the purpose of this pit is so you can separate the flow from those 13 houses from Imperial. But then if there's no inflow, you don't know that.

MR. KOGELNIK: No, that's not what I'm saying.

MAYOR HILL: That's what I'm saying. I'm saying you want this meter pit so you can tell how much inflow would be coming in from either Imperial and/or the 13 houses.

MR. KOGELNIK: Just Imperial.

MR. SULLIVAN: Not just from the 13.

MR. CAMPBELL: Let Chris speak.

MR. KOGELNIK: The entire purpose of the meter manhole is to only meter what is coming from Imperial. That's it, that's all that we care about. We do not know, we cannot speculate how much I&I is coming in from that Trumbull County owned sanitary sewer. For all we know it's a tight line. We don't know that. There's no records, no history, no nothing. It's a PVC line that was built about 20 years ago, and the construction around that time was pretty good. That's all that we got to go on. But we have to distinguish -- we have to be able to measure the flow from Imperial.

MAYOR HILL: And not the flow from the 13 houses?

MR. KOGELNIK: If there was a practical way -- and the one gentleman at the meeting -- I don't think you were there yesterday, Mark -- who was he?

MR. CAVICCHI: That was Rick from --

MR. KOGELNIK: Even asked the pointed question, why can't we install the meter on the Trumbull County line and this would satisfy our project's needs, TJX. And that was a logical question to ask. The problem with that is that most -- almost all area velocity meters do not work well and perform well under a low flow regime, okay. It has to be a quarter to a third pipe at a minimum. So that's why we would

have already given you that option, seriously. We've thought about it.

MAYOR HILL: But that would serve no purpose.

MR. KOGELNIK: No, it would serve their purpose. It would serve their purpose. It wouldn't serve the BPA's purpose, they would still have a project in a conundrum with the meter from Imperial. But it would serve their purpose.

MR. CAMPBELL: Their purpose, meaning their -- that funds would go to something directly affected from the TJX project and relocating the line our direction? It all fits together in a puzzle, correct? Is that why --

MR. HERNON: I wasn't there. I don't know.

MR. CAMPBELL: But, no -- yeah, you understand the logic behind it, the question and how it fits better to TJX and from the practical side of it working for the Village side is we have to have it on the Imperial flow. It will work, it will do what it's supposed to. The other side, I'm not sure it will work.

MR. KOGELNIK: Where are we at right now? Where are we at right now? Where are we at in this discussion? Are we halting it, or are we gonna continue it on.

MR. CAMPBELL: Mark?

MR. CAVICCHI: Mark Cavicchi from TJX. Mark already mentioned, I'm not gonna repeat, the letter states it's a request. The letter states it's the most practical decision that was made. We're not gonna go with the grinder pump; I mean, it's just not gonna happen. We're here to try to resolve this, because to be honest with you -- and we brought it up to the Mayor and to CT yesterday -- there's a lot of work that still needs to be done even if this discussion went down two more weeks to be discussed, negotiated, whatever the words you want to use. Because we're being held up because -- we're not getting paperwork signed by the Village because we're being held hostage because of this \$95,000 or \$110,000. And yesterday we went through each item. We were held up on the water, I think we might have resolved that yesterday. But we do have the E.P.A. approval now, thanks for some help from the Mayor and others. And so we need to start the water line that we've been held up for months on because of this \$95,000. We have to go bore across the street, which we talked to CT in detail yesterday again about permits. I brought up to the Village and Cindy multiple times. And I don't know all the details, and this is my first BPA meeting, but we just want to get across the street. We're not gonna hook anything up, there's no reason to hook anything up right now; but we need to keep some of the tasks in hand moving or it will delay this project more than we already have, more than the delay we've already had due to all the rain. We're trying to keep this moving. At the end of the day we have how much we're gonna spend. And what Mark's bringing to the table is if there is a number, what would the number be. Is it, in your opinion, that it's 110 or whatever it is and there's no negotiation? If that's the case, then let's hear it, let's put it on the record because I don't have the minutes either. And when I read that letter, that letter does not tell me on the record or in the minutes that TJX agreed that Mark -- or the three Marks, if you will -- ever said anything about yes we'll give you a check. That's a lot of money no matter who's paying for it, whether it's a corporation or the Village. Nobody is questioning that. And the next question I would ask is if that payment did go through, whatever the is, when will the meter work commence? Is it gonna be a year from now, six months from now, or is the money gonna be used somewhere else, sit in an escrow account, or is it gonna happen right

away so that when all the work, and it's across the street, I can bid out interest maybe, there's some savings there? No matter what number we agreed to, whether it's 30, 50, 110, whatever it is, if that number goes down then our responsibility should go down. I'm just throwing everything out. But none of us in this room wants this project to be delayed more than it already has. Because of the process we went through, which is the right one, then would -- now because of the weather we're doing a lot more earth work. We're doing the great job. This is the only hurdle right now. I think we resolved the water. Maybe there's a third bid in the future where bids are in, they can give you a cheaper price because they can continue down when they are doing the sanitary, they can do the water and meter and manhole right away. If TJX pays any amount of money, me personally I would expected that work to be done immediately, not a year from now, two years from now, three years from now. If it needs to be done, then let's get it done. Because in that letter that I've read it's all about G.M., the money which I mentioned, which believe me, I get that. And it was never if it's part of meeting minutes and TJX agreed. It's never been mentioned, in that letter it says it's a request and the decision that was made was the most practical.

MR. CAMPBELL: I thank you for that, and it does help bring some clarity to the situation.

MR. SULLIVAN: So what would be the best, the money goes into escrow?

MR. CAVICCHI: I'm not a financial --

MR. CAMPBELL: Are you talking with Bill on the funding side of it before to know --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: He -- going back, he didn't want to open up another account for something like that. But I do -- I don't want to speak for the Board, but I always thought if that money came forth, the project would be put out to bid, we have already passed legislation for the project to begin and we passed it in March for CT to begin that meter manhole project and get it bed. But they don't have the authorization from us. The legislation -- the resolution has passed. So it would happen as soon as it was bid out. And like you said, the contractor could bid the project. I mean --

MR. KOGELNIK: The typical schedule for a public works project like that would a bidding period of 30 days, and then you have to get the contract from the contractor, his targets, that's another 15 days. So 45 to 50 days would be the start. But this meter manhole project is all about a delivery. It's not about excavation, there's a small hole that has to be made. So you have to -- the contractor will have to order the meter manhole, and that will take 8 to 12 weeks I think was the delivery time. And then the contractor off-loads it, excavates, insert it, hooks it all up. That's the way it goes. So the contract periods from that initial contract date to the end, it could be four or five months. But it's not a contractor that's taxed with labor that whole time. He's probably gonna be on the job for two weeks, if that.

MR. CAVICCHI: And if we can, I get that because I'm in the business that things take time. I'm not saying you gotta be out there the next day. So I understand the bidding and obviously, that's doing business.

MR. CAMPBELL: And yes, I don't think anybody here was -- if you agree to move forward with as things were and you wrote a check for whatever we had bid for things, if it can get done cheaper, great.

MR. SULLIVAN: Fantastic.

MR. CAMPBELL: We would have given you money back because it didn't cost as much. Our engineering, you know how that works, it's all estimates. So that's the only thing we've got to work with right now because it's not done. I hope that helps the situation.

MR. KOGELNIK: One thing we can tell you is that since we've bid it, since we've also had a similar meter manhole installed at the LEC plant, we have confident prices from the public contractors that we can gauge price on for construction. Now for the other aspects of it, that's all budget. If the contractor does well and there's no hand-holding that has to go on, our life is easy and the cost is minimal.

MR. CAVICCHI: So putting that again aside, what about getting certain due diligence performed and executed so that we can at least get those items done until this financial end of it is resolved so that when a check goes out, whatever the amount is, that we already have all the other pieces of it done. Because again, the contractor is waiting. And as Chris and Jeff suggested, in between boring across the street, cutting some pavement, so that we can get the work commenced and at least get it to the other side of the road -- not to make it sound like a joke or anything -- get that end of it done. And I know Dale said yesterday the boring end of it is -- there's no permits required, it's just the saw cutting of the pavement which is, again, quick. There's paperwork to be signed that the E.P.A. needs to get signed off and they won't do it because there's -- I forget what's it called, the request or survey. I forget what has to be signed off on.

