

Village of Lordstown Board of Trustees of Public Affairs

January 22,

19

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
MEETING OF THE LORDSTOWN VILLAGE BOARD OF PUBLIC AFFAIRS
1455 Salt Springs Road, Lordstown, Ohio
January 22, 2019
4:00 p.m. to 4:40 p.m.

IN ATTENDANCE: Mr. Kevin Campbell, President
Mr. Michael Sullivan, Vice-President
Mr. Thomas Dietz, Board Member
Mr. L. Bruce Platt, Supt. of Utilities
Ms. Cinthia Slusarczyk, Clerk
ALSO PRESENT: Mr. Darren Biggs, Utility Department
Mr. Jeff Smith, CT Consultants
Mr. Mark Hernon, TJX
Mr. Arno Hill, Mayor
Karl Cubick, MS Consultants
Ron Radtka, Village Council
Tom Cowie, Imperial Communities
Residents: Brent Dickson, Kay Arlow,
Donna Schrader, Martin Jones
Guy Vogrin, Warren Tribune

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS taken before me, DEBORAH LAVELLE, RPR, a court reporter and Notary Public within and for the State of Ohio on this 22nd of January, 2019.

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. I'll call this meeting to order. Please stand with me for the Lord's Prayer and Pledge of Allegiance.

LORD'S PRAYER

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

ROLL CALL:

MR. CAMPBELL: Cindy, roll call please.
MS. SLUSARCZYK: Kevin Campbell.
MR. CAMPBELL: Here.
MS. SLUSARCZYK: Tom Dietz.
MR. DIETZ: Here.
MS. SLUSARCZYK: Michael Sullivan.
MR. SULLIVAN: Here.
MS. SLUSARCZYK: Cinthia Slusarczyk, present. Chris Kogelnik.
(No response.)
MS. SLUSARCZYK: We have Jeff Smith for CT.

AGENDA:

1. TJX Utility Planning

MR. CAMPBELL: We only have one item on the Agenda, TJX Utility Planning. Main focus will be the sewer connections. We had a meeting last week and realized that we wanted to investigate what other options there may be to best understand the situation and provide the best solution for the connection for the sewer system for the TJX project. So at that point, working with our Village engineer, CT Consultants, we had them basically work out, we started with three options, and they actually listed four options in their review. And at this point I guess I'll turn it over to Jeff. Thank you for, first off, getting that done so quickly and second, for all the hard work that you have put in. We appreciate that. So I'll turn it over to you, if you don't mind kind of going over the options, so we're all on the same page for what you guys worked out.

MR. SMITH: Thank you, Mr. Campbell. Yeah, just as a follow-up from last week's meeting -- or I guess it wasn't quite last week, it was last Wednesday's meeting. We were asked to put to go a -- I guess a couple of

