

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
MEETING OF THE LORDSTOWN VILLAGE BOARD OF PUBLIC AFFAIRS
1455 Salt Springs Road, Lordstown, Ohio
April 20, 2021
4:00 p.m. to 5:15 p.m.

IN ATTENDANCE: Mr. Kevin Campbell, President
Mr. Michael Sullivan, Vice-President
Mr. Thomas Dietz, Board Member
Mr. Darren Biggs, Supt. of Utilities
Mr. Christopher Kogelnik, Engineer

ALSO PRESENT: Ms. Cinthia Slusarczyk
Mr. Terry Campbell, Council
Mr. Chris Peterson
Mr. Alan Tura
Mrs. Juliana Tura

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS taken before me, DEBORAH LAVELLE, RPR, a court reporter and Notary Public within and for the State of Ohio on this 20th of April, 2021.

THE COURT: I'm gonna go ahead and call our meeting to order. Please stand for the Lord's Prayer and Pledge of Allegiance.

LORD'S PRAYER

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE TO THE FLAG

ROLL CALL:

MR. CAMPBELL: Doing roll call. Kevin Campbell, present.
Tom Dietz.
MR. DIETZ: Present.
MR. CAMPBELL: Mike Sullivan.
MR. SULLIVAN: Here.
MR. CAMPBELL: Darren Biggs.
MR. BIGGS: Here.
MR. CAMPBELL: Cinthia Slusarczyk is present but not in capacity, so present. Chris Kogelnik.
MR. KOGELNIK: Present.

APPROVAL AND CORRECTION OF MINUTES:

MR. CAMPBELL: I don't believe there's any changes we need to make to our agenda, we can move into that one, to the agenda. We got two sets of minutes on for approval. Did you gentlemen get a chance --

MR. SULLIVAN: I'll make a motion for February 16.

MR. DIETZ: I'll second.

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. Okay. All in favor?
(All respond aye.)

MR. CAMPBELL: All opposed?
(No response.)

MR. CAMPBELL: All right.

MR. DIETZ: I make a motion for March 9.

MR. SULLIVAN: Second.

MR. CAMPBELL: All in favor?
(All respond aye.)

MR. CAMPBELL: All opposed?

(No response.)

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. Minutes are complete. Thanks everybody for their attention to our minutes.

CORRESPONDENCE:

MR. CAMPBELL: Any correspondence. I don't believe there's any correspondence at this point. None that I had from the paper I reviewed.

PUBLIC COMMENTS:

MR. CAMPBELL: Public Comments. At this point we have, I think, some public here for some public comment. If you would please stand up, state your name for the record, and then I'll give you five minutes to state your question.

MR. TURA: My name's Alan Tura. I own the property 6878 Tod Avenue known as Fear Forest hay ride. And we put in a water line, a hot box, to supply water to our new building. And since we plan on putting a future haunted house maybe not far from the original haunted house, we extended the 6-inch ductile iron pipe about 175 feet. And there's a fire hydrant there, and then we reduced it down and went 2-inch to our new building to supply water. So we put in a 6-inch meter because they -- well because it has 6-inch, we put in a 6-inch meter. What I overlooked was the cost of a 6-inch meter, not realizing it's \$415 a month. And we only have three toilets that we flush and a small hose bin that we used in the summertime. So basically we have a small amount of water and a large expensive water bill. So we kind of overlooked that, so we're gonna try to find out if there's any possibility of someday either changing the water line meter to a smaller meter and metering it, or maybe find out since we only use a small amount of water whether any chance to get a reduced cost for service on our 6-inch water meter that really doesn't meter very much water because we don't use much water because it was put in there for the purpose of a fire line. So I overlooked that, so I want to see if you guys can investigate that for us. And the simplest thing would be maybe since we don't use hardly any water, if there's any way to make a special situation for the fact we don't use much water and can we get a reduced cost for monthly usage of that water line. That would be the simplest way to do it. If that wouldn't be approved, then we kind of thought about putting a 2-inch water line from where the hydrant is at and putting a 2-inch water line over top the 6-inch ductile iron that's there and putting a meter 2-inch in the hot box that would meter that water all the way to the new building and allow the 6-inch water line there to just remain there for a future haunted house which might come in two or three years and supply a sprinkler system for a future building.

MR. CAMPBELL: I understand your request. I do believe we'll have to do some work with our utility and engineering departments to make sure that one, we're meeting the requirement for the fire code and the way stuff's structured there with the hydrants and where you want to connect stuff. So I believe you two gentleman, do you have any questions for him at this point?

MR. BIGGS: I don't. I'm up-to-date on that. I asked him to come in so -- because there's gonna be some rules that are different that I can't answer for Mr. Tura. So I wanted him to explain to you what was going on, and then if we could figure out something around the rulings or exceptions like he's asking for or whatever else. But I

told him I can't do that.

MR. CAMPBELL: Correct.

MR. BIGGS: And I also told him I don't want to design that for him.

MR. CAMPBELL: Correct.

MR. BIGGS: He likes suggestions, but I don't want to be the one either. Oh, if he's got ideas absolutely we can look into that, but I wanted to pass that along to you. But at least you know what is going on. That was pretty accurate on what he said was happening.

MR. CAMPBELL: Do you gentlemen have any questions while he's here?

MR. SULLIVAN: No.

MR. CAMPBELL: Do you have anything drawn up or designed and planned there?

MR. TURA: Yeah, I made four copies. But I wasn't on the--

MR. CAMPBELL: You just need it up here. You had four copies, we just need three.

MR. KOGELNIK: The reduced size meter does sound logical. But one thing that often necessitates what size meter is the phased approach for doing a project like this. For example, if he were suggesting a building in the future that definitely needed a 6-inch fire line for fighting fires for a significant building, that's something that is --

MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah, that's what I mean. We want to make sure that we're doing the proper thing and not just doing the economical thing.

MR. TURA: But I didn't know that you had to meter a fire line. See, I have a 6-inch across the street in 2009 --

MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah, I think we're capitalizing you wanting to use that line rather than another line.

MR. TURA: There was a "T" there. We extended that "T" to the hot box and the hydrant in 2009. So I thought if it was a fire line, which it will be, because we don't need a 6-inch line for a few toilets. I didn't know that you had to --

MR. CAMPBELL: It's based off the meter size.