MR. SMITH: Right, it's a certification questionnaire.

MR. CAVICCHI: But why is that being held up by the Village and I don't know who -- but why is that being held up for \$95,000 when that has nothing to do with the meter manhole? That's just to get the people to get the E.P.A., which is one of the -- one of the items that need to be checked off to get the permit to put the sanitary in.

MAYOR HILL: Who's supposed to sign that off, Jeff?

MR. SMITH: It's by the official signature. It shall be the receiving city, county sewer district, sanitary engineer or equivalent that would be reviewing the sanitary sewer plans for the sewer extension.

MAYOR HILL: So that's you?

MR. SMITH: So the Village would be.

MR. KOGELNIK: If authorized, Mayor. If authorized.

MAYOR HILL: And who has to authorize them? Because we're trying to get this thing off of dead center and get this resolved tonight, gentlemen. That's all I'm asking. Who has to authorize them to sign that?

MR. KOGELNIK: You got -- you're represented here by a Board of Public Affairs and you, the mayor, and you got a Council person here. Tell me.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: The bottom of the permit or the questionnaire has a note, and it says the official signing of this form shall be the receiving city/county district engineer or equivalent that are reviewing the sanitary plans for the sewer extension. If that position does not exist, official shall be the superintendent or chief operator of the receiving treatment plant. And Jeff said that Darren and Warren would have to sign off on that. But this is committing that we're -- that project as designed -- that project as designed was for Trumbull County, it's going to Trumbull County sewer. We can't promise or give away Trumbull County sewer for them. It's not our sewer yet. Until that agreement is reached or drafted -- I asked Paul to draft the

agreement for that sewer to be given to Lordstown, but no one's gonna sign that agreement. That's why I reached out to Mark multiple times and talked to Mr. Cavicchi multiple times. That agreement has to be put in place before we can let them touch the sewer system. We can't tell them tear apart Trumbull County sewer.

MAYOR HILL: The agreement for the meter manhole or sewer lines --

MR. KOGELNIK: Time-out here please. We have a question, Jeff. The permit to install application, did it have a design of the re-routed sanitary at the intersection of Hallock Young and Ellsworth Bailey to go down Hallock Young towards number four?

MR. SMITH: Yes.

MR. KOGELNIK: The answer is both communities have to because --

MR. HERNON: Sign that?

MR. KOGELNIK: Yes. Because both -- both communities share in the responsibility of conveyance of waste water through the designed sanitary sewer. Generally it's not a hard thing to get signed. Your point is let's get it signed so that we can move on.

MAYOR HILL: Correct.

MR. KOGELNIK: My point is that -- and I made it yet at the job site trailer, it should not be an issue for the project developer, whatever project it may be, to get these documents signed, and it should not be made a condition of approving them to move forward with the construction of this project. This document must be signed before all of that happens, and then you can make your decision to hold up construction or not approve a meter manhole or whatever. So they -- both communities should have the right to sign this immediately and submit it to Ohio E.P.A.

MR. SULLIVAN: So right now the BPA or Council don't have -

MR. KOGELNIK: The BPA and Council, Darren or I -- I'll sign it. I will sign it. All it is, is a document that states that we technically have reviewed everything there with regard to the application and we don't find an issue with conveyance of the waste water that was designed. I can sign that right now.

MR. SULLIVAN: Darren could too.

MR. KOGELNIK: If Darren would like, he can co-sign with me. But what Cindy is saying is absolutely correct, Trumbull County has to --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: The City of Warren.

MR. CAVICCHI: The City of Warren will sign it, but they were saying they need the Village to sign it first. I agree with what Cindy said about the agreement. Paul Dutton did say that was the agreement sent to the Warren office the other day.

MR. HERNON: The one he sent to us said we agree we'll pay the \$95,000 for the meter manhole. It's not a Village between the County about how the water --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I haven't seen it.

MR. HERNON: That's really more important, isn't it?

MR. CAMPBELL: We noticed that.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I thought it was a total agreement that expressed what the Board's conditions were, the County giving the sewer to Lordstown, Lordstown accepting it, and everybody -- Lordstown, Warren and Trumbull County signing that agreement. And that's where the time delay is. If the Board had it in front of them tonight to sign it and it goes to our Council to sign it, we still got to get it

to the County and the City of Warren and their -- when are their meetings? We can try to express it as fast as we can, but we --

MAYOR HILL: The City of Warren may be able to sign it through their sewer department. You're assuming a lot which may not be true. They may be able to go to the Mayor and the Mayor and the Safety Service Director or the storm or sanitary sewer guy may be able to sign off without having to go to Council approval.

MR. CAMPBELL: That's true. But we have to get it out of here first.

MR. HERNON: That's a completely separate agreement, right, than what Mr. Dutton sent to us?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I don't have it. I can't tell you. I don't know what he sent to you. Unfortunately, I wasn't provided a copy.

MR. CAVICCHI: It was just a letter -- I wish I had a copy. It came when I came out here the other night. And it just basically said that we would pay the, whatever it was, \$95,000 whatever it was; and he talked about some legislation, which I think what we're talking about is the agreement between the two parties. Then -- so again to your point, Cindy, how long is all this gonna take? We've already been delayed so long I'm not even across the street yet, and I've already delayed the contractor three times. And now we're gonna get into September, October, then the winter. So right now what are the chances we're gonna have a delay if the legislation, whatever that is, takes time, we may not be crossing that street until after winter, and then that will put the whole schedule off.

MAYOR HILL: Chris, we're not gonna have a Mexican stand-off with three people pointing to each other with a gun. What's it take to get this signed?

MR. KOGELNIK: I'm reading the document right now. Give me a minute.

MR. SULLIVAN: You know, and that letter that Dutton had, it didn't describe the 13 houses. It just showed the 4 on Bailey, it didn't show the ones on Pritchard Ohltown; and that needs to be added in there.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I think we didn't want it to say 13 houses, we want it to say the flow traveling easterly from Pritchard Ohltown down Ellsworth Bailey.

MR. SULLIVAN: That's right. Because if we get more --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I don't know what he put into the agreement, but we don't --

MR. SULLIVAN: I don't think it said that.

MR. KOGELNIK: I have the paragraph that I think Mark Hernon was speculating from. There's a "whereas" paragraph and it states, "Whereas, TJX is in the process of gaining approval from Ohio E.P.A. for their sewer permit to install application, and the BPA requires that TJX pay the Village for the cost of implementing the meter manhole project to separately meter the inflow from Imperial." Yes, TJX is in the process of gaining that approval from Ohio E.P.A. In order for them to do that, they have to get this document signed. So that has to happen, all right. It doesn't have any bearing on the agreement for what we're talking about them paying for the meter manhole project. If they had this document signed, the paragraph would still read the same. They are still in the process of gaining that approval from Ohio E.P.A.

MAYOR HILL: But they need it signed.

MR. KOGELNIK: Yes.

MR. CAMPBELL: Would Warren sign this agreement with us, not having an agreement of what we're gonna do in place? Do you think they would sign this?

MR. KOGELNIK: I think that they would. I believe that they have to. It's just a technical document. It's basically just certifying that the design is correct and that they are willing to accept the waste water from that site, which there's not an issue there because it's just domestic waste.

MAYOR HILL: And do we have to go to Council for that? I don't know whether we do or not.

MR. KOGELNIK: Normally these documents are not signed by a governing body, they are signed by individuals that oversee the design aspects or the construction aspects like Darren, the Superintendent of Utilities. They never, almost never have to go towards a governing body.

MAYOR HILL: So theoretically, you could have it done tomorrow.

MR. KOGELNIK: It could be done today if there was -- well we can't, it's 5:09. But I'm just saying that it's something that should be done immediately.

MAYOR HILL: Darren, are you willing to sign it?

MR. BIGGS: As long as I have approval from these guys, absolutely.

MAYOR HILL: Are you guys willing to give him approval?

MR. CAMPBELL: I don't see a reason with the explanation --

MAYOR HILL: Get that signed. If you gotta go to Warren tomorrow and talk to somebody and get this thing faxed and e-mailed and sent it on its way. We're burning sunlight, guys.

