

January 15,

19

**RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS**  
**MEETING OF THE LORDSTOWN VILLAGE BOARD OF PUBLIC AFFAIRS**  
**1455 Salt Springs Road, Lordstown, Ohio**  
**January 15, 2019**  
**4:00 p.m. to 6:30 p.m.**

**IN ATTENDANCE:** Mr. Kevin Campbell, President  
Mr. Michael Sullivan, Vice-President  
Mr. Thomas Dietz, Board Member  
Mr. L. Bruce Platt, Supt. of Utilities  
Ms. Cinthia Slusarczyk, Clerk  
Mr. Christopher Kogelnik, Engineer

**ALSO PRESENT:** Mr. Darren Biggs, Utility Department  
MR. MARK HERNON, TJX  
MR. JEFFREY SMITH, CT Consultants

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS taken before me, DEBORAH LAVELLE, RPR, a court reporter and Notary Public within and for the State of Ohio on this 15th of January, 2019.

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. We'll call the meeting to order. Please stand with me for the Lord's Prayer and Pledge of Allegiance.

**LORD'S PRAYER**  
**PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**

**ROLL CALL**

MR. CAMPBELL: Cindy, roll call please.  
MS. SLUSARCZYK: Kevin Campbell.  
MR. CAMPBELL: Here.  
MS. SLUSARCZYK: Thomas Dietz.  
MR. DIETZ: Here.  
MS. SLUSARCZYK: Michael Sullivan.  
MR. SULLIVAN: Here.  
MS. SLUSARCZYK: Bruce Platt.  
MR. PLATT: Here.  
MS. SLUSARCZYK: Cinthia Slusarczyk, present. Chris

Kogelnik.

MR. KOGELNIK: Present.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. I'd like to make a motion. There's a couple agenda items we need to add. One of them is the election of officers. And the other one is to change -- under New Business we have TJX sanitary sewer. I'd like to change that to TJX water and sewer.

**MR. SULLIVAN: Okay. I'll make a motion for the elections.**

**MR. DIETZ: I'll second it.**

**MR. CAMPBELL: All in favor?**

**(All respond aye.)**

**MR. CAMPBELL: All opposed?**

**(No response.)**

**MR. CAMPBELL: All right, I'll make a motion to change the New Business for TJX to include water.**

**MR. DIETZ: Second.**

**MR. CAMPBELL: All in favor?**

**(All respond aye.)**

**MR. CAMPBELL: All opposed.**

**(No response.)**

MR. CAMPBELL: All right, thank you for that. We'll make those

Village of Lordstown Board of Trustees of Public Affairs

January 15,

19

additions. We'll do the minutes and then we'll do the election of officers before we get too far and forget it.

**APPROVAL AND CORRECTION OF MINUTES**

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. We have one set of minutes, December 11, 2018 minutes. Did you guys get a chance to review and check over those?

MR. SULLIVAN: Yeah.

MR. DIETZ: I make a motion to accept them.

MR. CAMPBELL: I will second that. All in favor?

(All respond aye.)

MR. CAMPBELL: All opposed?

(No response.)

**ELECTION OF OFFICERS**

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. Very good. So next item that we added was the election of officers. And we have President and Vice-President and Board Member. So we have to have an election for President and Vice-President.

MR. SULLIVAN: I make a motion for Kevin to be Chairman.

MR. DIETZ: I'll second it.

MR. CAMPBELL: All in favor?

(All respond aye.)

MR. CAMPBELL: All opposed?

(No response.)

MR. CAMPBELL: All right.

MR. SULLIVAN: Do you want to be Vice?

MR. DIETZ: No. I make a motion for Mike to be the Vice.

MR. CAMPBELL: I'll second that. All in favor?

(All respond aye.)

MR. CAMPBELL: All opposed?

(No response.)

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. Those are done.

**CORRESPONDENCE:**

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. Cindy, any correspondence?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I do. I have one. It was received today January 15, 2019. To the Lordstown Board of Public Affairs, Dear Board Members, I wish to inform you all of my decision to retire from my employment from the Village Utility Department. My last day worked will be February 28, 2019. Sincerely, L. Bruce Platt.

MR. DIETZ: Do we have to accept it?

MR. PLATT: Yes.

MR. CAMPBELL: Do we have to do anything with that? It's just sort of a notification?

MR. PLATT: Notification.

MR. CAMPBELL: There's not much we can do about it but say thank you for years of service and everything you provided to the Board and the Village. You will be sincerely missed. I know Darren has tried to gobble everything from you he can, but he may still have a little tap on the shoulder.

MR. PLATT: I'll be in Canada.

MR. CAMPBELL: No cell phone service. All right. Well thank you, Bruce. Thank you for that. Any other correspondence?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: No.

MR. DIETZ: The date again?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: February 28, 2019.

MR. CAMPBELL: Now do you have some vacation time? You said you were gonna try and -- so that would be kind of sprinkled in there?

Village of Lordstown Board of Trustees of Public Affairs

January 15,

19

MR. PLATT: The plan is to gonna be off the entire month of February.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay.

MR. PLATT: I have six weeks of vacation. That's the plan. But we know how plans go, you know. So with something like this going on, obviously I would be available for some things, you know. So to --

MR. SULLIVAN: When I was chairman and I lost an election and I came home, and my wife said what are you going to do. I said I'll work until May. Well, I'll work until Christmas. She said why. She said you jerk, every day is a holiday when you're retired. I signed the papers the next day.

MR. PLATT: Why, the 28th then? Let's sign the papers now. He's not helping.

MR. CAMPBELL: I appreciate that. We'll work with that, and we appreciate you helping us out.

**PUBLIC COMMENTS:**

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. Any Public Comments at this point? I think you gentlemen are here for TJX that we have on the agenda now, so we can cover it there. Okay. No Public Comments?

**NEW BUSINESS:**

**1. TJX Water and Sanitary Sewer**

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. Down to New Business, TJX Water and Sanitary Sewer. So I know that there's been what -- I think there was a hearing last night for planning and there was another meeting today. So I don't think any of the Board members were able to attend any of those. You might have made --

MR. DIETZ: I made last night, but I wasn't invited to today.

MR. CAMPBELL: So if someone could just start with kind of where we're at and what we need from the Board and all that fun stuff.

MR. SMITH: I'll give a brief overview of -- went through the Planning Commission last night for vacation of the Hallock Young roadway and turning it into a cul-de-sac and then also dedication of the little portion that would comprise the cul-de-sac and the lot re-configuration. So that was recommended approval by the Planning Commission last night, and it will be voted on by Council. Today, this morning, we met with the folks at TJX, including their engineers, at MS Consultants and other folks and pretty much just kind of went through some of the site plan issues and comments that we had had from our initial review that CT had done for the Village. And one of the issues that came up today is -- well, what they're proposing, what they're planning -- I'm not sure if anybody on the Board has been privy to it yet, but what they're looking to do is the site is generally located in Hallock Young Road, so that was why they needed to vacate Hallock Young Road and they are going to be putting a cul-de-sac here on the west end. So you have all the utilities there including Trumbull County sanitary sewer, and the Village water runs through their 12 inch line. So what they're proposing to do is they're going to relocate the water, they're gonna continue to loop it in between Hallock Young and Ellsworth Bailey Road, and they're proposing to put a 12 inch loop to make the connection so they still have a looping water system, there's no dead ends created. And then their water service will be coming from this water connection to the south of the site and be brought up to the site into wherever the entry is of the building, I believe near the office portion of it. So that's for the water. As far as the sanitary sewer, right now there's existing County sanitary sewer that runs from south to north, and it serves roughly about 8 or 10 houses in between where they're -- you know, where they're proposing to

Village of Lordstown Board of Trustees of Public Affairs

January 15,

19

connect. Their plan right now is to have service from the County and -- I actually have a copy of their plan here that might show a better schematic of what their current plan shows. Yeah, the dark line here represents what they're proposing. This would be facing to the north, this is Ellsworth Bailey Road. They're gonna be tying in here so they can pick up all of these lots that are served by gravity, route it through their site in the back and reconnect it into the existing sewer on Hallock Young Road. And this is all served currently by Trumbull County Sanitary Engineers, and this proposal makes that connection to loop it to continue to serve all of the parcels upstream by the Trumbull County Sanitary Engineer's office. I guess there's a question today as to other options for that. I don't know if we want to go into that yet or --

MR. KOGELNIK: Let me just state -- you have his name? Jeff Smith. The Mayor had articulated to me that they need conditional approval to present that, conditional approval to bring the flow from those 8 or 10 homes and the TJX distribution center, the waste water into the east side sanitary sewer so he can present that conditional approval to the Planning Commission.

MR. SMITH: Correct, right.

MR. KOGELNIK: In summary, that's what he's asking for. To do that we have to understand how that's feasible to do. I know there's options to do that. That's why I had the meeting start off in July 11, 2018. Bruce, you were there.

MR. PLATT: Right.

MR. KOGELNIK: That meeting, Gary Newbrough from the County and I had discussed exactly what is written on that e-mail that Bruce had passed out.

MR. PLATT: I just received it 3:36 this afternoon.

MR. KOGELNIK: So that's exactly what Gary Newbrough had told me months ago. So it is feasible to bring the waste water from TJX and the other homes along Ellsworth Bailey that Jeff had mentioned into the Village's east side sanitary sewer system. It hasn't been conceived, it hasn't been costed out, it hasn't been evaluated for permits or other utility conflicts. I know though it's possible to do that. It just -- we would have to do that. Now you're probably wondering well, we need to meter that. You can do that, it's possible to do that. It's not possible to meter that just on a gravity flow alone because the flow is just, it's minuscule. Jeff had shared with me the PPEF form, that's the complete Preliminary Planning and Evaluation Form, and it shows that TJX is anticipating to generate 6,500 gallons per day of waste water, which is nothing. So it's extremely hard to meter that small flow.

MR. SULLIVAN: What if you put the 13 houses with that?

MR. KOGELNIK: That's still only an additional four or five thousand gallons tops.

MR. SULLIVAN: It would be ten thousand.

MR. KOGELNIK: Tops. So it's just gonna be a very difficult flow to meter with a conventional gravity sewer. But there's ways around that. So the Village though has not taken any other steps to evaluate that themselves and come up with the options, but I know there are options to do that. So what the Mayor is asking for is just a conditional approval to bring that flow into the east side system. Now can that ten thousand gallons per day fit into the Lift Station 4? Yes, it can, easily. Right now the run times are probably four hours, five hours average per pump per day.

MR. PLATT: If that, you know.

MR. KOGELNIK: So there it is. I already stated that there was two-hundred-some thousand gallons of excess capacity in Lift Station 4

Village of Lordstown Board of Trustees of Public Affairs

January 15,

19

without adding new pumps. The force main is fine. So what we need to do is create that conditional approval, and I guess that's by a motion from you guys to the Mayor and Council.

MR. PLATT: So excuse me, I'm a little bit confused. In this e-mail that I just got from the Sanitary Engineers, the only thing they want to do is send the 8 to 10 residential customers towards the Village, okay, put that in the Village's sewer.

MR. SULLIVAN: But they wanted to keep TJX.

MR. PLATT: Yeah, they want to keep TJX.

MR. KOGELNIK: I know that.

MR. SULLIVAN: And if you remember, last meeting it was raised that when TJX wanted to come to Lordstown paying \$1.60 less a thousand than they would with the County.

MR. PLATT: Right, uh-huh.

MR. SULLIVAN: And I don't know that that was ever brought to TJX but --

MR. KOGELNIK: This isn't a decision as to whether or not TJX is gonna go to the County or go to the Village. This is a conditional decision, a vote, that goes to the Planning Commission that just says that the BPA's prerogative is to divert the waste water from TJX and those 8 or 10 homes to the Village's east side sewer.

MR. SULLIVAN: Those 8 or 10 homes plus TJX?

MR. KOGELNIK: That's all. I confirmed that with the Mayor on a second phone call because I didn't understand his first question. But that's what he drilled into me at the second phone call. So that's what this is all about. Now --

MR. DIETZ: Chris, what effect is that gonna have on the houses at the other end of Hallock Young Road?

MR. KOGELNIK: Nothing, because those homes drain to the west.

MR. DIETZ: West, right.

MR. KOGELNIK: So that -- in this concept that I had just verbalized, that sanitary sewer would be dead-ended near the cul-de-sac.

MR. DIETZ: But would that be enough sewage in there to keep it flowing?

MR. KOGELNIK: Yeah.

MR. DIETZ: All right. You know why I'm asking that.

MR. KOGELNIK: Yeah. So again, this is all concept. Now I saw excerpts from the December 11 minutes. I didn't get a whole -- the whole dossier or the whole thing on the minutes. But I saw in there there was some vote on accepting TJX's flow in the east side system. I don't want to suggest that you redo that vote if you've already done that once.

