

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
MEETING OF THE LORDSTOWN VILLAGE BOARD OF PUBLIC AFFAIRS
1455 Salt Springs Road, Lordstown, Ohio
December 17, 2019
4:00 p.m. to 6:30 p.m.

IN ATTENDANCE: Mr. Kevin Campbell, President
Mr. Michael Sullivan, Vice-President
Mr. Darren Biggs, Supt. of Utilities
Ms. Cinthia Slusarczyk, Clerk
Mr. Christopher Kogelnik, Engineer

ALSO PRESENT: Mr. Mark Cavicchi, TJX
Mr. Mark Hernon, TJX
Mr. Greg DiGeronimo, Independence Excavating
Mr. Dave Bevan, Independence Excavating
Mr. Tom Cowie, Imperial Communities

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS taken before me, DEBORAH LAVELLE, RPR, a court reporter and Notary Public within and for the State of Ohio on this 17th of December, 2019.

MR. CAMPBELL: Let's call this meeting to order. Please stand with me for the Lord's Prayer and Pledge of Allegiance.

LORD'S PRAYER
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

ROLL CALL:

MR. CAMPBELL: Roll call please.
MS. SLUSARCZYK: Kevin Campbell.
MR. CAMPBELL: Here.
MS. SLUSARCZYK: Thomas Dietz.
(No response.)
MS. SLUSARCZYK: Michael Sullivan.
MR. SULLIVAN: Here.
MS. SLUSARCZYK: Darren Biggs.
MR. BIGGS: Here.
MS. SLUSARCZYK: Cinthia Slusarczyk, present. Chris Kogelnik.
MR. KOGELNIK: Present.
MR. CAMPBELL: I make a motion to excuse Tom tonight.
MR. SULLIVAN: Second.
MR. CAMPBELL: All in favor?
(All respond aye.)
MR. CAMPBELL: All opposed?
(No response).

APPROVAL AND CORRECTION OF MINUTES:

MR. CAMPBELL: We have two sets of minutes, one from October 15, 2019 and one from November 19, 2019. Do you have any corrections or changes to those?

MR. SULLIVAN: I make a motion to accept.
MR. CAMPBELL: For the first one.
MR. SULLIVAN: For the 15th.
MR. CAMPBELL: I'll second that. All in favor?
(All respond aye.)
MR. CAMPBELL: All opposed?

(No response).

MR. CAMPBELL: I'll make a motion to accept the November 19, 2019 minutes.

MR. SULLIVAN: Is that the meeting I missed?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: No, you were here.

MR. SULLIVAN: Second.

MR. CAMPBELL: All in favor?

(All respond aye.)

MR. CAMPBELL: All opposed?

(No response.)

MR. CAMPBELL: Again, thank you for the effort in our minutes. I appreciate it.

CORRESPONDENCE:

MR. CAMPBELL: Correspondence. Any correspondence?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: No.

PUBLIC COMMENTS:

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. Public Comments. Any public comments at this point? All right. Thank you, gentlemen.

OLD BUSINESS:

1. TJX - Water and Sanitary Sewer

MR. CAMPBELL: Well, since we have -- I believe everybody that is here tonight is for the TJX project aspect of things. So I think it would behoove us to go ahead and skip through and kind of put them to the front. You guys are all right with that, you don't want to sit around with our other business. So we're gonna go ahead, and that's down under old business, but we're gonna jump down to TJX. I think what we're focused on now is the water aspects of things. The sewers all buttoned up. We have your schematic from the last meeting. I know there's been some development and ideas after some research done. So I think Chris, if you don't mind, kind of update us from kind of a technical aspect.

MR. KOGELNIK: Yeah. We had a meeting and discussed that in detail there. We walked away from that meeting understanding that that would potentially be a viable solution. But then I went back and I started playing this out in my head and trying to understand the detail with which we would have to not only fabricate something like that but install something like that. And the one thing that I really became concerned about was the potential for the existing thrust block around the "T" the old "T" fitting right now. I don't know how much concrete is behind there, I don't know what it's attached to. But when you're dealing with old concrete thrust blocking around cast and AC piping and those sorts of things, there's a concern there.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay.

MR. KOGELNIK: And so I thought, you know, that all the best intentions were there to just provide some sort of a more quick reliable solution or an evasive solution, let's call it that. But I don't think that that one -- that solution there represents an idea that doesn't have a whole lot of risk. I think there is a lot of risk there.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay.

MR. KOGELNIK: And I think it's actually less risk to rip out and remove that existing section.

MR. SULLIVAN: And run a new line?

MR. KOGELNIK: Run a new line, you know, down there. So -- and then the other thing that is behind that "T" or off of the "T" is another line that goes across the street. So we can't rely on that trench line to

help us with restraining anything because there's nothing there, you know. We would be taking out an existing section of line, et cetera. And the other thing that complicates this idea is that not only do we have to worry about the concrete behind the "T", we have to have enough space in front of the "T" with which to bolt the other side of that fitting. And so you have to excavate for that, and that encroaches or comes close to the roadway there. And then, you know, you're concerned about putting a guy down in there and bolting up that fitting. And so I think all of that played into, you know, at least my idea that I think a better idea is to replace that entire section of line. And if that's -- if to facilitate that requires the Village hiring a contractor to do that, I'm prepared to make that recommendation rather than have, you know, IX or TJX contract to do that.

MR. CAMPBELL: So you feel pretty adamant that the technical solution is not viable for the Village, even to the point where you recommend the Village --

MR. KOGELNIK: Let's look at it this way too. We got IX in here and had a good discussion about it. And they -- it was clear that they had some concerns about undertaking what we were suggesting there. And I gotta respect that. We can't force them to do something that they're not comfortable with doing, et cetera. And so we know that the Village wants to have a section of pipe that they have less concern about going back to in the soon future to repair. So let's just do the right thing and hire a contractor too do that. And I did reach out to one of the contractors that does that work oftentimes for us in our region, and he came back with a -- you know, a really reasonable price, and he was ready to go that day actually. But the Village also cannot treat this as an emergency because it's not yet, it's just to facilitate development. So whatever course of action we have to go through, you have to program that work, you have to administer that work. And if that has to be done by way of a -- you know, a quick RFP to a contractor to get a price or three prices, so be it. That's what we gotta do.

MR. SULLIVAN: Why can't TJX's contractors do it?

MR. KOGELNIK: Because they don't want to assume the risk. And they -- you know, they --

MR. SULLIVAN: They want the water though.

MR. CAMPBELL: Well no, they're part of the project to clean up that aspect of it.

MR. SULLIVAN: Yeah, I understand.

MR. KOGELNIK: They already are connected on a water line, Mike. But this is a section of water line that is gonna be disconnected, and it has a branch that goes off into their property. And as part of the project, they were to separate that branch and abandon that part of the water line. However, the design that they got --

MR. CAMPBELL: We're not comfortable with.

MR. KOGELNIK: -- did not suggest after looking at that thing and exhuming it you would not have known that without exhuming that. And here's one lesson learned. Next time we have a land development project that points to that and just says abandon, we need to do some exploratory before we just submit to that being the appropriate idea.

MR. SULLIVAN: So what was the figure that your -- that you --

MR. KOGELNIK: \$7,500.

MR. SULLIVAN: Well, we wouldn't to have bid that.

MR. KOGELNIK: That depends on why. Is that what you --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: To my understanding, yes. Anything -- as long as it never exceeded \$50,000 we can go ahead and proceed with three requests for proposals from three contractors. We would have to have a minimum of

three to take action on that.

MR. KOGELNIK: So if that's the case, number one timeline. In terms of what you need to do on your site, does that impact anything on your property because you have that branch that goes off to the --

MR. BEVAN: It does. So right now we can't cut in fully that entrance, that new -- or the Hallock and the entrance that takes us down into TJX property. I can't cut that in without that disconnect. I can put part of it in, but I can't make the -- actually part of your water line that's live right now is in the cut area.

MR. KOGELNIK: Can you do any other work to give us -- allow us some time to get a contractor to do what we need to do?

MR. CAVICCHI: Timing, what would you be looking at?

MR. KOGELNIK: Call it the month of January.

MR. CAVICCHI: And what does the weather have to do with that? Does the contractor --

MR. KOGELNIK: The contractor can pretty much do it through the weather.

MR. CAVICCHI: Because my concern would be with the cold weather, does that open up more because -- and I appreciate what you're saying because one of my concerns was the risk, the weather, what does the cold weather -- does that bring on more problems, and then obviously having so many people tied to this pipe. You know, it could be a nightmare.

MR. KOGELNIK: It detrimentally impacts if you have some sort of a surface-mounted emergency plan, right.

MR. DiGERONIMO: True.

MR. KOGELNIK: So that's -- it's just the nature of the business. We're in the middle of winter and we have to do this work, and you've got a time line to get through your development. But we want something that's sound.

MR. CAVICCHI: Right.

MR. KOGELNIK: So in order to do that, this is the best thing that I think -- it's just the best idea I've come up with. If somebody else has a better idea --

MR. CAMPBELL: Well I mean, the only thing that I thought was kind of a middle ground would be revert back to what we were thinking of originally, we'll pay for the parts and if you'd supply labor, this thing could be done as fast as we order parts and line up stuff and notify customers and get it done. So then, you know, it speeds up the time line a lot if you're really needing stuff cleaned up. And that's, I think, a middle of the road for both sides to come and meet. Otherwise, we're gonna have to wait for our due process and go through things; and yes, there may be some other unforeseen things that -- I mean, we presume that we can get a contractor and get it done pretty quick. But I mean, none of that's done yet. We don't know.

MR. CAVICCHI: Yeah. I mean, we don't need to do the cut of that entrance in now.

MR. KOGELNIK: Okay.

MR. CAVICCHI: It's something we've -- we worked our schedule around so we can, depending upon what new schedule another contractor comes back with. We could probably wait the month of January.

MR. BEVAN: I don't see that -- a few weeks into February.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Because I said it may be over a little. February is usually our worst month honestly weather-wise.

MR. CAVICCHI: The weather is going up. The building is going up. The weather is affecting the building. It was more important before because we were going to use the entrance, but now we've worked around our schedules. Whether it's the month of January or a few into February, it's

no --

MR. KOGELNIK: And the other thing we can do, Kevin, in the RFP we can segregate labor and materials in the event you wanted to have some cost containment for labor and materials. I don't know what the arrangement will be with TJX to pay for anything here. But I'm just telling you if you wanted to do that, we could do that.

MR. CAMPBELL: It -- I would definitely entertain any assistance. If you want us to completely handle the project and we're taking care of something that was somewhat under your umbrella to get buttoned up, we would appreciate any help.

MR. CAVICCHI: We own the capping.

MR. CAMPBELL: And you had some expense of doing that.

MR. CAVICCHI: We had some expense of doing that. My concern was always look, anything can always happen removing pipe and all that. My concern was to -- Chris and I agree with you, it said capping on the drawings. Nobody has x-ray vision, you don't know what that means until you go ahead and you excavate and you see what exists, right. But that's not the process we took. And that's not CT's problem, that's anywhere in the country. That's the way it is; it's to cap, to remove, to abandon, whatever. That's what happens, all right. Any drawing, whether architect or engineer. My main concern -- and I don't have the authority to approve and I don't even know what the full scope of work would be in that, but \$7,500 seems like a good price. But my main concern was always the ramifications of, god forbid, anything went wrong. I go off of the experts, and they've been saying since day one they have never changed -- and I think you alluded to it today -- was will this ever work properly. You start digging, will everybody match, will everything line up the -- outside of our scope of work. That if there's a problem that nobody can predict, now you have three, four hundred residents that I feel bad for that have a water problem that will be a PI nightmare for everybody. And that's my concern, I'm sure it's IX's concern, I'm sure it's the Village's concern.

MR. KOGELNIK: It is.

MR. CAVICCHI: Nobody wants that, I don't care who you are. And so you know again, CT has stated TJX's responsibility is to cap, and we have that expense, we owe that no matter who does that, you know, no matter what. And I don't think that's gonna be a problem with us paying what we owe.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Is there a rough figure on that aspect or at this date?

MR. CAVICCHI: I don't know. If you had a number that you have if --

MR. DIGERONIMO: We'll get that.

MR. CAVICCHI: And you can even go as far as whatever that fix was that Mark's --

MR. CAMPBELL: Whatever that would be to do.

MR. CAVICCHI: Whatever that maximum fix is, not just push in a cap and stuff.

MR. CAMPBELL: I think that's a fair way to look at it, and we appreciate that.

MR. BEVAN: We presume you want everything back from the disconnect point though, the same as on the drawing.

MR. CAVICCHI: Just whatever we had originally.

MR. BEVAN: Ripping out like the pipe and everything.

MR. CAVICCHI: Taking out the pipe and whatever.