MR. SULLIVAN: That's fine. And I'm sure the whole Board's willing and wants to move it forward. But the only thing that I'd like to see then is an agreement on the master meter so we don't have to come back here next month or the month after to say well, we didn't exactly do that.

MR. KOGELNIK: Well, they can get that signed today, okay. And you can still not agree with what they are -- their proposal is.

MR. DIETZ: Just get what they have to have signed so they can get the project going on. The rain's held them up enough.

MR. SULLIVAN: Okay.

MR. DIETZ: Chris said he would sign it along with Darren.

MR. KOGELNIK: I'll sign it, yes. And let me see the document please.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: It is -- it's the questionnaire.

MR. CAVICCHI: So in closing -- I have a flight, I gotta get back to Boston -- at the end of the day, the BPA stands it's 100 percent, the 110 plus whatever it is; and if there's another bid and it goes down, then it gets adjusted. Or is it -- you know, is it 110, that's it; or is there a number you would be comfortable with if, in fact, we came back to you --

MR. CAMPBELL: The bid was based on, like the engineer said, the history we had of doing the exact same project. So that's what we're basing everything off of. So I mean, I feel as accurate as we can in this situation providing you a number of what we believe it's gonna cost to do that.

MR. KOGELNIK: To make this simple -- I just reread this. I can sign this alone with Gary Newbrough from Trumbull County Sanitary Engineers.

MAYOR HILL: He'll sign it.

MR. KOGELNIK: Darren does not need to sign this.

MAYOR HILL: And Warren doesn't have to sign it because all they do is accept it. They don't have anything to do with the routing of the sewer, they only take the acceptance.

MR. SMITH: They still have to sign it as the treatment plant. And he's got a copy.

MR. KOGELNIK: This form leaves a little bit to be decided with respect to signatories, okay. Normally you would want exactly what Cindy had elaborated there, you would want the complete train of signatory lines all the way to the treatment plant, especially if this was a large sewer flow or a hazardous chemical you were putting in the waste water. But in this particular case, the way I read this is the official signing of this form shall be the receiving city/county sewer district sanitary engineer for Lordstown -- that could be me -- or equivalent that would be reviewing the sanitary sewer plans for the sewer extension. So Gary Newbrough and/or Randy Smith from Trumbull County can sign for the County. And that would suffice.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. So is that what's gonna happen with it then?

MR. KOGELNIK: I can do that right now.

MR. CAMPBELL: And then what about getting it with the other side?

MR. KOGELNIK: Well we'll -- I guess as the Village Engineer, we could take this to Randy Smith's office and have either Gary Newbrough or himself sign it.

MR. CAMPBELL: Then who's gonna get it to -- who wants it over there?

MR. CAVICCHI: I'll work with Chris and Jeff on it.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Very good. We got this to bed for now.

MAYOR HILL: You gotta get Warren too.

MR. SMITH: Warren has their own form. It's a separate sheet that they were holding off signing until this got resolved.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: They need to know it was signed.

MAYOR HILL: Then it gets sent to the E.P.A.?

MR. KOGELNIK: Yes.

MAYOR HILL: And after that, E.P.A. has to bless it.

MR. CAVICCHI: Check that off, Jeff.

MR. SMITH: And I wouldn't anticipate time at the E.P.A. If this is the last document they are waiting for, more than likely they'll expedite it out the door because they've already reviewed it.

MR. SULLIVAN: And do we have an agreement?

MR. CAMPBELL: That's what he --

MR. CAVICCHI: What I would like to know from the BPA so we can go back to our management and say look, this is what this is, if you want the 100 percent unless they rebid it and goes lower they'll accept another number from us, but they're not accepting the 30 we mentioned earlier. I would just like to hear the final ruling on your end.

MR. CAMPBELL: Gentlemen, how do you feel?

MR. SULLIVAN: Just like he said.

MR. CAMPBELL: Here's the question. Are we willing to accept less or do we want it all? I mean, that's basically --

MR. SULLIVAN: Well no, I thought the less was if it comes in bid less.

MR. DIETZ: That's what he said.

MR. CAMPBELL: We're not gonna charge them any more than it

cost us, period. Let's take that off the table because that's just not gonna happen. What he's asking is, are we willing to accept less than what it takes to get that.

MR. SULLIVAN: No, we don't have the money.

MR. DIETZ: No.

MR. CAMPBELL: There's your answer. The three- person board, there's your answer.

MR. CAVICCHI: Now we can at least go back to our management --

MR. HERNON: And it is an essential project that has to happen, period?

MR. CAMPBELL: Correct.

MR. HERNON: And it's gonna happen in short time?

MR. CAMPBELL: It will move as fast as we can move things as long as the almighty dollar is in place.

MR. CAVICCHI: And the water, since we have E.P.A., is not gonna be held hostage, whatever permits we need?

MR. SULLIVAN: That's no problem.

MAYOR HILL: They have the E.P.A. permits, they got them today, to install for the water line. So what's this Board want? What do you need, Darren, since they got the permits installed? Do you need anything, or can they start rocking and rolling?

MR. BIGGS: Depends what they want to do. Were you planning on tapping in?

MR. CAVICCHI: Like we said yesterday, and I'll work with Jeff tomorrow, for the inspections --

MR. BIGGS: You just want to lay the line down where the road is gonna go? I have no issue with that at all. I just need an inspection to make sure it was in properly, that's all I need for that.

MR. CAVICCHI: Absolutely.

MR. KOGELNIK: Mark, can I ask a question? Did you get any conditions with that permit, or was it just a straight permit?

MR. CAVICCHI: I have to read it. I just got it.

MR. KOGELNIK: That I can be sure there were no special conditions. He should have a copy of that.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I'd like to ask for clarification. That if TJX goes back and gets the approval to pay the \$110,000, if you provide a letter to me for the Board, they can expedite or move forward with the agreement that's necessary that Solicitor Dutton has out there. They can get a meeting scheduled and get it passed and get it moving once we receive that letter, and that way it's not sitting here until the August meeting.

MR. CAMPBELL: We'll do a special meeting is what she's basically saying.

MR. CAVICCHI: Fair enough.

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. Well, anything else on that at this time?

MR. DIETZ: No. I just want to ask him a question after the meeting.

MR. CAMPBELL: Well, one's leaving on a flight, so good luck.

MR. DIETZ: I'll get on the wing.

MR. SULLIVAN: And understand, we're thrilled that you're coming to Lordstown and we're not trying to hold up the project, we just don't have the resources.

MR. CAVICCHI: We understand, we understand. Thank you.

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. We gotta get going. Is there

anything else? He's gotta go.

MR. DIETZ: The only question I got, you're routing the water line up to Bailey Road. Is there any chance of it being routed over to Pleasant Valley to do away with a dead-end line?

MR. CAVICCHI: I'll look to CT. I don't know enough.

MR. DIETZ: They're the ones, their contractors are routing it. We have a dead-end water line on Pleasant Valley, right?

MR. KOGELNIK: I know what you're talking about. No, that -- I mean --

MR. DIETZ: All right. Never mind.

MR. KOGELNIK: If we had a magic wand that we could replumb Lordstown, we would do that. But they are holding the permit in their hand.

MR. CAMPBELL: Time is money.

MR. KOGELNIK: That means time and money right there, so --

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay.

MR. DIETZ: That's fine, never mind.

MR. KOGELNIK: It's a good question for the BPA later on.

MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you, gentlemen. We do appreciate your time. Hope you don't miss your flight. All right. I think Arno went upstairs to get you the stuff.

MR. HERNON: Thank you very much.

(At this time, Mark Hernon and Mark Cavicchi leave the meeting).

2. New Vehicle

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. We'll go on to our next bullet item, New Vehicle. Any updates on vehicles?

MR. BIGGS: Yeah. I went to Sarchione. They got a Ford and Chevy. We went to look at both of them. Went to Spitzer, Greenwood and Diane Sauers. And basically I got nothing from Greenwood, wouldn't even get back to me. Spitzer wouldn't even get back to me. Diane Sauer, they came in with everything that we needed at \$43,780. Sarchione, their Chevy came in at \$37,204 and the Sarchione Ford came in at \$38,860. And that's everything done and everything we need. That's what I found out.