January 22,

19

different options for the TJX property. And two of the items that are of concern is that the current plan for the TJX site there's an existing -- I'll orient this. This is oriented north, there is the current TJX site. There's an existing 8 inch sanitary sewer that's owned and operated by the County, and it connects currently to Hallock Young Road with a 12 inch line and there's a couple of manholes up here at the intersection. But generally speaking, there's an existing 8 inch sanitary and 12 inch sanitary that follows Hallock Young Road down to Lyntz Road and runs into the County's sewer system. Both of these -- I'm sorry, and on the other side here just inside the mobile home park is Pump Station No. 4 and a couple of gravity leads coming up to it which is about 700 feet away from the intersection. This is part of the Village's east side sanitary sewer system, and then it leads to Pump Station 4 which eventually works its way also to the Warren waste water treatment plant, which is the same destination for this sewer. It's just a matter of whether it goes through the Village's system or the County system. That's kind of the summary. One of the -- some of the options that we're looking at is, first of all, the way it is currently now is they are proposing to intercept the sewer, the 8 inch sewer here with a 12 inch sewer, running it through and around their building, and then tying back into Hallock Young Road the 12 inch. And this line here would be -- is proposed to be 12 inches currently. And that flow would pick up all of the flows off of Ellsworth Bailey Road as well as the TJX center. So the first option we evaluated is what the existing plan is. The second option that we evaluated was for TJX to be served by the County and for Ellsworth Bailey Road sewer to be served by the Village through Pump Station 4. That's option number two. Option number three was serving both TJX and Ellsworth Bailey Road customers through the Village via Pump Station 4, and then that was -- those were the three options that we were asked to do. The third option, because this building sits a little bit lower than the road and it's unable to be served by the first gravity manhole for the -- I believe there's two runs of sewer about 500 feet long leading back to the pump station. We were proposing to come in at the manhole location, but that would require that the site would have to have a grinder pump in order to lift the flow up at a higher elevation to tie in and flow downstream from there. So the fourth option that we looked at is with the lower elevations nearer to the pump station we're able to -- that the whole property is able to be served by gravity. And by the whole property, I mean both TJX as well as Ellsworth Bailey Road could be served by gravity by the Village. So those are the four options in a nutshell. We provided schematics to the BPA, as well as cost estimates for each option. And each option, as you can imagine, has it's advantages and disadvantages. And ultimately our conclusion was that since the Village is concerned with, you know, accepting flows and there's capacity in that storm -- or in the sanitary system, that it was our recommendation that the Village -- you know, it can capture all of the flows either via gravity or by a grinder pump station which TJX preferred not to have. But at any rate, the Village will be able to capture all of the flows and it can be done. The only problem is that the current alignment the way it is has already been shared with Trumbull County Sanitary Engineer and I think two submissions maybe, two rounds of reviews that they have had. But that was before the BPA was brought into the mix to make a decision on whether or not they want to accept the sewer since they are able to accept the sewers or if they are willing to let the County take some of the flows.

MR. SULLIVAN: So option two then TJX would run to Hallock Young and then Ellsworth would run to the Village, correct?

MR. SMITH: Correct, right.

MR. SULLIVAN: And you would put in a new master meter?

MR. SMITH: Yeah, that was the other thing that was discussed at the last BPA meeting. And I'm not sure if it came during the meeting or after the meeting, but there was discussion about the putting the meter manhole in as part of the project cost for the project. But I'm not sure if there's been any formal commitment, if -- I don't know if you seen --

MR. SULLIVAN: Well, I took it from you that you said it would be

Village of Lordstown Board of Trustees of Public Affairs

January 22,

19

all part of the project, correct?

MR. HERNON: That was the guy that was sitting there last meeting said all costs are born by the project, so --

MR. PLATT: The -- this all started with an e-mail and phone call from Kim Blasco at Trumbull County Sanitary Engineers who asked would it be possible for Lordstown to accept the gravity flow from the residents if we gave you those customers and gave you that line and that the project would pay for that connection. And I told her that there's a metering manhole that would need to be installed, and she said well that would be borne -- that cost would be borne by the project too. That came from the Trumbull County Sanitary Engineer's office, not from TJX, the owner, you know. I want to make that clear for them, so. So that all got initiated from Trumbull County Sanitary Engineer's, you know.

MR. SULLIVAN: Can I ask you a question?

MR. RADTKA: Go ahead, Mike. I might not have an answer, but go ahead.

MR. SULLIVAN: Well my question is, if we took the 8 houses on Hallock Young, come into the park with the new master meter, that would solve your problem of not having the master meter.

MR. RADTKA: Well, that would -- I think that would supply the master meter.

MR. SULLIVAN: What I understood --

MR. RADTKA: You know, from what the Village wanted to do prior to has been put on hold for a few years.

MR. SULLIVAN: Yeah, the price kept going up on it.

MR. SMITH: I believe the price that was \$75,000 from what I understand from the last round of bids.

MR. SULLIVAN: I thought it was \$67,000.

MR. CAMPBELL: In here he put \$67,500.

MR. SMITH: I'm sorry, \$67,500.

MR. SULLIVAN: But when we first started it was \$40,000, I believe.

MAYOR HILL: You're right Mike, it keeps going up.

MR. PLATT: Things usually do.

MR. SULLIVAN: I just kind of think that that might be the best solution. There would be no grinder pumps, which neither the Village nor the County wants to deal with.

MR. CAMPBELL: No additional grinder pumps.

MR. SULLIVAN: It would get the master meter for the Village or the park, and we would pick up, you know, the amount -- I thought that there would be a much more flow than what we talked about last week. What is the flow?