MR. CAMPBELL: Well, I think a lot of what you're asking is what we did in the last meeting is that our mindset is preferably to supply it to the east side system. Then -- and I know there's some things to work out yet. I mean what other advantages? I know that Imperial's always been somewhat of a challenge, so some things may have to be considered on if it's the correct place to do that, what does the Village gain by tying it into that lift station; are there some upgrades that are needed possible with it. I know there are some things that surround all of that.

MR. KOGELNIK: Ideally, we talked about that. And I'm airing this out because we've already stated this in prior public meetings with BPA. The best situation would be that all the flow TJX and those 8 or 10 homes does indeed end up flowing into the east side system at Lift Station 4. And if BPA -- I'm sorry, if TJX were to help the Village with upsizing the pumps at Lift Station 4 and replacing the pump station mechanisms potentially, then we can eliminate two problems, the metering problem at Imperial and also we can be totally confident that we have excess capacity,

Village of Lordstown Board of Trustees of Public Affairs

January 15,

19

more than excess capacity at Lift Station 4. But we really never got that far in our discussions with TJX. So at this point in time, I think with what the Mayor is just trying to make for certain that BPA's prerogative is to hopefully bring all of that flow into Lift Station 4, and then in the Planning Commission's work of the design of TJX and all of that is eventually go through the details of how we're gonna make that feasible to bring those 8 homes flow into there, possibly bring TJX's flow into there, because this is not on paper right now in the design of text.

MR. DIETZ: Plus it costs them less money in the long run to tie into the east side.

MR. SULLIVAN: It's a win-win for both of us. We would upgrade the substation, and they would get a much better rate on their sewer.

MR. KOGELNIK: If that's the case, that's a selling point that BPA should be communicating and articulating with TJX. Now as I stated, 6,500 gallons per day is not a whole lot of flow.

MR. SULLIVAN: I understand that.

MR. KOGELNIK: So you're really not realizing a huge revenue from a huge sewer customer by way of TJX. But --

MR. SULLIVAN: Wouldn't that be helpful though to the flow in the park, having more flow there and moving it?

MR. KOGELNIK: To some degree. But again, it's not a whole lot. As I stated, the force main from Pump Station 4 over to the rest of the east side system has up to 200,000 gallons per day more of capacity that it could bring on. So that force main normally just sits idle, it just -- that's -- so in that respect, Mike, yes, an additional 10,000 gallons per day brought into that station it does help a little bit. But it's (making gesture).

MR. SULLIVAN: And part of the reason we ended up with that vote last meeting, you know, I had taken from brief discussions that you were saying since it was on the west side it would automatically go to the west side sewer. So I called Paul, and I said is that -- he said no, he said the only thing they have on the west side is what you gave them.

MR. KOGELNIK: Yeah, see --

MR. SULLIVAN: The sewer system belongs to Lordstown other than what they chose to turn over to Trumbull County.

MR. KOGELNIK: Paul had called me too to convey that. I still didn't understand it because I know what the map shows for the Village's east side system, and that area for TJX is outside of that mapped area. I know that for a fact. But I know that that area is close enough to be served practically by the east side system, and that's why I have been stating that. So but this is what that statement from Kim Blasco of Trumbull County is indeed what Gary Newbrough told me months ago, that they prefer to take TJX on as a customer. You know, it's in -- I'm indifferent to it, but here's what I wouldn't do and I don't recommend TJX doing. There was some concept that you had articulated where the flow from those 8 or 10 homes flows north and then west across the road onto TJX property and goes around the TJX property somehow.

MR. SMITH: Yes.

MR. KOGELNIK: That's a mess, that's the problem.

MR. SMITH: And that is the current plan which is intercepting those 8 to 10 homes, routing it through the site and to the cul-de-sac.

MR. KOGELNIK: That's inviting a problem onto your property for TJX. I wouldn't recommend that. If the County wanted to do that I can't stop them from doing that. But I wouldn't recommend you bring somebody else's flow onto your property and channel it on your property, around your new facility, over to the sewer on Hallock Young Road, if you know what I mean.

MR. SMITH: And just so everybody's aware that the County

Village of Lordstown Board of Trustees of Public Affairs

January 15,

19

Engineer's -- County Sanitary Engineer has reviewed this and is close to approving from what I understand. The only comment they had is they wanted to have a little bit more slope on the sewer. So they've already seen this plan and they had -- you know, basically they're ready to move forward with it. But again, the other issue that was brought up is the alternate, which is serving TJX from the cul-de-sac, getting rid of that cross-country one and just --

MR. SULLIVAN: So if I understand you, what you're saying today, that if that's the desire of the BPA, then we should make a motion to have CT develop a line going to the east side rather than --

MR. KOGELNIK: At least develop a schematic and a cost estimate and then present that to the Planning Commission. Your vote today would basically announce that it's your intention to bring all of that flow into the east side system. And then if the County does have a plan in hand that they're reviewing, I think the responsible thing to do is to pick up the phone tomorrow and say hey, we had this vote, we really want to talk to you -- the County -- about this before you render a decision on bringing this into the County system. Does that make sense?

MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah. I mean, this thing all seems to be a little off course at this point. I'm sure it's rush-rush.

MR. KOGELNIK: That's why I had the meeting on July 11 and I tried to invite TJX --

MR. CAMPBELL: I know, I know.

MR. KOGELNIK: All I got was I got kind of yelled at because I suggested a meeting before a land development plan review was in process. I knew this was coming.

MR. SULLIVAN: So you had a meeting with who?

MR. KOGELNIK: Bruce, the County officials, Dale Grimm, I think Darren was there too.

MR. HERNON: What is 6,300 gallons? I'm trying to do the math. What are we talking a month?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: That's 1,000 a month, its 6,500 gallons a day times 30 days.

MR. SULLIVAN: At the County price?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: At our price. The County's price and our price is \$1.66 difference. That's multiplied times \$5. \$1,000 a month, add another \$166.

MR. SULLIVAN: So if yours -- ours is \$1,000, then the County's is what?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: It would be \$166 more, right?

MR. DIETZ: It would be \$1,200 for the County.

MR. HERNON: Here's the concern that we have, just -- one way is just gravity flow, right? No mechanicals, you know, the sewer pipe could bust. The other way there's a mechanical system that could break down, could take a day to repair; it could take two days to repair. That is -- we can't operate without a sewer. So that is shutting down the plant, that is sending all those employees home and they are not getting paid.

MR. KOGELNIK: Okay.

MR. HERNON: And that --

MR. KOGELNIK: That's here.

MR. HERNON: And again, that kind of filters back to the tax revenue that also is generated from that payroll, right? So is the difference in that enough to say hey, we want, you know, the 12 grand a year when it could be wiped out in a day because of a mechanical failure. That's -- and it's a question, it's a concern, that's all.

MR. KOGELNIK: I would say the same thing. I have to be honest with you; if I was the building owner I would say the same thing. I'd want

Village of Lordstown Board of Trustees of Public Affairs

January 15,

19

the gravity.

MR. SULLIVAN: Are you saying that's a sub-station, it could break down at the sub-station basically?

MR. KOGELNIK: If it were a grinder pump station.

MR. PLATT: They would have to pump it to Lordstown, first of all, because of the elevation.

MR. KOGELNIK: So if that grinder pump station were not functioning they have a problem, all right. A gravity sanitary lateral would not do that normally. So that's a problem with what the Mayor wanted or asked for. He wanted to have a vote that introduces all flow, TJX and those 8 homes, coming into Lift Station 4.

MR. CAMPBELL: We're saying at this point he's asking for that to be looked at and the Planning Board could look at it and go here's a couple options, what makes sense, and this is what we're gonna go with. Is that how I understand it?

MR. KOGELNIK: We didn't go into those details. I wished he was there.

MR. SULLIVAN: I think he is, I seen his car up there.

MR. KOGELNIK: If there was a lateral exiting the TJX facility going to that deadened on Hallock Young Road, how simple is that? I think we all are agreeing that that's simple to do. And then those other 8 or 10 homes peel off and go right towards Lift Station 4.

MR. PLATT: Right.

MR. KOGELNIK: Now that doesn't solve our problem completely because we have to meter that flow if you want to really meter that flow, or you could meter that flow or just bill them how you bill your water customers for water consumption.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Why would the County give us -- if we're gonna -- if the Board's giving up TJX, why would we take back those 8 or 10 customers that are the County's?

MR. KOGELNIK: Just because of the feasibility of it. Because like I said, TJX wouldn't want to have 8 or 10 homes somehow diverted onto their property and go around their facility. That's a problem, that's a potential problem. It's doable, but in the future there's maintenance on anything.

MR. SULLIVAN: But didn't you say that taking those 8 or 10 would be a problem for us?

MR. PLATT: Well, you know --

MR. KOGELNIK: No.

MR. SULLIVAN: Yes, he did.

MR. PLATT: For the customers and the potential for maintenance, you know, to just add that line length of gravity, you know, what is it, approximately a mile, you know, from Hallock Young to Pritchard Ohltown and around the corner. For those few customers the maintenance -- you know, if you have 10 customers in a thousand feet, it's much better than if you have 10 customers in three-quarters of a mile or so. So you would have a bit of a maintenance issue. Now there's a trade-off if we accept that, according to Miss Blasco here, that a new meter would be installed specifically for the trailer park, now flows to the flow meter that we've been talking about okay, that we've bid out, okay, specifically for the trailer park to meter their flow, okay. That's again part of the project. So with that statement it sounds like --

MR. SULLIVAN: They're paying for it.

MR. PLATT: Somebody else would be paying for it, not Lordstown, you know. And if that's the case, there's a pretty good trade-off, you know, for Lordstown to accept those customers, you know, so. We had talked about metering the flow coming from those homes and just handing the bill to the

Village of Lordstown Board of Trustees of Public Affairs

January 15,

19

County and telling them you keep those customers and we'll bill you our rate, you know, one bill for that because of protecting the way we meter the trailer park now, you know. But if the project could pay for that meter to meter the flow from the trailer park, okay, to be able to give the trailer park their bill and then meter, bill everybody else, you know, that would be a win-win for everybody.

MR. SULLIVAN: That would take the place of the meter pit that we've been talking about.

MR. PLATT: Yes.

MR. CAMPBELL: Chris, is it still absorbing --

MR. KOGELNIK: No, I'm not understanding that. Because I thought the purpose of the meter we were planning to install was only dedicated to account for the I&I coming from Imperial.

MR. PLATT: Yeah, to bill Imperial. Just like we do currently. We -- you know, we bill them from the lift station out of there, and then Cindy does her magic with the computer and generates the difference, you know. And instead of using the flow meter from the lift station, we use the meter from the gravity that everything flows to, you know. Like you had designed the flow meter for us.

MR. KOGELNIK: Okay. But that would also include -- that meter would also pick up TJX.

MR. PLATT: No, not the installed meter.

MR. KOGELNIK: Okay.

MR. SULLIVAN: It would pick up the 13 homes plus the trailer park, correct?

MR. PLATT: It would not pick up anything new added. It would just be a meter for the trailer park only.

MR. KOGELNIK: I understand how that can happen.

MR. PLATT: Yes, uh-huh. Okay. Like what we were planning to install, you know. That flow meter that we let out for bid was to be installed in order to be able to come up with for it, infiltration for the trailer park.

MR. SULLIVAN: Don't you think we would have to take a hard look at that if we could get that?

MR. CAMPBELL: It gonna be like an election, you pick the lesser of two evils. All right. So you guys were drawing and figuring. Where are we at with that?

MR. KOGELNIK: Schematically, that idea would work. It's the same thing we had with our original design with the meter manhole. It would only be -- the meter manhole would only be between Imperial and the pump station. And then TJX and the 8 or 10 homes would flow by whatever conduit directly into the pump station separately.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: So you're saying TJX and the 8 or 10 homes going into Lift Station 4?

MR. KOGELNIK: Yes.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I thought they were not going to bring TJX into the east side sewer if we got the 8 or 10 homes, I thought that was either/or.

MR. KOGELNIK: We're saying that conceptually, worst case scenario TJX and the homes could be brought in. But yeah, correct, the homes could just be brought in and TJX could flow to the County.

MR. CAMPBELL: Well we're spinning our wheels here quite a bit. And I think at this point it definitely needs some more consideration and some stuff looked at. And if at this point just looking for approval from the Board to investigate it, I think it's worth doing that.

MR. KOGELNIK: All right. So the Board has to make a motion.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah. I mean, do you guys have anything else to add to that? There's no definitive answer at this point. They're asking

Village of Lordstown Board of Trustees of Public Affairs

January 15,

19

for --

MR. PLATT: I think that it needs to be in two pieces.