MR. TURA: For a fire I thought we could have just saddle off a 2-inch and metered that to a new building. It might be a little bit of money to change it out, but it would save \$5,000 a year. And really for nine months, ten months we don't even turn on the light in that building.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yes, we understand.

MR. TURA: So if something could be thought about what to do, then we could maybe decide what we can do.

MR. CAMPBELL: We appreciate your time. Thank you for --

MR. TURA: We'll talk to you soon, Darren.

MR. CAMPBELL: Was there any other public comments? No? We're good. Okay.

NEW BUSINESS:

1. Meter bypassed (Acct 78.3)

MR. CAMPBELL: New Business. There is one item under New Business called a meter bypassed on an Account 78.3, and I believe we have diagrams or pictures. Here we go. There's some pictures of the situation. I believe -- was it the Utility Department that located this?

MR. BIGGS: Correct.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. So I'll let you take it over from there.

MR. BIGGS: This was on that account, it was a turn-on I believe that the water didn't turn on. We thought it did. So the resident had called us back, they want to make sure the box was okay. That's where we turned it off outside the home. Well, something could be turned off inside. They went inside. The meter was actually laying below where it should have been and was --

MR. CAMPBELL: I see it there in the picture.

MR. BIGGS: It was bypassed by that straight pipe there.

MR. CAMPBELL: Right.

MR. BIGGS: So it was taken off and just bypassed.

MR. CAMPBELL: Now is this account, you said you started -- that this account was being turned on. So it -- had ownership of that account changed from where it was to --

MR. BIGGS: I don't believe the account had changed, I think it's still in the name. Why it was off was a request or non-payment, I'm not sure on that one.

MR. CAMPBELL: We'll have to check on that.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I can clarify that the account holder in possession prior to the turn-on was a spouse that are now separated. And as the ex-wife returned to the home and took possession of the home, they -- Becky allowed a turn-on to occur probably not knowing the rules. But they went out to turn the water on and discovered that there was a separation and all this had happened. But they are separated, and the account holder assumingly would have been the one to do that because she was literally locked out of the home and the police department had to open the home the day that they tried to restore the water service at that address.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: That is what I was -- was relayed to me.

MR. CAMPBELL: At this point we have no idea how much water has been used because there was no meter installed.

MR. BIGGS: The only thing we have was an average. When it was done we have no idea. How much was being used, no.

MR. CAMPBELL: Well, we have -- when the account was shut off, we have that because obviously at some point the account was shut off.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: The account was not shut off.

MR. CAMPBELL: Well then, what was he going back out -- I'm confused, I'm not understanding. He was going back out to turn it on and get it going. At one point it was shut off by something.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: We would have to pull the service orders and look at that. If it got shut off for non-payment, which is probable, then -- and like I said before, everything was checked. She did what was requested by the customer to turn on the water, not checking that information. But I can.

MR. CAMPBELL: I guess I don't even -- this is the first time since I've been on this Board that we've had this situation. I don't know if it's even in our bylaws, what kind of penalties there are for that.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: There is language in there saying it is a violation of the law and they will be punished or can be charged for through the courts.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah, that's what I mean, I don't --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: But I did tell them that we had to bring it to the Board for your direction to consult Paul, I mean on a matter like this, and see what he said or recommended.

MR. CAMPBELL: Go ahead, Tom.

MR. DIETZ: Did you shut it off again when you found out that the meter had been disconnected?

MR. BIGGS: No. We put the meter back on. We put the meter back on and we took the straight pipe that was put in there. I have it over there in the shop. Yeah, Tom.

MR. DIETZ: That's all I want to ask.

MR. BIGGS: The meter was back on. Obviously she didn't know about it or she wouldn't have the guys come in there to check to see.

MR. CAMPBELL: Obviously.

MR. BIGGS: It was a shock to everybody when they all went in there together. So the guys put the meter back on and the straight piece that was put in there --

MR. CAMPBELL: If this is installed and the meter is just sitting there, we're gonna have to look back and see what kind of issue there is. But there would be no reading, no change if they never put the meter back in. There's a straight piece of pipe, the meter is never changing, so there would be some zeros on the account I guess.

MR. SULLIVAN: Well, there must have been a meter at one point, no?

MR. CAMPBELL: If you look at the picture, it was laying down below.

MR. SULLIVAN: A proper meter?

MR. CAMPBELL: I'm sure that meter was laying down below was installed and they took it out. I'm just saying.

MR. SULLIVAN: What I'm saying is the original installation probably was not proper, and then this homeowner moved it so he wouldn't to have pay the water bill. So we ought to be able to go back to --

MR. CAMPBELL: Correct. That's what I'm saying.

MR. SULLIVAN: The point is that we put a water meter in. Might be 30 years, I don't know.

MR. BIGGS: Like we have readings up to what is it, the end of October of 2020 and then again January 25. We still have readings going through that. So usage was happening.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Were they actual readings, do you know, or estimated?

MR. BIGGS: I can't answer that one.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: That has to be checked too.

MR. BIGGS: But from going on this -- but we do have some readings going back. It's on the work order. And everything seemed to be pretty consistent. Was it going on and off, that I can't answer. I know it was on and it was doing some readings at the time. I mean, I'm guessing here that it if it happened when someone had to leave the premises that's when all this happened. But because we do have previous readings. We have -- at least on this work order it goes back to October of '19, and I'm sure there's more up in the office.

MR. CAMPBELL: We're never gonna know how much water went through there with a pipe in it. Obviously there is some question in there. We'll get some information, collect it, turn it over it Paul and see what we have to do from there. Are there any further questions on --

MR. SULLIVAN: So they separated and the husband's gone, I assume?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: (Nodding head). How do you want to proceed? I mean, the account is -- the water is now on also under the ex-wife's -- there's no official transfer of an account within the office. But to do that you'd have to clear or have a resolution on the existing account before we can create an account for her. And this is a snag. Do you have any direction how you want to proceed with that?

MR. CAMPBELL: That is a good question. So right now that person is in the home using water and living in the home.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: That's assumed, yeah.

MR. BIGGS: The other thing along those lines is I don't know if you're gonna estimate or whatever else, but the billing cycle is next month for that area right there. So if you go ahead and bill just by what we have, I mean does that make it hey, that's just what it gonna be, or should we bill for --

MR. CAMPBELL: Well, we don't --

MR. BIGGS: Or should we wait to bill until we decide more? Just something else to make it more difficult, I guess.