MR. CAMPBELL: Well yeah, I guess that leads us to -- I'm not sure if that's what you're alluding to, but there's the rest of the part that is underneath Bailey and the end of it. Is that all ours? Will we just handling replacing --

MR. CAVICCHI: That was in our price. So anything --
MR. CAMPBELL: We're on the same page.
MR. CAVICCHI: It's really the pipe that wouldn't --
MR. KOGELNIK: Please just put a memo together in writing
MR. CAVICCHI: I'll work that up.
MR. KOGELNIK: -- to Kevin's attention.
MR. CAMPBELL: I would appreciate that, yep.

MR. KOGELNIK: And if the Board wishes, I will put together an RFP for that, probably a handful of hours on our time to do that and to reach out to three reputable contractors. I think we did that before in the Village somewhere where we had a quasi emergency project. I can't remember what the hell that was though. But we've done this before for emergency and for projects under fifty.

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. We'll need to make a motion for the Board for you to move forward, correct? Or do we need something more official?

MR. KOGELNIK: Do you need a work authorization from me? I can follow that up tomorrow. I will have to do that no matter what.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Definitely. Yes, it's just that we're past the point of cut-offs --

MR. CAMPBELL: For this year.

MR. KOGELNIK: -- for this year. That's fine, it can -- we'll -- we're game to hold it until next year. But we just need to get going on it like yesterday.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Maybe date it for January, and I can circulate it and they can have it ready.

MR. CAMPBELL: We can do it that way.

MR. SULLIVAN: So what are we talking now?

MR. CAMPBELL: What we're talking -- to recap it -- is that the Village is gonna handle the aspect of the labor and excavating and digging out the chunk that's in question; and we're gonna replace it with new product. The TJX project is gonna take care of the abandoned line that's underneath Bailey and on their property and clean up that aspect, that will still be on them. They're gonna revisit their figures and come up with a cost of what it would have been for them to do the capping like we talked with the plate and that, and then they would -- that would go towards our money of doing it, whatever we end up spending to do it the way we want to do it, which -- I think that's a good way to do it as long as you're okay with the time line, they seem to be okay with it moving a little slower, I think it will work out fine.

MR. SULLIVAN: I'll second the motion.

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. All in favor?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: What was the motion?

MR. CAMPBELL: I made a motion to do that. Just recapping.

MR. KOGELNIK: Sound okay with you gentlemen?

MR. BEVAN: Yes.

MR. DiGERONIMO: Yes.

MR. CAVICCHI: Yes.

MR. KOGELNIK: I don't have anything else.

MR. CAMPBELL: Is there anything else, Darren, you can think of for them while they're here that we need to discuss?

MR. BIGGS: I don't think so. That was it.

MR. KOGELNIK: This is unrelated, but can you take care of that?

MR. CAVICCHI: I have that.

MR. KOGELNIK: This is the original.

MR. CAVICCHI: Is that this one, 2016?

MR. KOGELNIK: If you needed the original, Jeff asked me to give

that to you.

MR. CAVICCHI: So this is just the monthly --

MR. KOGELNIK: I think it's a monthly arrangement for hours incurred for the construction part of the land development plan. He said he sent you the --

MR. CAVICCHI: Yeah, I have it right here. Did put that -- did he give me the back-up for it as far as, you know --

MR. KOGELNIK: I don't know. He did not give me any back-up detail, so if --

MR. CAVICCHI: Because what I had printed, I just didn't see anything.

MR. KOGELNIK: If you need it, I will remind him tomorrow to do it.

MR. CAVICCHI: I can just sign it and extend it over it him. Can I keep this one?

MR. KOGELNIK: Yeah. How's the project going?

MR. BEVAN: The weather, of course, bites us this time of year every year. But no, other than that it's going well.

MR. KOGELNIK: Okay.

MR. SULLIVAN: Pretty mild though.

MR. CAVICCHI: Yeah. But you can't get a full days work in because whether it's the rain or the snow, too risky. But the site's --

MR. BEVAN: This time of year you just hope for frost really.

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. Well I guess Merry Christmas, gentlemen. Thank you, gentlemen. We'll talk to you next year.

(Mr. DiGeronimo, MR. Bevan, Mr. Cavicchi and Mr. Hernon all leave the meeting at this time.)

NEW BUSINESS:

1. A Resolution amending and restating Resolution No. 2018-4 of the Board of Public Affairs establishing the hourly wages, and other terms of remuneration for the employees within the Board of Public Affairs pursuant to the Ohio Revised Code Section 731.13 to be effective January 1, 2020 and declaring an emergency

MR. CAMPBELL: Let's jump back onto other New Business. We got a Resolution amending and restating Resolution No. 2018-4 of the Board of Public Affairs establishing the hourly wages, and other terms of remuneration for the employees within the Board of Public Affairs pursuant to Ohio Revised Code Section 731.13 to be effective January 1, 2020 and declaring an emergency. So I think you have one in there, a clean one?

MR. SULLIVAN: We have what Council had. Do we have what we had?

MR. CAMPBELL: Well, we have our updated. This has been reworked to tweak some of the aspects of adjustments. Once we got rid of the permanent part-time classification that we were using to bridge our superintendent so we could transition from Bruce to Darren. And yes, there is the alignment from the Ordinance to ours, which Cindy's put work into making sure that aligns. We defined a -- because Darren was -- and it's a good point since it seems to be it wasn't well-defined, and working with the Clerk's office on what a permanent part-time person gets and doesn't get. So rather than him always bumping against the wall or can't do this or one time it changes, it was a good request. And it actually helped, from the Village's side I think, to see it in black-and-white and here's how we're gonna be handling it. So that's been put into it. They did ask us to align with the Village ordinance of being three years versus one. We were gonna do one year and review every year, but they asked us to align

with the Village so there wasn't the perception that we were doing something different with -- you know, I guess the best way to put it so we weren't gonna be giving increases or raises or something because we only did it for one year. But it was never our intent to play that game, it was just that we would keep it on our plate to review every year. They asked us to align with the Ordinance, so this will be for three years. Now I guess following up with is that the Village Council doesn't say -- there's no increases in this for three years, but that doesn't mean if things turn around in the Village it won't be revisited and, you know, raises or stipends or bonuses or whatever you want to classify it wouldn't be revisited.

MR. SULLIVAN: Well, that's my only problem from there to now, you know, with the one year. I just can't see staying at these same wages for three years when we're --

MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah, let's hope that --

MR. SULLIVAN: -- dramatically underpaid. And we don't have to go with counsel's recommendation, we can go with our own.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah. They did say that they would not -- they would -- that the only one they would pass one is our old one, they wouldn't pass the new one at this point. They said they would just let it run. But there's no reason we can't look at it again next year, and if things are -- we know where we're at financially this year. It is -- you know, we've definitely went in the hole more.

MR. SULLIVAN: Well, I understand that.

MR. CAMPBELL: We're gonna be doing some rate adjustments and we get some more industry in and things are looking flush up, I don't have a problem revisiting and looking at a stipend or some increase.

MR. SULLIVAN: I know that Paul is wishy-washy on many things, but he was very clear when I was on Council that it didn't matter what Council voted; if we passed it, it was passed.

MR. CAMPBELL: I understand that. But we weren't planning to do anything that first year anyway, right.

MR. SULLIVAN: Okay.

MR. CAMPBELL: If we're gonna pick a fight, I would rather pick a fight when we're ready to do a raise and do something. That was my opinion of it.

MR. SULLIVAN: Okay.

MR. CAMPBELL: If that's the only thing holding them up from the other things we left in there -- we left the compensation aspect of things that they were wanting us to remove and we stood our ground on that, which I believe rightfully so. So I guess, like many things in this world, there's a give and a take and a balance. And if it makes it clean and they approved it and we're all on the same page, keeping the peace for now. And like I said, hopefully things change in the Village and we're all looking at doing some increases next year. No one likes to be sitting where you're in the hole and watching every nickel that you can't spend. So -- but I know -- Darren and Cindy I want to thank you two for putting the extra work and research into this. I know there was more time on it.

MR. SULLIVAN: We can't be in a position where we can't hold help, we're continually --

MR. CAMPBELL: I agree.

MR. SULLIVAN: How did we make out with Mike?

MR. CAMPBELL: Well, let's focus on this.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: We have to go into executive session.

MR. CAMPBELL: We'll focus on this. It's on our agenda. I reviewed this, I didn't see any other changes from what we already talked about.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Well, they did -- they didn't do they -- they

did not prorate the vacation.

MR. CAMPBELL: Correct, they had a problem with the vacation, they wanted to keep it -- even though there's no other person in the Village like that right now, they wanted to be basically no vacation compensation. They figured a part-time person that that's -- I guess they called it silly to consider giving a part-time person part-time vacation based on the time they worked. They said just keep it in line with the Village.

MR. SULLIVAN: But it kind of changes it. I agree with what you're saying part-time. But when they come back and say permanent part-time and you're working that person 28 hours a week every week --

MR. CAMPBELL: How come you don't get at least equivalent vacation.

MR. SULLIVAN: Show that you have at least the equivalent to that 28 hours.

MR. CAMPBELL: As it sits right now, this does not -- there's no compensation for vacation. That's as it sits right now, right?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: There is compensation for vacation on 15 and 10 years. It's just not the increments that matches the full-times, 1, 2 and 3 and 4. But 15 and 10 is still in there.

MR. CAMPBELL: What section was that at?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Let me look, because it was supposed to be in there.

MR. CAMPBELL: I thought it was in here. Because they did change that for the full-time.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Yeah, Section 18, vacation for permanent part-time, one year, five years and ten years. So they just --

MR. SULLIVAN: So one year --

MR. CAMPBELL: Oh, 18. Okay, yeah. One year you get one week of vacation, five years two weeks, and ten years you get three weeks. So they do have vacation, but it's not until after they get a year of service. It won't be prorated based on hours, what we were suggesting. So it's -- like I said, it's a give and take. I don't think that's unreasonable.

MR. SULLIVAN: So the one year is 28 hours?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: What Kevin and Bill set down is the first year they would get 24 hours, and in years two and three it would be prorated based on hours worked. That was stricken, and they went back to one year average hours worked. So it's not gonna be fixed at 24. If he works 29 1/2, then it will be 29 1/2. Even though that's not what Bill wanted, he wanted the fixed, but this is what was printed. So that's --

MR. SULLIVAN: Yeah, it doesn't sound like it's worth a fight.

MR. CAMPBELL: It's at least something. Yeah, okay. So I'm okay with it the way it is. And I don't know if Tom had a chance to review it. But if we don't pass it, then it's not gonna be in time for -- Council still has -- well --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: There will be a special meeting to close the year on December 30 in the afternoon. It has to be ready for that meeting because payroll is actually the 2nd. She can prepare the payroll, but she wouldn't be able to give it to him if this isn't passed.

MR. SULLIVAN: All right. I make a motion to pass it.

MR. CAMPBELL: All right, I'll second that. All in favor?

(All respond aye.)

MR. CAMPBELL: All opposed?

(No response.)

MR. CAMPBELL: 2019 what?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Ten. Resolution 2019-10.

MR. SULLIVAN: So that will be ready for them on the 30th and we won't have to --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I have to get Paul to create the Ordinance. We had a little disagreement over me asking for a clean copy of this today. I did get the clean copy, but I will follow-up tomorrow and make sure that they are working on the clean Ordinance for Bill.

MR. SULLIVAN: Okay. But what I want to know is do we need to meet on the 30th too.

MR. CAMPBELL: No.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: No, not if you're passing it today or if you passed it today. I'll have you sign it while you're here.

MR. CAMPBELL: We gotta sign it.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: There's some formatting in there that's not correct. But at this point I don't care, I can't fix that. It's the content that's important.

MR. CAMPBELL: Well, thank whoever brought in the water and cookies. Chris. And Tom isn't here, he missed it.

2. Master Water Model Plan Update

MR. CAMPBELL: Number 2, Master Water Model Plan Update.

MR. KOGELNIK: Okay. Let's see. Niles meter demands maintenance of operator report without Warren flows -- (reading inaudibly) -- I think Bob McNutt has a meeting with Darren.

MR. BIGGS: Thursday morning at 9:00 he'll be in. 3:32, somewhere after 4:00 last night he called me. We discussed a bunch of things, I gave him a bunch of information. Today I got more of what he needed. I asked Cindy's help so you can get some of the things a little bit easier than I can. I have too much information, and she's working on that. And he's coming in at 9:00, and I'll have all that for him show him what I have, see what else he needs.

MR. SULLIVAN: This is Niles?

MR. CAMPBELL: This is our water model with the information they need.

MR. BIGGS: Bob McNutt from CT, he's handling that. He's gonna come in again and get some more information from us, which we have. We set it up for Thursday at 9:00, he's gonna come in and we'll move forward. What he was bringing up is what I keep track of is Warren and Niles water. And when I turn in my monthly report to the E.P.A. it has a total on there, and he was getting information off of that. And it wasn't coming out right because I need that for the E.P.A. but not for what we use, only the Niles water. And I explained that to him last night, so I'll get all that information for him. He needed more things than he can get off the E.P.A. report that I gave him. That's what he was bringing up with the Niles and Warren.