MR. SULLIVAN: Yes, I do too.

MR. PLATT: One to --

MR. SULLIVAN: For the whole thing.

MR. PLATT: Well my thinking is, you know, the first piece would be is it agreeable to accept the 8 or 10 homes and have a flow meter installed to meter the trailer park. That's number one, okay. So like would you accept those 10 homes and the flow meter being installed?

MR. SULLIVAN: Who's gonna pay for the flow meter?

MR. PLATT: According to the engineering, the project --

MR. KOGELNIK: The project?

MR. PLATT: It says the project, you know.

MR. CAMPBELL: Not Lordstown.

MR. PLATT: So if it would be agreeable to accept the 8 or 10 homes along with -- as long as there's the meter installed for the trailer park, you know, that would be one thing. And then, you know, say okay. Also part number two would be if TJX -- if it makes sense for TJX to, you know, put their flow into the -- into our gravity into the east side, that we would accept that, you know. I don't know that you could make them do that. But you know, if they felt, you know, as he brought up, you know, like the possible maintenance, the da-da-da, and then for a savings of X-amount of dollars they decide not to, well would the Board still be willing to accept the 8 or 10 homes along with the flow meter?

MR. SULLIVAN: All right. I'll make a motion that we look at taking the 8 or 10 homes plus the upgrade.

MR. PLATT: Okay.

MR. DIETZ: I'll second it.

MR. CAMPBELL: All in favor?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I don't think -- you're the upgrade. I think you're gonna have to say what you mean.

MR. PLATT: To install a meter for Imperial to meter their flow.

MR. SMITH: And also extend the sewer, correct?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: It would have to be extended.

MR. SMITH: As part of the project, TJX would install and maintain 700 feet of sanitary main line and a new meter.

MR. SULLIVAN: And then a motion.

MR. CAMPBELL: Well, we gotta do one at a time.

MR. SULLIVAN: I thought we already do that.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: There's no second.

MR. CAMPBELL: Read that motion again.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Sullivan, motion to contract 8 or 10 homes plus the upgrade being the meter to Imperial, the meter for Imperial's flow and extend the main line.

MR. CAMPBELL: Is that clear to everybody?

MR. SMITH: Does it make sense to reference that e-mail?

MR. SULLIVAN: Bruce, is that where we're at?

MR. PLATT: That sounds like it.

MR. CAMPBELL: What the e-mail is in reference to, I don't know. That's -- that's really not part of our stuff, so.

MR. SMITH: Understood.

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. Gentlemen, do you have any questions on that? Mike's motion, do you have any questions?

MR. DIETZ: No.

MR. CAMPBELL: Is there a second?

MR. DIETZ: I'll second.

MR. CAMPBELL: Now that it's restated, make sure we're all on

January 15,

19

the same page. All in favor?

(All respond aye.)

MR. CAMPBELL: All opposed?

(No response.)

MR. SULLIVAN: The second would be explore the possibility of taking the 8 or 10 homes plus TJX, running them into the east side sewer, correct?

MR. PLATT: Yeah. I think -- I don't know that we have to mention the 8 or 10 homes a second time, but you know it kind of --

MR. CAMPBELL: It doesn't hurt. That includes the upgrade with the meter. The only thing you're doing is including TJX in the second motion.

MR. SULLIVAN: And the only thing that I would say that at some point I'd like to meet with the trailer park and see if that will satisfy their master meter that we were -- you know, that we bid out.

MR. CAMPBELL: That would be our plan is that that would meet that requirement for --

MR. SULLIVAN: Well, I know.

MR. CAMPBELL: You're right, you're right, it should be at least covered with them. We have to at least wait to see if it goes that direction. So do you have that, Cindy?

**MS. SLUSARCZYK: The second motion is to include TJX connecting to the east side sewer.**

MR. SULLIVAN: Correct.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah, adding it to the sewer.

MR. PLATT: Very good. She got it.

MR. CAMPBELL: Did someone second that?

MR. DIETZ: (Raises hand).

MR. CAMPBELL: Tom seconds that.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Well, she needs it verbally.

MR. DIETZ: I'll second that, Mike's second motion.

MR. CAMPBELL: Very good. All right. All in favor?

(All respond aye.)

MR. CAMPBELL: All opposed?

(No response.)

MR. CAMPBELL: So at this point they can move forward with some plans and projections. So basically there's three things on the table: The current one is Trumbull County's sewer system, and it's gonna be all taken care of with Trumbull County, correct? That's the current plan in place.

MR. SMITH: Correct.

MR. CAMPBELL: That means rerouting those homes that are there, connecting, there would be nothing doing with the east side sewer at all, correct? That's what's currently on the table if I'm understanding correctly.

MR. SMITH: Yes.

MR. CAMPBELL: And what we're saying we would like to pursue these other avenues.

MR. SULLIVAN: One of the two.

MR. CAMPBELL: We'll go back to the Planning Committee with some stuff, see what makes the best situation for all parties involved. And to your point, I understand from a business you're adding some mechanicals to it. From residents you're adding that same equation to their homes. Right now they're a gravity-fed system that's very low problems. Now they're gonna be connecting to -- so it's something to consider that it is an aspect to it. Plus the complications, it stays that way and you're routing it through TJX all the way down there. That little bit of flow running that much further is probably gonna be problematic to some level and on your

Village of Lordstown Board of Trustees of Public Affairs

January 15,

19

property. There's no perfect solution to that, just what we would feel works best for the situation and what we can do to maintain it and keep it functional for years to come. It definitely complicates as we go further. Has everybody voiced their concerns? Tom, do you have something else?

MR. DIETZ: I've got the TJX person stating about a mechanical failure shutting the place down. Well if you go to that 1 Google map, there is a pump station on Lyntz Road that pumps it up and under the turnpike that also has been turned over to the County. And the County what has been doing very horrible maintenance on these pumps station because we have another one that the lady keeps getting flooded raw sewage back in her basement because they haven't maintained those pump stations at all.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: And it's not one resident, it's more than one resident that gets flooded by that system.

MR. CAMPBELL: Basically what we're trying to tell you is that's not a complete gravity system for Trumbull County the whole way.

MR. DIETZ: I just thought you would want to know that.

MR. CAMPBELL: There are problems further down the line is what we're trying to say. But I don't know what Trumbull County -- if they're looking to make some changes on their side, I can't speak to their system.

MR. KOGELNIK: Can I say something about that? The practicality of a back-up coming all the way back up at 6,500 gallons a day is highly unlikely.

MR. DIETZ: Well, I just thought the gentleman was entitled to know he was running to a pump station.

MR. KOGELNIK: Theoretically you're exactly right.

MR. DIETZ: I'm just trying to be nice to the guy.

MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you, Tom. That is a very valuable point. All right. That was sewer. On to water.

MR. HERNON: So on the sewer though, so we obviously have our project time line, right? And we're trying to get the site plan approval on the 6th, right?

MR. CAMPBELL: Of February?

MR. HERNON: Of February.

MR. CAMPBELL: That is really short.

MR. HERNON: Yes. That's why we're looking for conditional approval so we're not delayed on site plan approval.

MR. SULLIVAN: How long will it take you to come back to us with a --

MR. KOGELNIK: An estimate and an --

MR. SULLIVAN: The two scenarios.

MR. KOGELNIK: We can probably scratch that together in a week.

MR. SULLIVAN: If we need to, we could have another meeting prior to the planning.

MR. KOGELNIK: Give us an authorization. Maybe you can do that under, you know, the TJX authorization, to evaluate the concept and cost estimating to that for at least two options. The first option is already planned out by MS, so there's no point in doing that.

MR. CAMPBELL: Correct.

MR. PLATT: And then, you know the County obviously has something planned, you know, too already.

MR. KOGELNIK: Right.

MR. SULLIVAN: When's the next planning? Is it scheduled or --

MR. PLATT: I'm not sure. They didn't know last night at the meeting that --

MR. SMITH: I think it was February 6. If they submit -- the plan right now is TJX or MS was going to turn around these plans and have

Village of Lordstown Board of Trustees of Public Affairs

January 15,

19

them submitted for site plan review on the 22nd, which is one week from today, in order it make the deadline -- the two week deadline for the Planning Commission on the 6th of February. So I think -- I don't know if there's anything else that is on the agenda for the Planning Commission. I think maybe that's why they didn't settle on a date is because they need something submitted to trigger it.

MR. KOGELNIK: There is no Planning Commission meeting in February that's scheduled yet.

MR. PLATT: Well, according to this thing here today, there was the -- January 22 is the submission deadline for the February 6 Planning Commission meeting. So that's that meeting.

MR. HERNON: But a conditional approval from here gets us to that, but that also the condition could be that your questions are satisfied.

MR. KOGELNIK: Questions would be satisfied, yeah. I don't have anything else to --

MR. SULLIVAN: Well, if you could get those to us within the next week even, we could go to the Planning Committee if we had, you know, the information in front of us prior to that.

MR. KOGELNIK: Okay. Well then, if that gets this Board their answers and decisions, and I imagine they would have to then share that information with you, Option B came up to this cost, Option C came up to this cost, you're gonna have to say yes, right, or no.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Just for the record, the 21st is a holiday. So the 22nd -- if there's something to be submitted, the Village offices are closed, everything would have to be back here Friday.

MR. SULLIVAN: This coming Friday?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: So it's not giving you a week.

MR. HERNON: its three days.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: And that's what I said. I don't want to be the snag, but today is the 15th.

MR. HERNON: But what I understood from earlier today, and correct me if I'm wrong, but in order to even have our stuff be valid on the 22nd, we need a conditional approval for them to even look at it for the planning.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I don't know conditional approval. We've never -- it's always been the Board signing off on the plans. I'm not saying it couldn't happen, I think that's a question for Paul.

MR. HERNON: Well Kelly said that today. She said I cannot look at these unless I have a recommendation from this Board.

MR. KOGELNIK: He's stating it how the Mayor told me.

MR. CAMPBELL: So you need a conditional approval from this Board for the Planning Committee to look at it?

MR. SMITH: For the site plan review to proceed.

MR. CAMPBELL: It doesn't make sense because they already had the meeting. You figure that would have been something that happened before. I mean, it's --

MR. HERNON: We found out --

MR. KOGELNIK: Can I ask a question?

MR. CAMPBELL: Sure.

MR. KOGELNIK: Does that mean in order for TJX to authorize CT to do this under their bill that they need the Planning Commission approval to do that?

MR. SULLIVAN: I don't know.

MR. CAMPBELL: That's a good question. I would assume so. I would assume at this point they would have to be at that level.

MR. KOGELNIK: If I were Kelly, I would have said it the same way. There's no other way to say it. Because she knows you can't make

Village of Lordstown Board of Trustees of Public Affairs

January 15,

19

approval of this today without knowing for certain how much it costs and all the feasibility of it, so she's saying give conditional approval to the Planning Commission to evaluate it.

MR. CAMPBELL: Sounds like it's taking us out of the loop then.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Uh-huh

MR. KOGELNIK: Taking the BPA out of the loop.

MR. CAMPBELL: If we're going to give conditional approval, that means we approve whatever you decide?

MR. KOGELNIK: I hear what you're saying there. Is there a way that we could give her the conditional approval to do that?

MR. CAMPBELL: Sounds like we need to be at planning meeting.

MR. KOGELNIK: Yeah, you do.

MR. CAMPBELL: That's what it sounds like, we need to be at the planning meeting.

MR. SULLIVAN: But prior to that Planning Committee we need information.

MR. CAMPBELL: Whatever information we had. If we had to have another meeting --

MR. HERNON: But there's no planning meeting out -- that's the chicken and the egg here.

MR. DIETZ: I think Arno said that last night or one of your people.

MR. HERNON: We didn't know about it. We found out about this at noon to do.

MR. DIETZ: It was somebody at that meeting last night said this is just like the chicken and the egg.

MR. KOGELNIK: Paul did.

MR. DIETZ: Paul did. I knew somebody said it.

MR. HERNON: But I don't think it was on that issue.

MR. KOGELNIK: No.

MR. HERNON: It was different. But we didn't find out about this Board's approval for Planning Commission to even schedule a meeting to look at plans to review plans.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Wasn't it part of your the PPE form when you submitted the -- I thought that was an explanation in there that the Board needed the information there and plans would be submitted.

MR. SMITH: No, the PPE form was dated back in July of 2018.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Uh-huh.

MR. KOGELNIK: Right. And that was right about the time I had that July 11 meeting. And I encouraged them to submit that information. All that is is information on how much the flow is, it doesn't spell out how they're gonna get the flow to where.

