

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
MEETING OF THE LORDSTOWN VILLAGE BOARD OF PUBLIC AFFAIRS
1455 Salt Springs Road, Lordstown, Ohio
November 19, 2019
4:00 p.m. to 5:45 p.m.

IN ATTENDANCE: Mr. Kevin Campbell, President
Mr. Michael Sullivan, Vice-President
Mr. Thomas Dietz, Board Member
Mr. Darren Biggs, Supt. of Utilities
Ms. Cinthia Slusarczyk, Clerk

ALSO PRESENT: Mr. Jeff Smith, CT Consultants
Mr. Rick Strunk, Independence Excavating
Mr. Greg DiGeronimo, Independence Excavating
Mr. Tom Cowie, Imperial Communities

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS taken before me, DEBORAH LAVELLE, RPR, a court reporter and Notary Public within and for the State of Ohio on this 19th of November, 2019.

MR. CAMPBELL: I'm gonna call the meeting to order. Would you please stand with me for the Lord's Prayer and Pledge of Allegiance.

LORD'S PRAYER

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE TO THE FLAG

ROLL CALL:

MR. CAMPBELL: Roll call please.
MS. SLUSARCZYK: Kevin Campbell.
MR. CAMPBELL: Here.
MS. SLUSARCZYK: Thomas Dietz.
MR. DIETZ: Here.
MS. SLUSARCZYK: Michael Sullivan.
MR. SULLIVAN: Here.
MS. SLUSARCZYK: Darren Biggs.
MR. BIGGS: Cinthia Slusarczyk, present. Chris Kogelnik.
MR. CAMPBELL: He might be late.
MR. SMITH: Absent.
MR. CAMPBELL: He's either not here or he's late.
MS. SLUSARCZYK: We've got Jeff Smith in for -- and did you gentlemen sign the attendance sheet?

APPROVAL AND CORRECTION OF MINUTES:

MR. CAMPBELL: I know you sent out some minutes. But you just sent those out, so we have nothing for approval tonight.
MS. SLUSARCZYK: No. And I apologize for that, but there's too many things happening all at the same time.

CORRESPONDENCE:

MR. CAMPBELL: Do we have any correspondence?
MS. SLUSARCZYK: You have some from the Clerk, which we would carry through different parts on the meeting on

some recommendations.

PUBLIC COMMENTS:

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. Any Public Comments at this point from our public attendees?

MR. COWIE: Maybe later.

MR. CAMPBELL: We have a second chunk if it doesn't get caught with something else we're going through.

OLD BUSINESS:

1. TJX - Water and Sanitary Sewer

MR. CAMPBELL: We're down to our new business items. Well, one of them is employee wage resolution. But before we dig into that, because we have some guests for the TJX project, so in an effort to utilize their time and have them not sit through a bunch of our meeting --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Do Old Business first.

MR. CAMPBELL: -- let's do Old Business first. I don't think you have a problem with that.

MR. DIGERONIMO: It's not often that us contractors are guests, so --

MR. CAMPBELL: We appreciate your time.

MR. SMITH: I guess one of the issues that has come up during the construction, sanitary sewer for the most part is complete. Everything is going along really well. They roughed everything, I believe, a few weeks ago; and everything is going out to the east side sanitary sewer system for the sewer. The water line has been relocated, it's been tested and disinfected; and everything is good with the water line relocation on the now Hallock Young Road. And that leaves us to the remaining issue essentially with the water which is plugging the old -- the old line near the intersection of Hallock Young Road and Ellsworth Bailey Road. If you see on the hand-out the first sheet, the yellow highlight indicates the plans that were submitted by MS Consultants, the one calls for the remaining line under Ellsworth Bailey to be basically essentially capped and in place and grounded and abandoned. And the lower call-out calls for the TJX connection, the west leg of the TJX connection, which is a 14 by 14 "T" to be eventually just simply plugged. And then the rest of the line abandoned in between or underneath the roadway. So that came to a head without a plan to plug it. There was a couple different options being sought by the contractor, Independence, who's here today; and one of the options was to just simply cut out that "T" and replace it with a single line with couplers on either end. I think everybody saw that as a viable solution until the time they did the exploration and found out the configuration under there is simply not conducive for cutting it out and replacing it because you have -- the second sheet kind of shows the "T" connection that's there kind of highlighted in purple and the call-outs that are there. It's kind of hard to read, but it's just one 14 by 14 "T", one 14 by 12 reducer, one 12-inch valve, and