MR. CAMPBELL: What do you gentlemen think? The dilemma is that the water is on, there's a resident in the home using the source. Obviously the account is in disarray. So we need to make a decision on letting that person let it go at this point. You know, I guess Darren's point is if you just kind of continue on like there's nothing wrong, at what point do you go back to them and say we found all this and we let you still use water but you know this has to be cleaned up to some degree. I think a letter at least needs to be sent. The homeowner's obviously -- the current resident's aware of this situation, right?

MR. BIGGS: Uh-huh, yes.

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. So it's not like they are gonna get blind-sided later when we come back with things.

MR. SULLIVAN: Is he still in the area?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: That I do not know.

MR. CAMPBELL: That's definitely out of our control. The only thing in our control at this point is our water customer and the situation. I think at least from the Village a letter needs to be sent so there's a paper record saying that we have -- I mean, we do have -- what do we have on record besides -- do you have a work -- we have this document but is it -- do we have a letter that we have sent them or your work order? What's been documented for us to that we have that we can take --

MR. BIGGS: Do you have a copy of the work on the --

MR. CAMPBELL: No, I don't believe that was in there with it. We have pictures. But I'm just trying --

MR. BIGGS: No official letter. She was there. That's the work order for the turn-on and then what had happened.

MR. CAMPBELL: So in the work order it has it. So we do have a document in the work order. You can take this back. That part is good. I still think we need to get a letter officially to the -- to our customer of that account. Well, she's not the customer on that account.

MR. BIGGS: Right.

MR. CAMPBELL: We don't have an address for the person.

MR. BIGGS: And again, this was covered on the 9th. It hasn't been all that long. But she is aware of it, and yes she's not

even the account holder.

MR. CAMPBELL: What a mess. I mean, she's an innocent bystander and trying to figure out a way to keep her functioning in her home without causing us further issue. Have you guys got any ideas?

MR. SULLIVAN: Well, just wondering, like he said, we had 20 meter readings. How did they compare with once you went in and set the meter where it belongs?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: The question in addition to that, Mike, is that right now he has readings, a date, and a reading on the work order. But what you don't see is if those readings were estimated. He's assuming that we got a number, and it was real during the billing period. That has to be confirmed within the computer system. That's something that's not displayed on the work order. So it's possible when the January reading was done that it was actually an estimate. You're allowed to estimate at least once every four billings once a year. So that we don't even know if it's an actual reading or not.

MR. BIGGS: If those were actual, Mike, when we go back we had a reading of 5, 7, 4, 11, 15 and the last one was 3. So I have that. But again, if these were the actual ones --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: And as much as the reading is, I think it's going to be irrelevant as much as the fee would be charged for the violation of the law. It's going to have a dollar amount. We can estimate a bill based on what our rules and regs say. You can take the last four readings, estimate it and bill against that. And to handle billing, you know normal billing, we're going to have a problem in closing the account of the account holder to create the new account because they didn't call to close the account, they didn't call to terminate the water. We may or may not even be able to get a hold of them. We can rely on going to the mother or the wife of the party that's at the home now. I would suggest that she has to come in to make application and pay the deposit. The deposit would just sit in suspense until it's assigned to an account. But we can't -- our own rules say that we don't change an account without the account holder closing it. So if he doesn't willingly close it, then she's gonna have to get an order from the court to transfer the account.

MR. CAMPBELL: I was just gonna say get an order from the court. So if she would come in, fill out the application, put the deposit down, explain to her we'll have it sit there until we clean up that part. Any information she can give us in proceeding with, you know --

MR. SULLIVAN: And then once we get a package of information we can send it to Paul.

MR. CAMPBELL: Or it has to go to court.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Before on issues like this it comes to the Board first and then to Paul to see if that's, in fact --

MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah, I think that's what we need to pursue.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I truthfully don't think it would be appropriate for any one of the Water Department employees to try to resolve this without the representation.

MR. DIETZ: Lawyer.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Based on -- I know we don't want any -- we don't want to really be involved in that. It should be handled outside.

MR. CAMPBELL: I agree. I make the motion that we contact the current resident at the home, have them come in, fill out application, put down the deposit, explain -- well, they know most of

the situation but explain to them that we need to proceed with documentation and a case to clean up the old account. And until we clean up and get either a court order or whatever it is that they can give us that we can close out the account.

MR. SULLIVAN: We'll still be able to give her water?

MR. CAMPBELL: And she would still use water and pay bills according to the --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Which, if when they installed the meter at turn-on they should have wrote down the meter reading. So we would know what she used.

MR. CAMPBELL: Started at.

MS.SLUSARCZYK: If that needed to be.

MR. CAMPBELL: I think that's a good way to proceed, not penalizes them too.

MR. DIETZ: See if she knows where he --

MR. CAMPBELL: Provide an address.

MR. DIETZ: Provide an address for you so we can give it to Paul.

MR. SULLIVAN: I second.

MR. CAMPBELL: All in favor?

(All respond aye.)

MR. CAMPBELL: All opposed?

(No response.)

MR. CAMPBELL: Let's hope we don't get any more of those. That was our New Business item.

OLD BUSINESS:

1. Rate Study - Water

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay, Old Business. Number one, our water rate study. Turn it over to Chris. I know we had some discussions about a direction that the Board needed to provide to you guys, and we gave you kind of that general direction to proceed forward and --

MR. KOGELNIK: Yeah, I don't have an update to offer you. I'm sorry about that. But I will talk to Bob McNutt, my associate doing the rate study, and get you a written one after this meeting. I know that we wanted to have that done by this time, so I can't imagine that Bob is too far off.

MR. CAMPBELL: Great.

MR. KOGELNIK: He would have told me about that. So --

MR. CAMPBELL: Why, if you can give us some kind of status that would be great. Any questions at this point, gentlemen?

2. Lordstown Motors Draft Agreement with the City of Warren

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. Number two, Lordstown Motors Draft Agreement with the City of Warren. I don't believe there's been any.

MR. SULLIVAN: We don't have anything on that. I just asked up in the office.