MR. SMITH: The flow from TJX is roughly 6,500 gallons per day. And then the flow from those existing 8 to 10 homes, if you project about 400 per you're looking at 4,000. So 10,000 total gallons per day.

MR. SULLIVAN: So you're not talking about any --

MR. CAMPBELL: Not a lot of flow.

MAYOR HILL: No windfall for money either.

MR. CAMPBELL: That's why we want to take a minute and look at the best mechanical solution for it. And I think the initial plan to have both the residents that are on Ellsworth Bailey and TJX running to Trumbull County, I think the engineering -- you guys suggested the problem was it's running right by their building.

MR. SMITH: Right.

MR. SULLIVAN: Across the road.

MR. CAMPBELL: It's not across the road, it will all be on that side but it's all gonna be on --

MR. SMITH: One of the problems that we had with option one -- and again, option one would be the most expeditious because it's already under way, it's already designed -- but some of the concerns is that we had with that is just the proximity of that running under pavement and this is really the only -- this is the only access for the trucks in and out. So you can imagine how

Village of Lordstown Board of Trustees of Public Affairs

January 22,

19

any upsetting of that line and, you know, taking up any of that pavement could be for operations. And I'm sure that that's something that would be appreciated as well. And again, the reason that they're coming up so high up here is because the sewer's going down, it's higher at that elevation and comes down, so they had to come here to intercept all the flows off Ellsworth Bailey before they could run through their site. And the other thing too is they are introducing all these, what we're guessing is going to be domestic waste but it's basically other people's flows coming that close to the building, as well as the maintenance and things that would happen. And with that being a fenced-in area as well, they would be at the mercy of -- if it's the County that owns it, they would have to be at the mercy of the County when their crews could come in and work on things and it may not coincide with the schedule of operations. So those were some of the concerns. And again, option one would be the most advantageous to TJX from a scheduling standpoint, but in the long term it's really -- you know, we really don't think that's the best option.

MR. CAMPBELL: What does -- have you guys had a chance to review some of the options yet, or is this the first time --

MR. HERNON: First time we're looking at it here.

MR. CAMPBELL: Do you have initial comments or concerns with --

MR. HERNON: That's a good point. You know, this the sewer line running directly underneath the building --

MR. CAMPBELL: The parking lot at least.

MR. HERNON: I don't agree with that.

MR. CAMPBELL: And Mike alluded to Trumbull County -- and Bruce, thank you for your efforts at this point to kind of facilitate -- it looks like there's some cooperation for the residents on Ellsworth Bailey to be absorbed by the Village sewer system, which takes it off of your property, you know, adds it to our system.

MR. HERNON: And that would be -- so then if that was the case -- sorry to interrupt.

MR. CAMPBELL: Sure, go ahead.

MR. HERNON: Then anything flowing through here, it would be our problem.

MR. SULLIVAN: It would be Trumbull County.

MR. HERNON: Well, it would be Trumbull County but it's really affecting us, right?

MR. CAMPBELL: I'm confused by your question. If we -- yeah, if the Ellsworth Bailey residents are not gonna run underneath your parking lot and go to -- then yes, that will take that out of your area of concern.

MR. HERNON: Except there's a sewer issue with this, right, the County or the building sitting on top of it or something like that?

MR. SMITH: The building is not sitting on top of it. It's right adjacent to the building and it's under the main parking lot, which is your access for all the trucks.

MR. CUBICK: I think the concern would be if there was a problem with it in the future and they had to come and work on it, they could cut off -- it would have to disrupt the truck access in and out. But --

MR. SULLIVAN: Correct.

MR. CUBICK: But that's a long way -- that's not likely, but it's possible.

MR. HERNON: But any issue with it is not really affecting residents, that's what I was getting at, right. It's just gonna affect TJX.

MR. CAMPBELL: If that line's broke, the residents on Ellsworth Bailey are gonna have a problem with their sewer system.

MR. HERNON: If they're going to the Village.

MR. CAMPBELL: Going to the Village, no, no, correct.

MR. HERNON: Then this is just --

MR. CUBICK: Right.

MR. CAMPBELL: Sorry, we keep kind of confusing things.