MR. KOGELNIK: So SCADA data, reviewing and discuss that. And then if you have any coupons, pieces of pipe that you take out of a system that would show any tuberculation the piping, Bob would like to see that so that he can understand that C-factor roughness to quantify, you know, how much through-put the system has. It's a part of modeling it.

MR. CAMPBELL: Understand, yeah. Here's our scrap pile, take a look at that.

MR. KOGELNIK: What we -- a lot of this study depends on empirical data, meaning little bits and pieces of information that you have.

MR. CAMPBELL: There's no way to actually get that in -- you have a piece of pipe that's been removed and --

MR. KOGELNIK: Exactly.

MR. CAMPBELL: Little guy you can send down there to scrape the wall, a miner?

MR. KOGELNIK: Really that's the only way to do that. And it's

observations that the operators make. We saw this over here, we saw that over there. So we're depending on a lot of -- that's why Bob keeps calling. He's a pain-in-the-butt to Darren right now.

MR. BIGGS: I'm wondering who's doing the work, CT or Darren right now.

MR. KOGELNIK: Any recent fire hydrant static or flow testing data that you have. This is something that Bruce probably would have kept in the past. And then Asset Management Report with the Capital Improvement Plan projections. So you know, we talked about that stuff. There might be some things that you don't have that we might be able to help you to do.

MR. SULLIVAN: Are you gonna be there?

MR. KOGELNIK: I'm not gonna be there on Thursday morning, I can't. So -- but these are the things that we're gonna be working on quite a bit. Actually no, Thursday morning I can be here at 9:00. That one meeting canceled out. So I can do that. So these are the things that Bob's working on. And naturally, at this time of the year there's a whole lot of nothing being done because of the coordination with Niles, it's Christmas time, it's hard to reach people, et cetera. So I imagine this is gonna really ramp up in January, so be ready.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Anything else on the Master Water Meter Model?

MR. KOGELNIK: No. You understand what the model is gonna eventuate to, right Mike?

MR. SULLIVAN: Yeah.

3. 2020 Meeting Dates

MR. CAMPBELL: We got our meeting dates, which thank you Cindy for putting those together.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: That's on the green sheet for you.

MR. CAMPBELL: Did everybody get a copy of those?

MR. KOGELNIK: No, I did not get a copy. I probably didn't pick it up upstairs, I'm sorry.

MR. CAMPBELL: That's been our marching orders until we decide to change them. Now we need to make a motion. I make a motion to approve those dates for 2020.

MR. SULLIVAN: Second.

MR. CAMPBELL: All in favor?

(All respond aye.)

MR. CAMPBELL: All opposed?

(No response.)

OLD BUSINESS:

2. Utility Department Building

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. Down to -- back on track with our Old Business. We have Utility Department Building, keeping that on our radar. Probably hasn't changed since the last meeting.

MR. KOGELNIK: No.

MR. SULLIVAN: I told you I talked to Gil, and he was talking about trying to put it in -- then I talked to Sean.

MR. CAMPBELL: Oh, on the political end.

MR. SULLIVAN: Trying to get some money out of them. And what Sean said is they can't get money for a new building, but there's a chance that he could get two to three hundred thousand if we were remodeling a building. So when he said that, that kind of took me back to --

MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah, something to, I guess, think about.

MR. SULLIVAN: Three hundred thousand. If we could get three hundred thousand, that would do it.

MR. CAMPBELL: All depends what we decide to do and where you're gonna do it at and how much it -- but then I guess the other thing is that whatever that would be, you would be locked in at that point to probably never change it. If you're gonna dump three hundred thousand into something and something else came around later --

MR. SULLIVAN: That would be your home.

MR. CAMPBELL: Correct. That's what I'm kind of getting at.

MR. KOGELNIK: Did he say what the three hundred thousand -- where that \$300,000 would come from, like capital budget?

MR. SULLIVAN: Yeah. They did the budget, but now they're doing the capital budget; and he thought that he might be able to slide it into that.

MR. KOGELNIK: Because a lot of people are seeing that that initial suggestion that I was talking to you about, the capital budget, is a politically driven funding opportunity. And I mean, it's everybody; and everybody is trying to make an application to that. But you're right, the first thing that has to be done is to communicate with those legislators.

MR. SULLIVAN: And then you gotta pick a site.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah, they gotta have details.

MR. KOGELNIK: They have to be warm and fuzzy about your project. Are you ready to go with it, or right now do you have a plan for a building.

MR. CAMPBELL: We got nothing.

MR. KOGELNIK: We don't have anything. They're looking for stuff that's shovel-ready.

MR. SULLIVAN: I thought you already did a plan for the Kunkle.

MR. KOGELNIK: We did a cost estimate for the Kunkle building. But again, that site had some issues if memory serves me correctly.

MR. CAMPBELL: And they haven't gotten better.

MR. KOGELNIK: Yeah.

MR. CAMPBELL: That's what I mean, it's just gotten worse. It gets more expensive.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Where would you think you would be able to remodel? The existing building, or the other one was the Peterson building. Where would that be?

MR. KOGELNIK: It wouldn't be the Peterson building either.

MR. SULLIVAN: How about Darren's lean-to?

MR. BIGGS: Right.

MR. KOGELNIK: So there was -- I think the garage part of the Kunkle building was the only good --

MR. SULLIVAN: Well as I remember it though, we were talking about --

MR. CAMPBELL: Walling and tearing off.

MR. SULLIVAN: Walling it off, just using half of that building.

MR. KOGELNIK: Yeah. And that even cost a good --

MR. BEVAN: It was a lot.

MR. KOGELNIK: I think we were talking around seven or eight hundred thousand dollars.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Wasn't there an impasse between Council and the Board as to what was acceptable for the Board to take and use? I think that kind of got nixed because you couldn't agree as to what you have. There's different people --

MR. BIGGS: You would spend X-amount of dollars to tear it down. And guys had asked before what if you gave it to us and we used ours; and no, now it's all on you.

MR. CAMPBELL: They pulled out the --

MR. SULLIVAN: Well, originally --

MR. CAMPBELL: And now the building still sits there.

MR. BIGGS: Well we pay for it every month, and it's falling apart.

MR. SULLIVAN: Before the crash, Arno had come here and said well, we'll give you \$100,000 from Council. Do you remember that?

MR. CAMPBELL: At one point, yeah. But the last point I remember --

MR. SULLIVAN: Well, after the crash it's well, we'll give you nothing.

MR. CAMPBELL: I heard it was up to two hundred at one point.

MR. BIGGS: I think it was over that that they were willing to pay to tear it down.

MR. KOGELNIK: This is the reason for doing capital improvements planning. I mean, you're saying paying for something you don't want to -- you don't have a use for right now. But you know, you've got a particular use that might not even be at that site. I really think that the BPA, Utilities Committee and Council need to work together to get this fixed because you're gonna need that sooner or later. And I don't think that three -- I don't even think \$400,000 or \$500,000 is gonna solve that problem right now because we don't know what we're doing in terms of a building repair or new building.

MR. SULLIVAN: Well you know, there's a possibility we could take what he's got and basically do away with it. But --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Make it the garage for the vehicles only.

MR. KOGELNIK: It costs to do that, Mike, to utilize that space and reconstruct.

MR. SULLIVAN: Reconstruct it where, you know -- I mean, they got some beautiful modular buildings that you can probably do for much less than --

MR. KOGELNIK: Maybe. But who's gonna evaluate that. You know, these things need to be looked at in concept and then costed out. And you know, you need to determine okay, how much space do we have for garage base, for offices and lockers and things like that. You need to do some homework. And that's what we initially started doing with Kunkle, but we started seeing oh gees, the costs of rehabing and the cost of building new were all expensive.

MR. CAMPBELL: Weren't lining up.

MR. KOGELNIK: So that's where we're at right now. And nobody wanted to invest in property out there that was likely to be --

MR. SULLIVAN: Well I guess my question is, are we saying that we don't want to get the politicians to go after the two or three hundred thousand dollars?

MR. CAMPBELL: I think what he's saying is we need more.

MR. SULLIVAN: We need a plan. All right.

MR. CAMPBELL: You're saying shovel-ready. We're a long way from shovel-ready.

MR. KOGELNIK: Let me tell you something, there are a lot of buildings for the capital plan that have plans, specifications, they've been sitting on a shelf dying for a dollar. Those politicians, if they are smart, they would fund those. So you really need to have -- you need to do some homework.

MR. CAMPBELL: And you being on the same page and agreeing on the direction, that's difficult as it is. But where and when.

MR. KOGELNIK: And this opportunity is probably one of the only opportunities that pays or helps to pay for buildings. And it's an opportunity that comes around once every two years I think, odd-numbered years or something like that. So --

MR. CAMPBELL: When's this one up?

MR. KOGELNIK: They're going to -- they're accepting nominations right now and they're gonna fund projects next year. So -- but that's all. I can't be any more honest than that.

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. Well, I don't know what we can do at this point unless we call in Council and start discussing some ideas, if they are willing to give us some property.

MR. BIGGS: Kevin, we probably should do something because we can't answer these questions if he finds money.

MR. SULLIVAN: We need to put something together.

MR. BIGGS: What is our next step to be able to accept that or say hey CT, how can you help out.

MR. CAMPBELL: But to do all these steps takes money right now, and they don't want to --

MR. BIGGS: What can we do right now to be better prepared?

MR. KOGELNIK: Remember what I said the last time, start itemizing what elements of a building you want. I mean, you did that. And I listed those out and I sent them to you in an e-mail. Send that to Council, say this is what we need to get prepared for; you need to help us on this, we can't do this on our own.

MR. CAMPBELL: It's a good start.

MR. KOGELNIK: The next step is to just sketch that out, a concept plan and cost estimate it. And then if you need new property, find that property or find a couple properties that would be candidates, or maybe building in place in the existing spot.

MR. SULLIVAN: Well the way I understand it, if you're gonna go for that money you have to go to an existing --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Structure.

MR. SULLIVAN: You can't say okay, here's a piece of property we're gonna build on.

MR. CAMPBELL: But to state his point, his point is go the direction to what you want to go, have a met go, say all right, sir we have something we want it to be instead of saying all right, we're gonna try to Band-Aid fix it and grab two or three hundred thousand dollars and you got something a little better than what you want but it's never gonna be what you need. I think that's the difference Chris is trying to get us to see. What do you need to function as the Utility Department for water and sewer in the Village, a growing and industrial and commercial Village that's getting bigger and bigger corporations coming in. The rinky-dink stuff we have is not.

MR. KOGELNIK: So as not to have your time thrown away, I would be willing to have a conversation with you and the state rep to evaluate what the likelihood would be for them to fund an improvement at that spot where the existing building is at right now. Even if next year we're not ready, he knows next time around we are. So I would be willing --

MR. CAMPBELL: It's not that far on the --

MR. KOGELNIK: I would be willing to help you with a conversation for him.

MR. CAMPBELL: How much property is there that we can work with? I guess first off, we don't really own the building.

MR. BIGGS: Depends on who you ask and what day.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: When it comes for repairs, it's our building.

MR. BIGGS: Other than that, I don't know. I've heard it all. I don't know.

MR. CAMPBELL: But I mean, is there enough space to do what we want there? I mean, that's my concern.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I don't know how much property the Village

owns.

MR. CAMPBELL: That's what I was asking.

MR. KOGELNIK: That's something simple you guys can -- you should be able to --

MR. CAMPBELL: Is it even feasible to put something there we wanted that will work. If not, it's not an option for us, we need to look at Kunkle or another piece of property or whatever.

MR. SULLIVAN: Well when you get that, I'll get with Sean and Gil and ask both of them to come to a meeting.

MR. KOGELNIK: I can arrange a conference call if you want to do it on the phone. You and I can sit here on the phone and call him.

MR. SULLIVAN: Okay.

MR. KOGELNIK: I'll make it easy on you. I just don't want to throw away this effort if he was willing to listen to you.

MR. CAMPBELL: Worst case, it's still planting the seed for next time. It's not wasted.

MR. SULLIVAN: I think Sean has a lot more ins and outs in Columbus than Gil would at this point.

MR. KOGELNIK: He seems like he's getting a lot done right now. So let me know when I can help you.

MR. CAMPBELL: Very good. All right.

3. I&I

MR. CAMPBELL: Down to number 3, I&I. I know probably with everything going on and the weather and changes and stuff, I don't know if you have any updates for I&I.

MR. BIGGS: No, nothing.

4. Sanitary Sewer Rate Review

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Sanitary Sewer Rate Review. Do we have that to keep an eye on to keep track of that. There's been no changes or updates on that since our last meeting.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Before you go too far, the sanitary sewer rate review, Warren passed that increase and they were waiting for the Warren rate study. I got that and forwarded it to Paul, and it seems like since then we haven't had any progress. I think -- can somebody bring that back up because the rate needs to be adjusted or discussed or taken off this agenda. I mean, you gave him a resolution saying hey, if this is what you want. But they said well, they have to challenge the Warren rate study. To me we got a void, and no one's addressing the void.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: So this needs to -- somebody needs to get back on this.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah. Last I knew it was in Paul's --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I forwarded him the rate study. He said he was waiting for it, waiting for it. So I got it and e-mailed it to him.