3. ODFL Draft Agreement with the City of Warren

MR. CAMPBELL: Same with number three, Old Dominion Draft Agreement with the City of Warren, same bet.

4. Gresham Smith - Battery Plant nka Ultium

MR. CAMPBELL: Number four, the Gresham Smith Battery Plant, Ultium plant. Have we got some updates on that, I assume?

MR. KOGELNIK: There's a lot going on with that one. So number one, I believe this Friday -- actually no -- yeah, this Friday we have a bid opening for one of the projects coming up. There's three projects of the plans that are laying out here on the table if you care to take a look at them. I just thought it was convenient, given the meeting and given that I had all three in 24 by 36 size so that if you wanted to see them. There's some extensive amount of work there. I believe the water line for Phase 2 is the one that is opening this Friday. And on that one, one concern that has been expressed to us by the contractors is the length of that bore and jack underneath the railroad track. It's like 500 feet long. Some contractors are telling us it's not gonna be a problem, and other contractors are saying it would be a record setter if they do it.

MR. CAMPBELL: Well, there is two differences, huh.

MR. KOGELNIK: Yeah. So we obviously worked with a contractor to find out what is feasible so that, you know, we can arrive at a design that is confident and put a number to the construction cost estimate, and that's what we've done. And we've also given those contractors the names of the specialist, the drilling agencies, that we talked with so that they can have the confidence that these people do that it's feasible to build that. So wanted to let you know about that. And then the tank site, we still have a couple easements that we're working on with that property. And those easements deal with the electric services that are buried and go to like the water booster station, the water tank. I think there's a relocated electric service for Tri-Mor in the back. And so over the next couple of days you'll probably hear in emails correspondence from us to Paul Dutton because Paul has to put these easements into legislation for Council to approve. Okay. So that's coming up. In regards to the rest of the project, I don't have much more to report on that, Kevin.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay.

MR. KOGELNIK: So one more thing is we're working with Bill Blank to reconcile the draw-down schedule. The master agreement had a big spreadsheet in the back and it stated dollar amount, cost to be expended and when for each line item, like Phase 1 the water line, Phase 2 the tank, Phase 3 the booster station. And so now that we've implemented and completed one construction project, we have to reconcile that so that Bill can have the monies encumbered so that when we go to advertise the project he can have the confidence that he has the money available for it. So that's the purpose of reconciling the draw-down schedule. And so that's on CT right now to get that all done. And Bill Blank has been pretty helpful with sharing some of his data for costs that have been expended thus far on the project in order to do that.

MR. DIETZ: Chris, that line you talk about drilling under the railroad, is that the line from the tower, the new tower?

MR. KOGELNIK: Yes. So on your tank site you'll have your new tower, and then we're gonna be building a water line going around the west side of that tank site. And then once it gets to the south corner of that new tank site, we have to drill at a diagonal in the southwest direction underneath the railroad track and driveway and come out on the Ultium side. And we have to also do that inside the First Energy easement. They are gonna be constructing that new 138 KV overhead transmission system to bring the electric to Ultium. So there's a lot of stuff going on. And then the other thing I

coordinated with Darren on, in the storm water pollution prevention plan review that we're doing for First Energy, they have a gravel access driveway that goes along that arced transmission line that can force that railroad on the north side of Ultium's property where Norfolk center has the arc-shaped railroad track. They have a gravel driveway inside that easement. We also wanted to have a gravel driveway inside that same location. So we are talking now with First Energy to find out if one driveway would suffice rather than having two and to see if they would do all the maintenance responsibilities for that driveway. We don't have the details of that. I did ask the question though to First Energy yesterday, so -- and the other important thing there is we don't want to have another driveway access onto 45, we only want one. So there's a lot of stuff going on. Fortunately we've got a lot of eyes. This guy's asking some good questions (pointing to Mr. Biggs), and so it's -- we just need to ask each other these questions and come away with the answers. So everybody seems like they're participating.

MR. CAMPBELL: Good.

MR. SULLIVAN: What is in where they just went across the road? Is --

MR. KOGELNIK: That is the first phase of the water line. That water line, Mike, gets them the initial water to help them construct their facility. So that connects to your water line on the east side of 45, all right.

MR. DIETZ: That's the 24-inch, right, or the --

MR. KOGELNIK: On the east side of 45? No, that's a 12.

MR. DIETZ: That's the original 12?

MR. KOGELNIK: Yeah.

MR. DIETZ: Okay.

MR. KOGELNIK: A lot of stuff going on, a lot of moving parts. I'll be honest, which is as time goes by over the next couple months we're gonna have a lot more detail coming up. And if there's any decision that is needing to be made for meetings such as this, we'll try to get them before the meeting.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. So at this point we're good from that side?

MR. KOGELNIK: That's my update. You're free to look at the plans.

MR. BIGGS: I have one more thing. Along with that Phase 1 they were to replace that meter down at MVSD. That is done, everything went okay as far as that part goes. Our SCADA did not come back on. It actually -- when they were setting it back up it had actually broke. Just an older cheaper thing that we had down there. So I will be talking to you guys about that later because I'm getting prices on how to set it up and whatever else to get everything back because we did lose the SCADA down there.

MR. CAMPBELL: So you have no idea where, you can't see it at this point, it's not in the system?

MR. BIGGS: MVSD goes off of that one also. We can meter, we just can't see it.

MR. CAMPBELL: Is it wide open or -- or that's right, it's just a meter.

MR. BIGGS: The valve down there remains 100 percent open all the time now if it's been exercised or there's a problem.

MR. CAMPBELL: Well it just got exercised, didn't it?

MR. BIGGS: It did, yes.

MR. CAMPBELL: One done. All right. Anything, gentlemen? Good on that? Thank you Chris and Darren.

5. Utility Department Building

MR. CAMPBELL: Utility Department Building. We're keeping that on our list to see how that progresses. I know there's been some, I guess, different views on proceeding forward with that is the best way to put it. So we'll keep in touch with that.