MR. SMITH: And I think -- quite frankly, I think that was when everything was put on hold because it went to the public vote. So I think at that time everything kind of was on hold and after that was resolved, and I think maybe it just apparently got lost in the cracks.

MR. SULLIVAN: And I understood after that July meeting when you came here and you laid it out that we were gonna just let that go to the County because they were gonna take care of us on Highland. And that's why last month it was raised again, because Highland was taken off the table and we thought that we wouldn't --

MR. KOGELNIK: Could the BPA -- I'm sorry, go ahead.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I was just trying to work for the calendar date. Is the 6th a deadline you have to have?

MR. HERNON: Yes.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: So that would be up to CT, that he would have to have something in your hands by this Friday, the 18th.

Village of Lordstown Board of Trustees of Public Affairs

January 15,

19

MR. SULLIVAN: I personally kind of think it would be a win-win if we took the 13 homes plus them upgrading the master meter there.

MR. CAMPBELL: I think the Village would make out from a situation where that would benefit both sides.

MR. SULLIVAN: That's kind of what I'm thinking.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: But still you need to know that from CT, so we're still dependent on CT's analysis and plan. So the sooner you get that, the sooner you can proceed. And if we have it before -- truthfully, if it came in to the Board at any time on Friday I could still come up and schedule the meeting and get it advertised for like 3:00 on the 22nd if -- but that's -- everything is contingent on you receiving the stuff. If Kelly said the 22nd is the two week deadline for the 6th, I mean that is --

MR. KOGELNIK: We could do it. We'll do it.

MR. SULLIVAN: Okay.

MR. HERNON: So just to be clear, CT is going to -- it's only one other option. Is that what I understood?

MR. KOGELNIK: We'll evaluate the two other options that were voiced in the two motions that were given here. And those two options are again to introduce the 8 to 10 homes plus the meter manhole and the conveyance line over to the existing Lift Station 4. And then the second one was to introduce the 8 to 10 homes plus TJX into the east side system along with the conveyance route and the meter manhole. Am I correct?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I would say the second one it's and/or 8 to 10 homes.

MR. KOGELNIK: And/or.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: TJX and/or the 8 to 10 homes.

MR. SULLIVAN: And what you were saying is for TJX to go to Lordstown they'd have to use a grinder pump to get to -- is that what I understood?

MR. KOGELNIK: Yeah.

MR. HERNON: So it's a cost -- what is the cost analysis, the cost of doing it, the cost of -- what is the cost?

MR. KOGELNIK: The cost of building the infrastructure. Not the cost of maintaining or paying the monthly sewer fee. That's an administrative analysis that we're not --

MR. CAMPBELL: That will change anyway.

MR. KOGELNIK: We're not participating in that. We're just participating in generating a cross-estimate for how much it costs to build.

MR. HERNON: Okay.

MR. SULLIVAN: I mean, what would be the best for both parties.

MR. HERNON: Okay.

MR. KOGELNIK: And whether or not it's feasible to build.

MR. HERNON: Okay. So the cost -- I'm just thinking -- this is all new to me, the sanitary sewer. But CT is gonna provide us and this Board a cost analysis for three scenarios, correct? And the cost analysis is for just building it, right?

MR. KOGELNIK: Yes.

MR. HERNON: And what's the follow through on that? So you get the cost analysis. Are you trying to figure out is it your cost, is it our cost, it's the County's cost, whose cost?

MR. SULLIVAN: Well, you said cost of the project.

MR. KOGELNIK: It would be the cost of the project, yes. And that's why I said once we're done with our analysis we're gonna have to share that analysis with you because we expect that you, the project, are gonna pick up that cost, right?

MR. CAMPBELL: Uh-huh, yes.

MR. HERNON: So you're assuming all the cost is on us. Why do

Village of Lordstown Board of Trustees of Public Affairs

January 15,

19

you go through this analysis, just so you can decide which one you want? If the cost is on us --

MR. CAMPBELL: One, it's connecting into our system, so we have the choice of --

MR. HERNON: How to do it.

MR. CAMPBELL: How to do it, yes. And what's the proper and best way for you and the residents that it's affecting. Because we voiced three different ways, and it's affecting you and the residents.

MR. SULLIVAN: Possible to come up with a win-win scenario, what's best for you and what's best for the Village.

MR. HERNON: So could a conditional approval simply state that? It's not a cost situation then; it's what's beneficial to the Village residents and TJX, correct?

MR. SULLIVAN: Correct.

MR. HERNON: Is that possible?

MR. SMITH: I think one of the things that really needs to be considered too is that TJX has a very tight timeline. And I'm not sure if, you know, it was almost like they were just looking for a decision to be made, yes they have to go this route or no, they have to go that route. I think it's kind of, you know -- and again, I don't want to speak for TJX, but I know that time was definitely the critical factor here. And I don't think it really mattered to them which way they had to run their sewer, and I don't know if you guys kind of got that same impression as well, but I think they just want to hurry up and get an answer so they can get those plans finalized.

MR. CAMPBELL: I understand that. But again, you're coming to the Board with a split minute decision on something which we don't have figures on or wasn't brought to the Board with here's what we're planning to do.

MR. SULLIVAN: It should have been brought in July.

MR. CAMPBELL: It's all bam-bam-bam because we want to meet February 6. We've talked about concepts and what today and how it affects, but we don't have any figures based on that. We'll do our very best to keep things amenable to TJX and the timeline. But if you can see we're willing to meet and do things, it's just like it's --

MR. HERNON: But what's the cost?

MR. CAMPBELL: We don't know that's the whole point.

MR. HERNON: And who's the cost?

MR. CAMPBELL: The project.

MR. HERNON: The project.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah, TJX.

MR. HERNON: So the cost is ours?

MR. CAMPBELL: Yes.

MR. HERNON: So you want to know if it costs us 50 grand or 10 grand.

MR. CAMPBELL: We want to know how things are connecting.

MR. HERNON: And you couldn't do that at the Planning Commission?

MR. CAMPBELL: Do you want us to tell you which way to connect and you get the cost, you don't really care?

MR. KOGELNIK: That did come to my mind, but I didn't think that you would be clean and just state that. So if you want to just give conditional approval on either option that you'll pay for that, that's great. But you still don't know as a developer what the cost is of going to Option A or Option B. That's -- that's the benefit of doing the leg work.

MR. HERNON: But either way --

MR. KOGELNIK: Either way.

Village of Lordstown Board of Trustees of Public Affairs

January 15,

19

MR. HERNON: -- the project is picking up the cost?

MR. KOGELNIK: Yeah. So if time and decision is all you care about right now --

MR. HERNON: Yes.

MR. KOGELNIK: -- then go ahead and make the decision conditional, you -- that TJX will pick up the cost to get the waste water of any one of those options to the east side sanitary sewer. That's what he just said.

MR. CAMPBELL: Do we have complications with Trumbull County?

MR. KOGELNIK: You may. But you just made a decision to evaluate it at the Planning Commission.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Okay.

MR. KOGELNIK: Right.

MR. SULLIVAN: And we'll give our recommendation at the Planning Committee of the three options?

MR. KOGELNIK: Yes.

MR. CAMPBELL: We can do that?

MR. KOGELNIK: And if you want, you can be there to voice it.

MR. DIETZ: If we get invited.

MR. CAMPBELL: We'll be invited. All right. So you want a conditional approval to connect to the east side sewer system for TJX, simple as that?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Per the customer's request or the project's request.

MR. KOGELNIK: That they are paying for any one of those options.

MR. CAMPBELL: Is that all right?

MR. HERNON: That's fine.

MR. CAMPBELL: Do you have it?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I put per TJX, they desire conditional approval to connect to the east side sanitary sewer system at that cost.

MR. CAMPBELL: Is that all right with all the parties involved?

MR. SULLIVAN: Is that all right?

MR. KOGELNIK: Do you want to add in there evaluating the three options?

MR. SULLIVAN: The three options.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Yes.

MR. KOGELNIK: Yes.

MR. CAMPBELL: With the evaluation of the three options.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Okay.

MR. KOGELNIK: Do we still have three days to do that?

MR. HERNON: That would be my guess, yeah. Because whatever the option is gonna be, they're gonna need to know, we're gonna have to have a -- yeah, a back-up plan.

**MR. CAMPBELL: At planning meeting you need to say this is what we're going to go with. That's the motion, right? I made the motion, who wants to second it?**

**MR. DIETZ: I'll second it. I'll be the bad guy.**

**MR. CAMPBELL: All in favor?**

**(All respond aye.)**

**MR. CAMPBELL: All opposed?**

**(No response.)**

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Sorry, I wanted to get that so we didn't miss it. You were stating something?

MR. KOGELNIK: So the authorization for us to do the evaluation, will that come from TJX or will that -- should that come from the BPA? I kind of think that should be clean and come from TJX.

Village of Lordstown Board of Trustees of Public Affairs

January 15,

19

MR. HERNON: I don't disagree with you. But as big as our company is, it would take a week to even get the authorization.

MR. KOGELNIK: Okay. Point taken.

MR. HERNON: So it would have to -- the way it's happening now is you guys are -- you're submitting all your bills through the Village.

MR. KOGELNIK: Yes.

MR. HERNON: And there's an agreement in place that says TJX is paying for those bills, which we have.

MR. KOGELNIK: Look, we'll do this. We'll keep the BPA out of that cost for CT to evaluate these if you promise that we can modify the contract to include our three days of work on the evaluation.

MR. HERNON: I don't know that I can do that. It's not a money thing, it's the way everything is already set up is modifying that. By me saying yes, I could be in a month's worth of paperwork just for --

MR. KOGELNIK: Listen, I'm gonna stick my neck out. I'm gonna do it. Just because I know time is of the essence and I know we're not gonna get anywhere during this conversation. I'm gonna do it.

MR. CAMPBELL: Isn't the option if they already have an agreement to run through and they pay our bills?

MR. KOGELNIK: Actually the contract we have with the Village Council is that we have the right to modify that contract to include whatever we need to protect the Village.

MR. CAMPBELL: That's what I'm saying.

MR. KOGELNIK: So we can do that later on is what I'm trying to say and make ourselves whole. But we can keep going.

MR. HERNON: Yes. Yeah, that's fine.

MR. CAMPBELL: Is this horse dead, or do we need to kick it a little bit more? It's been now. All right, we move on to water. Are we ready for water yet? I think we're -- let's get in there.

MR. PLATT: Let's get in there. Get your pictures out here. Okay. We have one that's marked current. I'm sorry, but on the computer screen that was royal blue.

MR. CAMPBELL: We can see it.

MR. PLATT: You can kind of see it. We're looking down at everything south of the turnpike there. So we're looking at our high service area so to speak, okay. The modification -- there's a second sheet there called the relocation, okay. And what they want to do is, of course, somewhere down in the -- near the middle of Hallock Young Road they want to intersect that water line and bring it cross country and up to Bailey Road somewhere near the -- near the water tower there. Okay. So you can kind of see that. And then the third one, the finished one, is a picture of the water system -- what the water system would look like without the -- with the modification being made, you know, so. It does a couple of things for us. The intersection of Ellsworth Bailey is really interesting with the water line the way it flows up there and across to Hallock Young, and it would -- you know, they're gonna sever that line all the way to the water line on Bailey Road, okay. So -- and then they would fill that water line underneath Bailey Road with like a grout or a cement fill there, you know. So we wouldn't have any maintenance going underneath the road. So you know, this is their plan. It's planned to be off of the actual physical site of the warehouse and parking area, you know, kind of very close to being back to the way they were gonna have it when the road was in the picture, when they were extending the road and relocating the road. That line will be cross-country, of course, and in today's meeting there's gonna be a driveway from the cul-de-sac up to the back of the facility, okay, for emergency ingress and egress there. And so we'll be privy to being able to use that driveway. But then where that driveway ends it's just gonna be

Village of Lordstown Board of Trustees of Public Affairs

January 15,

19

cross-country and we'll have markings and that in the trench and et cetera.

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. So if I understand correctly, we're doing exactly with the water line that he said he didn't like doing with the sewer line. If we were gonna do -- the initial plan with the sewer line is to run that same path, is to connect down to -- I mean, we were gonna run sewer onto TJX property. Now we're running water on TJX property.

MR. SMITH: But it's away from the site though. It's gonna be south of the site development, and it's gonna be contained within either a utility easement which is essentially along that Lot D conservation easement, which is a 60 foot wide utility corridor easement, and from there it's gonna split off -- the water line will split off then and come over to Hallock Young in a 20 foot easement.

MR. KOGELNIK: So it went in a serpentine fashion around the building, the sewer line, and you just don't want that to happen.

MR. CAMPBELL: I thought the sewer was planned the same route as the water line would be.

MR. KOGELNIK: Ideally that would have been great, but the grade just does not work out that way.