MR. CAMPBELL: The first two were Chevys, the last one was Ford.

MR. SULLIVAN: 37 -- would.

MR. BIGGS: \$37,204 was the Sarchione Chevy.

MR. DIETZ: What was the Ford?

MR. SULLIVAN: 38. 38 what on the Ford.

MR. BIGGS: \$38,860.

MR. SULLIVAN: And you would go with the lowest or --

MR. BIGGS: I would think so. And actually, it seemed that the Chevy was better because it was a crew-cab with that and it was set up if we wanted to put a plow on it. Other than that, everything else was the same; but the Chevy already had those two extras on it.

MR. CAMPBELL: Did it have the bed ready to go?

MR. BIGGS: No, they'll have to do that. But this is the price that I got with it on there.

MR. CAMPBELL: I mean, that truck would be a truck ready to roll? We don't have to go buy a bed?

MR. BIGGS: Yes.

MR. SULLIVAN: And we have the money to do that.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Yes.

MR. CAMPBELL: Bill had set aside some aspect, I thought it

was 50. Okay. I couldn't remember if it was 45 or 50, yeah.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: That is the total in the account, but --

MR. SULLIVAN: Do you want to go with a motion for that?

MR. CAMPBELL: Is it ready to roll then? I mean, like if we passed it we could.

MR. BIGGS: We could get it rolling if you passed it.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: You will have to get a resolution for that amount.

MR. CAMPBELL: Does it need the state bidding aspect?

MR. BIGGS: That's already -- they put in a state bid with these prices. And it was \$10,600 off was the Chevy and \$9,100 was the Ford. That's what we were coming in at. And that's why it was a pretty good discount, that's why we're coming in so low.

MR. CAMPBELL: I was gonna say for what that is --

MR. BIGGS: It's because of that.

MR. CAMPBELL: And I know we've just -- our audience has left -- that we did consider -- I want to make sure this is stated for the minutes, that we did consider used vehicles, repairs, other aspects of what we have. Trucks are our major tool of running the Water and Sewer Department, so used is a very inefficient, if even remotely possible, solution because of trying to find a used truck that's worth owning that fits that need almost doesn't exist. So the aspects of searching for a used aspect of it -- and by the time you look at the funds for that -- you know, you're close to a new price of a truck anyway. I'm trying to make sure that it's stated that the Board did their due diligence. And yes, we're spending money, but it's on one of our key tools that we need to run the department.

(At this time, Mayor Hill and Jeff Smith leave the meeting).

MR. CAMPBELL: And the most efficient aspect of spending that money is to purchase a new truck. So anything you guys want to add to that? No? Any other questions?

MR. SULLIVAN: Make a motion to accept the \$37,204 from Sarchione Chevy.

MR. DIETZ: For a new vehicle complete out the door.

MR. CAMPBELL: All in favor?

(All respond aye.)

MR. CAMPBELL: All opposed?

(No response.)

MR. BIGGS: I have a question on that. Now that was an estimate. What if it comes in a little higher and that's all you guys authorized?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: The motion should be to pursue the purchase of and then they can get the sheet from the dealership, and the resolution will actually spell out the exact dollar and cents.

MR. CAMPBELL: All right.

MR. SULLIVAN: Make a resolution to buy the new truck from Sarchione.

MR. CAMPBELL: To pursue the purchase of.

MR. BIGGS: Then I can get the exact number.

MR. CAMPBELL: And we can approve that final number. Very good. All right. Did you correct that? It's on the minutes, forget it.

3. Utility Department Building

MR. CAMPBELL: Utility Department Building. Have you got a

new building?

MR. BIGGS: I haven't found one. No. No one gave me anything yet. Chris might have something with that. He's gonna try to look for grants and that kind of stuff.

MR. CAMPBELL: I was hoping you were gonna keep it on the radar.

MR. KOGELNIK: I mentioned it to Darren and Bill Blank. Bill has been crystal clear with me, unless it's 100 percent grant they are just not gonna did it. No, there is no 100 percent grant out there. I was wondering about the existing building down there, the Peterson building, and whether or not the BPA has ever considered that building. And I don't know what it would take to get in there. But still we talked about the one over there at Kunkle. Any building is gonna require some work, any existing building; and I don't know what the BPA's, you know, flavor is for doing, you know, some of that.

MR. SULLIVAN: What about the old Platt building?

MR. KOGELNIK: I don't know. But any of these older buildings or existing buildings --

MR. SULLIVAN: It's not really an older building.

MR. KOGELNIK: But still, wouldn't they require some level of work to get them in there?

MR. CAMPBELL: It would take money is what's doing. It going to take money to get in the door.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: That one's huge too.

MR. CAMPBELL: So Chris --

MR. KOGELNIK: So you know, we're gonna keep looking for you.

MR. CAMPBELL: You know our financial situation. And if something works out, I guess keep us abreast of hey, this is out there. If we can make it, let's see what we can do. If we cannot, at least be aware of the situation.

MR. KOGELNIK: And on the funding side, believe me we're out there looking for that for this particular specific need for the Village. A lot of people in my company know that.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay.

MR. SULLIVAN: We have a nice union hall we'll sell you.

MR. BIGGS: Not at that price, Mike.

4. I&I

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. Next item, I&I. What do we have for I&I?

MR. BIGGS: I&I. That flow meter in Wyland Estates, I wouldn't be able to give you any numbers until next time on that. Smoke testing did not happen, we've been way too busy. And if you remember, I said we needed to clean that out. Warren did -- finally was able to come out. The rains were killing them.

MR. CAMPBELL: I bet.

MR. BIGGS: They finally came out. They cleaned it best they could, flushed it out. It wasn't good enough. The truck that they brought, it just wasn't enough. Then we had to wait, they got trucks down, they finally came out again and cleaned it out. This was last week.

MR. SULLIVAN: That was for Wyland -- that's at Wyland?

MR. BIGGS: No. That's where the flow meter is going, Mike. But we're just measuring that stuff. The smoke test, again we were down at on Hallock Young where it drops to gravity heading towards Goldner, that's the area we wanted. It was too bad. Warren helped us

out with that. So now all we need is the time and we can hit that area.

MR. CAMPBELL: Getting it cleaned up is a first good step.

MR. BIGGS: It needed it. It was terrible as it was. We couldn't get anywhere near it.

MR. SULLIVAN: So with the summer help, is that gonna help you move forward?

MR. BIGGS: I would like to think so because again, Mike, no, they can't do anything with that. Being in the summer we have other things going on. I know that's not the answer you want. But I can't throw a kid out there to smoke test around that stuff and just expect him to know what's going on. Everything is gonna be new to us. If I could, I would love to. I would be love to find two experienced summer help that will work for \$10 an hour 24 hours and have them smoke test. That would be great. You find me something like that, we'll be good. Flagging that kind of stuff, yeah, we can use them for that. We'll be okay. Last night we were all here until after 9:00. Now I mean, we got so much going on right now. I got three things going on with the E.P.A. that I have got to get done. I'm trying. I haven't put in a 40 hour week yet, you know what I mean. I'm trying, Mike, but --

MR. SULLIVAN: I appreciate that.

MR. BIGGS: Well, thanks. I really am. But I just don't know where I can fit it in, I don't.

MR. SULLIVAN: Something else I've been worrying about when we're talking about this. How are we with the other two employees that didn't pass the test? Have they retained it?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: They still have time.

MR. BIGGS: It's scheduled, yes. They -- I don't know how exactly it works, there's only certain dates that they offer it at certain places. They have been studying up. And I think the date -- don't quote me on this, but I think it's gonna be the 8th. I think.

MR. SULLIVAN: Of August?

MR. BIGGS: I believe so. I think they had to register for that, and I think they got their confirmation and whatever.

MR. SULLIVAN: And if they don't pass they'll still --

MR. BIGGS: They still have time. They still have time. I think maybe four months on the one, and the other has a lot -- a lot of time. So we're still okay. I think they're gonna be all right.

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. That's all I can do at this point. Thank you for the update.

MR. BIGGS: Sure.

5. Sanitary Sewer Rate Review

MR. CAMPBELL: Sanitary sewer rate review, which we touched upon with our TJX discussion and Chris brought up also. Thank you for that.