6. I&I

MR. CAMPBELL: Number six, I&I. I know there's been --

MR. KOGELNIK: Yeah. Darren and I met out on site one day. We haven't done a whole lot of work on that just yet. But he was able to walk me around a couple of the grinder pump units that I honestly didn't understand the severity of what he was communicating about the potential of I&I getting into these things until I saw them. So we took a look at that. And yes, there was the probability that some I&I can get in through the lids of these grinder pump units. And so two things that are there that I think that the Village forces can collectively work on. Number one, in that low area where Highland Avenue goes north from Salt Springs Road, that's an area where it looks like it's pretty flat down through there. And if the storm sewer were to back up, it seems like the -- you know, the water table can elevate and flood those front yards where the grinder pump units are at. I recommend that the Village Street Department, Road Department go down there and check out that storm sewer culvert that's crossing right there to make sure it's open for business. That's number one. Number two, we made a phone call to a local rep that represents that grinder pump unit, and they do offer a water-tight extension ring that you can put on top of there for the size of grinder pump unit that you have. So that would make the unit water-tight in the event it were to flood. So -- but the first things first, I would check the storm sewer because we don't want to suggest that that grinder pump unit act like a bobber, you know, (bobbing head in demonstration), you know what I mean. I'd rather have the storm sewer carry away the flooding water rather than us trying to make the grinder pump unit a bobber.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah, I agree.

MR. KOGELNIK: Okay. So there's some order there of doing that. But it's clear that if those grinder pump units down there were to be flooded, yes they are pumping, they're the ones draining all the storm water to your sanitary system.

MR. DIETZ: Where are we talking about?

MR. KOGELNIK: We're talking about over the first hill north of Salt Springs Road on Highland where it's a low area down there.

MR. SULLIVAN: That's where the pump station is?

MR. KOGELNIK: No.

MR. DIETZ: It's way back towards Salt Springs Road he's talking about.

MR. KOGELNIK: Yeah. So that was an easy one to locate. And then, of course, there's gonna be harder things to do, and that is the metering. And so we haven't yet done that. But Darren and Vinny are getting the data that they had beforehand, and they're gonna share that with me; and Darren's already provided a good amount of that information here.

MR. DIETZ: You're talking about down by where we used to

call Christina's pond.

MR. KOGELNIK: There is a pond down there.

MR. DIETZ: That's the area you're talking about.

MR. SULLIVAN: He's talking about 1897.

MR. KOGELNIK: Okay. Not the year, the address. No. So anyways, that's the first thing. And then it sounds like from talking with Vinny that he had done -- him and Darren had done some prior I&I work there. I should be able to check off and not have to go back to doing that and look and focus on the other stuff, the other areas. And so that's what I want to do.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay.

MR. KOGELNIK: So it's -- and it's gonna be a progressive thing as time goes by. We have had a lot of activity on this, and so I haven't spent too much time on the I&I pursuit just yet.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Any other questions?

MR. BIGGS: I got something to add to that too. Every year we check out all the grinders, make sure everything is okay with them, cleaned, just inspect them is what we do. We do every one of them. We're either one or almost done with them. We did find three lids that were actually broke and anything can get in there, I mean, including rain water. So they have been fixed. They're over there in the shop now, and we're gonna charge the resident for them, so.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yep, get ready.

MR. BIGGS: Get ready for that. But we did find three.

MR. CAMPBELL: These lids -- that's what he said, those lids aren't an airtight lid. I mean, that's not a sealed -- it's just more of a cap.

MR. BIGGS: They have a real little seal on them. They don't last. They sit up a little bit; but if the water goes up, it's gonna find a way in there. Everything is all fiberglass. The problem is it gets hit by the mower, so we don't want to weed-whip, we'll just hit them. They're not ours.

MR. CAMPBELL: When they start getting charged, they'll be a little bit more important.

MR. KOGELNIK: These things, they are not a very robust unit. They are not cast iron. They are very light weight and they are intended to be that way for a variety of reasons. But the simple fact of the matter is people hit these things, they break; but the Village can't be there to just, you know, pay for every chink in the armor of all of its system.

MR. CAMPBELL: Well, it's ultimately to the residents and everyone is paying their bill; so I mean, it's the problem.

MR. KOGELNIK: The more important thing here is these field investigations sometimes reveal some weakness in the enforcement of the rules and regs.

MR. CAMPBELL: True.

MR. KOGELNIK: We should go back and take a look at what's in there and see if maybe there is something that needs to be updated for accountability for that. That's all.

MR. SULLIVAN: Do you have any idea then, from the small amount of investigation you've done, whether our problem's more residential or more substation.

MR. KOGELNIK: I have to believe, and I'm going on empirical experience -- that's experience that's tried and true, okay -- the bulk of the issues are normally on the private side. They're not in the main line, they're not in the waste water pump station, they're

on the private side. When I say private side, I mean the private land off of the road right-of-way.

MR. SULLIVAN: So it would be the homeowner of the property.

MR. KOGELNIK: Yes. You know, and the reason why we normally say that is because more routinely the municipal sewer staff are popping manholes, checking what's coming down there, they see something unusual and they'll go investigate it. So we have to give the first credit to them to do that. But that's why I can say most often. Not all the time, most often it is the private side that has the bulk of the leaks.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okey-dokey.

7. Sanitary Sewer Rate Review

MR. CAMPBELL: Moving on to our next one, Sanitary Sewer Rate Review. And I just realized we have a resolution that wasn't on our agenda. This somewhat falls into that.

MR. SULLIVAN: So we need to make a motion to add it to the agenda?

MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah, we should. Because this is pertaining to the our dispute with Warren.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: However, if you read the cover letter at the end, it -- I -- to my knowledge, I don't know that Warren has accepted the draft as it's written. I don't think that -- I have not been told that it is ready for passage. In the cover letter toward the bottom, or maybe it was in the e-mail if it's not in the letter, that once we have that -- that is the draft. I want you to have the draft to know what is out there to accept.

TERRY CAMPBELL: I think that's correct, Cindy, from what Paul said at the Council meeting last night.

MR. CAMPBELL: Very good. I can at least update --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Read it, but make sure everything is good with you.

MR. SULLIVAN: So you don't think we should do the resolution?

MR. CAMPBELL: No, no. If that is the case --

TERRY CAMPBELL: We're close but not there yet.