MR. CAMPBELL: Just doesn't flow that way. So as you stated, part of that water line's gonna be through the woods.

MR. PLATT: Cross-country, yeah. I'm not sure what's gonna remain there, you know. Part of it, yes. So they --

MR. CAMPBELL: And it's gonna be an easement set up that we have the right to access that whenever we have a break or something goes wrong.

MR. PLATT: Correct, correct.

MR. KOGELNIK: Similar to the easement that was made for the 24 inch going along that farm property.

MR. PLATT: For LEC.

MR. HERNON: If it's that portion right there it's not gonna be woods, it's gonna be clear.

MR. CAMPBELL: So it will be open.

MR. PLATT: It should be open most of the way.

MR. SULLIVAN: So you want us to approve that; is that correct?

MR. KOGELNIK: Yeah.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Well, did they -- do you have plans; because that's what they are supposed to be signing off on is those plans.

MR. SMITH: They have what they submitted.

MR. CAMPBELL: At least it's less complicated than the sewer.

MR. KOGELNIK: Yeah, I knew that that would be the case for the water.

MR. CAMPBELL: And I think we all agree we don't want it dead-ended, that just causes problems on Hallock Young.

MR. DIETZ: The water line is coming out close to where Ronnie's house is.

MR. CAMPBELL: Right across the street from me.

MR. PLATT: This drawing is a concept drawing. I mean, I had to hurry up and --

MR. CAMPBELL: We gotcha. It will be around here.

MR. PLATT: 2:30 this afternoon.

MR. CAMPBELL: Just head for the water tower.

MR. PLATT: But you know, the things we've talked about are valve placement. I don't know, my preference is, you know, having blow-offs on those lines, not having fire hydrants down there.

MR. DIETZ: There's Ronnie Radtka's house right there.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Tom, she can only type one --

MR. SULLIVAN: He was showing me.

MR. SMITH: Okay. We were hoping it was gonna be less

Village of Lordstown Board of Trustees of Public Affairs

January 15,

19

difficult, but we do not have plans in hand showing the relocated water line. All we have are our sketches, our comments back to them. However, when they did the parcel consolidation they do show the easements where the easements were placed for the utilities, including the water line if that provides a frame of reference for where it's located. But --

MR. CAMPBELL: Now all that water is being fed from the tower?

MR. PLATT: Yes, uh-huh.

MR. CAMPBELL: What about like fire service water for the facility, how is that gonna be handled?

MR. PLATT: The fire service water for the facility, they have a retention pond on site which I hear is a million gallons.

MR. CAMPBELL: That won't be coming off our system?

MR. PLATT: No, fire service is not coming off our system. It will be on its own separate water system.

MR. HERNON: And this is actually the secondary back-up system. The first system is Paylon or something, some other --

MR. KOGELNIK: That was my initial concern too.

MR. SMITH: Coming to the back here, that's the emergency road that Bruce was talking about. That takes us about almost halfway. And then the rest of it would just follow generally along the easement that's shown pretty much at the top of the slope. Because this is a cut slope, it will pretty much follow the outside of the site development.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Does anyone else want to see it? Thank you.

MR. KOGELNIK: Those appurtenances, the valves, the blow-offs, those could be incorporated into that. It's not a very big cost.

MR. PLATT: Into what?

MR. KOGELNIK: Into the relocated water line.

MR. PLATT: Yeah, he's got all my comments on that.

MR. KOGELNIK: Okay. But the lack of not having a drawing in front of us, we could make sure those are in there.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Mike, you had something?

MR. SULLIVAN: What do you think?

MR. CAMPBELL: I don't see a problem with approving that aspect of it. I think it's a good solution for us, the best that we have.

MR. DIETZ: There's no dead-end water line, like I stated last night, where we gotta pump thousands of gallons out on the ground to meet the E.P.A. standards.

MR. KOGELNIK: Right.

MR. PLATT: The other -- real briefly, the other thing that they agreed to is that the water line relocation will be done and complete and in service before the abandonment of the Hallock Young Road water line. So that was very important too, you know, so.

MR. SULLIVAN: So what do you want us to say?

MR. KOGELNIK: Hold on a second. It doesn't say in here what the domestic flow rate is gonna be. But I mean, if the waste water flow rate is 6,500 gallons per day, and if I divided that by 0.8 I'd get the planning number for water. It's not a whole lot.

MR. CAMPBELL: It's not a lot.

MR. KOGELNIK: In fact, that flow -- I mean, you could serve that off of a three-quarter inch water service line, a typical residential service line, which is hard to believe.

MR. CAMPBELL: Bruce is like --

MR. PLATT: Don't agree. Don't agree.

MR. KOGELNIK: You can --

MR. HERNON: You can even do that in our stores.

MR. KOGELNIK: So -- but anyways, they were planning on a

Village of Lordstown Board of Trustees of Public Affairs

January 15,

19

two-inch water line I think.

MR. HERNON: I'll take your word for it. That's another department that --

MR. SMITH: It's in the plans two-inch.

MR. KOGELNIK: So that service line, is that on the plan drawings at all anywhere?

MR. SMITH: Yes.

MR. KOGELNIK: And can you point where that comes off of that relocated water line so they could see it?

MR. SMITH: Actually the sketches here that were formed to do them, based on my feedback with Bruce and Darren, they do show general water line locations there. So you have the water line relocation shown in blue and the water service comes down here (indicating). Currently they were showing it tying in at some location here (indicating), so they're just gonna be extending it down to the new relocated water --

MR. KOGELNIK: So it's basically parallel with the wall facing east heading south toward the new relocated water line?

MR. SMITH: Correct.

MR. PLATT: And then we have -- the water meter will be at the curb stop out near the new water line along with the back-flow preventer, so --

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay.

MR. PLATT: Much like what we have over at General Motors there on Bailey Road, you know, so --

MR. CAMPBELL: Is it a similar thing for approval for water?

MR. PLATT: General approval of the relocation of the water line. I don't know how best to describe the location of it, but --

MR. SULLIVAN: I don't think we need to, do we?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: In the past you had a set of plans in front of you that you signed with your approval. We've never verbally approved something that you didn't have in black-and-white in the past.

MR. KOGELNIK: Could you state in your approval that you don't have plans with which to evaluate, all you have are some concept plans that illustrates the general --

MR. CAMPBELL: We approve the concept plan of the water line relocation and connection for TJX.

MR. KOGELNIK: Yep.

MR. DIETZ: I'll make a motion.

MR. CAMPBELL: Wait, let Cindy capture it.

MR. PLATT: And I think once their plans are finalized that they can then bring them back for the Board to actually see.

MR. CAMPBELL: And sign.

MR. PLATT: And sign. I think that can hatch.

MR. HERNON: Yeah.

**MS. SLUSARCZYK: Okay. I have a motion to approve the concept plans for the relocation of the water line for the TJX site. Motion and second?**

**MR. SULLIVAN: Motion from Tom, and I'll second it.**

**MR. CAMPBELL: All in favor?**

**(All respond aye.)**

**MR. CAMPBELL: All opposed?**

**(No response.)**

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. Was that all for our New Business? Anything else on TJX water?

MR. SMITH: So we're just -- the relocation is all that we need approvals for, correct?

MR. PLATT: Yes.

Village of Lordstown Board of Trustees of Public Affairs

January 15,

19

MR. SMITH: I just want to make sure.

MR. CAMPBELL: All right.

MR. SULLIVAN: So we'll get with Cindy sometime Friday afternoon and see if --

MR. DIETZ: Well, she can e-mail us all.

MR. KOGELNIK: On the sewer evaluations, yes.

MR. SULLIVAN: Or do you want to just e-mail it to all of us?

MR. KOGELNIK: I can e-mail it to all of you and include you in that. Do you have your business card? Can you give me your e-mail?

MR. HERNON: It's mark hernon -- h-e-r-n-o-n-- @TJX.com. Could you copy Josh? I'm sure Josh wants to see all of that.

MR. KOGELNIK: Yeah. Okay.

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. If that was all for TJX, the rest of our stuff doesn't -- if you gentlemen want to -- it's up to you if you want to sit around for the rest of it, I'm just giving you an out.

MR. HERNON: Well, thank you for your time today.

MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you for your time and business in the Village and Mahoning Valley.

(At this time, Mark Hernon leaves the meeting.)

**OLD BUSINESS:**

**1. A Resolution adopting revised Rules and Regulations governing water use and service for the Village of Lordstown**

MR. CAMPBELL: Old Business. A Resolution adopting revised Rules and Regulations governing water use and service for the Village of Lordstown. I don't think we have anything on that updated from our last changes, do we?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Not since November.

MR. KOGELNIK: Do you need anything from me?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Yeah, you were revising them.

MR. KOGELNIK: I was revising --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: The water and actually the sewer.

MR. CAMPBELL: You had taken some notes.

MR. SULLIVAN: I think there was only one or two changes in the sewer.

MR. CAMPBELL: You took some notes and the holidays came and (making noise).

MR. KOGELNIK: These are the Standard Details, not the Rules and Regs. Let me go back through my notes and I'll have to get them to you.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay.

MR. SULLIVAN: It was only a couple things that needed where we wouldn't finalize it.

**2. A Resolution increasing rates and charges for consumers of the water utility service within and outside the Village of Lordstown and declaring an emergency.**

MR. CAMPBELL: Number 2, a Resolution increasing rates and charges for consumers of the water utility service within and outside the Village of Lordstown and declaring an emergency. I have that one we mocked up. I met with Cindy so we could actually have something to work off of. I think Tom made it in to review some of that with Cindy so he could understand the mindset of where we're heading. I see Tom has a copy. Do you guys have a copy? I don't want to spend a lot of time because we already spent quite a bit of the evening and we got a couple things -- but all right. So you guys have it in front of you. Are you guys ready to look at it, look to considering a rate increase which is shown there in the red to go from \$5.66

Village of Lordstown Board of Trustees of Public Affairs

January 15,

19

for the first tier to \$5.75 and then, you know, on down. We've got those marked there. And then the last thing that we discussed was removing the last 6 million gallons and up, just leaving that off of the pricing because typically that -- I'd like to leave it off as saying there are other customers that use that much, come and discuss -- you know, like we've had agreements worked out for anything that's more than that. But rather than have it there, as you can use anything way more than that at that price I think really just leaves us way open. We just have a price of this, and if it's above that -- maybe even put in here if it's 6 million and up just need a contract agreement, just something to say.

MR. SULLIVAN: Can we kind of run through this a little bit?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: The mark-ups in red are the new prices.

MR. SULLIVAN: So if we start at the very top per thousand we go from -- we go to \$5.75 per thousand.

MR. CAMPBELL: It's \$5.66 right now.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: The previous -- that's -- when we had the -- when they come in that's what Kevin wanted you to come in to review and change.

MR. DIETZ: This is the previous one here.

MR. CAMPBELL: I see you gave him -- I'm looking at one except.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Oh, you have the working one.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah. That one doesn't show what it was.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: The difference, no.

MR. DIETZ: I got the one here showing the difference.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: The increase was 9 cents a thousand gallons in the first tier. The second tier, from two hundred to four hundred thousand, was totally rewritten and just changed it to a decrease of 10 cents per thousand gallons, as the third tier went to another decrease of 10 cents per thousand gallons. The first tier, the residential tier, basically was only increased at 9 cents. The fourth tier, over 6 million was eliminated, it was no longer part of the rate tier.

MR. CAMPBELL: I think -- just think maybe we want to put usage above that point will need agreement with the BPA or Village or something like that.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: A business using that much every month would be here, left unsaid.

MR. CAMPBELL: All right.

MR. SULLIVAN: So on that top -- on the last one where it says four hundred thousand one fifty-five --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: That is the last decrease in the rate. There will be no further decreases, just the three tiers.

MR. SULLIVAN: And the -- so the one goes from \$7.66 to \$8.32.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: That's outside users.

MR. SULLIVAN: Pardon me?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: That's for outside users.

MR. SULLIVAN: And this is the inside?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Yeah. The outside user rate is an additional 50 percent markup on the inside user rate. There's no -- there's nothing changed to that except the calculation of itself.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Do you understand that first part, or can we go on to the second part?

MR. SULLIVAN: Yeah.