MR. KOGELNIK: Please consider that.

MR. SULLIVAN: Yeah. Good job, Chris.

MR. CAMPBELL: The --

MR. KOGELNIK: Please consider increasing the sewer user fee.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: They have. I have the drafted resolution. It was just held up after the Warren --

MR. CAMPBELL: We were ready to move forward with a recommendation to Council. And then the Warren issue went full force, and we're like why do you want to pass something you don't know as of

yet where it's gonna end up. So --

MR. SULLIVAN: Yeah. If Warren wins, I hate to see what the --

MR. CAMPBELL: Well, I guess the point is there is gonna be a rate increase. What we're doing is kind of just making sure the ducks are in a row, and we're still gonna have -- I think Paul even put it in the e-mail I quickly read was that we need to try to get ahead of this as fast as we can. So what that means, we need to recommend to Council something so they can make a decision on and move forward and get the rate increase. What we don't know is the unknown from the Warren side. It is a great detail, but it is gonna go up. And that's what we put in that -- is that correct -- that resolution?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: It's blank. But yeah, you had meet on it and then send it to Utilities for them --

MR. CAMPBELL: To move forward with. Well, you got it, we got it on the agenda. Let's try and put some thought into that and figures into that and move it on its way.

6. Warren Water

MR. CAMPBELL: Number 6, Warren Water, our last Old Business item. And we had that --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: That's still in regards to TEC, and there's been no --

MR. CAMPBELL: No movement on that.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Not to my knowledge.

PUBLIC COMMENTS:

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Our next set of Public Comments. The public that's left, any comments?

MS. JONES: I would like to make mine under Public Comments because I have another meeting to go to. Karen Jones, 2786 Hallock Young Road. I am -- I just wanted to report that, you know, we're waiting for information from you folks to take to Council for whatever, you know, for that, for the metering manhole, for all of that stuff. We would be happy get it going as soon as you guys get done what you need to do. Get that information to me, I'll call a meeting, and we'll get it on board and try to get it passed and through Council. Now our next meeting is not until I think the 16th of August on Council.

MR. SULLIVAN: So if we get the information before, then we'll do something for a special meeting.

MR. CAMPBELL: Well, yeah. To her point is that an agreement needs to be in place, we'll get it passed by us.

MR. SULLIVAN: By the 16th.

MS. JONES: Yeah, before the 16th so I can have a Utility meeting and then take it to Council. Okay?

MR. CAMPBELL: Yes.

MS. JONES: I know you don't want to do it.

MR. CAMPBELL: Just a point of correction for the minutes. Is it the 19th or the 16th?

MS. JONES: It's the 16th. It's a Monday.

MR. CAMPBELL: The 16th is a Friday.

MS. JONES: It's the 19th, you're right.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: We do have -- the legislation part is prepared. The agreement, everybody has it but the Board if it is, quote, an agreement. I haven't seen what they're talking about. But that's why I pushed them to come tonight is because the agreement needs

passed that stuff has to happen and I didn't want to wait until August.

MS. JONES: Well, for sure. It just pushes it off and makes the time line shorter and shorter, and it' -- they're gonna be into winter before too long anyway maybe.

MR. SULLIVAN: Have we had summer yet?

MS. JONES: I know you don't want to do a sewer rate increase right now. But would you not be better to do an increment raise now, and then once you find out what Warren's gonna do -- because they've been messing with this and playing around with this for two or three months now. When they decide then within two or three months you can -- if you need to, you can do another increment raise. Because if you do a big raise all at once, you know you're gonna have everybody on that side of town screaming at you.

MR. CAMPBELL: It's gonna happen anyway.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: They're screaming about the water rates.

MS. JONES: I know. I know. But I'm thinking an increment raise wouldn't be a bad idea.

MR. CAMPBELL: I'll make that correction.

MR. SULLIVAN: Maybe if we did that with a letter saying there's another within coming --

MS. JONES: Absolutely.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: The benefit here is they can scream at Council.

MS. JONES: And I know that Darren's really between a rock and hard place with his staff right now. And I know that in the past there have been some interns used from different universities to help with different things. I'm wondering if you pursued looking at Y.S.U. at their engineering department, if there's somebody that would want to do some smoke testing or something like that as part of their project for their engineering, or at Kent State Trumbull. You might find somebody that would be willing to help out with something like that.

MR. BIGGS: Karen, I wouldn't be opposed to that. The only issue I may see with it is you're talking an internship who wouldn't be paid to come out and get some hours. How does it work off insurance and workmen's comp? That's the problem we run into with just grabbing anybody.

MS. JONES: Well, I think that when something like that happens -- and you'd have to check with the university -- but I think their insurance covers them.

MR. BIGGS: Okay.

MS. JONES: I'm not positive, you would have to check it out.

MR. SULLIVAN: Do you remember when there was a lay-off in the plant, and we took those people that were on sub and they worked for nothing but they were getting paid their sub pay?

MS. JONES: Right, right. So I don't know whether it will work or not, it's just a suggestion.

MR. CAMPBELL: Just an idea.

MR. BIGGS: Thank you.

MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you, I appreciate it.

MS. JONES: Do you have anything for me?

MR. CAMPBELL: Are you planning any trips away for travel? I mean, because I know sometimes you're gone for a month or so. I'm just saying we're going to try --

MS. JONES: I'm going to Syracuse, New York in the first week of August.

MR. SULLIVAN: When is your next meeting?

MS. JONES: I don't have a Utility meeting scheduled, but we have nothing going on.

MR. CAMPBELL: But you're saying get it before the 19th so you can have a meeting.

MS. JONES: And if nothing else, I can have it on the 19th before the Council meeting. But that stuff can be on the agenda, we can say okay, it's on the agenda, we agree with it, yadda-yadda-yadda. But we need to have a meeting before, I mean. So yeah, but I will be gone. I still have -- you know, I'm in contact with e-mail with my phone. But I have -- I have missionary counterparts from Russia coming to here the middle of August, and then we would be itinerating all over Pennsylvania and Ohio.

MR. CAMPBELL: Well yeah, I hope we can get it all wrapped up and buttoned off.

MR. SULLIVAN: Where are you moving?

MS. JONES: We don't know just yet, but we don't think so for about two years. But we're looking at Tennessee. They are very friendly to retirees.

MR. SULLIVAN: Not around Nashville.

MS. JONES: We're going to the Bristol/Kingsport area. So that's -- and then we'll be halfway between each of the kids. One will be here, one's in Florida. So we'll be halfway between.

MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you, Karen.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I would like to thank you too for your expeditious replies to our emails when it came to the hearing. That helped us. You were the first one to reply and allow us to move forward or not move forward. We appreciate that.

MS. JONES: We talked about that at one of your last meetings that I attended, and you were between a rock and a hard place then. And since we canceled the July, meeting it really gave you a hard time on TJX so --

MR. CAMPBELL: I appreciate that. Thank you.

REPORTS:

1. Solicitor's Report

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Reports. Solicitor's Report. Anything from Paul, other than what you already discussed?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: To my understanding, Monday morning he was unaware that he needed to be the one drafting this agreement. And it's like (gesture) yes, please do, the County sais send it over-- so I let him know he asked for illustrations. Chris provided everything, he was to check back, and he told me Tuesday morning that he should have it to me-- I should see the draft of the agreement Tuesday afternoon by the end of the day. And I was not here yesterday, but I did not get the draft of the agreement. If there is an agreement. When I emailed Mr. Hernon he called me back, he said Mark Walker had an agreement and it's like --

MR. CAMPBELL: Chris, have you seen anything?

MR. KOGELNIK: I read it. Hang on. This was e-mailed to Mark Walker, Cavicchi and yourself Kevin.

MR. CAMPBELL: When?

MR. KOGELNIK: And Bill and to Arno. But for whatever reason, Cindy wasn't on that. It was July 23, 5:59. It included the waste water meter manhole project agreement.

MR. CAMPBELL: Oh, July 23.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: That was Tuesday.

MR. CAMPBELL: I'm sorry, I'm not going through my Emails.

I was like how did I miss that.

MR. KOGELNIK: You're usually on top of that stuff.