MR. CAMPBELL: I will give you guys an update on where it's at. So due to the -- how do, I guess, put this the best way that I can. Due to the short time frame that we had left with our current agreement with Warren and the dilemma of them saying what we owed, late fees, all the stuff that was gone kind of racked into there, it was a push back and forth; and we finally settled in with I think an agreement that gets us down the road for a better situation. If we would have stood our ground and fight where we believed we stood and if we won that route, we're only gaining ours most of the year and we would be in the boat where we have no agreement at all; and at that point we're gonna be 40 percent, the outside rate, and no real leverage of negotiation I guess is the way to put it. There was a good back and forth. The mediator did a phenomenal job. At this point I think it's a good position for the Village.

MR. SULLIVAN: What is the position?

MR. CAMPBELL: There is, I guess, a Band-Aid agreement is the best way to put it to bridge us for the rest of this year.

MR. SULLIVAN: Like an extra year or something.

MR. CAMPBELL: To get us through this year. They are

willing to get us through this year, and then there's gonna start a five-year -- basically there's two five-year agreements, but ultimately they wanted to get us out to ten years. We were like we don't want to fully commit to ten years, we'll commit to five. In five years we have the option to notify them, we have to give them a twelve month notice to say we're done with the agreement, we're not gonna renew. But that or if we want to do it earlier, but we still have to give them a twelve month notice.

MR. SULLIVAN: So it's a five and five?

MR. CAMPBELL: At that the point it goes year by year, but the first five is pretty much what we've committed to. And then there would be after that -- we're committed for five; and after that it would be year by year, but we have to give them a one-year notice we're done with the agreement. And then it -- the rate -- how does the rate go, it's a little complicated. Their goal -- they were really pushing us to get to their outside rate. And that's where we kind of went back and forth, back and forth. Basically we're taking our way and working our way into it over the time rather than all at once, we get them to spread it out.

MR. SULLIVAN: So now we have the rate that we have had for the past and then --

MR. CAMPBELL: Well no, it's gonna jump up. It's gonna go up because of, I guess, where we're at and how they see things. But it's gonna be more. Instead of being all at once they want us at the outside rate by the end of the year, it's gonna take us ten years to get to that outside rate. Again, I think it's a good settlement for where we're at and where we needed to be. There's always a disagreement on who thinks who is right. But, all right. So you guys know there it is, read through it. If you have any questions, you can give me a call and I can address them.

MR. DIETZ: That's this one (indicating)?

MR. SULLIVAN: That's this (indicating).

MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah, the one with the --

MR. SULLIVAN: Harrington, Hoppe & Mitchell.

MR. CAMPBELL: That's the one from Dutton's office. And you should have the actual resolution there. Is there something -- all right. So number seven, Sanitary Sewer Rate Review. I think we had CT looking at a study from that end of it. I don't know if you had much chance to start it at this point with everything on your plate.

MR. KOGELNIK: No, no.

MR. CAMPBELL: We'll keep in touch with you on that.

8. Warren Water

MR. CAMPBELL: Number eight, Warren Water. At this point it's like the other two agreements that we alluded to on the agenda. I don't know, there might be a bulk agreement that we can work out with Warren in the future. As of right now I don't believe much is moving forward with it at this point.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Once I come back, I would like to have your permission to reach out and check with them.

MR. CAMPBELL: Start that ball.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Simply because we were given a one year letter.

MR. CAMPBELL: For Lordstown Motors.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Well yeah, and Lordstown Motors. For both customers. So when that happens, I did want to reach out there and try

to go back and start -- start that up again.

MR. CAMPBELL: Because we know it's never fast.

MR. SULLIVAN: When are you coming back, Cindy?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I don't really know. I see the regular doctor next Wednesday, but I see the surgeon again on May 12. So I'm hoping the May 12 appointment he'll release me back to the primary doctor, and the primary doctor will have to release me back to work.

MR. SULLIVAN: How is it going now? Pain?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: The one is -- the carpal tunnel is not a problem. The other one, it's just -- I didn't listen to the doctor when he was talking because it's like it's a blast, let me tell you. But it's good.

MR. CAMPBELL: We appreciate you being here and helping with the meeting and stuff. Thank you very much.

PUBLIC COMMENTS:

MR. CAMPBELL: Down to our second set of Public Comments. I don't believe there's anything for that at this point. Very good.

REPORTS:

1. Solicitor's Report

MR. CAMPBELL: Reports. Anything from our Solicitor? I believe, beside the legislation that he sent --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Right.

2. Engineer's Report

MR. CAMPBELL: Engineer's Report.

MR. KOGELNIK: One thing, going back to the Ultium site. Darren and I met out there at the Ultium site. Have you heard anything more regarding the sanitary sewer?

MR. BIGGS: I have not.

MR. KOGELNIK: They were supposed to test it in front of the Water and Sewer Superintendent and myself. This is the new sanitary sewer draining to the existing County interceptor sewer and to start up the meter manholes. So -- and they should produce, you know, a report of the testing of that and show all of us the internal televising of the lines so that we can approve that. The Village should not approve any of those -- any of those elements until the tests are done and conducted and passed in the presence of the Water and Sewer Superintendent and myself. So that's one thing. And another thing that I -- we worked on this past week, we did the final punch list walk-through for the Old Dominion site. And there was one thing that dealt with water on that site, and they are still getting their hot box for the unit that goes around and, you know, encloses the backflow preventer and the meter and they're gonna get that installed. I had a discussion today with Warren's Mike Thigpen. He's their counterpart to Darren down over in the City of Warren. And Darren had tried valiantly for the past, I don't know, three weeks to get a hold of Mr. Thigpen to let him know that hey, there was some change that needed to be made on the Old Dominion service line going from the Warren 16-inch line to the building; but we never can make contact with Mr. Thigpen. Mr. Thigpen called me today to say he was sorry but he was on vacation for the past couple weeks with his family. And I said okay, that's understandable, but we had to -- you know, the developer had to keep moving and we did the best we could without any input from the City. And we also have documented all of our communication efforts

to the City. His biggest concern was that he thought that the backflow preventer and the meter could have been left inside the maintenance building. So I told him we had a BPA meeting, we discussed that openly, I actually had stated that it could be acceptable inside that. But there's a policy amongst Lordstown for requiring that that be at the right-of-way line for certain circumstances, and this would be one of those circumstances. And so he had a difference of opinion on that; but I told him that was the direction we took, the developer had to get that completed, we tried to contact you to give you the opportunity to give an opinion but you guys were silent. So the project is done, and it's my understanding that Old Dominion would like to have their first open day of their business open on this Friday -- or is it next Friday?