MR. CAMPBELL: Now I know that's not a very large rate increase, and we know that we're gonna be needing substantial revenue changes with G.M. going down. But we also looked at considering implementing some kind of minimum bill structure, and that's where we talked about these other fees that would be a minimum bill for those meter sizes. The meter sizes made

January 15,

19

more sense according to what our customers were connected at and what their usage is, and it relates to Warren that way also. My mindset is we institute like an administration fee. My mindset is to institute a minimum -- I mean, an administration fee for every account. So that means that any person that has an account in our water system is gonna have an administration fee tied to it, they all pay it, everybody pays it. It doesn't include any usage, it's a hard number that we can always know what we have coming in and what we can base on, you know, some of our initial costs of what it takes just to have our system functional for everybody. So it kind of makes a dividing line, because right now all our revenue's pretty much based on the rate. And you know, our water usage has been just continually declining and -- you know, now with LEC online it's come back up a little bit. But when you're basing your revenue on something that's constantly moving, you really don't know -- you're trying to hit a moving target. With having a structure in place, with having an administrative charge -- which I think is a proper label -- we know every account is gonna get charged that. I was thinking setting that at \$3 a month, every contract administrative charge, that gets applied to everybody across the Board. Then my mindset was I don't want to scare off potential business and have these minimum bill structures per meter on top of their usage because that really shoots their bills up, and anybody coming in as a business is gonna see that and it's gonna be a substantial amount. I thought of implementing that as if you have a meter size -- say, for example, you have a four inch meter and right now we have a \$240 a month plus your usage that gets to be a steep bill. I think it's more appropriate, because we're looking at those to protect us, and protect us meaning if we had a customer that didn't have any usage and Warren still sends us a bill because that's how their structure is, we have the right to bill our customer. I'm thinking of implementing something similar as that. If we have an account that has no usage, that's a business that that will be their minimum charge, if it's zero. If they are using water, then they pay their usage rate. I know it's a little complicated, but then it's not.

MR. PLATT: Whatever is greater or --

MR. CAMPBELL: Actually yes, that's a simpler way to state it. But I didn't want to add it to because that's really gonna turn off business for the area and I didn't want to do that. Because we're not gonna gain, we don't have that many businesses to say implementing this is gonna pull us out of losing G.M., that's not gonna happen. This is gonna scare off business rather than gain us the revenue we need.

MR. PLATT: I don't think that's an income center, it's just a protection of cost.

MR. CAMPBELL: Exactly.

MR. SULLIVAN: So like if G.M. goes down and is sitting there idle --

MR. PLATT: They'll still get a minimum bill. And likely we'll get a minimum bill from the City of Warren, you know, because Warren bills us and then we bill.

MR. SULLIVAN: What do they have, 8 inch?

MR. PLATT: They've got two 10 inch.

MR. CAMPBELL: So that's the concept behind that. And our revenue increase this year would be having the administration cost on every account which would be \$3 a month and that rate increase that we're looking at. It's still not gonna be a lot of money of an increase, I mean it really isn't. But it's a start in the direction, because we're gonna have to spend some time cleaning up some accounts, checking on meter sizes, software changes. There's a lot of changes that are gonna have to go into place for this. But again, it gives us a structure that we have a known bill that

Village of Lordstown Board of Trustees of Public Affairs

January 15,

19

we can adjust for all our accounts. Say maybe next year we look at it, we increase it to \$4 a month and have another slight rate increase. But I guess if you look at it as far as a business coming into the area, they're gonna look at all right, what's it cost me for my meter size and what is it per month. So if you can kind of keep those at a more marketable eye, I think it helps keep business from shying away from having that huge rate increase. It's just the cost is maybe on a different side of it.

MR. SULLIVAN: On the service charge.

MR. CAMPBELL: Where are you at?

MR. SULLIVAN: The service charge at \$3 per month, is that for everybody?

MR. CAMPBELL: Yep.

MR. SULLIVAN: Including Lordstown Energy Center?

MR. CAMPBELL: Yep. That's how I view it. Again, it's not like it's a -- you know, it's a huge revenue increase.

MR. SULLIVAN: Well, I understand that.

MR. CAMPBELL: But I view that as part of our -- its not changing the rate, its part of our administration aspects to it and it gives us -- and we're applying it to everybody. It's not like we're picking and choosing it's part of running our system and part of the cost of keeping everything in place.

MR. SULLIVAN: I'm good with it.

MR. CAMPBELL: So we can make some changes with that, Cindy, if you want to check into some of the concepts from the software side of it. And if you gentlemen think we need to tweak it a little bit more -- me speaking personally, I don't want to get too aggressive. We all necessity that G.M. is stepping off the map for Lordstown coming this year and we all know that, you know, they are a substantial customer of ours for revenue for water. And I think making some changes -- hopefully they can come back around, hopefully they can come back around to being a customer of ours again, and I don't want this to be one of the things to shy them away if we get too aggressive all at once. You guys speak and think as you feel.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: On the two sheets that Tom and Mike have, under the minimum monthly rates in red it says does not include consumption. That was the initial thought. Kevin and I talked today and he does not want to do it that way, he wants it to include consumption. So that needs struck from what you have in front of you.

MR. SULLIVAN: What?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: The -- where it says does not include consumption. It will include consumption. It's only the bare bill without usage.

MR. DIETZ: This one here (indicating)?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Yes, strike that. And if you go down into the last highlighted area, \$3 per month account maintenance fee, no service lateral, he wants to call that the administrative fee.

MR. SULLIVAN: That's fine.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Account maintenance fee, strike everybody. And that's how it will grab the people with taps versus the one without taps or service laterals. The ones that will actually use water will fall into that other category. So again, that will be a \$3 a month administrative fee. And we'll clean that up for you. It appears we're gonna be having another meeting. But Tom came in, we talked about it, I said if there's any questions or things -- if you question how it will apply or work with customers, the guys did go out and get accounts with different size meters. If you want to see how it would apply to or who it would impact, you're welcome to all that information. We have that information.

Village of Lordstown Board of Trustees of Public Affairs

January 15,

19

(At this time, Jeff Smith leaves the meeting.)

MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you, Cindy. So we have marked it up and we'll have it to pass. Put some thought into it, and if you have some questions please stop by Cindy's office. She can run through some details and some examples.

MR. SULLIVAN: So we're still gonna have to keep the -- on the -- on here the rate increase?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Yes. Because we -- if we could have got everybody together before and went over, but we weren't able to.

MR. SULLIVAN: Did you come up with something?

MR. CAMPBELL: That's what we just did.

MR. SULLIVAN: I know that. But this won't take care of where we need to be with it.

MR. DIETZ: Oh, no.

MR. CAMPBELL: No. That's what I said, if we want to look at potentially what we're gonna need it's gonna be a huge rate change. And I think from not knowing one exactly for sure that Lordstown's leaving, it might be a scary aspect that we're gonna be in the media, look they shot the rates through the roof, Lordstown's never gonna come back with a rate that high. Other businesses are still looking to move into Lordstown, so you gotta have a balance here. And there's some other things that hopefully come around that we can absorb.

MR. SULLIVAN: All I'm thinking is we can't continue to bleed.

MR. CAMPBELL: And it's moving in the right direction.

MR. SULLIVAN: I understand that. But we still need to leave on -- probably have a meeting on just the rate increase.

MR. CAMPBELL: If you'd like. I mean, that's why we were wanting you to spend some time and go through like Cindy and I did, we looked through accounts.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Bring to me what you want to see in the rate. If this is not suffice with you, if you don't think it's adequate, we can put it in print and see what it does with things. The only sure way you're going to know what you bring in is to have that fixed rate fee. If you don't want it to be \$3 for commercial or industrial businesses, you can have it differently. It was there before, but it was based on the size, the minimum rates, and it included consumption. Kevin wants to leave the consumption because we still need that base thing, but he doesn't want it to be \$1,350 a month.

MR. SULLIVAN: Well, I understand that.

MR. CAMPBELL: So it's like -- how do you say -- so we had to add that administrative fee to the rate sheets. And if you -- if that's not adequate for a commercial or industrial use, if you might want it more --

MR. SULLIVAN: Just take that for G.M. Say they go down and they got two 10 inch lines, then they would be \$1,600 a month.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: If they go down, we're getting a bill from Warren for that money we'll have to pay.

MR. SULLIVAN: For that much, for \$1,600?

MR. CAMPBELL: We're just protecting ourselves.

MR. SULLIVAN: So back to the original, this does nothing for our water, well other than the \$3 would help.

MR. CAMPBELL: And the rate increase.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: That's about \$60,000 a year. That \$3 on your customers, the volume of customers you have will bring in about \$60,000 which is --

MR. SULLIVAN: And where are we in the red as far as --

Village of Lordstown Board of Trustees of Public Affairs

January 15,

19

MR. CAMPBELL: Last year we were about 100.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I have that unfortunately. Just give me one second because I got everything out of order here.

MR. SULLIVAN: And I'm just saying that because we've been saying for the last year that the first of the year that we were gonna come up with --

MR. CAMPBELL: We are.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: We did meet and --

MR. SULLIVAN: This is half of it.

MR. CAMPBELL: I understand that. But that we're also doing a rate increase. That's \$60,000 for a known factor.

MR. SULLIVAN: Right, right.

MR. CAMPBELL: And there's still a rate increase on top of that. But as we stated, we know that our rate and our usage varies throughout the year. And so trying to base a budget on something that constantly changes on you or a plant closes and it's completely gone, you're basing on revenue that just vanished. So having something that's solid, we know we have it every year.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: This sheet here will answer your question. At the end of 2018, despite LEC's volume and usage, our revenue increased as did our expenses. But basically we ended up \$102,948.48 less than what we started with -- 102 on the far right.

MR. CAMPBELL: Right there (indicating).

MR. DIETZ: Okay.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: So we're -- we rebounded a little bit because at the end of '17 we ended with minus \$142,000. So with the sale of water to LEC it did help, you could see it, but it by no means pulled us out of --

MR. SULLIVAN: What this will would do is take \$60,000 off of the --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: 102.

MR. CAMPBELL: And that's when G.M. was running one shift.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: That's that variable. We don't know what General Motors is gonna do for 2019. So again, we're playing with -- that's why you need to know a fixed -- as much as I hate to say it, we need a fixed rate, you need a fixed increase so you know exactly what you can bring in. It's not the cure, but it's an introduction to a fixed rate fee on your bill.

MR. SULLIVAN: So we do know that March 1 that the plant will be idled.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Correct.

MR. CAMPBELL: As of right now.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: And Bill actually anticipated two months of full revenue, assuming that they're gonna run full production like they did last year. Last year tapered off for us, so hopefully LEC being fully operational all of 2019 will help some of even that blow. But as to where we were and ended up, that's the sheet how we've been over the last 13 years. It shows your adjustments.

MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you, Cindy.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Here is the next question is I wanted you to see the impact that LEC did have on the Water Department. When we established the accounts for LEC's water and LEC's sewer revenue and expenses, you could see just -- this is no General Motors, this is no residential water customers.

MR. SULLIVAN: Just LEC.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Just LEC, what we brought in in water payments. And the first one is your revenue that came in. And the bottom half -- it's all on one page. I should have highlighted there for you. \$1,093,000 was

Village of Lordstown Board of Trustees of Public Affairs

January 15,

19

the total revenue brought in, and our expenses were \$926,415.01 just for water. That's not including any other expenses associated with that, just water expenses that we paid the City of Niles and the City of Warren to purchase water for LEC. The difference there was \$166,000, okay.

MR. DIETZ: So that would be total, right?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Yes, from LEC. On the -- I did the same thing -- I know we're not talking the sewer rates, but I figured we're there. And I didn't copy this one for you. For the sanitary sewer the revenue was \$120,185 and our expenses was \$91,191, the difference there of \$28,000. That again was only what we paid the City of Warren for the treatment of their flow, no other expenses. I can tell you that when it comes to the sanitary sewer at 40 cents a thousand, our electric bill with East Ohio Gas increased almost \$8,000 last year from the previous years with these new pump stations and them working the way they are. And that's the increase. That is not what the bills were, that was the increase in the bills above and beyond.

MR. SULLIVAN: So actually then you would have to subtract that from the plus.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Yeah. If you said we earned \$28,000, you're gonna have to take off \$7,500 for the known factor that's attributed solely to LEC. If you wanted to start doing that, really you're just gonna work yourself into the red there, 40 cents a thousand for how last year went probably not really covering much for you. Plus the stations and the telemetry and the radio and the cell phone charges and everything that we pay, I think that's \$700 and I can't remember.

MR. PLATT: It's like \$2,100 a year for the seven stations that we have.

MR. DIETZ: So you're saying we should think about increasing that 40 cents?

MR. CAMPBELL: We can't.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: That's contracted. That's food for thought from me, that's all I can say. What you do as a Board is your decision.

MR. SULLIVAN: But on LEC it might be wise to increase the \$3 to them.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I did say that you could set it, that's why we wanted everyone to come in and discuss these proposed changes. If you want that administrative fee to be different for residential, commercial and industrial, this is your opportunity to say I want it to be and then pass that. You're setting it right now, there's --

MR. SULLIVAN: But just listening to you, it sounds like the administrative fees are much more for like LEC than it would be for a residential.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Yeah. Just for example, and we do get refunded that.