MR. CAMPBELL: Two weeks off, I am way behind. I know, I apologize. So it is out there for me to take a read through. Okay. Very good.

MR. KOGELNIK: The paragraph I read today was -- it came from that document.

MR. CAMPBELL: Very good. All right. Was there anything else from our Solicitor then, to report --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: He simply remarked that the sewer rates with the City of Warren, the sewer rate is an increase no matter how we look at it, whether it's the value that they are today or if something new is negotiated. But they are trying to get the City of Warren to forego the back-billing. That is, I guess, their target.

MR. CAMPBELL: That's where our fight's at.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: And there's been correspondences between the Solicitor, the Solicitor for Lordstown Energy Center and myself in regards to what we're doing with the holding of their money that they paid for the rate increase. I would explain to them that our rate in the Village is not the rate that Lordstown Energy Center is billed. Lordstown Energy Center is billed on Warren rate plus a surcharge. So per his direction we invoiced them that balance two months ago and it has been paid, but it is still being held. LEC is kind of questioning what we're doing with it. Will they get it back maybe. That's yet to be determined. So that's the business that I have had with them. No other business with him.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Thank you.

2. Engineer's Report

MR. CAMPBELL: Chris, Engineer's Report.

MR. KOGELNIK: Really a light report today. We met yesterday out on site with the TJX staff as they had stated, and it was a productive meeting. Darren was there and Dale Grimm, Mayor Arno Hill was there. So the only thing I can encourage is that we have regular meetings like that with TJX to understand it because hey, they're gonna be coming into challenges with the water and sewer. It a good size project. Let alone their facility. And with regard to the water and sewer, Jeff has laid out a milestone of activities that CT may be called in to do some form of construction inspection and oversight for the water and sewer. We're not going onto their property to look at anything with regard to the building, this is just for water and sewer. And honestly, this is at Darren's request or Darren's choosing. If he thinks that we need to be out there to look at that or to inspect something that's difficult, then we'll go out there and do that. But all of these costs for all of that should be paid through TJX, and so that would ultimately go by way of a document and an agreement that Paul would generate so that TJX would fund all of that. Just similar to the plan review. As I told the reps from TJX, we don't prefer to do that work. This is inspection for somebody else's design, okay. But as the Village Engineer, we will because we reviewed the design and we're -- you know, we're, I guess, happy to do that. So that ought to be coming to you fairly shortly. Just recently I went out there to the site, took a look around, we don't have authorization right now. But I noticed there was a couple things that just didn't seem right, and I reported those to Council. You, I think, got a copy of that in the e-mail as well. But we know that site, we know the issues with it, we know the potential issues with it; and whoever is looking over that

needs to stay a few steps ahead of the contractor. One thing that we saw out there that was, you know, a problem was storm water control. There was a lot of silt getting into the stream. We don't want that to happen. So the contractor quickly, I guess, jumped on that the next day and they know to control that. So it's gonna take some eyes is what it's gonna take. And we hope to be out there with Darren and Dale and maybe train their staff if they have time to do that, to be the eyes and the mouth for all of that. They could communicate what they see to us and we can tell yeah, that's okay or no, that's not and they need to change and conform to the design. So that would be our role. Aside from that, there's no other activity. The BPA meter manhole, it's an if-come. We're waiting for the funding to come. There's nothing else we're doing for BPA right now.

MR. CAMPBELL: Any questions?

MR. DIETZ: One guy on Lyntz, he's already pissed because it's mud all the time coming --

MR. KOGELNIK: Looked like chocolate milk. That's what I saw.

MR. CAMPBELL: I don't know if Darren saw, doesn't the actual site fall under the actual zoning aspect?

MR. KOGELNIK: Yeah, but who? Right. So my wonder is who's on top of that. And either way, somebody has to be on top of it. I was out there the other day because we were looking at the site distance issues at the new connecting road nearby where your house is at. We wanted to make sure that their design with the available site distance looked appropriate on the drawing as it does in the field. It does. But while we were out there we saw the storm water, and we're like what's going on, where's the control here.

MR. DIETZ: Well, I gotta go by the pipeline, so we know there's a north-south pipeline created at the end of their property. When those guys went through and closed up all those gas wells and stuff the water can't move because it's in ruts this deep (indicating). Somebody's gonna have to go in there and clean that pipeline up for that water to flow. And somebody already knows that. Are they doing anything? I don't know.

MR. KOGELNIK: They claim, in regard to the storm water, because the existing road is in the way, they can't build their pond. I told them no, you have to deal with that, that's your problem. We're not gonna have silt-laden storm water go into the stream. And it's a law.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I think the E.P.A. enforces it. I know when Lordstown Energy Center was letting silt go into the ditch to flow, the E.P.A. notified me and said contact them and let them know before we come out there, there's a complaint and we're coming out and --

MR. KOGELNIK: Yeah. And that's the worst thing that I told them that they could experience is to have Ohio E.P.A. and the Army Corp out there. We need to be proactive and take care of these things without involving the regulators.

MR. CAMPBELL: Make it worse.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: And there's a regulator that lives at the end of --

MR. KOGELNIK: There you go.

MR. SULLIVAN: And isn't it correct that you had told them that you could get or thought there was a good opportunity for like a \$3 million grant, and they said that they didn't want to do it because it would slow down the project?

MR. KOGELNIK: I don't know what you're talking about. Well, one thing that we had advocated was to not realign the road in a cul-de-sac. That would have saved them.

MR. SULLIVAN: I know that was \$3 million. But there was several million dollars on a grant where they would have had to go to prevailing wage and other things that would have slowed them down. Remember in Council when they talked about it, Tom?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: That was for the road, wasn't it?

MR. KOGELNIK: The 629 funds through Ohio. Okay. But yeah, that again that could have been paid 55/45 I think it was. And I don't know, that was a separate effort that the Village wanted to do there for the developer. My position on that whole thing was let's not realign the road, let's cul-de-sac and save everybody some time and money. But that didn't happen and we're living with that now, we're going to go according to what the plans -- the approved accepted plans are.

MR. SULLIVAN: And that's one of the problems I had with them squabbling about \$32,000 when they turned down \$3 million rather than fight the cul-de-sac thing, and they turned down the grant money which would have been several million, and we're talking to me what would have been chump change.

MR. KOGELNIK: There's nothing we can talk about now that's going to do anything. It's done.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: For clarification, because even Bill and I are not clear, it's a cul-de-sac, it's not a cul-de-sac?

MR. KOGELNIK: Its not.

MR. CAMPBELL: It looks like a road across from our drive.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Residents come down and ask for the cul-de-sac, but there's been no change through TJX to revert back to the cul-de-sac, correct?

MR. KOGELNIK: Yeah. And I can understand the public's wonder on that because it's been like a ping-pong. And it's just not fair to the public. I just listen, I've got opinions. But they don't matter anymore, we're going according to the plan, right?

MR. CAMPBELL: I got one question with that. While I was gone on vacation there was something dug up on my drive right across from that on my side of the road. Was it something we did?

MR. KOGELNIK: That was probably the water -- they were potholing the water.

MR. BIGGS: By your drive? We dug that up to see exactly how deep, what it was made of, verifying everything. That was a suggestion we made to them. They made arrangements with me, we were right there. And there's different O.D., and they needed to order, found all that out, everything was good, buried it.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Just curious.

MR. KOGELNIK: Yep.

MR. CAMPBELL: Especially my wife was like you should probably know. I probably should. I got the activity.

MR. KOGELNIK: I don't have anything else.

MR. CAMPBELL: Anything else for Chris?

MR. KOGELNIK: I do appreciate Darren's and Cindy's level of detail on this project. I tell you, they got their fingers on the pulse of this one, and it's gonna take that much and more through construction, trust me. There's gonna be some challenges through here. So we have to keep on top of it, all right.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Well Tuesday I was at the point where everything was just falling. And in speaking with Mark Herson I said

Mark, please extend the courtesy to the Board and get Mark Walker or Mark Cavicchi here. I said I know one of them was to be in town. They need to come to the Board, if there's an agreement or no agreement, whatever is in front of them, whatever the dispute is, they need to discuss it together. And he did. Mr. Cavicchi was here, and I think it just had to happen. We can't just keep -- and for the record, two times Mr. Hernon called me and said we're going to proceed. Take it off the record or the minutes, he called me. Mark Cavicchi said in this board room right after our meeting, who do I make the check payable to. So it's not that we were misleading them, we were being misled or, you know, whatever that external factor was. I do believe it's been resolved and we can proceed forth.