MR. BIGGS: It's this Friday.

MR. KOGELNIK: This Friday. So I just want to bring that to your attention. He was okay with everything what I was saying on the phone, but I don't know if he's gonna have any other commentary after, after our phone call. You might want to talk with him. On a more productive level, I think that Warren and Lordstown should have a discussion about these unusual circumstances in the future as to who does what, where and when. Because it was kind of a head-scratcher out there when Warren showed up on one day, told the contractor to do one thing, and yet the land development plan review which illustrates a drawing has to be submitted to Lordstown for approval.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: That was in the works last year. That was being very clearly addressed with Jeff. Old Dominion definitely got the plans, I went back and looked at an e-mail to confirm. They were told the plans and that it was Warren's water but they have to build our design. And that is part of the problem that we have under the Old Business here with Old Dominion's draft agreement with the City of Warren. Those are some of the things that we need to know what their feelings were on. But when the rules and regs were rewritten, all businesses are to have their meters and stuff in the road right-of-way for access. Because when the business closes and we can't get into the union hall, the Magna Seating building, the new design is to have them in the road right-of-way to access whenever we need it.

MR. KOGELNIK: It sounded like Mr. Thigpen's concern was mainly the cost expenditure of the developer. We can't -- we really have no -- that doesn't bear down on the Village. So what I heard from the BPA at the last meeting, the policies, the reason to put that meter at that spot and that backflow preventer at this spot is to give the best assurance that no water loss occurs after that meter and the backflow prevention can occur right there at that spot where it's connected to the line. So one opinion that the Village could say back to Warren is this protects you.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah, it's in your favor.

MR. KOGELNIK: This is connected to your water line, and because of our policy it protects you more than putting these units over here.

MR. CAMPBELL: And we have access to it.

MR. BIGGS: And apparently Mike didn't tell you, but I talked to him about that before he went on vacation on why that was happening, and obviously he had his concerns. But he goes I agree with your reasoning. So I had talked to him about it already and didn't give him the option, I said this is why we're doing this. So he was well aware of that.

MR. KOGELNIK: Okay.

MR. CAMPBELL: Is it -- I just want to make sure that I understand. It is done the way we required it?

MR. KOGELNIK: Yes.

MR. CAMPBELL: That's all I needed to hear.

MR. KOGELNIK: It's an unusual thing too because we were expecting to see, by the way of the drawings that Old Dominion had produced, that this connection to the Warren 16 would be near their driveway. So when I go out to the site, I see them digging about halfway in the middle of their parcel, it was sort of a head-scratcher. But after the contractor and Darren had explained to me that the existing 16-inch line had a stub right there, it was very convenient for them to connect there. Furthermore, this is one of those water lines, this 16-inch, that you don't want to look at wrong, you know. So you just want to connect onto a safe spot and do what you gotta do after that.

MR. CAMPBELL: Gotcha. Okay. All right.

MR. KOGELNIK: All right. So that's Old Dominion. Yes, Tom.

MR. DIETZ: Go on. I just have a question.

MR. KOGELNIK: In reviewing some of the other land development plans, namely the First Energy storm water pollution prevention plan, one thing has come up about protecting the existing utility lines underneath where they're gonna cross over with that First Energy, you know, overhead transmission line. I don't know what impacts they are gonna have, but we're gonna draft up a memorandum of understanding stating that if there are any negative impacts that, you know, the Village is somehow protected from those impacts by, you know, they'll have to replace those lines or whatever. So we're gonna be drafting a memorandum of understanding. I'm gonna need somebody from the Board or Darren to review that to see if it looks okay for them. But we see that they're gonna be crossing over a couple of your 24-inch water lines and et cetera, and we think it's necessary to, you know, put some belts and suspenders around that.

MR. CAMPBELL: Very good.

MR. KOGELNIK: What else do I have for you? There hasn't been any noise on TEC. I'll let you drive that, Kevin.

MR. CAMPBELL: Honestly that was the last I heard of any -- when we talked that was it, I haven't heard anything since. That last meeting was it, not a peep since. I don't know.

MR. KOGELNIK: And Fear Forest. Fear Forest has another addition coming up. It's a small parking lot. They are gonna build it over top of their sanitary force main. So one thing we want them to tell us is the depth of the barrel of that existing force main so they are not impacting it. But that's trivial. I don't have anything else. I will tell Bob McNutt to give us an update on the water rate study.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah, yeah, if you would send out an e-mail to the Board I appreciate that.

MR. KOGELNIK: That's all I have.

MR. SULLIVAN: Chris, is Niles gonna run the 24-inch line?

MR. KOGELNIK: We don't know that yet, Mike.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yet to be determined. It is yet to be determined. That hasn't been worked out yet.

MR. SULLIVAN: And is it yet to be determined where the tank will go for Niles?

MR. KOGELNIK: I don't --

MR. SULLIVAN: Are we still talking about the Peterson property or back over on 45?

MR. CAMPBELL: Are you talking the booster station or the tank?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: The tank sits on 45.

MR. SULLIVAN: And the booster station is gonna be down here (indicating)?

MR. CAMPBELL: Not at this point.

MR. KOGELNIK: We're sticking to the plan right now because TEC is late to the game.

MR. CAMPBELL: They still haven't committed. No money, no commitment. We can't plan on that.

MR. KOGELNIK: That's why you put a plan together.

MR. SULLIVAN: Who hasn't committed the money?

MR. CAMPBELL: TEC. CT has done a lot of time and effort, and they haven't even coughed up any money towards that aspect of it. And every time we start to sit down and get serious about it and give them more direction, their main concern is they want to know the water rate before they'll say it even works for the numbers. But we're like we're not gonna plan for you and continue down that road without any kind of commitment or funds in the direction you need. So I think that's where it's at right now honestly. They are waiting to see what the water rate comes back at, and they are either gonna go we can make it work or not make it work and the project is either done or moving forward.

MR. KOGELNIK: The only other thing I worked on was that item for LEC for costing-out a potential back-out with them. But that's -- it's really not a project. So that's all I'll say. I'm done, I don't have any other -- anything else on my report. Are there any questions?

MR. DIETZ: Chris.

MR. KOGELNIK: Yeah.

MR. DIETZ: What's going on with the old seat factory? They're putting a rail line in over the water line.