MR. SULLIVAN: I was just trying to cover that.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: There's a \$30.50 fee a month because of their electronic deposit. You know we're billing them for it and they are paying it, but you know there's -- like I said, that's a \$30 fee that there's a lot of work to going to accommodating their needs and requirements. But if you -- again, Kevin marked that sheet up, we worked on marking that sheet up. I put it in red. Tom come in, he reviewed it. You weren't able it come in yet, that's the review. We want to make this rate sheet once and do pass it one time this year because it's going to take a lot of changes in the program. And what you determine, we don't want to undo it in a month or two. But on the other hand, we need to have it done.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Get a chance to review that, put some thought into it, visit Cindy if you have some questions, and we'll adjust

January 15,

19

those figures appropriately.

### 3. New Vehicle

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. Number 3, New Vehicle. Thank you, Cindy. I know you --

MR. PLATT: We don't have anything new to report on that.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Keep it on there.

### 4. Utility Department Building

MR. CAMPBELL: Number 4, the building. Any advancement or changes for the American Way building that may come our way in a couple years? I think I said in an e-mail I heard from the Mayor.

MR. PLATT: We went down, Darren went down and -- Vinnie or just you? Did you go to American Way or did we just talk about it?

MR. BIGGS: We just talked about it.

MR. PLATT: It's very interesting, you know. It's way bigger than what we would need, you know, in that regard. But Darren will be more involved in that than myself, you know, here, so. Do you have any thoughts you want to convey to them?

MR. BIGGS: We heard about that that day it might be open up. We didn't even clock out yet and already it was not available. So there's nothing really more.

MR. CAMPBELL: Until like --

MR. BIGGS: They're giving that to the new power plant. So we don't know if they are going to give it to Lordstown.

MR. SULLIVAN: Why I raised it last month was that Bill told me it was possibly an option, and he said the Village doesn't want it because they got screwed on the other one. And I thought it might be a very good option. And I know it's a lot more room than we need, but I was thinking all the trucks and all that stuff that we have down at Kunkle we could move there and have everything in one place.

MR. BIGGS: It's definitely still an option if there's still an option for it.

MR. CAMPBELL: It's not on the table yet.

MR. BIGGS: It's not even being offered to us. The other power plant's gonna be using that, so --

MR. CAMPBELL: They're gonna use it for --

MR. SULLIVAN: Their offices until they get up.

MR. CAMPBELL: When the second one is done we're gonna say we don't need it anymore, the Village can have it. But until that time comes --

MR. DIETZ: I heard they were talking about making it into a recreational building.

MR. CAMPBELL: We'll wait and see.

### 5. I&I

MR. CAMPBELL: Number 5, I&I.

MR. PLATT: I don't have anything new to report. Our flow meter is scheduled to come back; it's been shipped back to us. It's been repaired and shipped back to us. So hopefully in the next couple of days we'll have that in hand and be able to set that in.

MR. SULLIVAN: Do we have any idea when we could possibly start the smoke tests back up?

MR. PLATT: As soon as -- usually when it gets freezing, very freezing, it's hard to do. So it's weather-dependent at this time, you know. But we've been having some pretty good weather, it just hasn't been able to fit our schedule here with everything else that's going on, so. Yes.

January 15,

19

MR. DIETZ: To go back to the truck issue, did you guys look at any -- consider any other brand, or couldn't you use the box on any other? All right, forget it.

MR. CAMPBELL: They haven't had much time since the last meeting between the holidays and TJX and everything.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: To confirm on the truck, Bill did put, I think it was in the sewer fund -- I think I have as of December it's \$55,000 was in the sewer fund for 2019 for vehicles.

MR. PLATT: Okay.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Thank you.

#### **6. Water Rate Review**

MR. CAMPBELL: Number 6, Water Rate Review. We already covered that.

MR. SULLIVAN: Kind of beat that to death already.

#### **7. Sanitary Sewer Rate Review**

MR. CAMPBELL: Number 7, Sanitary Sewer Rate Review. We touched on that with -- a little bit with the information Cindy had. Anything else you would like to discuss at this point on it? Very good.

#### **PUBLIC COMMENTS:**

MR. CAMPBELL: Public Comments. No Public Comments.

#### **REPORTS:**

##### **1. Solicitor's Report**

MR. CAMPBELL: Reports. Anything from our Solicitor? Very good.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: No.

##### **2. Engineer's Report**

MR. CAMPBELL: Engineer's Report. Anything else?

MR. KOGELNIK: I'll distribute the Engineer's report for this month. I really didn't have anything. Everything that is on this report you pretty much touched on already, addressed or it's idle. It sounds like from November I have some minor things to address on the Rules and Regulations, so I'll go back through the minutes and notes that I have and take care of that.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay.

MR. KOGELNIK: You know, to what Mike was stating about the water and sewer rate study, we did describe in November that the Board would officially do a rate study. But then over the past month or so we also, you know, heard the news from General Motors. So I know that that's a huge hiccup, and I still have that as a miscellaneous item number 1 on the list here today. Sometime in 2019 or 2020 maybe we'll revisit that in whole.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Good idea.

MR. KOGELNIK: Aside from that, I did touch base with Bruce on the repairs that were being made to the pump stations with Shawn, so Bruce is on top of that.

MR. PLATT: As is Darren.

MR. KOGELNIK: In terms of the Imperial meter manhole, that project is canceled. We're taking \$500 off of our bill for the bidding phase that was authorized to us.

MR. CAMPBELL: We appreciate that, thank you.

MR. KOGELNIK: So but I -- you know, we continue to do our own homework with respect to all of that. This stuff for TJX, it is time-consuming for us. A lot of that stuff I'm sure the Board doesn't

Village of Lordstown Board of Trustees of Public Affairs

January 15,

19

understand or see and it doesn't amount to a bill at all. In fact, I'm sitting here and I don't get paid for that, so.

MR. CAMPBELL: We do appreciate your time, sir.

MR. KOGELNIK: We just want to let you know.

MR. CAMPBELL: I do have a question with the force main before we had all the issues with the power outage and the stations, we were fighting an issue with air. Where are we at now that things are back up and going?

MR. KOGELNIK: I still don't think that we're fully up to speed on Pump Station 2. And what we were going to do is wait until the repairs were made and go back. And they have a T-fitting that is way up high inside each one of those pump stations, and that's where the flow comes from both pumps into a T and drops down. That represents a high point, and we were gonna tap that and put an air release valve on each one of those, a small one just to burp it. You shouldn't have to have that always operating, and see if we can burp the air there because we really couldn't see from the pressure readings that we were getting that there was an appreciable amount of air in the force main. So that's where we're at with it.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. I know there was an issue before and then all this hit, and I didn't want to lose track of where we're at with it. So you're saying that you don't believe we're up to speed meaning that it's not pumping?

MR. KOGELNIK: We're not fully pumping the designed flow rate of 1,500 gallons a minute. We were approaching a thousand.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. All right. Any other questions? Anything else, Chris? Sorry, I didn't mean to cut you off there.

MR. KOGELNIK: As I stated, on July 11 we did have that meeting with the various officials, County, Bruce and et cetera. We tried to get in front of this thing with TJX.

MR. CAMPBELL: They didn't want to move.

MR. KOGELNIK: I want to say something, and you know it's -- it goes contrary to how normal operations are for these development plans. The Village has had these issues come up where they have these massive types of developments come in, and it takes a lot of forethought to plan it out. You just can't wait until site plan review and do that due diligence work. There's not enough time. And furthermore, nobody wants to pay anybody to do that review. That was why I did what I did back in July. I knew this was -- this train was coming. And here it is and we're -- CT is gonna have to cram everything into three days to try and make a reliable cost estimate concept plan. So that's why we do what we do when we sense what we sense in July and those wee months. I know that that's -- that doesn't mean much to the planning department and to the Council; but if you trust your consultant, we know what's gonna happen and when it's gonna happen.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah, we do appreciate. And I remember you bringing that up and discussing that. Without them coming to the table, there was nothing much we could do about it.

MR. KOGELNIK: You need to make them.

MR. CAMPBELL: Now that they're at the table, you say you'll have to wait --

MR. KOGELNIK: I know what you're going to say, Cindy. The planning department and the Council, they have to give a little. They just can't treat this as a Dollar General. It's just not -- that's not what this is. And you have to have some flexibility in that. Otherwise, this problem will persist and persist and persist.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: That PPE form that you developed for us -- because that went out when they called and I sent it out -- they submitted it back to Planning and Zoning. Is there something that we can add, a cover or implicit directions on the bottom of there, that disclaimer clause saying

Village of Lordstown Board of Trustees of Public Affairs

January 15,

19

get what you can to the Board of Public Affairs for water and sewer or whatever to warn them to do that? Because it seems that they call, they call us first, and then you never hear from them again because it becomes a planning and administrative control factor.

MR. KOGELNIK: That's right.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: So be it. That doesn't bother me. But again, they start there and then you never hear from them again because they don't think they have to do that. And whether they're told or not told, this one says yes, this one says no, I think the Board needs something in there to CYA.

MR. KOGELNIK: You can put that in there, Cindy, but it's not going to mean anything. Here's what I encourage you to do. The Mayor could really help out with respect to that. Look, if you really want to help us out, show us a concept plan, what you're talking about, how it's all gonna be built. So that's probably the biggest thing that I think that -- you know, how it could help the situation along. Get them to the table and let's start talking and illustrating a concept plan. But you know, they -- we really didn't start seeing concept plans and good reasonable drawings until maybe late October or early November I would say.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Well, and their design for Trumbull County. And that's something that should have been determined here first or all this could have been moot by now.

MR. KOGELNIK: Yeah, there was the zoning complications, really trumped what's going on with this development right now. But even though -- all I'm saying is that I -- you know, I think that we really tried to get that information extracted from the developer way back in the summer. Told us way back in the summer he wanted to be in construction in February. So we smelled it. That's all.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: And when that was happening and they come and they said oh, we're not paying for it, you know, one department's not paying for it, I sent that e-mail to the Board and said, you know --

MR. KOGELNIK: Yep.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Everybody was aware at that time what was happening.

MR. KOGELNIK: Yeah. I even went to that meeting myself without getting paid for it because I just knew the value of that meeting. So anyway, I'll talk to -- I'll continue to talk to the Mayor about how that little bit of engagement, getting them to the table, helps. But you go into any community where there's a prominent project coming up, you go into their city hall you see a big billboard or a big, you know, drawing of their facility on an easel there, you know, promoting the project. We never got that until late October, early November.

MR. SULLIVAN: You know that ended up almost like the 10 cents on the water rates. Instead of including the BPA in the early development and the negotiations --

MR. KOGELNIK: Yeah, we never -- Bruce and I, we were -- I don't think we were fully agreeing to how this came to be for that adder ourselves. But I think that --

MR. SULLIVAN: But -- and I know that, and I'm not trying to dig up dead bodies. What I'm saying is it had kind of appeared to me today to be the same thing when he's saying oh man, we gotta get this approved.

MR. KOGELNIK: Absolutely. Kevin said it himself very clearly to me.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yep. Let's hope we're getting wiser as we're coming down the road. TEC is on its way.

MR. KOGELNIK: Having said that, what's your strategy?

MR. CAMPBELL: We haven't seen anything.

January 15,

19

MR. KOGELNIK: Nobody has seen anything, but we've been told it's going to be something like the existing facility. So if something like the existing facility gets placed next to LEC and we know water is coming in from Warren via a five mile long water line --

MR. CAMPBELL: Is it?

MR. KOGELNIK: Okay. Good question. You don't even reliably know if it is. If I was the BPA, I would be telling Council we need concept plans brought to the table so we can understand the effects and impacts of how this affects our water and sewer. And if there's a possibility that we can negotiate with the developer for anything that affects our water and sewer, i.e., a new building, we want to be in the game. So engage us.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yep.

MR. DIETZ: What's that guy's name Mike that we met with that one day, the head of Warren's water?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Franco Lucarelli.

MR. DIETZ: He said it was coming out a railroad right-of-way that was never put into Republic. And he claims he told the people he was talking to that they would have to negotiate with us about the water rate.

MR. KOGELNIK: So I mean, how are you gonna get your foot in the door and have a chance at negotiating? You can't even see a picture of what the development or the facility looks like right now, so ask for it.

MR. SULLIVAN: We'll do that.

MR. CAMPBELL: Same with the sewer.

MR. SULLIVAN: But we made it very clear to the Mayor and to Dutton that however they did it last time wasn't agreeable to us and that we needed to be at the table when they talked about any of those issues as far as --

MR. KOGELNIK: Yep.

MR. SULLIVAN: And if we need to do that now, I don't have a problem, we'll do that.