MR. SULLIVAN: And I did see an e-mail we had that -- we went to that Council meeting on Monday and got all beat up. And I get home and I'm checking my emails, and on there it said we just need to move forward with the project.

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. Very good. Are you heading out?

MR. KOGELNIK: Can I be excused?

MR. CAMPBELL: Thanks, Chris.

(At this time, Mr. Kogelnik leaves the meeting.)

3. Utility Committee Report

MR. CAMPBELL: I think Karen kind of covered Utility Committee report, unless you have something to add.

MR. DIETZ: I have not been invited to one.

4. Clerk's Report

MR. CAMPBELL: Cindy, Clerk's Report.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: First thing I have is there was some work done to private property that the Clerk is not going to issue payment until you make a motion on public record.

MR. CAMPBELL: That's fine.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: So I need a motion to pay \$91 for the sprinkler line repair.

MR. CAMPBELL: This is when the homeowner had a sink hole issue. Hopefully it's quiet for the time being at least. Between our department and streets and roads, between Darren and Dale they did a great job working with the property owner and trying to get that all resolved.

It's been dug up at least a couple more times, showed the homeowner everything we could possibly show them?

MR. SULLIVAN: Was that on Highland?

MR. CAMPBELL: Brookhollow. So -- and part of that excavation and digging, he had a sprinkler line that was under dispute of who broke it and kinked it. I went and looked at it and can't tell after the fact other than the fact we were digging then and it was right there. Owner escalated the issue, I told Darren we don't do those repairs but to have someone else come and repair it and be done with it. So that's what this bill is for. **I make a motion that we pay the \$91 for the service to repair the sprinkler line.**

MR. SULLIVAN: Second.

MR. CAMPBELL: All in favor?

(All respond aye.)

MR. CAMPBELL: All opposed?

(No response.)

MR. CAMPBELL: Motion carries. Thank you gentlemen. To

follow that up, at this point I think it's all seeded, leveled, right? It's not seeded and leveled? I thought it was from what Dale told me.

MR. BIGGS: Yesterday or the day before someone had told me that either it's disappearing again or settled a lot. I have not made it down there.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. We don't know if there's more to come?

MR. BIGGS: It's gonna be the end. It doesn't seem that way.

MR. CAMPBELL: We didn't find a root cause from either our excavation or, you know, their excavation of digging in that area. So at this point I think the only thing left that it hasn't been put eyes on are the -- his sewer lateral from the home; is that correct?

MR. BIGGS: That's correct.

MR. CAMPBELL: We've never been deep enough or found that from our excavating. At that point that's the homeowner's responsibility for the sewer line. If that's causing it, I don't know. I don't know if it's not in that area. We've done a little help trying to judge him in that direction to go investigate it, but there's the full story. So all right, thank you. What else do you have, Cindy?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Last month we discussed the trash contract, and you thought it expired next year. I did check that for you, and that contract does not expire until March 31 of 2021.

MR. CAMPBELL: 2021.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: So we do have -- yes. Further, it is a five-year contract, which gives us the 2021 date. And I have not received the adjusted rates for this year for trash and recycling.

MR. SULLIVAN: 2021?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Correct.

MR. CAMPBELL: I think he came and stated that and started misleading us.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I knew we changed it to five years. But we normally did a three-year contract. And three years comes and goes so fast, I asked for four. And then Paul, you know, said we can do five years, that's not --

MR. CAMPBELL: Well, thank you for checking on that. And you said at this point there hasn't been --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I don't know the cost. I didn't get any transportation price index, the fuel adjustment for '18 to '19. Once again, the rates will be adjusted and they back-bill or back-credit whatever it will be. But I know you said something about a letter, but I don't have a letter yet either. I don't know if you sent an e-mail directly to him.

MR. CAMPBELL: I have not.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: There's been no letter if, in fact, a letter -- if you still want a letter to go out, that needs to be --

MR. CAMPBELL: Well, at this point let's wait to see what they come back with for rate adjustment from their side.

MR. SULLIVAN: But they haven't come with a letter of what they want, have they?

MR. CAMPBELL: Just in our meeting.

MR. SULLIVAN: I mean to the Village.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: He did submit a letter.

MR. SULLIVAN: But it hasn't gone out to the residents?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Oh, no.

MR. CAMPBELL: That was to the Board. That's the only thing he submitted.

MR. SULLIVAN: Because I've done what he suggested or what they said they needed, and he said it would be like a third less. I find it's like two-thirds at least less.

MR. CAMPBELL: That's going to recycling.

MR. SULLIVAN: It's unbelievable. I mean, I can't believe that like the 4s and 5s on the recycling they're saying no, and that's a heavy plastic. Why the hell wouldn't --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Right now we haven't been given any direction or requirement to change that. So it's still 1 through 7. There needs to be education to tell the people we would really only like this. And I think if -- this is what I'm explaining to the residents. They go what does that mean. If you buy it at the grocery store and it has a recycling logo on it, then feel free to put it in the curb-side service. The spare part of your car and it's metal, it's 100 percent recyclable, but not in the curb-side service. If you can buy it at Giant Eagle, most likely it can go in there. Glass jars, it's better to tell everybody no. Well, I had a resident said you can. Going forward you won't be able to. So that first thing we put out there, I feel that everybody -- when you get information out to people, three times is when it's successful. They remember they heard it, they seen it, they know it. To tell them once and expect it to be perfect, no. If come 2021 the contract comes up for renewal and they see the residents of Lordstown are recycling properly, little less smack on the hands. But we asked for education. There's been no outreach to the community.

MR. SULLIVAN: You're saying 2021, so the contract ends --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: We'd have to put it out to bid about six months prior to that. At the end of next year we would start that.

MR. DIETZ: They are advertising it on T.V., what can be recycled now and what cannot. Any plastic that food comes in can be recycled.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Right. That's like I said, if you go to the grocery store and that's --

MR. DIETZ: Well, that's what they're advertising on T.V. And it's from them.

MR. SULLIVAN: What -- I've been just been looking at this list that he gave us.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Yeah.

MR. CAMPBELL: Are we going -- we're not gonna resolve this tonight. What else do you have?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: The next thing we're talking about locking up the recycling at night because of the trash going into the recycling containers, and you asked if the fuel pump for the police cars was behind the fence. It is. They go through a gate, and that would be locked. That's something you would have to consider with the Police Department. One says that's moot because they'll throw the trash outside the fence and leave it on the ground. So again, education. The biggest topic I have -- and I sent emails and I discussed it with I think Kevin or with Mike and Tom -- is Lordstown Energy Center was invoiced for the back-charges of their sanitary sewer bill. When they submitted the payment it was late. And there's a substantially, I want to say \$15,000, late fee on there. That is something that the computer's not gonna calculate because it wasn't done in a regular billing, we did it on a miscellaneous invoice and back-billed it so I can put the charges against the account. And I haven't -- and I told them, you know -- how do you feel, where do you want me to go with this?

MR. CAMPBELL: Well, isn't that the same money that we were talking about, it's in limbo because of -- yeah, I can't see late fees.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Until we get a ruling. Late fee on something I haven't -- nor have I paid it out yet. So I thought, I want you to know that I did not bill them for that --

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: -- if you choose to bill them for that. Right now, if they are getting 305 back, we would be giving them that back as well. As of today I'm just waiting to see what comes with that bill with the City of Warren.

MR. CAMPBELL: I agree with that.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: And permit to install certification questionnaires that I had was already previously answered in the meeting, which is what Chris and/or Darren signed. I don't know who's going where, but we'll follow-up with that in the morning. And next thing I have is I would like to purchase invoicing software for those miscellaneous invoices that we do with the contractors. The software's \$28, but I don't want to spend really anything right now without you saying yes. But I'm typing on a typewriter, that's the only thing we use the typewriter for, we're trying to buy ribbon for the typewriter.