MR. CAMPBELL: And nothing since.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Nothing since.

MR. CAMPBELL: I'll take care of that.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Thank you. It's just that we're in our December meeting and rates need to be adjusted for March, which means January or February. These need to be very active discussions. And it's gonna be here before you know it, and we won't have any discussions.

MR. CAMPBELL: Well, we can make our recommendation; but it falls upon Council to whatever they're gonna do.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: But again, it takes time. It still comes back to me to change those rates and to do that and get them implemented. And

if March is our adjustment month then, and we need to be on top with that because of the increase from Niles. We can't keep putting it off. One hand's waiting for the other while the other hand is waiting for the same one to come back.

MR. SULLIVAN: Weren't we gonna set up with meeting with Niles.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: And we tried and we tried, and now they just don't respond.

MR. CAMPBELL: Well, there you go. All right. I'll take care of that one.

5. Warren Water

MR. CAMPBELL: Next one, Warren Water. That one's along the lines of keeping track and with the second power plant. But I don't think -- it's been since the agreement that they tried pushing from the Warren side. I know from the Village side we haven't responded or done anything with it. I know I haven't.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Bill Siderewicz was in the building yesterday, and rumor has it that they will prepare or plan to start maybe in April.

MR. CAMPBELL: To actually break ground in April?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: That's the word in the building.

MR. KOGELNIK: I wonder if their Ohio E.P.A. permit is running into a problem for expiration. There's a time limit usually on those.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah.

MR. KOGELNIK: And they have waited about a year. So you might want to check into that.

6. Personnel

MR. CAMPBELL: We had Personnel down for number 6. Is that something we needed to get an executive session for or we'll save until the end then? I know we kind of talked earlier.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: You had questions. If you want to have -- if you want those questions answered, you would have to do it under executive session, skip it for now and do it at the end of the meeting.

MR. SULLIVAN: Yeah, just skip it.

PUBLIC COMMENTS:

MR. CAMPBELL: Down to our second set of Public Comments.

MR. COWIE: I have a quick question, maybe Cindy can help me. There was a resident, Ada Spain, had called maybe two weeks ago about concerns of -- I don't know what she was doing, she had some plumbing done in her house or something and she was concerned about the water. And she misunderstood that water belongs to us.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: She kept saying that she came in, and I actually asked her to come to the meeting. She came in with sediment in the -- I don't know what, if it was a humidifier or a container.

MR. COWIE: I'm just trying to understand what was said so if she wants to -- if she comes to us --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I tried to explain to her when she takes her screens off, that's gonna allow some of that debris to move. She was appalled, it's in the lines. I told her we cannot flush those lines within Imperial. It's in her water line in her home, that goes to her home. Take the screens off, turn it on and off, scrub them.

MR. COWIE: She had a hard time understanding.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: And she thought it was dirt. I told her it was the minerals in the water and stuff like that. She goes I'm drinking this water.

MR. CAMPBELL: We all are.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: That's what I told her.

MR. COWIE: She was under the impression that the water, once it hit our line, wasn't the Village's anymore, it was our water, you know. And I -- when she explained some of it -- because she talked with the girls, I didn't talk to her directly -- I understood about the sediment or the calcium in the line. And when you do some plumbing, it may jar some loose and it may come to the screens. I just didn't know what she had said.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: She attributed it to the water being -- it was in her water from the water line break in September. And I said it's minerals in your water, and to get that out of there you have to clean the lines, flushing the lines. We can do that so far, we can't do that within Imperial; you can do it by taking your screens and faucets off inside your home and flushing that. That's what she did, and that's how she got that out of there. But she was -- in my opinion, she was under the belief that that was dirt in the water and it was not. I mean, it's calcium and lime flakes and stuff.

MR. COWIE: Everything that's in the lines are everywhere.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: And I explained that to her. But she was very upset. She was like, I want to say, shocked that she seen that come out of her faucet.

MR. CAMPBELL: Never let her see the actual water line then, Darren.

MR. COWIE: That helps because, like I said, if it comes back around. And the girls know some, but they don't know enough to give an --

MR. CAMPBELL: And it has gotten better since MVSD. It's gotten dramatically better.

MR. COWIE: Since they made adjustments out at Meander there.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: And she said -- I don't know if she had lost water or put water pressure to open that up. And I told her because of the work and stuff being in the area could have caused more of that to break off those water lines and travel. But I told her again on and off, and that's what she did and that's how it --

MR. COWIE: That helps. Just so if she gets a hold of me, if she needs a further explanation, and we'll explain it was Meander.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: But did I tell her she could come and talk. And I told her -- I did tell her to talk to the park, and I told her it's -- we have an obligation, the park has an obligation, and besides her taking that off and flushing that out of there there's nothing we could do to get that out of her home.

MR. COWIE: Okay, yeah.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: To do what she was doing.

MR. COWIE: And that home's been there a while, so you know --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: And I don't think there's a lot of water usage in the home either, so that sometimes --

MR. COWIE: Well, thank you then. That's it.

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. Thank you.

REPORTS:

1. Solicitor's Report

MR. CAMPBELL: We're down to our reports. Anything from our Solicitor besides the Resolution work?

2. Engineer's Report

MR. CAMPBELL: Engineer's Report. Anything else from the Engineer or any questions for Chris?

MR. KOGELNIK: We had the pre-construction meeting for the meter manhole project on Monday. And the contractor has already started to submit some preliminary shop drawings, I'll call them that. Shop

drawings are those drawings that we approve of the products before they go to construction just to make sure that they're on the same page and what we're doing with the plans. So one thing with the meter manhole is they wanted to know the orientation of the entry points, et cetera. And so this is the meter manhole side view, and then this is top view looking down. And so Darren, we're going to have -- the street is up here, we were gonna have the rungs oriented over here so you flip the door up towards the street. Is that okay.

MR. BIGGS: Yeah, we're far enough off the road there.

MR. KOGELNIK: And plus it's all gonna be enclosed in floor boards, so it's gonna be protected.

MR. BIGGS: One of the things with that too, I see that was -- what did you have that at, four foot or whatever, and we have these. I don't know the spacing on those, but you know we need a tripod on there too. We gotta make sure we leave room for all that stuff.

MR. KOGELNIK: Can you tell us the footprint of your tripod.

MR. BIGGS: Yeah, smaller than that. We have trouble using it on these new ones.

MR. CAMPBELL: Because they are bigger.

MR. KOGELNIK: That may be a problem with this one because it sticks up out of the ground.

MR. BIGGS: It sticks up, so as it comes up --

MR. KOGELNIK: Yeah. So that might be difficult with this one.

MR. CAMPBELL: Do you need a new tripod then?

MR. BIGGS: We may. We're getting around. We can make things work for the shallower one at LEC. That's the only one we have. Now we're getting -- we'll have to figure something out definitely. We'll need some kind of safety before anybody goes down in there to do anything.

MR. KOGELNIK: The panel would be locked just off where the rungs for the entry point are at, so further away from the road. That's where the panel is. And then there will be a buried cable for power going into the unit over here. And then this is the vent stack. It will be off over here and out of the way of your ability to climb down in there. Okay. So that's one drawing that we're working with the manufacturer on. And it's important to get it right the first time because this is being fiberglass cast I think in Oregon, it's gotta come delivered the exact right way. So the contractor's notice to proceed was November 25, and he's got until I think May 24 to get this totally done.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay.

MR. KOGELNIK: And there's probably nothing that's gonna be done in these initial months because there's nothing to install. He's ordering all the pieces and parts. So that's what's going on there. And then --

MR. CAMPBELL: Did you have any questions, Tom, along --

MR. COWIE: When you had mentioned the bollards going around the outside of that, will that be -- I guess for the sake of -- will that be fenced-in or anything for the sake of maintenance or anything, because we usually mow that area.

MR. CAMPBELL: Trimming and stuff. Good question.

MR. KOGELNIK: Let me show you some pictures.

MR. COWIE: Will it be gravelled or --

MR. KOGELNIK: It will be gravelled. But that same meter manhole was installed at the LEC project. There it is right there.

MR. COWIE: So anything around the manhole itself and the control panel that will all be gravelled and, I mean, grassed up to the edge.

MR. KOGELNIK: Yeah.

MR. COWIE: Like I said, we usually mow around that area down

there. But whatever we --

MR. KOGELNIK: See it.

MR. CAMPBELL: But it would be gravelled.

MR. COWIE: But it will not be fenced-in; is that correct?

MR. KOGELNIK: Correct. So the yellow bollards there are basically steel pipes that stick up out of the bond.

MR. CAMPBELL: The power box is locked with a key.

MR. KOGELNIK: It's all locked. And I checked, Darren, there is a service connection for emergency power.

MR. BIGGS: I seen that.

MR. SULLIVAN: Where is the panel?

MR. KOGELNIK: The panel is on the unistrut. See the stainless steel, that's the panel.

MR. SULLIVAN: So they don't have to go down --

MR. BIGGS: Only for maintenance. The other readings and everything up here and emergency power, that's everything right here. That would be on this one. This is our -- how we can read it, make sure everything is fine. Over here is for our generator auxiliary power. That's obviously electric. Just down there it's a tube that could get plugged up or any debris, and that's all that's in there. Everything is above.

MR. COWIE: Which direction does that control panel face? Will it be north, south --

MR. KOGELNIK: The control panel.

MR. BIGGS: East side of it.

MR. KOGELNIK: Yeah, it will be on the east side.

MR. BIGGS: Furthest from the road. You'll have the manhole and then the thing.

MR. COWIE: Okay. Okay.

MR. SULLIVAN: And the final cost of that was what?

MR. KOGELNIK: Around 70 -- 67, 70.

MR. SULLIVAN: Really?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I think it was \$67,499.

MR. KOGELNIK: You think. Our Clerk is all over that. So that's what we're doing on the project right now. So expect a long wait time for -- the one good thing, the contractor did state was he was going to push the service under the road so that he doesn't dig up the road.

MR. COWIE: We still have some photos and --

MR. KOGELNIK: Yeah, I asked you to talk with --

MR. COWIE: Ronnie. That is stuff --

MR. BIGGS: When I get the marking request, Tom, or when they do, they're gonna call that in. We can mark ours. I was also gonna give it to you so that we can do those together.

MR. COWIE: Right, because we exposed it last year and got the OUPS and things off the road edge so we can nail it down pretty good.

MR. KOGELNIK: You gave him those dimensions. Ronnie gave me those.

MR. BIGGS: That's what I figures. Just so you are aware where they are on the -- we're marking, we can make sure everything is good to avoid any problems over there.

MR. KOGELNIK: That is one thing that I definitely want this contractor to see. So let's encourage they dig up in those locations and knowing that those utilities are there before he pushes across the road. Another thing that we're starting to work on, but we don't yet have an official set from Kelly's office, is the old Dominion freight line. This is a land development project that is planned to be positioned on State Route 45 directly across from the intersection with Henn Parkway. So remember the discussion we had regarding the old North Point, where to connect the

water to. You know, there was the question is it gonna be the Lordstown line or the other side of 45.

MR. SULLIVAN: The Trumbull line.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Warren.

MR. CAMPBELL: Is that project still coming through then?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Absolutely.

MR. CAMPBELL: I heard rumors that it was -- they were gonna put it on hold because of the battery plant, G.M. might be grabbing some of their property.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: They refused to sell that. That's the last I heard.

MR. KOGELNIK: This is an unofficial set. They asked us to download this from their website. It was their engineer's from Utah or something like that.

MR. CAMPBELL: That was pretty recent. I guess they are going forward then.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I did bring that to your attention at the last meeting. Because I said right now if they come to me, the PPE that they submitted is incomplete. So we told them not acceptable, get it completed. But without special exceptions, they'll have to connect to the Lordstown supply line or Niles across the street. That's what we've made Fear Forest do, across the four lane highway to bore under it. But unless they get exception to connect to Warren, which we have to go to Warren and get that permission, right now they would be made naturally to connect to the Lordstown supply.

MR. CAMPBELL: Correct.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: And there's not even been a request from them to connect to the Warren.

MR. KOGELNIK: That is gonna be something you guys need to decide.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: And I did -- I brought it to the Board last month and told them this is -- there's this question that could be asked, but they haven't asked.

MR. CAMPBELL: Like I say, it hasn't come this way yet.

MR. SULLIVAN: Ask me, I'm gonna tell them we want them to go to the Lordstown line.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Exactly.

MR. CAMPBELL: That's the default. They needed an exception to go to Warren.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: CT offered judgment. With the water model not being complete, it's not that they're gonna be a huge water customer, but do you want somebody because of the location accessing that water -- more water from that area on our line? So there might not be -- like I said, it's natural you will connect to our line. But based on the environment, we might not really want them to do that. So --

MR. KOGELNIK: Yeah, you're right. The PPE form -- that's the Preliminary Planning and Evaluation form -- that lays out how much water, how much waste water they would have for their site. It suggests something like 64 gallons a minute of water, which I think is kind of high --

MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah.