MR. CUBICK: Essentially, if it went to the Village there would be

Village of Lordstown Board of Trustees of Public Affairs

January 22,

19

no flow from the residents through the TJX property.

MR. SMITH: Right. So those two runs here would disappear. I think there's three manholes along the way, and then this would be picked up from that point and then run over to the Pump Station No. 4 system. The other thing is there's currently the lateral locations coming out of the building. There's two sanitary laterals coming out of the building which are 12 feet below the finished floor elevation, and then they come together here and they tie into the system. So if these were to remain in the same location and, you know, if that gets disconnected, the Village takes the Ellsworth Bailey, then that can be re-routed all the way around or perhaps the plumbing can be changed around so it goes through the building because it's really -- I think there's 970 feet that's already under the building and that's why it had to be 12 feet beneath the finished floor elevation. And just talking to the architect following our meeting last week upstairs, you know, they said that they may be able to replumb that so that way it comes out the back and it's a shorter run. But again, nothing was gonna be done until the BPA decided how they wanted to handle the flows. Then the other piece of the puzzle is there's a guard shack at the main entrance that has a toilet or some kind of plumbing inside that also has it's own. But that sits up, I want to say, 1024 or 1005 so it would be 19 feet higher. So there's plenty of fall that this could have it's own little grinder pump and with the force main to take it that direction if it were to go that way, or it can actually flow by gravity by following along the cut slope and tying back in here if it were to go to the Village.

MR. CAMPBELL: Why wouldn't it just go to the TJX line?

MR. SMITH: Because that is a lot lower. Obviously if everything is going out that way it would tie in just like it's shown.

MR. CAMPBELL: That's kind of a yet-to-be-determined kind of thing.

MR. SMITH: I just wanted to let you know.

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. And then I guess a little bit of the question in my mind, I don't know if the Mayor or Council members can speak to this, at what level do we have jurisdiction over the decision on the connections for TJX?

MAYOR HILL: Well, just my personal opinion and you know, I can't answer what you're asking -- but you know, the flows are only 6,500. I don't have a problem with TJX going to the County if we have the residents on Bailey Road going into our system, because if there is anything else coming down Bailey Road we pick it up. So I don't have any problem with TJX -- and if they can redesign it to get theirs in, 6,500 gallons a day, that isn't gonna make me or break me. That's just my opinion.

MR. SMITH: And with the Ellsworth Bailey Road there, there's a lot of vacant properties that could potentially come in as well.

MR. SULLIVAN: When I talked to Dutton about it, he did say that, that we own Lordstown and the County only owns what we decided to give them. So if we wanted TJX we could go after it, but I think Option 2 is a better win-win for them and us. You take the grinder pumps out of it like Arno said, you have that line along --

MAYOR HILL: Bailey.

MR. SULLIVAN: -- Bailey where you may pick up some customers or whatever.

MR. CAMPBELL: How does -- how does your Utility Department feel about Option 2. Out of the four options, which do you feel works best?

MR. PLATT: At the initial, retracting a little bit. Initially I was against it, you know, because hey, we're picking up -- we went out and counted. There's 11 homes that we know that are connected to the sewer, and then there's two other homes that are further back from the road that aren't required to connect, you know, okay. So originally I was like opposed to that for 11 homes, 3,000 feet of sewer, the potential for that. But since the County has come and asked if we can make it a win-win for everybody, that they save money for their sewer installation and we get a better program, we, you know, accept 11 more customers with the assurance from the County that it doesn't

Village of Lordstown Board of Trustees of Public Affairs

January 22,

19

harbor that the existing sewer doesn't harbor a lot of defects in it that need required, and then if we can pick up the metering manhole to be installed to meter the flow coming from Imperial only, it's a win for everybody, you know. I heard verbally that if we said no TJX, you've gotta bring your stuff to Lordstown, to the Lordstown sewer, that that would be contested, you know.

MR. CAMPBELL: We're not looking to start a fight, we're looking to make the best decision.

MR. PLATT: I'm glad you feel that way. It's a little late in the status of the project to feel that way.

MR. CAMPBELL: Well, even this change is gonna delay some aspects. But I think TJX can see the benefits of getting it off your property and future stuff like that that is worth the time.