MR. CAMPBELL: You'll spend more on stuff for the old typewriter.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: You type it on the computer, you're done in two minutes. You go to the typewriter, you play around with it. I just wanted to make sure that --

MR. CAMPBELL: I'm fine with it.

MR. SULLIVAN: I'm fine with it. Do you need a motion for it?

MR. CAMPBELL: Brought to our attention.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: A courtesy to you, I'm gonna buy this. It's not an I-have-to-have-it, but I think it is a necessary and a little bit more professional than a carbon copy invoice. But that completes all that I do have for you. I do have a resolution for the sanitary sewer rates and charges that we're still holding. You did approve for CT to bid that project out. We just did not give them the approval.

MR. CAMPBELL: Until we get money.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Chris knows, he was at the meeting we passed it. When that time comes, we'll give you the purchase order and the number to move forward with that. But everything -- again, the legislation has been ordered, I've asked for the agreement for four months. It's out there. It's just a matter of getting it in and move it now, and hopefully this moves it.

MR. CAMPBELL: Any questions?

MR. SULLIVAN: No.

5. Superintendent's Report

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Very good. All right Darren, your turn. Superintendent's Report. What have you got for us?

MR. BIGGS: One of the things I meant to mention with the vehicle, I have some literature here. If after you guys want to talk to me about it, you can see what I have found and whatever. I forgot to tell you that.

MR. CAMPBELL: I appreciate that.

MR. BIGGS: Chris kind of hinted on it too. CT I don't believe is under any contract to do those inspections, Lordstown or TJX.

MR. CAMPBELL: Correct.

MR. BIGGS: I don't know what it needs to take for that, but we're gonna be needing CT. I don't know how many phone calls they can field or how many times I can call them out if I need something before they're like hey.

MR. CAMPBELL: It sounds unlike what they put together, they were either going to move forward and present it to the Board and try to get on the project. It sounds like he still has a little work on our side yet. But I think everybody on the Board agrees with and understands your situation and believes that, yeah, we need to move forward with that.

MR. BIGGS: They've been more than helpful. They've been great. I just want to make you aware that they are going to need something.

MR. CAMPBELL: Because nobody works for free.

MR. DIETZ: I sort of seen Chris' thing that the other guy had made up for him. And it had a list, and over here it had prices that they would charge to come on the property to do the inspections. So they're getting ready.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I think Jeff may have sent that -- Jeff or Chris may have already sent that to us. It's just that that's all it was, was some prices per man hour and at what level, what type of person was doing the inspection and the charge that we could expect for that.

MR. CAMPBELL: What else you got?

MR. BIGGS: An update on the lift stations. They worked on 1 and 2, they updated their contractors with the heat issue. I inspected them. Everything looks done in there. I have not done any testing. I called Gorman Rupp and said are you done, and they told me they didn't know. I says well, you find out.

MR. CAMPBELL: And let me know.

MR. BIGGS: When you know they are the way you want it I'll start testing on it. It looks like everything is in. I'm just waiting on the okay saying they're done. I'll be able to run a test on the last two and hopefully we'll be good. Exactly. That's it.

MR. CAMPBELL: Any questions for Darren?

MR. DIETZ: Yeah. Did you get that two foot of blacktop cut yesterday?

MR. BIGGS: We did.

MR. DIETZ: In the rain?

MR. BIGGS: In the rain.

MR. DIETZ: You did get that. Because I know when I left I got to 45 and I had to have the wipers on full, and I know the guys in the hole got it.

MR. BIGGS: And the guys outside the hole. And we were here until after 9:00.

MR. CAMPBELL: I appreciate your time on that.

MR. BIGGS: It was not a good job.

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. Thank you guys.

MEMBER COMMENTS:

MR. CAMPBELL: Oh, any Member Comments?

MR. DIETZ: Yeah. I meant what I said. If they don't want to work with us, they can go back to --

MR. CAMPBELL: They got the point.

MR. DIETZ: -- grinder pump.

MR. SULLIVAN: They understand.

MR. CAMPBELL: That's what they needed to hear.

MR. SULLIVAN: For some reason Dutton don't seem to like to -- when he sends communications to the committee, he don't like to CC them to me or Tom. I would appreciate that either you or --

MR. CAMPBELL: Like the one we just got through. I didn't even check that one.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: If I see you have not been copied on something, I --

MR. SULLIVAN: Well, I guess you didn't even get copied on --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: And I'm the one that has asked for it.

MR. SULLIVAN: And there was just one other thing, and I think we can talk about it maybe next meeting when we talk about the issue that Hood had. I got a call from a resident. When he sold his house and the new people moved in and nobody had did a final water meter read or --

MR. CAMPBELL: They didn't close up their account or do anything.

MR. SULLIVAN: And he said that he felt that the people that bought the house were getting punished. He hasn't gotten a bill yet and he talked to you, he felt like he was getting beat up.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: For the record, when he called and requested the final reading it's explained. And I talked to him and told him the day of the final the bill is due by 3:00. The new person has to make application. It was explained. There was no problem. And then nobody came in and paid, the deposit did not cover the bill, so there's the situation what do we do. We put in the work order late to drag our feet because the new person did make application and did their part. The rules say 3:00 on the day of the final, you don't pay your bill it gets shut off. We put it in, they went down and they couldn't get it shut off at the curb, which brought him to come in. He knew prior to -- I wasn't here actually when he come in and paid it, but it was many days later. And he was -- like I said, he was told. And whatever the reason being, whether he forgot or time didn't permit --

MR. SULLIVAN: All I'm bringing it up for is if there's a system glitch there, then maybe we should fix that. And if there's not --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: What would the glitch be?

MR. SULLIVAN: Well, he felt that the glitch was that he got the call late the day that they said you gotta be here by 3:00 and he couldn't. The people had done their part, and they couldn't get into the house or couldn't get their water turned on.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: The water was on, and he called prior to the day. Say whatever the day it was, say it was for Friday, he called and said I'm moving, I need a final water meter reading, it was scheduled. And then that day he was to come in and pay the bill and did not. So the next day or two days later when the Water Department went down to shut the water off at the curb, the new customer was moving in and we were trying to drag our feet because we knew they were moving in. She called, and when she called it's like call the realtor and tell them that that's the reason being. They hold money to make sure that the utilities are paid. If the title company followed through with what they're supposed to, if the realtor followed through with what they're supposed to do and the customer did, we would have three people checking to make sure that bill was paid. Nobody did. But the guy -- I mean, it's not like 3:01 go down and shut that off. We do try to, you know -- and if somebody tells me, I work until 5:00.

I said as long as you put it in the drop box, I can pull the work order first thing in the morning before they have guys physically go to do it. It works.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah, I know. It would be good to check though. Any other Member Comments?

MR. DIETZ: I got some for Cindy.

MR. CAMPBELL: We'll discuss that after the meeting.

QUARTERLY APPROVAL OF BILLING ADJUSTMENTS:

MR. CAMPBELL: Quarterly Billing Adjustments. I make a motion that we approve the July quarterly billing adjustments. I looked at the quarterly billing statements. Did you gentlemen?

MR. SULLIVAN: Second.

MR. CAMPBELL: All in favor?

(All respond aye.)

MR. CAMPBELL: All opposed?

(No response.)

MR. CAMPBELL: Very good.

ADJOURNMENT:

MR. CAMPBELL: I'll take motions for adjournment.

MR. SULLIVAN: So moved.

MR. CAMPBELL: I'll second. All in favor?

(All respond aye.)

(Meeting adjourns at 6:15 p.m.)

C E R T I F I C A T E

STATE OF OHIO)
TRUMBULL COUNTY) SS.

I, Deborah I. Lavelle, a Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio, duly commissioned and qualified, do hereby certify that the foregoing meeting before the Board of Public Affairs was written by me in the presence of the Members and transcribed by me using computer-aided transcription according to the stenotype notes taken at the time the said meeting took place.

I do further certify that I am not a relative, counsel or attorney of any Member, or otherwise interested in the event of this action.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal of office at Niles, Ohio on this 14th day of August, 2019.

DEBORAH I. LAVELLE, Notary Public
My Commission expires 4/16/2022

Submitted:

Approved By:

Cinthia Slusarczyk, clerk

Kevin Campbell, President