MR. KOGELNIK: That's all I had.

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. Thank you, Chris. Any questions for Chris?

### 3. Utility Committee Report

MR. CAMPBELL: Utility Committee, anything from them?

MR. DIETZ: No.

### 4. Clerk's Report

MR. CAMPBELL: Clerk's Report, Cindy. Anything?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: No, sir.

### 5. Superintendent's Report

MR. CAMPBELL: Bruce, Superintendent -- Bruce and Darren, sorry.

MR. PLATT: I just have two things. The first thing is that Gorman Rupp is -- we haven't solved the issue of heat overheating in the buildings, okay. That has not been solved with the engines running. However, they have installed fans, that didn't work.

MR. CAMPBELL: Oh, okay.

MR. PLATT: So now they have an air flow engineering firm involved, and Darren worked with them last Friday I think it was.

MR. BIGGS: Right.

MR. PLATT: They came in and saw and like --

MR. SULLIVAN: Where are you talking?

MR. PLATT: At the lift stations, okay. The standby engines

Village of Lordstown Board of Trustees of Public Affairs

January 15,

19

kick on, and they just generate so much heat that they -- the temperature inside the building gets so warm that the engines are above their safety -- they have a safety switch on them, and it reaches a safe temperature and shuts the engine down before it actually overheats, you know. So they are working on that. So -- and then the only other thing I have and then I'll turn it over to Darren is City of Warren. They're doing a repair on Warren water line coming out Route 45. It's up there near the north border of Warren and Lordstown. There's a creek that goes across there near the Gates property, and they have a water line leaking in that creek there. So they're doing a big repair going around the bridge. They'll have to have that line shut down tomorrow about 3:00 in the afternoon. And the reason it's gonna be shut down originally for 8 hours and now they think it might be 3.

MR. SULLIVAN: So who will that affect?

MR. PLATT: That will affect General Motors, LEC, anybody connected to the Warren water line it will affect.

MR. CAMPBELL: Have they been notified?

MR. PLATT: Matalco -- yes, I notified all them so.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. And so hopefully I'm gonna inform the police department tomorrow morning so that if they get any phone calls they'll know what is transpiring out there, so.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Did you say 3:00 p.m. tomorrow?

MR. PLATT: That is what I was told.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Thank you.

MR. DIETZ: Are they gonna have to shut down production at Matalco and stuff.

MR. PLATT: I'm not sure. I really don't know what kind of reserve Matalco has or anything like that. Anderson Dubose, they just depend on them for fire service so they won't be affected. Nor will the Commerce Center because we service the Commerce Center and the likes. But there's a couple of -- like First Christian Church is on Warren water line and I think the Dairy Queen might be on Warren water line and things like that, so they might be affected. I'm sure they will be affected by that.

MR. SULLIVAN: Do you know what was going on at the Space Center last week on the far road? There was like four fire trucks and they had the roadway blocked off with a car and --

MR. PLATT: No, I'm not aware of that, you know. I know there was a break-in and they broke through the gate at Kunkle, and I don't know if they went towards Matalco then or what. But nothing of ours was missing or disturbed there, so --

MR. BIGGS: There was also a truck that rolled over in there also.

MR. SULLIVAN: That's what it was.

MR. BIGGS: That's probably what it was. So they were cleaning up.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. And then for the repairs on those lift stations, you had the estimates for labor and fuel and stuff. Were they ever submitted for the insurance company?

MR. PLATT: I submitted -- well, I sent it to Gorman Rupp so they would be aware of it. But I also submitted to our insurance company too, and he's submitting everything to -- the adjuster is submitting everything for reimbursement. He goes, you know --

MR. SULLIVAN: With the guarantee and the insurance and everything.

MR. PLATT: Gorman Rupp covered all the parts.

MR. SULLIVAN: Mechanical.

MR. PLATT: Yeah, all the mechanical stuff, you know. We're asking to be reimbursed for the cost of the pumps to do the bypass pumping.

Village of Lordstown Board of Trustees of Public Affairs

January 15,

19

MR. SULLIVAN: And labor time too.

MR. PLATT: Yeah, labor time because fuel. It totaled \$16,000, \$17,000 dollars. I know it was significant --

MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah, absolutely.

MR. PLATT: -- type thing, so.

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. I was just curious. Let us know, Darren, if you hear, see that come back because insurance companies are not fast.

MR. BIGGS: Right.

MR. SULLIVAN: What have you got, Darren?

MR. BIGGS: What I have is just the one thing. You know, everybody got his letter for resignation there, and we need to think about who we're hiring and moving around. And we got one meeting before he's gone, we're gonna have to rearrange over there the people. I don't know what we need to do with that.

MR. SULLIVAN: Do you have to post it?

MR. CAMPBELL: Not if we're moving internally. You just need to come up.

MR. SULLIVAN: Are we moving from the parks?

MR. BIGGS: No. We have one in place that's the permanent, but yet temporary would have to be moved if we, you know, decide to hire whatever. Foreman position is gonna open up, Superintendent is gonna open up. Do you guys need to do anything for that?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I think it plays out on how Bruce uses his vacation time and stuff like that. I don't know if he's using it or paying out.

MR. PLATT: I'll retire February 28. That will be my last day. In the meantime, I'll be using vacation as I can, you know. With TJX, I'm still available even though I'm on vacation. In the Ohio EPA's eyes I'm still in charge until I'm no longer the operator of record. So I'll take as many vacation days as I can prior to February 28, but then I'll get -- whatever I don't use, I'll take a lump sum payment so I'm terminated, you know, I am finished February 28.

MR. SULLIVAN: Well, what are you looking at that you're gonna need somebody or --

MR. BIGGS: We have the people in place already. I don't know if there's anything you guys need to do to take maybe someone out of that temporary position and put them in a non-temporary position, you know, full-time, you know. And the Foreman position is gonna open up, we'll have to -- you know. Next month is gonna be his last one before all positions are gonna be changing or could be changing or whatever. I just want to make you guys aware of it that we need to think about everybody that's still gonna be here.

MR. DIETZ: You're ready to be -- you said you're the person E.P.A. --

MR. PLATT: Operator of record.

MR. DIETZ: Now Darren is ready to step into that position?

MR. PLATT: Yes, uh-huh. Right.

MR. CAMPBELL: He's saying there's a chain reaction after he steps into that position.

MR. SULLIVAN: Do you have a permanent part-time that you feel you can move over?

MR. BIGGS: What we have right now is the temporary that we -- the permanent or temporary, whatever the title is.

MR. PLATT: Full-time temporary.

MR. SULLIVAN: Permanent part-time.

MR. BIGGS: But he'll have to come out of that somehow. I guess

Village of Lordstown Board of Trustees of Public Affairs

January 15,

19

is that all that we do is what I'm asking, or do you guys need to do something? Do you see what I'm saying? I don't want to wait either. And if he jumps into position now you're not gonna have that many slots left over, so someone's gonna have to take a Foreman one.

MR. CAMPBELL: It's a chain reaction.

MR. BIGGS: I don't know if we need to figure that out now.

MR. DIETZ: Right now you're the Foreman?

MR. BIGGS: Correct, uh-huh.

MR. PLATT: So on March 1 he should be appointed the Superintendent, you know, which then vacates the FOREMAN'S position.

MR. DIETZ: Does any of the current guys want the Foreman's job?

MR. BIGGS: One of the current guys is gonna get the Foreman job.

MR. DIETZ: Rather he wants it or not.

MR. BIGGS: Correct, yes. If not --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: That's leadership.

MR. CAMPBELL: That's part of being Superintendent.

MR. BIGGS: It's gotta happen regardless. And the way we have it structured, you have two laborers, Foreman and a Superintendent. You can't have three laborers, we gotta get rid of somebody. So it's gotta happen, it has to.

MR. DIETZ: I guess what I'm trying to ask, is one of the operators interested in that Foreman's job.

MR. BIGGS: I don't know. I have not asked them if they are interested.

MR. SULLIVAN: Well, why don't you do that and then at the February meeting --

MR. BIGGS: Well, the reason I haven't done it is there's only one person over there that has the certifications and has been around. The other two do not have -- I mean, it's kind of it.

MR. SULLIVAN: There's a no brainer.

MR. CAMPBELL: Only one peg fits that hole.

MR. BIGGS: It kind of works all the way around. But I mean, you can't have somebody that's been in the temporary spot for the last month going in there, and the other one's got six months but still hasn't been through any license that we need yet. I can't -- it's pretty much gonna --

MR. DIETZ: The other one has -- the other one -- you don't want to use a round peg to fit a round hole.

MR. BIGGS: And you need to know my choice anyway.

MR. CAMPBELL: He's asking do we need to have some legislation in place or something we can pass at a meeting. It's all internal, we don't have to post anything.

MR. SULLIVAN: We can do it ourselves.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: There is a difference in the pay between the Foremen and -- so probably on that March 1 date you would determine at the -- March 1 the pay rates would change.

MR. CAMPBELL: The position and pay rates would change.

MR. SULLIVAN: But we need to tell the guy that you're gonna be the foreman.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: That's his job.

MR. DIETZ: Yeah, that's Darren's job.

MR. SULLIVAN: But we should, I think, have that information at the next meeting so we can approve it.

MR. BIGGS: That was my point, logistics type, paperwork. I can handle telling somebody, that's a simple it's here. I just want to make everybody aware of it. Unless it's a special meeting, there's only one

Village of Lordstown Board of Trustees of Public Affairs

January 15,

19

meeting left before all those decisions need to be made.

MR. DIETZ: I think we most generally have taken Bruce's opinion, rather than saying past practice.

MR. CAMPBELL: Running the department, bringing his concerns to the Board. If you're happy running the department with those people in that position, we'll go okay.

MR. SULLIVAN: But I think the okay needs to be by motion, doesn't it?

MR. PLATT: Yeah, definitely. I think that's all that would be necessary is we appoint.

MR. CAMPBELL: He'll bring it to us, we'll make a motion to say take this person, move into this position at this date at this pay rate. Next person moves on and it's all of record. And then they can all take care of it upstairs and argue.

MR. PLATT: Too you might want to accept my retirement by motion too just to --

MR. CAMPBELL: Oh, yeah. When we're moving everything around that makes sense. We accept it so we have that vacancy coming with that and he steps into place.

MR. PLATT: Or you can do that this evening right now.

MR. CAMPBELL: You really want it, don't you?

MR. PLATT: I highly recommend it. You usually listen to me.

MR. CAMPBELL: What do you guys think?

**MR. SULLIVAN: I make a motion that we accept the resignation.**

**MS. SLUSARCZYK: Retirement.**

**MR. SULLIVAN: Retirement.**

**MR. DIETZ: I'm gonna vote against it.**

**MR. CAMPBELL: I'll second.**

**MR. SULLIVAN: As of March 1.**

**MS. SLUSARCZYK: February 28.**

**MR. SULLIVAN: February 28.**

**MR. CAMPBELL: I will second that. All in favor?**

**(All respond aye.)**

**MR. CAMPBELL: All opposed?**

**(No response.)**

MR. CAMPBELL: There you go, Bruce. Now you can sleep peaceful tonight. All right. Anything else for you guys? Any other questions for them, gentlemen?

**MEMBER COMMENTS:**

MR. CAMPBELL: Any Member Comments at this point?

**QUARTERLY APPROVAL OF BILLING ADJUSTMENTS:**

MR. CAMPBELL: Quarterly Billing Adjustment. We did have them but we didn't bring them down, did we. Next meeting we'll do that. Make a note of it.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: It says January.

MR. CAMPBELL: I know.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: They're upstairs, so you'll have to stop in and check them out.

**ADJOURNMENT:**

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. I'll make a motion for adjournment.

MR. SULLIVAN: Second.

MR. CAMPBELL: All in favor?

**(All respond aye.)**

MR. CAMPBELL: All opposed?

Village of Lordstown Board of Trustees of Public Affairs

January 15,

19

(No response.)

**(Meeting adjourns at 6:23 p.m.)**

C E R T I F I C A T E

STATE OF OHIO            )  
TRUMBULL COUNTY        )   SS.

I, Deborah I. Lavelle, a Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio, duly commissioned and qualified, do hereby certify that the foregoing meeting before the Board of Public Affairs was written by me in the presence of the Members and transcribed by me using computer-aided transcription according to the stenotype notes taken at the time the said meeting took place.

I do further certify that I am not a relative, counsel or attorney of any Member, or otherwise interested in the event of this action.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal of office at Niles, Ohio on this 21st day of January, 2019.

DEBORAH I. LAVELLE, Notary Public  
My Commission expires 4/16/2022

Submitted:

Approved By:

Cinthia Slusarczyk, clerk

Kevin Campbell, President