MR. DIETZ: Okay. Then Option 2 we get the houses and you're gonna reroute yours down to Hallock Young line, right?

MR. HERNON: On here, right.

MR. SMITH: It would be up to the County how you would --

MAYOR HILL: I think that's a win-win.

MR. PLATT: They'll end up designing how they transport their waste, you know. That's what MS is here for.

MR. SMITH: And it could very well follow the same line. But right now the County required a 12 inch because they are picking that up. But right now if they are serving their own site they may only need a 6 inch then and no easements to go along with it. If something happens it's on their property, and they'll have somebody come out there and fix it.

MR. CAMPBELL: I guess the last piece of the puzzle, because connecting the Ellsworth Bailey residents into Lift Station 4 involves the park, Imperial park, so what kind of easements or stuff do we need to do to I mean, is that a --

MAYOR HILL: That's a Village road all the way down to the pump station.

MR. SMITH: All of the work that we have --

MR. CAMPBELL: There's enough right-of-way to do everything we need?

MAYOR HILL: Right.

MR. SMITH: We believe we can fit everything into the right-of-way so we wouldn't need additional easements. There's enough fall there that we only need to tie into the first manhole, we don't have to go all the way to the pump station, because it's low enough to pick that up because you're not picking up the TJX site that's much lower.

MR. CAMPBELL: We have to meter the park versus what the residents are.

MR. PLATT: The meter would be further east of where they're tying in. The meter would be east of where the lift station is actually.

MR. SULLIVAN: Right.

MR. PLATT: Right there where they tie into our existing manhole.

MR. RADTKA: And we already deeded you the existing easement here months ago.

MR. CAMPBELL: I'm just making sure that this puzzle fits for all the pieces that are involved.

MR. SMITH: I think the only thing that's gonna be tricky is, you know, well I guess -- and again, I'm not sure who's gonna front what costs, but one of the things that's gonna need to be done is, you know, this sewer will need to be intercepted and rerouted before they can close that off, because obviously they need to keep these lines in service and flowing. So that may affect the project schedule. And then the other issue we talked about is the meter manhole which would go somewhere near Pump Station 4. And then with the additional, you know, sewer the re-alignment of the sewer, you know -- I don't know if you recall, Bruce, from when that was constructed, but I'm not sure if it's clay or if it's some kind of, you know, bedrock or something, you know, underneath at that depth, which I think we're about eight to ten feet deep. So

Village of Lordstown Board of Trustees of Public Affairs

January 22,

19

there might be a need for additional, you know geotech work out there just to see what's out there so that nobody is surprised when they are constructing things. But that's --

MR. PLATT: Yeah, they didn't run into much rock at all installing the existing gravity, you know, there. But I'm sure as you approach Bailey Road that, you know, it's kind of a hill. It appears that there's a lot of rock around that hill there, you know. So I'm sure they would be dealing with it some way, somehow, you know.

MR. SMITH: And then a few of the other items that were spelled out in the memo and the different options is underneath Ellsworth Bailey Road, unless the street commissioner would allow for open cut trenching, it would have to be bored beneath the roadway. But there are other improvements or other improvements proposed at that intersection which is going to be adding revising the lanes, reconfiguring the geometry here. So it might be a consideration of the Road Department to allow them to cut across that. But that's -- like I said, it's not for our call to make here today, I just want to bring that to the fore front. And the other items again is the permitting with the Ohio E.P.A. and PTI to include that section as well, which I think their turnaround time is relatively short. I just had a submission go in earlier this week, and I would think they would be able to turn it around within three weeks for a PTI submission. But their typical stance is usually 30 to 45 days for turnaround.

MR. SULLIVAN: So currently those eleven houses aren't sewerred.

MR. PLATT: The 11 homes do have sanitary sewer, okay. And there's two more that are not sewerred because they sit so far away from the road. So there's a total of 13 homes.

MR. SULLIVAN: That line runs down Bailey.

MR. PLATT: The line runs from Pritchard Ohltown north to Hallock Young. That's the way they have that flow right now. So you know, I mean, it's deep as all get out at a certain point. And about near where your home is, you know. And --

MR. CAMPBELL: And it's all rock.

MR. PLATT: And it currently hits Hallock Young and flows to the west down Hallock Young.