MR. KOGELNIK: -- for a facility that size. This is just a storage or distribution center, right?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I thought -- I asked her if they were gonna have wash bays, and I'm not sure if they are or they are not.

MR. CAMPBELL: If they did, that would line up.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I was not able to get any answers from what was submitted. Not that I spoke to anybody, but from what was on paper.

I don't have an answer for that.

MR. KOGELNIK: So just make it known that at your next meeting you probably are gonna be talking about a location to connect once you have some solid rate information from them. That's it. I talked with Darren and Vinny about a week ago about some -- the automatic release valves. Those are on your sanitary force mains. They had some questions about what types of release valves do they have on them. You basically have two types. On your old system you have Golden-Andersons. They are a ductile or cast iron body valve, and they can be rebuilt to a point. There are rebuild kits available for them. And then on your new system you have a more plastic type automatic release valve made by a manufacturer called Bermad. And the price of both valves are probably around the same. I think the Golden-Anderson valves may be a little bit more money. But the plastic ones will not rust, and that's good because freezing by way of rusting is often times a problem in those cast iron or old ductile iron body valves.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay.

MR. KOGELNIK: So you might want to consider in the future replacing your old Golden-Anderson cast iron body valves with the new plastic Bermad type valves. They are a lot easier to work on too, I think.

MR. CAMPBELL: Capital improvement.

MR. KOGELNIK: Yeah, right. When you get around to it.

MR. CAMPBELL: While we're speaking to that, because this just popped into my head, with the force main and the issues we were having with it because we were talking about it not putting at the rate it was supposed to, then we would have issues with --

MR. KOGELNIK: Did you ever come across a problem with that or did you solve that?

MR. CAMPBELL: That's what I'm getting at.

MR. KOGELNIK: Is that still an issue.

MR. CAMPBELL: I'm looking at both of you, and you're looking at each other. Now I'm really worried.

MR. BIGGS: Definitely still an issue. We went out and we did everything that CT suggested and did everything and did all the --

MR. KOGELNIK: Okay.

MR. BIGGS: -- and whatever else. And you came up with hey, we need to tap it. And I'm not tapping into a warranty. And you said where the pressures were, and that's where we ended up.

MR. CAMPBELL: It's still where it's been --

MR. BIGGS: That was coming off of Lift Station 2. Everything is still working fine. It just declined and there is some kind of issue where we get better flow out of there with -- where he's talking wasn't there, it wasn't affecting that. It was just an older air release valve.

MR. CAMPBELL: I understand that stuff, but it popped into my head.

MR. KOGELNIK: This is a related item there. So if that's not yet resolved, we need to go back there. And unfortunately, Darren --

MR. BIGGS: We need to find time, I know.

MR. KOGELNIK: Time and the risk of tapping it have to be overcome.

MR. BIGGS: I'll fight it, I'm telling you now. I don't have the tools, I don't have the people, and I don't want to break that down.

MR. CAMPBELL: Like you said, it's still under warranty.

MR. BIGGS: Sure. He's wanting it down in the lift station.

MR. KOGELNIK: That is not a warranty issue though.

MR. BIGGS: But he wants to tap -- to check the pressure inside the lift station, okay, where we got the two that come together and TJX to come out. Which is fine, that's agreed with. That lift station is under

warranty. I don't have the equipment to be tapping that stuff, let alone the room to do it and whatever under a warranty item. And I mean, we're -- you're asking quite a bit to put a valve on that. And it makes sense what he's saying, I agree. I'm just -- with our expertise and everything and the equipment we have, I don't see us doing that.

MR. CAMPBELL: Might be a contractor job.

MR. KOGELNIK: Yes. Yeah. So there was a couple emails exchanged where I had made some recommendations there. And yes, that was the correct thing is we got to a point where oh, this is a touchy thing. So --

MR. CAMPBELL: It's still touchy.

MR. KOGELNIK: But it needs to be done.

MR. CAMPBELL: I'm glad I brought it up.

MR. KOGELNIK: That's all.

MR. CAMPBELL: Any questions for our Engineer? No?

MR. SULLIVAN: Have you -- either one of you, do we know anything about that battery plant, sites that Lordstown's recommending?

MR. CAMPBELL: It's all rumors.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: To my understanding, it's not a -- there's no official X-marks-the-spot for anybody yet.

MR. SULLIVAN: Well what I understood, there was four sites and two of them were in Lordstown.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: And I can believe that, yes.

MR. SULLIVAN: But we don't know where those are.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: No. In all honesty, I think it might be a few months before that's known.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Hopefully more business for Lordstown. Hopefully it's in Lordstown.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Cross our fingers. We'll take them all, stack them tall.

MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you, Chris, for that.

MR. KOGELNIK: Thank you all.

3. Utility Committee Report

MR. CAMPBELL: Utility Committee Report. Anything from that side of the border? I didn't think so.

4. Clerk's Report

MR. CAMPBELL: Cindy, Clerk's Report.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I just wanted to give you an update on the Trafftech invoice for the water line break. They turned it over to their insurance company, and the insurance company asked us for what makes you feel that we're responsible for that. I sent her a little note that states that they were drilling. I asked today for an update, and she's waiting for information back before she can make her determination. So as of this afternoon she's still waiting for information from her customer. The bill has not been paid.

MR. CAMPBELL: What was the total on that, just roughly?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: \$8,520.14. It's past due, so you're gonna add another \$852 to that. But let's get to that.

MR. CAMPBELL: Let's get it paid, get it moving first.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I brought to your attention earlier that there was a high water meter reading and the customer is not content with where it's at. He's paying the bill. The meter -- we billed off a meter reading. He validated that with a reading of his own and then asked for the meter to be changed. They went out, changed the meter. And I had asked for a data log on the radio to see if we could isolate the time frame for that

high consumption. And believe it or not, but from the time the meter was read to the time that we requested the change in the data log, the battery in the radio reading device died. So now we can't give him a service. I explained that's out there for us to read off of only and it's a benefit to us. It only validates the meters, and the meter's the real deal. So he had asked our foreman to bench test or it was offered, and I told him that can't be done. He's contesting the bill even though he's made payment on it, but he doesn't want to pay it and have it sent out to be tested. I told him if it's wrong we pay for it; if it's correct, he would pay for it.

MR. CAMPBELL: That's how it works. And that's industry standard, that's not just how we do it.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: That's -- and I told the foreman if you touch that meter and work that meter, he's gonna say that well, you know, you broke something loose. And he's taken videos of the meter moving; but we don't know what was making the meter move, if the toilet flushed and water trickling, only water trickling. I tried to tell him please come to the Board, we've offered you everything we can except you have to tell us to send that meter out or not. We would hold the meter and the radio. He did not come. And I really thought he would come. The information was -- some of the information is given to you. I do have the work order where the guys wrote that, you know, the radio reading device the batteries are dead, they changed the meter the readings on it there.

MR. CAMPBELL: So let me back up. So at this point the meter's still installed at the residence, no the meter's been pulled?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: The meter has been changed with the new meter.

MR. CAMPBELL: There's a new meter put in place, and they have the new meter.

MR. BIGGS: That and the radio sitting next to my desk.

MR. CAMPBELL: New meter and new radio. How long has that been in place?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: The work order, the meter was changed on November 21. And this is the work order if you'd like to see it.

MR. CAMPBELL: No, that's all right. All right. So up until this point -- and his bill does look substantial?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Uh-huh.

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. That was -- that large bill, was it in a quarter that that was that high.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: In a three months period, yes.

MR. CAMPBELL: And before obviously it was --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: And on this first sheet you'll see the reading date I believe was October 28. And I did try to call, but the phone number on the account was not working. So that has been resolved there. But from October 28 to the day that he called on the 20th of November he read the meter at 1989 or -- yeah -- and which gave it more -- four, almost five thousand gallons -- he read every digit, almost five thousand gallons more in just those couple weeks time. So in a typical billing period, you know, fifteen thousand -- that would be like fifteen thousand -- in his two or three weeks you're talking about a twenty-some thousand gallon bill I think he would have received; and normally his is about twelve or the average is twelve. And that's his consumption, you can see they have went up and down. But I guess they have like an outside pool, different things like that. And I told him was it possible -- you gotta go back three months from October 28, you know the summer months -- did you leave the garden hose run, you know.

MR. CAMPBELL: Kids.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: And I thought that would help us isolate the time frame the water was used. We weren't able to use the data log, the

battery's dead. Once the battery's dead, there's nothing to it.

MR. CAMPBELL: It doesn't log that. And that happens. I mean it's unfortunate, but it happens.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: But I wanted him to come, so maybe he would decide either to send it out or not. I have had numerous calls. I don't know what to do at this point.

MR. CAMPBELL: That's the only thing we can do at this point. If he wants to contest or see if there's a difference that was the problem, it has to get sent out. That's the process we have for everybody. We can't deviate from that. He pays for it. And like you said, if it's out of whack, it's fine, we end up paying for it. If it's not, he pays for it and you still owe for the bill. I mean, there's no other way around that. And there's nothing else he can do. He can come to the Board and discuss it.

MR. SULLIVAN: Was it just a one-time bill that was that high?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Yes.

MR. CAMPBELL: And you said he's been paying on the bill. Was he looking for an extension?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: That's the second phase. The majority of the bill has been paid. Actually the bill originally was for \$1,030, and they paid it down to \$383. Now tomorrow is the shut-off date because the -- Bill closing the books early. So to prevent the shut-off with a balance due, you have to do a payment extension. So I had her write out what we would normally do when the customer is requesting it beyond the 30 days from today until January, and she's offered to make -- "I'd like to pay \$75 on the 10th of each month until the bill is paid in full".

MR. CAMPBELL: I'm fine with that.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: And I told her the Board's allowed them to go out 12 months or more. I didn't want them to be any more stressed over the scenario.

MR. CAMPBELL: I'm fine with that. Are you fine with that, Mike.

MR. SULLIVAN: (Nodding head.)

MR. CAMPBELL: I'll approve that.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Next is, there was a penalty charge from after the due date.

MR. CAMPBELL: On this same account?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Uh-huh. So do you want to wait until, you know, closer to the end or would you consider waiving the penalty? I mean, they did make payment on time before.

MR. CAMPBELL: I'm fine with waiving the penalty.

MR. SULLIVAN: Me too.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: The penalty amount was \$53.05.

MR. CAMPBELL: They've paid most of the bill, they've made agreement to pay off the rest. I'm fine with that.

MR. SULLIVAN: Where is this home?

MR. CAMPBELL: It's in Lordstown.

MR. SULLIVAN: Well, that's good.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: It's on Housel Drive. But like I said, if you -- short of me telling them to just call you, like I said --

MR. CAMPBELL: I'm not gonna tell them any different.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I don't know what else -- I feel that at least --

MR. SULLIVAN: They've called her fifteen times.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: And he was disappointed that the foreman didn't call him back. And it's like well, we stopped him, he can't touch that meter if you want to have it tested. So he's gotta determine to test it or not.

MR. CAMPBELL: You either say test it or not test it. We can't mess with it because it will -- like you said, it institutes another level of complexity that something could be messed up.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I did get permission to waive the penalty?

MR. CAMPBELL: Yes.

MR. SULLIVAN: Do you need a motion for that?

MR. CAMPBELL: I make a motion to waive the penalty and accept the payment extension of \$75 a month until it's paid off.

MR. SULLIVAN: Second.

MR. CAMPBELL: All in favor?

(All respond aye.)

MR. CAMPBELL: All opposed?

(No response.)

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Next, Kevin was actually contacted by a customer where there was some work done to investigate a sink hole in the yard. And the customer is now requesting for you to winterize the sprinkler system since it had to be primed to verify or --

MR. CAMPBELL: Test after the repair.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Tells the sprinkler repair.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah, that's where it's at. So they are requesting -- and I guess back this up. When I visited the resident and checked the situation, which I've been to a couple times now -- I know Darren's been back out there and the Street Department's been there, we've worked at that location quite a bit -- it was voiced that he rarely used the sprinkler system. I followed up with why do we need to repair it. To his point, if it wasn't broke before I want to be able to use it, I should be able to use it. I said yes, that's a true statement. I was just trying to justify fixing something if you didn't use it anyway. So anyway, we went ahead and repaired and paid for the repair to the sprinkler system. And come to the end of the season, they came out it winterize it. He's like well, we have to winterize it because you have water in it now. Well that's when he contacted us, and he said it wasn't winterized before I wasn't using it; the only reason I had water in it was because I had to test it because of the repair because it was broke. So the story aligns with everything. Is it -- I think it was \$75 I think he said -- did I put that in there -- that was what they charged him to winterize the sprinkler system.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I do think that when you agreed to make the repair to the sprinkler system and that was it, a letter was sent there would be no more. And then there's this.

MR. CAMPBELL: Well, that's what we have to decide, which I had another call today to see if you've made the decision. No, we don't meet until tonight.