MR. KOGELNIK: M&M.

MR. DIETZ: Yeah.

MR. KOGELNIK: M&M's land development plan review was to be two things, silos and a vacuum station built right next to their building and then a rail spur right next to that. They had some issues with the rail spur line. One of first things proposed was overhead transmission poles, right in line with that railroad spur line. And also that railroad spur line came in contact with a delineated weapon. So M&M was basically forced to quickly make a decision about proceeding with the railroad spur. What they did was they busted up their project into two phases. The first phase they just wanted to have the silos installed and the vacuum station and also a small water tank on the outside of their west wall, and then the second phase will be the railroad spur that gives them the time to do what they need to do for the railroad spur. So M&M's plan states that they can haul that product in that they were intending to haul by rail, they can haul it by truck to their site and have the vacuum station extract that product, put it in the silos. Once the railroad spur is completed, the rail cars will bring in the product and the vacuum station will pull the product out of the rail cars and store it in the silos. So the railroad spur line does not itself comprise an impact to your water line. I already -- Cindy and I already looked at that. The casing

pipe of that water line goes well beyond where the railroad spur is. So in other words, the rail spur line crosses over a cased water line, which is obviously good.

MR. DIETZ: All right.

MR. SULLIVAN: I think it was two weeks ago they were talking about the funding in the newspaper. There was a big article that talked about CT and Lordstown and Gresham. But the way the article read, we could be on the hook for five million, the Village itself. Is that right?

MR. KOGELNIK: What do you mean you could be on the hook?

MR. SULLIVAN: To pay for it.

MR. KOGELNIK: I don't understand. The master agreement you mean? The master agreement for that project states that Ultium is committed to funding the full project.

MR. SULLIVAN: Well, that's what I thought. And then when I read the paper I didn't understand it.

MR. KOGELNIK: And if there were external funding such as the Cares Act funding or the ODSA funding, that would be replenished to Ultium by way of a reimbursement.

MR. SULLIVAN: It even talked about CT trying to get grants or whatever.

MR. KOGELNIK: Yep. We've spent a lot of time, a lot of our own time, trying to pursue these grants. And I have divulged all of that ad nauseum to Village Council.

MR. SULLIVAN: The way I read it, I don't think we want to be on the hook for it.

MR. KOGELNIK: No. And just refer to your master agreement.

MR. SULLIVAN: Okay. So it's all part of the project.

MR. KOGELNIK: Yes.

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. Any other questions? Thank you.

MR. KOGELNIK: You're welcome.

3. Utility Committee Report

MR. CAMPBELL: Number three, Utility Committee Report. Are there any updates on Utility Committee? No? Good. All right.

4. Clerk's Report

MR. CAMPBELL: The Clerk is part of our audience, no Clerk's Report.

5. Superintendent's Report

MR. CAMPBELL: Number five, Superintendent's Report. Darren.

MR. BIGGS: I think about everything was covered. I got two things. One is I would like to request executive for personnel when we're all done here. The other thing is that design that Mr. Tura gave you guys, I probably would like a copy of that.

MR. CAMPBELL: He didn't leave you a copy?

MR. BIGGS: No, no.

MR. CAMPBELL: That can be arranged.

MR. BIGGS: I figured that would be an easy one. Other than that I think I'm good unless you guys have something.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. I guess that's it for --

MEMBER COMMENTS:

MR. CAMPBELL: We're down to Member Comments. Any members with a comment?

MR. DIETZ: I asked my questions.

MR. CAMPBELL: All right.

QUARTERLY APPROVAL OF BILLING ADJUSTMENTS:

MR. CAMPBELL: Quarterly Billing Adjustments.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: You'll probably have to pick those up because I know the last month's she probably didn't put in the book for you.

MR. CAMPBELL: That's all right, we'll catch them next month. All right then, I'll make a --

MR. SULLIVAN: Make a motion for the executive session.

MR. CAMPBELL: Make a motion for executive session for personnel.

MR. SULLIVAN: I'll second.

MR. CAMPBELL: Well, I need to do a roll call.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: You need a formal motion.

MR. CAMPBELL: I'll make the motion. So you don't have it for that?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: It's over on the right.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay, okay. Motion by Campbell. I'm not used to using your form. It's handy, but I'm not used to it. Second by Mike. Did you second it?

MR. SULLIVAN: Yes.

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. Roll call. Kevin Campbell, yes. Tom Dietz.

MR. DIETZ: Yes.

MR. CAMPBELL: And Mike Sullivan.

MR. SULLIVAN: Yes.

MR. CAMPBELL: All right.

MR. SULLIVAN: Who do you want?

MR. CAMPBELL: I'm inviting Darren and the Board. You don't need --

MR. BIGGS: It's up to -- I'd actually like Terry if he can stay.

MR. CAMPBELL: It's up to this gentleman. You're invited to stay, how about that. Terry's invited with the Board and Darren.

MR. SULLIVAN: You lucky guy you.

MR. CAMPBELL: Cindy, you're invited if you like. You guys can make that decision. Then I'll let you know the end of the meeting time for the meeting today. Okay. I guess that's it for now. Anybody else wants to run for the door, run for the door.

(The meeting ends on the record at 5:15 p.m.)

(Executive session ends at 5:30p.m.)

MR. BIGGS: Do I need permission to advertise? If so I'd like permission to advertise for help.

MR. CAMPBELL: Can we do that after executive session?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: You did not state that there would be no further business.

MR. CAMPBELL: True, alright then I'll make a motion to allow you to advertise for help.

MR. DIETZ: I'll second it.

C E R T I F I C A T E

STATE OF OHIO)
TRUMBULL COUNTY) SS.

I, Deborah I. Lavelle, a Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio, duly commissioned and qualified, do hereby certify that the foregoing meeting before the Board of Public Affairs was written by me in the presence of the Members and transcribed by me using computer-aided transcription according to the stenotype notes taken at the time the said meeting took place.

I do further certify that I am not a relative, counsel or attorney of any Member, or otherwise interested in the event of this action.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal of office at Niles, Ohio on this 10th day of May, 2021.

DEBORAH I. LAVELLE, Notary Public
My Commission expires 4/16/2022

Submitted:

Approved by:

Cynthia Slusarczyk, clerk

Kevin Campbell, President