MR. SULLIVAN: So will they have to run a new line then for those?

MR. PLATT: No. The only thing they'll have to do is run a new line from the existing sewer that's on Ellsworth Bailey, okay, and kind of come diagonal across Hallock Young and Ellsworth Bailey and then come east on Hallock Young and tie into our existing manhole that's just a little bit east of the older portion of the trailer park there, just to give you an idea as to --

MR. SMITH: Right. And the map that I have here, I don't know if you can tell the colors, it's hard to see, but there's a portion here that's in red which is about 930 feet of sewer which would be -- essentially that's what we're looking at to have constructed to intercept the Ellsworth Bailey flows.

MR. SULLIVAN: So are you ready for a motion?

MR. CAMPBELL: **Yeah, I think at this point I'll make a motion that we accept Option No. 2 and progress forward. I'm not really sure the next steps of how this goes forward, but at least from this Board's point of view I believe it the best option that works for the Village, for TJX, and for Trumbull County. Was there any more discussion around that?**

MR. DIETZ: No discussion.

MR. CAMPBELL: All right, thank you.

MR. SMITH: The only thing I would recommend, I don't know who, the BPA or somebody, can reach out to the County, or maybe they'll find out from the press, how this was voted on. But it's our recommendation to have somebody reach out to them directly.

MR. SULLIVAN: We can do that.

MR. CAMPBELL: Part of it's been started already with our Utility Department, so just kind of like formalize that this is the direction we're gonna proceed with. Anything else?

Village of Lordstown Board of Trustees of Public Affairs

January 22,

19

MR. SULLIVAN: Second.

MR. CAMPBELL: All in favor?

(All respond aye).

MR. CAMPBELL: All opposed?

(No response.)

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. So then to my next question is we'll obviously take care of contacting Trumbull County and making sure they are aware of our intentions, what the plan is from the Zoning Committee and -- I mean, the project review. So that's the next step, I assume that this goes to which is not scheduled until the end of February at this point or

MR. SMITH: Right. I believe February 27 is the date.

MR. SULLIVAN: 26th.

MR. SMITH: 26th, okay.

MR. SULLIVAN: I could be wrong, but I thought it was the 26th.

MR. SMITH: So we do have time. So I'm not sure if you need to vote on it today or if you want to see how things play out.

MR. SULLIVAN: Well, we just did.

MR. SMITH: I mean, as far as the Kellie, any kind of correspondence or whatever that needs to go to the Planning Department.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah, that part I'm not sure what goes from that aspect. The Mayor already stepped out.

MR. RADTKA: I don't know, Kevin.

MR. CAMPBELL: All right.

MR. SULLIVAN: I know it will go in front of Planning on the 26th or 27th, whatever date that is.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Well, we'll make sure Kellie's aware of it and make sure Trumbull County's aware of it. And TJX is already aware of it with their engineering department.

MR. SULLIVAN: And maybe we should touch base before with Planning, telling them where we're at just in case.

MR. CAMPBELL: Anything other -- Bruce, are you thinking?

MR. PLATT: I'm trying to think of the process, you know. Obviously a letter from the Board or a communication from the Board to the County saying here's the proposal that we've approved, okay, number one, and describe that proposal.

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. Hold on, we've got two conversations going. I know it's hard for the stenographer and stuff. So sorry, just finish up and then --

MR. PLATT: And here's what we approved, da-da-da, get a response back from the County. Because who knows, I can't speak for them.

MR. CAMPBELL: We don't have anything official.

MR. PLATT: They won't come to the meeting, they only want to communicate by telephone or e-mail. So get a response back from them. And then once hearing that from the County, then let the Planning Commission know, you know, here's what we've agreed to, it's between us and the County and that. And of course, I'll speak with Kellie in the meantime.

MR. CAMPBELL: Very good, thank you.

MR. PLATT: Fill her in as to what we're trying to have happen, you know.

MR. CAMPBELL: And then from your side.

MR. CUBICK: Yeah. Our clarification is that our plans should now include the design of this of the connection from the existing sewer on Ellsworth Bailey back into the first manhole at the Imperial --

MR. SMITH: Yes. Yeah, as part of the project it would be to include --

MR. CUBICK: The red part essentially.