MR. SULLIVAN: What do you want to do?

MR. CAMPBELL: I understood the situation. I mean, I understand the justification of where his mindset is with it. But what's gonna be the next -- my problem is exactly what we're already into, what's the next thing, right. You know, all right. Well if I don't know what it may be, it seems to be something. He's very creative on linking things to not be his responsibility.

MR. SULLIVAN: Well, tell him we're done.

MR. BIGGS: If it makes you feel any better too, we had to repaint his hydrant because he decided to paint it red with black top and caps. And we did that, so maybe we should start charging him for that and maybe it will be even. Because he knows better, but we had to go fix that. So that just happened this summer.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: The Clerk objects to this. He says --

MR. SULLIVAN: Yeah, I think -- do you want to --

MR. CAMPBELL: We definitely would need a --

MR. SULLIVAN: Motion not to?

MR. CAMPBELL: I think what you do is send back an official letter, appreciate your response to your issue, you know, have the typical aspect beings thanks for contacting us, blah-blah-blah, we understand your situation; unfortunately, the Board feels that this is outside the responsibility. I guess there's not much else to add to that unless you can think of something else to add from -- you know, stuff that's appropriate.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: You want us to write that letter?

MR. CAMPBELL: So just something along those lines and then I can sign it. And I know that's gonna be the thing.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Okay. So I'll draft that and send it to you.

MR. CAMPBELL: Please do.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Last month we were working on a letter to the Utility Committee in regards to the grinder pumps within the Trumbull County sewer customers on the Tod-Tait project. And I told you that Bill was gonna try to reach out to the County and ask them hey, you know, what can be done here. And Bill did, he said "We have 3 or 4 grinder pumps on Tod Ave. Looking at this Ordinance it looks like perhaps the County is responsible for the maintenance. We had to repair a grinder pump and buy a couple lids that got broke. What's your take on this? Sincerely, Bill Blank". The reply, "Bill, Trumbull County is only responsible for the operation and maintenance of the mainline sewers installed as part of the private extension. It's my understanding the County is not subject to any agreements made between the Village and property owners who use grinder pumps. The grinder pumps are private property and not part of the public infrastructure. Sincerely, Gary Newbrough". Bill was having Dale go out and check today to see if they are in the road right-of-way or on private property.

MR. SULLIVAN: I think they're on the road right-of-way, I believe.

MR. CAMPBELL: I don't know.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I don't know.

MR. BIGGS: I think it depends on which ones and where. Some, you know, right-of-way's 50 from the center line, some 60, depending on where they put it. They're real close. I bet there is some that are inside and some that are -- offhand from just being there.

MR. SULLIVAN: Are we talking about those last two houses?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: There's four addresses on Tod-Tait that would be the County's concern or responsibility if, in fact, they were in the road right-of-way. But I told Bill when we got this back we gotta find that out and get with the Utility -- get with them and see what has to happen. But I just wanted to follow-up with you as to what has come of that. And just a reminder that Niles passed the rate increase, January 1 it will go into effect, and we've not taken any action. We have tried to contact them and meet with them, and they did not answer or reply or meet.

MR. CAMPBELL: I don't know why they're being so evasive. I don't know. Well, I guess it will develop as we know more. Any questions for Cindy? All right.

5. Superintendent's Report

MR. CAMPBELL: Darren, Superintendent's Report. What do you have, sir?

MR. BIGGS: Going back to the contracts that you guys just agreed on. We have had discussion with the guys over there too.

MR. CAMPBELL: Are you talking the wage resolution?

MR. BIGGS: Our contracts. The important stuff. Well I just

want to make you aware that, you know, after talking and their concerns, they understand the money and everything that's in there, you know, they've been fine. With the three-year thing, not at all, they're not.

MR. CAMPBELL: Not happy with that.

MR. BIGGS: Well, not at all. Nobody seems to have a real reason for it except for Council, you know. I -- if we don't know what's gonna happen, well make it one. I mean, that's always what you hear. We don't know what's gonna happen. I mean what, for 30 years we don't know what's happening with G.M. Well, we never made arrangements for it in 30 years, so it -- apparently it don't matter. That didn't sit well with them. And I gotta agree with them. They understand this year's, don't get me wrong. But to say that it can be reopened, yes. Will it? We all know better than that.

MR. CAMPBELL: There's no reason as a Board we can't --

MR. BIGGS: You guys agree. And then they're like oh, no.

MR. CAMPBELL: If they're not gonna do it, it will be a fight; that's correct.

MR. BIGGS: And what you hear, you can't open one without opening another. You know how that goes. It's a lot harder to do it that way than say we don't know what's going on, let's just make it a year.

MR. CAMPBELL: They were not interested in doing a year.

MR. BIGGS: I'm putting it on record that's what we think and discussing with them, and we just don't --

MR. SULLIVAN: You know, as late as when we tried to get Cindy a raise, and Bill and the Council were no, no, no. So I went to Dutton. And Dutton said if it was you, Kevin, we could do it. But she doesn't work for us. He said anybody that works for you, you have -- you can do it no matter what Council does. And if you remember -- well you probably don't, but I'm going back to oh, somewhere between '06 and '08 when they went to one shift so they did a freeze that year, and Utility Committee didn't adhere to the freeze. And Council bitched, but it still --

MR. BIGGS: But also right after that someone on Council looked up and said you can't set the rates, we do.

MR. SULLIVAN: Well, I'm just telling you what Dutton told me a year ago.

MR. BIGGS: Do you remember that from being -- so I mean, I don't know. They're your guys, but you can't do anything with them because they -- they tell you. Anyway, regardless, I just wanted to let you know.

MR. CAMPBELL: We understand.

MR. BIGGS: The three-year thing is -- anyway. The comp stuff, I have explained the comp to them and how you guys were handling that. They had, we'll just say, concerns with that. One was they were questioning on why the comp hours, what is -- why the comp hours, what is a limit. They were feeling would there be a limit on that.

MR. CAMPBELL: That's the way it was in there. I'm not sure why.

MR. BIGGS: Well, it was a good point that they brought that up. They were questioning that and saying that the Board's okay with, you know, the comp time and keeping it. But they're like well, what do they think now.

MR. SULLIVAN: I'll tell you where it came from. You know, there was no cap on it for up until, I think, '09. And Arno got three or four people on Council, they went after basically the police chief, but it was the same with Bruce, you know. They got comp time for coming to the meetings and --

MR. BIGGS: Well they canceled it then, correct?

MR. SULLIVAN: Right.

MR. BIGGS: And they recently brought it back.

MR. SULLIVAN: About four years later they brought it back, but with a limit.

MR. BIGGS: Right. That's what they were questioning, you know, what's the point of the limit though.

MR. SULLIVAN: I wasn't here when they did that.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: The limit -- I think the writing in the language was misunderstood by certain departments because there's no limit on comp time in the police department. It's a bank. You can put up to 40 hours in, then you use it. But if you -- you can build back up to that 40 hours again, it's not 40 hours maximum for the year. So now I do believe that that's been changed in this Resolution to limit you to 40 hours. But I -- without reading the contract, I still don't know that the language is any clearer than what it once was.

MR. BIGGS: Well, I guess that's the question. Like you were saying, if you went up to 40 you couldn't gain any more, you had to use some and you could go back to 40.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: To control how much --

MR. BIGGS: They was under the misunderstanding that no, you couldn't do that; once you hit the 40 you were done regardless, whatever. What they're saying is why stop at 40 anyway, where does the 40 come from.

MR. CAMPBELL: Maybe it's just their way of keeping costs without going -- I don't know.

MR. BIGGS: This is a concern. If the Board would like to keep it --

MR. CAMPBELL: Well, we had to fight to even keep what we've got.

MR. BIGGS: I'm just telling you where we're coming from. They're looking at it this is stuff that we earn. You're not giving them anything, they've earned that already. And to stop them at 40, I don't --

MR. CAMPBELL: It's something we can revisit next time around. But at this point -- I'm not sure at this point we're gonna revisit the things that need done.

MR. BIGGS: I was just curious on what the reason was even to begin it.

MR. CAMPBELL: I think part of it's just trying to keep the costs.

MR. BIGGS: The cost is no different. How is it any different?

MR. SULLIVAN: Well, it would be different if I could use 40 hours three times in a year or I could just use 40 hours once.

MR. CAMPBELL: Correct. There's a difference.

MR. BIGGS: No. When you're accumulating the comp time -- let's say the guys stayed over an hour. You didn't pay them for that hour. They took an hour comp time.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: They are working first.

MR. BIGGS: They've earned it. It's exactly the same.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: It's okay for you to take out of their personal time, but not for them to take out of their work time.

MR. BIGGS: That's what I'm saying. There's no difference at all, none.

MR. CAMPBELL: Well, unfortunately a lot of jobs are that way. Mine just comes out of all my personal time and I get nothing for it. It's not an uncommon process.

MR. BIGGS: I would have liked to give them a good answer to that. Apparently I can't.

MR. CAMPBELL: That's the way things are structured right now. We can revisit next time we look at it.

MR. SULLIVAN: When they did get to the 40, can they take -- instead of comp time they can get paid.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: They have to be paid for their overtime.

MR. BIGGS: They weren't questioning -- the end of November is the cut-off date, okay. So you either use whatever you have then or you get the check for what you had already earned. And then you start over, then nothing can be done with comp time at all in December. January you can start again. So it wouldn't be -- so if they reached 40 hours in, you know, say September, you know, why couldn't they go October, November, that's what they were, not cut-off. I mean, it's not like you're carrying over, none of that. That wasn't what they were questioning. They understand --

MR. CAMPBELL: That part of it.

MR. BIGGS: -- putting a cap on it that way. Does that help? Okay.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: On that note, I hope everybody noticed that they did remove the fact that you don't get overtime after 8 hours, it will now be after 40 hours. That was changed. I don't know who changed it, but that was changed.

MR. CAMPBELL: That's off the Ordinance, wasn't it?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Our Ordinance, no.

MR. CAMPBELL: I mean, didn't it flow through from that side, or is it --

MR. BIGGS: On the master.

MR. CAMPBELL: It's why, that's what I'm saying.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Yeah, it would have had to have.

MR. CAMPBELL: I don't know where it originated from. What else you got?

MR. BIGGS: Along with the comp also -- this is again back to the nothing after the end of November -- they were okay with actually cutting it back a little bit, like maybe making it the end of October where you can't do anything in November and December. And that way the checks could all be done, and instead of getting them at the beginning of the year they could -- you'll actually have what they earned --

MR. SULLIVAN: For Christmas.

MR. BIGGS: -- at the end of the year. So that was brought up.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Why can't they get their comp checks? If they are froze, why do you have to wait to get that check?

MR. BIGGS: You can still use it anytime.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: December you can't. So November 30 you should be able to get that the first pay in December.

MR. BIGGS: I asked about it. A clear answer, I didn't get one. But that date will go a little bit into December, okay. And then you would think that then you would be able to --

MR. CAMPBELL: Get the check in December.

MR. BIGGS: But it could go to the next. But it will always be the second pay --

MR. CAMPBELL: In December.

MR. BIGGS: -- is how they explained it to me, correct? Always.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Because a pay period could carry over into days in December.

MR. BIGGS: It just depends how they end up, exactly.

MR. CAMPBELL: Again, I mean, I don't -- if you just want to move that, that should be an easy thing to do.

MR. BIGGS: Well, it's one less month and we're all okay with it.

MR. CAMPBELL: It kind of shorts you guys for any flexibility, but if you would rather have the money by the end of the year --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: They're gonna get it paid anyhow. In October or November you're gonna take the pay.

MR. BIGGS: I asked every one of them, they were more for that than --

MR. CAMPBELL: Than the way it is. All right. Good note.

MR. BIGGS: So I didn't know if maybe that's something next year, this year, I don't know.

MR. CAMPBELL: Making changes at this point, I just don't think it's a smart idea with it. I mean, because even the littlest things that I thought were not a big deal ended up being a big deal, like doing one year versus three years. Why does it make any difference.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: In all fairness to Council, that came from the Solicitor.

MR. CAMPBELL: That's what I'm saying. Even the littlest things ended up being a huge deal, and now we're three years. I'm not a fan of changing anything at this point since it's such -- the deadline is the end of this month and you have to have things in place and finished. We're just making some notes.

MR. BIGGS: Everything in there says retroactive from January 1. Keep that in mind, it's already in there.

MR. CAMPBELL: I understand that.

MR. BIGGS: I'm just saying it's there.

MR. CAMPBELL: I understand that. But my point is, when you are pushing through with something like any kind of changes, it makes it look like we're trying to sneak something in. Then they'll ask, scrutinize, and then maybe they'll find something else that will get changed. When it's something that's not that much to gain or lose, I think we should go through the proper channels and look at it next year and do it that way.