MR. SMITH: Include the red part, include the meter manhole.

MR. SULLIVAN: And I don't know that that shows the meter manhole, the new one.

MR. CUBICK: Now is the meter manhole -- you mentioned that idea

Village of Lordstown Board of Trustees of Public Affairs

January 22,

19

has been an around for a while. Is it designed?

MR. SMITH: We actually did design on that and it's gone out to bid three times, I think -- twice. There was gonna be a third time coming up. But the bids came in. And I think it was somewhat recent that went out, like in the fall sometime.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: In December.

MR. SMITH: So we still have bids that may be --

MR. CUBICK: There shouldn't be any design work required on that, it's just a matter of including the cost in the project.

MR. CAMPBELL: Let them finish.

MR. CUBICK: If the meter manhole has been designed, it's a matter of incorporating those plans into our plans or --

MR. CAMPBELL: Uh-huh.

MR. CUBICK: -- incorporate it by reference and it becomes a project cost.

MR. SMITH: Correct.

MR. CUBICK: But we shouldn't have to do any design work on it.

MR. SMITH: And it's actually on the other side of where you're tying in, it's --

MR. CUBICK: It's physically separate, yes.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay.

MR. SULLIVAN: Does that -- now it goes to Planning now?

MAYOR HILL: This does not. Well, Kellie just has to find out what's going on, I believe. But I don't think Kellie would have a say in this. They just want to find out what's going on for the site plan.

MR. SULLIVAN: So we should be --

MAYOR HILL: We should be okay, yeah.

MR. CAMPBELL: We'll get back from Trumbull County.

MAYOR HILL: There were a couple conditions when we had site plan review. And as long as we keep the Planning and Zoning office updated, we should be okay.

MR. SULLIVAN: Bruce has just said that we have had a lot of problems getting communications from the County.

MR. PLATT: Getting them to come to the meeting, somebody to come here and sit down.

MAYOR HILL: I'll give Randy Smith, who's actually the County Engineer but who's over the Sanitary Engineer's Office, I'll give him a call on his cell after I walk out of here, and I'll let him know that that's the way it's gonna go and he can pass it on.

MR. SULLIVAN: Okay.

MAYOR HILL: So he will know within, he'll know about 5:00.

MR. CAMPBELL: Would we want to follow-up with a letter and have it in writing?

MAYOR HILL: I think it would be good if Cindy can get a letter and you three sign it and that way have a paper trail. Everybody is a winner on this one, I'm happy to see it go that way.

MR. CAMPBELL: It's a slight delay, but I think it's worth the time to go with that for stuff to get lined up back together. It was a good meeting. We covered a lot the topics, and I hope everything comes together and flows on a timeline for TJX, and I appreciate them working with us to get something that works for both sides.

MR. HERNON: Thank you for convening so quickly and for CT.

MR. SULLIVAN: CT did a great job.

MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you for doing that and moving forward.

MEMBER COMMENTS:

MR. CAMPBELL: Down to Member Comments. Do you gentlemen have any other comments?

MR. DIETZ: No.

MR. SULLIVAN: No.

Village of Lordstown Board of Trustees of Public Affairs

January 22,

19

ADJOURNMENT:

MR. CAMPBELL: I'll take a motion for adjournment.

MR. DIETZ: I'll second it.

MR. CAMPBELL: All in favor?

(All respond aye.)

MR. CAMPBELL: All opposed?

(No response.)

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. Thanks everybody.

(The meeting adjourns at 4:40 p.m.)

C E R T I F I C A T E

STATE OF OHIO)
TRUMBULL COUNTY) SS.

I, Deborah I. Lavelle, a Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio, duly commissioned and qualified, do hereby certify that the foregoing meeting before the Board of Public Affairs was written by me in the presence of the Members and transcribed by me using computer-aided transcription according to the stenotype notes taken at the time the said meeting took place.

I do further certify that I am not a relative, counsel or attorney of any Member, or otherwise interested in the event of this action.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal of office at Niles, Ohio on this 5th day of February, 2019.

DEBORAH I. LAVELLE, Notary Public
My Commission expires 4/16/2022

Submitted:

Approved By:

Cinthia Slusarczyk, clerk

Kevin Campbell, President