MR. BIGGS: Other thing, bulk water. You know the trucks that come out and we fill them up and, you know, they get a meter, sign up for water and all that. In the past we didn't do that in the winter just because freezing, you know, and whatnot. And then we were able to. We actually ran some out of the 45 tower, ran some power, some heat tape, insulated box, we can still keep these contractors going or whatever we needed to do and it worked out. E.P.A. says we can't do that anymore, okay. So we can't. I'm getting phone calls about bulk water now.

MR. CAMPBELL: Can't do it because of the connection or because of how we were keeping it warm?

MR. BIGGS: E.P.A. says you can't hook that to the hydrant at the tower.

MR. CAMPBELL: Could it be a different hydrant?

MR. SULLIVAN: What --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I think it was the air gap separators. And then Bruce's reply to the E.P.A. after being in violation for not having the air gap separator, he said we won't do it, we won't sell it at the tower no more, end of subject. So that took care of the violation, but it doesn't take care of the ability to sell the bulk water.

MR. SULLIVAN: So what do you want to do?

MR. BIGGS: I guess that's what I need you guys' input on this one year. I've looked around. There is someone that plans on being in the area for a while, comes, if I remember right, from the Cleveland area and down here.

MR. CAMPBELL: Well, Bruce thought of actually building a bulk station like, I think, down on Industrial or something down there by the power plant at one point.

MR. BIGGS: That would be ideal, that's for sure, having something like that. I looked around, seeing if we could hook up back here

because we can get power to keep our things from not freezing. There's a problem with the truck tearing up the parking lot. Any other one, parking on the berm is a problem with the truck parking on the berm. I'm trying to figure out a way so that we can still --

MR. CAMPBELL: Well, Imperial's got some space. We can flush some lines, put it at the end of the park, flush all those lines when they fill up a truck.

MR. COWIE: The filling station right there, we're going to cap that line off.

MR. CAMPBELL: Do you have any ideas?

MR. BIGGS: The thing I want to know is, do we still know an ideal one, no we don't have one. We have no ideal anything. I mean, we're extension cords or whatnot.

MR. SULLIVAN: Do we charge them outside?

MR. CAMPBELL: We make good money on the water we sell to them, that's why it's always enticed us.

MR. BIGGS: There's just not -- in the spring and summer you got people filling pools, they'll come get the truck, contractors, street sweepers. So in the winter you really don't plan on it, but obviously there's a need. This guy, he wants it. I've talked to him four times now. I've been over to Dale; hey, what can we do, this and that. Been driving all over. No.

MR. SULLIVAN: What do you think, Chris?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Let the water model figure it out.

MR. KOGELNIK: Water model would have to say something about that.

MR. BIGGS: I was wondering if I should just tell him no, we're not doing it in the winter until we get this figured out.

MR. CAMPBELL: What options do we have at this point?

MR. BIGGS: We tell him no, we don't.

MR. CAMPBELL: We don't have a way to provide it for him right now.

MR. BIGGS: I'm looking around and I'm asking for suggestions from everybody. Ideally, no. Because I even mentioned that, you know, that maybe we could set it up and take it down. When your driver says last load when we come in and put it up, driver says last load we take it down, pump out the hydrant, good. He said we could be getting in 4:00 in the morning, Saturdays, Sundays. I said never mind that then, we can't do that. So I was trying everything. We've been in every parking lot, you know, I mean, from the school to the G.M. entrance, Kunkle, back here. All of your places are too small, you can't turn around.

MR. CAMPBELL: It's a problem.

MR. BIGGS: It's a vac truck. I mean, it's big. I talked to Brent, he said as long as you're not in the white line. That's not gonna happen. There's just not enough room on any of the --

MR. CAMPBELL: That's why we used the water tower, because of the location.

MR. BIGGS: We ran heat out because we have power there and it was all hours. I'm thinking can we get temporary power at the end of Henn where they could pull down there and you got your circle. But that's not our property. So you know, I'm trying to kick around ideas. Or how about in the school parking lot where the middle school was because there's power there for those guys now and the hydrant right up front. But that's Apple Cider's power. Do we want to go through all that or not; and if so, we need to figure out a place that will be permanent.

MR. CAMPBELL: That's what we need. And I wouldn't even mind us investing in a spot if it was gonna be ours and we could use it.

MR. BIGGS: I thought put something back there by our building and we could watch them and any immediate needs. We don't have a big enough line to go back there.

MR. CAMPBELL: They don't want to spend all day.

MR. BIGGS: This gentleman here is doing 12,000 gallons.

MR. KOGELNIK: Doesn't Warren have a station like that?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: He's coming from like beyond Canfield. He used to purchase --

MR. KOGELNIK: I thought Warren had one of these.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: They absolutely do. But that's out on Elm Road. It's about 30 minutes from here.

MR. KOGELNIK: How much water do you think you could sell?

MR. BIGGS: That's why I needed a yes or. No. Next year we might not get anybody.

MR. CAMPBELL: But it's definitely been -- it does come and go, but it's always been something we could capitalize on.

MR. BIGGS: In the summer we could have three hydrant meters out there in the one time. Winter not so much.

MR. KOGELNIK: Do you want Bob to look at that when he's doing his water model?

MR. CAMPBELL: You can look at it from a water model system. Our main is the functionality of the truck and owning a spot. That's the biggest problem.

MR. KOGELNIK: But understanding where in the system you can extract that water.

MR. CAMPBELL: The best place to pull it.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: What about Kunkle?

MR. KOGELNIK: That was talked about.

MR. BIGGS: That's all Warren.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: We still make money.

MR. BIGGS: If I was working for Warren, I would worry about it; but I'm not. But it was brought up. Even on our property there's one hydrant there, but it doesn't work. That's from when it was abandoned.

MR. SULLIVAN: I think what you gotta tell him now is we don't have the --

MR. CAMPBELL: We don't have the facility to do it.

MR. SULLIVAN: -- facilities, we're hoping to put it in our new water model.

MR. CAMPBELL: See if we can make something work in the future. Until then he'll have to get it someplace else. I guess that's primo money making on that water.

MR. KOGELNIK: The Village is -- in terms of location, you got so much advantage over other communities. There's ways to market the Village a lot more than what you're doing.

MR. CAMPBELL: This is just one prime example.

MR. KOGELNIK: You're fortunate that you've got industry coming to you. You could be reaching out for them too. And you know, the water system that the Village has, it's -- fortunately you got a pretty good size system for the size of population that you have. And I think a lot of people see that there's opportunities there.

MR. CAMPBELL: That's good. Yeah, definitely when he's doing the model.

MR. KOGELNIK: The model helps because it tells you what the weaknesses of the system are, and hopefully you can get in front of that.

MR. CAMPBELL: Maybe he's got a spot like that would be primo. Let's -- just looking like if we had to purchase on the --

MR. BIGGS: On the site of our new building.

MR. CAMPBELL: On the site of your new building.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Just run the line back.

MR. KOGELNIK: You gotta sometimes think outside of the box when you have your idea like that building. And I think Bruce was talking about that at one point in time. In fact, that Kunkle building, that was kinds of like his pipe dream.

MR. CAMPBELL: It was.

MR. KOGELNIK: To do the building and also have a staging area out front for this bulk terminal he called it.

MR. CAMPBELL: Correct.

MR. KOGELNIK: We actually cost estimated it and everything. But you're onto it. That's what he wanted to do.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah. All right. At this point probably have to tell them that and have him look at the water model and see if there's a spot that would function well for -- maybe we're like oh yeah, I guess we could make something like that work.

MR. KOGELNIK: That's something else that oh, wait a second, this isn't just for the benefit of the Village, this is also an economic thing. So if I, you know --

MR. CAMPBELL: Got two or three hundred thousand dollars, put it right there.

MR. KOGELNIK: Politicians really like that.

MR. CAMPBELL: And that could be a shovel-ready project as well, all depending on --

MR. KOGELNIK: Yeah.

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. There you go.

MR. BIGGS: So tell them we can't?

MR. CAMPBELL: We can't, and then Chris will have them check on the water model side and see.

MR. SULLIVAN: And let him know that we're working on it.

MR. BIGGS: He says he can be here two, maybe three times; but he's thinking one or two. Maybe seven days. He's got through February right now he's gonna be around. He's over at the G.M. now, you know, hydro-excavating. Whether this would tip or not --

MR. CAMPBELL: Is there a place to use at G.M.?

MR. BIGGS: There was one spot we thought of, talking to Dale. We could plug into the signal boxes. But in comparison to where they're at and the hydrants are, we're still running a cord across one of the drives. Obviously it was in front of the paint shop there. It's not being used now but, you know, we could do it a couple times. Who knows when that place is gonna start getting busy. I can't have cords running across driveways.

MR. CAMPBELL: But if it gets it through the winter --

MR. BIGGS: That's why I wanted to ask, should I even. I spent a couple days on that. Not constantly, you know. I mean, trying to help these contractors out and still --

MR. SULLIVAN: What's the deal with the Magna building? Is that -- did LEC buy that.

MR. BIGGS: Magna, I believe, still owns that.

MR. SULLIVAN: Do they?

MR. BIGGS: I think LEC ended up buying the whole thing, those two --

MR. CAMPBELL: I don't think that happened.

MR. BIGGS: I don't know.

MR. SULLIVAN: There was talk.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: They were going to and then -- so they weren't a good neighbor, they could --

MR. CAMPBELL: I think that was the plan. But I thought I read

an article that they bailed and didn't do that.

MR. BIGGS: Magna's still there. They have a guy that comes down and maintains the building.

MR. CAMPBELL: That would be a big parking lot.

MR. SULLIVAN: That's what I was thinking.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: The only thing is for power.

MR. BIGGS: We need power is the problem.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: We would have to put in a permanent service, and we don't own the property to put in a service. So it kind of has to be somewhere where the Village has --

MR. CAMPBELL: Like I said, the street plug box or hot box that's out there --

MR. BIGGS: If we owned property, I don't know what it would cost, Ohio Edison would need a --

MR. CAMPBELL: What about the fire station?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Have them put it here where the gas pumps used to be so they could make a loop in and out.

MR. CAMPBELL: The parks don't have anything, right?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: The park road is not strong enough for that.

MR. BIGGS: There's nothing big enough back there.

MR. KOGELNIK: Something off of 45 would be ideal.

MR. CAMPBELL: How about that water tower?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I think there's a church across the street that might give you a couple --

MR. KOGELNIK: You got land, you got opportunities. You just need to --

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. Well, I guess until we find something the answer is no. I mean, unless you want to brave using something at the G.M. Facility that gets you by for the winter months.

MR. BIGGS: And I was struggling doing it, and I know it wasn't allowed in the past, so I wanted to check if it was even worth trying to. I don't want to call Ohio Edison, I don't want to find out if we can use this or use that. So I figured well, I would ask you guys and get your opinion on it.

MR. CAMPBELL: I'd like to accommodate keeping some clientele coming for that --

MR. SULLIVAN: For sure.

MR. CAMPBELL: -- and develop it. I've known over the years I've been on the Board that that's always been something that comes around, and we've always struggled with having a facility to offer a good service with it. And like I said, we make good money on the water so it's not like it's not worth doing.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: So for this contract though he could sell water during the workday the way we've been. It's just he wouldn't be able to extend the service beyond the workday, right?

MR. CAMPBELL: Uh-huh. Okay. What else you got?

MR. BIGGS: Two gentlemen over there, what is it, January 11 is their next test date. So that's where we're at with that. We did take some scrap.

MR. SULLIVAN: You only have one that needs to pass, right?

MR. BIGGS: They still need two certificates, they still need two state licenses. So the one that passed the water --

MR. SULLIVAN: They both passed the water.

MR. BIGGS: One's going for the water, one's going for the sewer.

MR. SULLIVAN: That's all?

MR. CAMPBELL: We'll discuss that in a bit.

MR. BIGGS: So that's where the 11th is their next time. But yes, one has the water taken care of.

MR. SULLIVAN: I thought we've got until March to get them certified.

MR. CAMPBELL: I believe that's about right. Yeah. Well like I said, we'll discuss that.

MR. BIGGS: I think we're into May now.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Because of --

MR. BIGGS: If I remember right. For the one, Mike. The other one, they have plenty of time. We took some scrap into Niles today, got a check. Cindy has the old stuff that we had, just trying to clean up, get it out of here.

MR. CAMPBELL: Weren't there some pipe samples that Chris could have used?

MR. BIGGS: No, nothing.

MR. SULLIVAN: I remember one time we wanted to give the scrap to the Boy Scouts.

MR. CAMPBELL: We couldn't do that.

MR. BIGGS: I heard it mentioned. Well, the last time -- that's big money in that, just to -- this wasn't the stuff that isn't much, this was all stuff that we took apart, right and some of -- yeah. This was the good stuff here.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: On the scrap that Bill said that we do have to have a count on those, inventory in, inventory out, because it is logged in the system.

MR. BIGGS: When I turn in that work order and put scrap on it, there you go.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: And I have -- that's what I'm saying. But if my thing says that you scrapped sixty, then your report needs to show that you scrapped sixty.

MR. BIGGS: There's a customer outside Lordstown that would like to get water. Mahoning County. Mark Gibbs' been calling, asking if he can get water. I don't know if that's something we want to look into or not.

MR. CAMPBELL: Well if it's outside our borders, then it falls to the Utility Committee to go (thumbs up/thumbs down demonstrating).

MR. BIGGS: I didn't know what the proper order -- they need to go to Mahoning County first and ask, and Mahoning County needs to come to us. This is what -- in discussion I would like to be able to give him something on hey, no, we can't or this is the proper way or this is, you know, why or --

MR. CAMPBELL: I believe that's --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: You can't, quote, "cherry pick" people around your borders. Mahoning County has to ask for an extension for their resident to connect to your system.

MR. BIGGS: So it would be safe to say I could say go to Mahoning County, ask them for water, they say they don't have it, say can you ask Lordstown if we can get an extension into Mahoning County --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Trumbull County.

MR. SULLIVAN: Go to their Commissioners.

MR. KOGELNIK: That's correct. It's written in the Rules and Regs.

MR. BIGGS: I could tell him that, to start there and then --

MR. CAMPBELL: Then they would come to us and -- well, go to Utilities.

MR. BIGGS: Okay. All right.

MR. CAMPBELL: But once it comes to Lordstown then we could

handle it internally, whatever we have to do.

MR. BIGGS: I just wanted -- seeing what we need exactly, see what you guys thought of it anyhow and I could at least tell him that and start that. Oh, thanks for fixing the computer, I haven't had any issues.

MR. CAMPBELL: Oh, good. And yours is good? While we're on that, did you purchase the new version of the Macrium Reflect for the back-up? Because the old one gave you lots of trouble. I think you had two licenses for it in the past. I think you had your computer and Bruce's -- well, the one you're using now. And it's not in there now and yours wasn't running, I know yours used to. We should get it going again, but it needs to be a new version of it. You could probably look what you got and get a discount rate for an upgrade rather than buying a new one. It's not expensive, I think it's 70 bucks a license. Then I can work on setting it up to where it automatically just backs up, you know, the whole computer, just makes an image of it once a day or once a week, whatever you guys feel works. For yours I think once a day.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: At lunch time.

MR. CAMPBELL: If something is lost the previous day you wouldn't be too far behind. And the SCADA computer, which I think we have to make sure we pass that today, don't we, for that amount so we can send them a P.O. Did you already accepted them a P.O.?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: No, because I didn't have --

MR. CAMPBELL: I thought he sent us a P O.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I would have to check on that.

MR. CAMPBELL: I think he did. So we're not gonna have --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: \$11,000.

MR. CAMPBELL: \$11,000, whatever it is.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: We could blanket it.

MR. CAMPBELL: I know the computer's ready, but he's not ready to do anything with it until he's like don't bring it by until we have it, we're gonna do something with it because then we have to worry about it. I'm like all right, I understand. So --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Truthfully I will go --

MR. CAMPBELL: I'm pretty sure he sent us an e-mail with an update because we said we needed an updated amount.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Yes, because he said he had to work in the Village of Lordstown before, which I was not aware of so --

MR. CAMPBELL: So I think it's in an e-mail somewhere that he already sent it to us. But I know Bill already closed out the books for this year.

MR. BIGGS: That was my other part of the question, I was wondering when this was gonna get done.

MR. CAMPBELL: Is that it?

MR. BIGGS: That's the information I have on it.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: November 21.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah, yeah, this is it. So he did send it to us.

MR. SULLIVAN: Is there an amount.

MR. CAMPBELL: \$11,670 versus, what was it, \$14,000 if we provide the computer.

MR. SULLIVAN: So you're saying we should --

MR. CAMPBELL: Let me back up a step. So with her computer and his computer I was able to just take them and upgrade grade to Windows 10, just running by upgrading them with existing stuff, put a new solid state drive on them. They seem to be working fine. It saved us seven --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: \$7,000.

MR. CAMPBELL: So those two are taken care of. The laptop that

they use for meter reading did the same thing with -- no, it was already Windows -- no, no, I upgraded that one too. But it had a solid state drive in it, so I just went and upgraded that one, did some patching. The tablet you had was already Windows 10, updated and put patches on it. The last one is the SCADA computer which keeps track of water levels and tower stuff. I contacted them and said can I upgrade it to Windows 10; and they are like oh no, we need to build one. There's a lot of security around their system, meaning that people can't tamper and mess with the water system. So it's pretty tight and locked down from that aspect, they control it, he they wouldn't give me instructions on how to do anything, it's all proprietary stuff. So the only thing they were willing to do was say we'll take off the cost, we'll buy a computer, completely set it up, drop it in place and we're good to go. That's like \$14,000. And he said well, you take care of the computer part and we'll do our part for \$11,760 or whatever he gave us a quote there of. So basically I'll take a computer that I got all ready to go, loaded with Windows 10, and they'll do all that stuff to it, bring it back and we'll just use our monitor and keyboard. And I got the price -- instead of -- it saved us like \$2,000 I think.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Yeah, a little bit more than that.

MR. CAMPBELL: Little bit more than that. But they don't want the computer until we have money to do the work because they don't want a computer just sitting there.

MR. SULLIVAN: Do we need a motion for the money.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yes, we need --

MR. SULLIVAN: I make a motion to --

MR. CAMPBELL: For the SCADA computer system upgrade. That's what it's for. I'll second that. All in favor?

(All respond aye.)

MR. CAMPBELL: All opposed?

(No response.)

MR. CAMPBELL: So that will take care of that. Well that won't be until next year that he gets that money.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I'll ask him because I know we're still working -- tomorrow is the shut-off date, so I might be able to get it in. I really don't think it will be a problem. We'll see how bad he bites.

MR. SULLIVAN: Will that go in your office then?

MR. CAMPBELL: And honestly what we have is working, so there's no huge rush. And I think we've got until the end of January that Windows 7 quits getting patch updates. Even if we miss that date, our system will still work and be just fine, it's just you the further you get into that window of Microsoft not patching your system you get more vulnerable. But we shouldn't have a problem with it. They said they needed a week or two to get it ready. I'm not even sure it takes them that long. Okay. That's where we're at with getting that stuff cleaned up.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: If I haven't already put it in, because I do have a feeling that Bill will want a Resolution for it, but we could -- by motion you can approve it and put it in and then just retroactive the Resolution.

MR. CAMPBELL: I'm fine with that. Yeah, because it is a lot of money.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I apologize.

MR. CAMPBELL: As much as -- and when I do get it, I'm gonna image it because we never had any kind of back-up on that system. Say there was a hardware failure --

MR. BIGGS: I backed it up monthly or whenever when I got a chance. I got two like blocks that I do both of ours periodically.

MR. CAMPBELL: How are you backing up the SCADA system though?

Were you making an image?

MR. BIGGS: Yes.

MR. CAMPBELL: Didn't we have three licenses for that software?

MR. BIGGS: I was imaging the actual computer stuff that was on there, whatever it would do. As for the SCADA, that should have all been their stuff. We still save all the information and use that, you know.

MR. CAMPBELL: You're saying like data files and stuff that you're getting off of there?

MR. BIGGS: I made an image once a month on both of ours.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. And what software did you do it with, the Macrium Reflect.

MR. BIGGS: Whatever you said.

MR. CAMPBELL: Whatever you had before, you probably need to get three licenses for it if he was using it for that computer also. Well, that's good. I didn't realize that.

MR. BIGGS: That's how I was doing both of those over there. I have two of those, one will hold the information on both.

MR. CAMPBELL: Like hers, we leave an external hard drive and it takes care of it all the time. If you need it, it's right there. Yours, you have to plug it in and do it.

MR. BIGGS: Yep.

MR. CAMPBELL: For all the cost, why don't we set it up like hers.

MR. BIGGS: You're trying to make things easier for me, that's where you're going with that.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Do it. Do it.

MR. CAMPBELL: If we only have two licenses get three, and then we'll set it up. And then we may want to look at getting new external drives. They're not spring chickens anymore, they're at least five, six years old. I don't know how old yours are. Yeah, I'll look into that.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: We can do that in 2020.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yes, yes. Okay. Sorry, I didn't mean to interrupt. What else? Where are we at?

MR. BIGGS: I got two other quick things. Air release valve, the reason that Chris had talked about, we had one freeze up. We did happen to have an extra one, cleaned up, took the guts out and we got it working. I was in class, I was out-of-town, Vinny got a hold of me, what can we, this and that. And I said call Chris, and he was more than willing to help out; and he helped Vinny while I was out-of-town. I thanked him already, but he was a big help with all that.

MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you, Chris.

MR. BIGGS: Vinny took care of everything with the other guys, we got it taken care of. But that was -- he said he was willing, I said call him; at least he can give you some direction if there would be a problem with that. You know, I don't want to get too in depth with that, but with the air release valves was -- we're looking to replace the guts, take the head off of it and the guts is still in there. The problem with going to new plastic and whatever, yeah it's all great, but those are taped on there in plastic. We go to take that off of there and start stressing on that, you know, so we're at a fine line. So we're gonna see about replacing guts and repairing the steel ones. I know he mentioned plastic is better, but we're a little bit leery on it. I'm looking into prices now to see what kind of guts. Because if we can just take that lid off and the whole thing slides back down into the canister basically and it works, I'm not messing with a plastic line with a tap on it. So that's one of the things that I just want to bring up and make you aware of, you know, also that, you know

--

MR. KOGELNIK: No, we were concerned about that too when we considered that.

MR. BIGGS: We're a little more down in there, that tap on a force main. One last thing is everybody is having problems with their water. I'm sure everybody is aware of it now.

MR. CAMPBELL: Let's not say problems, not go to --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Mine's great.

MR. CAMPBELL: I don't have anything.

MR. SULLIVAN: I haven't had any.

MR. BIGGS: Similar to it anyway.

MR. KOGELNIK: Wait, is that from the turn-over?

MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah.

MR. KOGELNIK: The turn-over in the lake.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yes.

MR. KOGELNIK: That's just working it's way through the system.

MR. BIGGS: That's what I wanted an update on because we're still doing -- I'm still getting -- everybody's been great with that, understanding that, okay, it's not Lordstown, it's everybody and been doing the best and explaining what I can. I've talked to MVSD, I believe I did it yesterday, to get it up again. They had a problem with the water temperature chemical, changing it out. Now algae, the change from that, he's guessing at least another two weeks before maybe it all gets through. But they got a -- they think they have it handled down there, everything is going according to plan with the sudden changes.

MR. SULLIVAN: Are you talking about Meander?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: MVSD.

MR. BIGGS: Yeah. So -- what's that, Mike?

MR. SULLIVAN: I thought usually storms or whatever is what turned over the --

MR. KOGELNIK: No. At this time of the year you get what is called turn-over in a big reservoir. You get that cold air rush over, and it basically turns it, disturbing the equilibrium in the lake and you get some different biology that occurs in any kind of body of water like that. This year was exceptional because it came so suddenly at one time rather than gradual.

MR. BIGGS: The temperature of the lake changed, so they had to change the way they could treat it. They couldn't just flip a button. They have to ease into the change, you know, to keep everything.

MR. CAMPBELL: And it's an estimate.

MR. SULLIVAN: There was times when I had a thing with Dale, and he called me and said hey, the lake turned over yesterday so no sense going out because --

MR. KOGELNIK: Yeah, that's true. Same thing. Nothing will happen.

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. Are we good? Anything else for Mr. Darren? All right.

QUARTERLY APPROVAL OF BILLING ADJUSTMENTS:

January, April, July and October

MR. CAMPBELL: Well, we're down to our -- we didn't have any quarterly billing adjustments. So gentleman, **I'll make a motion for an executive session to discuss some personnel issues.**

MR. SULLIVAN: Second.

MR. CAMPBELL: Cindy, call roll please.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Kevin Campbell.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yes.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Thomas Dietz.

(No response - Mr. Dietz is not present.)

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Michael Sullivan.

MR. SULLIVAN: Yes.

(The Board entered into executive session at 6:25 p.m.)

C E R T I F I C A T E

STATE OF OHIO)
TRUMBULL COUNTY) SS.

I, Deborah I. Lavelle, a Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio, duly commissioned and qualified, do hereby certify that the foregoing meeting before the Board of Public Affairs was written by me in the presence of the Members and transcribed by me using computer-aided transcription according to the stenotype notes taken at the time the said meeting took place.

I do further certify that I am not a relative, counsel or attorney of any Member, or otherwise interested in the event of this action.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal of office at Niles, Ohio on this 3rd day of January, 2020.

DEBORAH I. LAVELLE, Notary Public
My Commission expires 4/16/2022

The meeting is resumed at 7:25 PM
Campbell made a motion to extend the 18 month licensure requirement for 3 months for R. Luzadder due to extenuating circumstances with his family. Sullivan seconds the motion. Motion carries with all ayes.
Campbell motions to adjourn the meeting. Second by Sullivan. Meeting adjourned at 7:30PM.

Submitted:

Approved By:

Cinthia Slusarczyk, clerk

Kevin Campbell, President