then 1 length of 12-inch pipe. And the -- essentially what's happening is from the "T" and immediately to the north of the reducers connected directly to the "T". So looking from south to north -- and if you flip back towards the back of this diagram, you'll see that it's an existing 14-inch AC pipe leading into a cast iron "T", and then with the 14 by 12 reducers immediately adjacent to it. So their plan to just simply cut that out and put a length of pipe in between would not work because now they'd have to couple that 14-inch with a 12-inch. So -- and again, with all the different apparatus in that immediate area, you have that "T" connection, you have the reducer, a short length of type about two feet, and then it goes into a 12-inch valve, another 12-inch AC, and it reduces down from a 12-inch to an 8-inch, and there's an 8-inch PVC at the north end. So plan B, I guess, that was provided was for them to simply plug the "T" connection and have a mechanical restraint by using a plate system and bolts. And this is the page here that has Independence Excavating on it is pretty much their diagram, the red marks are our comments from their original proposal. And in theory, CT don't really have any concerns with that approach as long as you know the design is that the restraints will hold, you know, a factor safety of two for the 70 PSI water pressure. Also proposed is a thrust block, which is more of a belts and suspenders because a thrust block would then be placed into the trench or where the trench was for the old pipe, so we really don't -- so that that would be a -- you know, a long-term benefit to have that plug there because it could potentially just go through that trench of the softer material. So this is kind of what -- you know, what we reviewed. We have our comments here. And again, you know, our feeling is if the plate is strong enough, if the bolts are strong enough, if there's spacers placed in there, and if there's a way for them to bolt around the fittings so that it can get around, and it's not ideal, but we think that this can work with some adjustments to their design. So that's Option 1 -- Option 2, I'm sorry. Option 1 then would meet their obligation which is, you know, per the approved plans, which is for them to plug the "T" connection. And then if you go to Option 2, again back to the original diagram, this shows there -- the first item there shows their first option. And again, everything else would be left in place. And then the second option that we would want to have the BPA consider doing is to again remove that "T" and instead replace it with a 14-inch -- or I'm sorry, connect to that 14-inch AC pipe with a reducer to bring it down to 8-inch and then just run the 8-inch along and install a new valve, a new 8-inch valve, and connect to the existing 8-inch PVC on the north side. Again, we think that long term this is the better solution because you're gonna have fewer fittings, fewer opportunities for leaks and breakages and things like that, and you don't -- you're gonna get rid

of, you know, that undesirable, you know, I guess mechanical joint configuration that they are proposing because the original joint is -- it's a push-on joint, the AC, so it was held in place by friction. And again, without that friction the mechanical joint would provide, you know, the strength to hold it in place until it's concreted for the thrust block. And again, it's just -- it's not desirous to have all these in different joints, but it does meet their obligation for the contract. So I guess what we're proposing or what we wanted to bring in front of the Board is the opportunity for a decision to be made to consider Option No. 2, which again is the better in the long term for the Village. It's replacing roughly 16 or so feet of all these fittings were just one pipe and a reducer and the valve on the other side. We did get some pricing for that. If you flip to the next page, you'll see a price quote from Pipelines, adding everything on that is accurate with the exception of the Item #3 which is 8 inches of Class 52 DIP. He quoted us for two sticks of pipe. We think we would only need the one stick because he measures it to be about 16 feet in between. So that would save about half of that or \$490 from that quote. But the total quote was about \$5,520, and that's strictly the materials alone for the replacement of all those fittings through that run.

MR. SULLIVAN: Is this paid for by TJX?

MR. SMITH: That is -- well again, TJX's obligation was to plug the pipe. But what they are proposing and what they are looking to plug is not really going to be a desirous end result for the Village. But it's not really TJX's, I guess, fault that that configuration is the way it is. You know, they're doing what was part of the plan. But one of the things we want to do is present this to the BPA for them to consider. If the BPA would want to have the Village pay for the difference in the cost between doing that so you have a better long-term solution and not having to come back who knows how many times --

MR. SULLIVAN: And the difference is \$5,500?

MR. SMITH: Well, the material cost is \$5,500. And then for, generally speaking, about a day of labor, which is what we would expect it to be, I think Independence would agree it's, you know, about \$5,000 for a day of labor if Independence were to do it since they're already out on site and mobilized.

MR. DiGERONIMO: And let me interject there real quick, because I did tell you that our labor rates are probably a \$5,000 a day crew. The problem with this line is that it's AC, it's antiquated, and you just don't know what one -- doing one improvement to the line causes for the next reaction of stuff down the line. So we could tell you it might be a day; but if you have issues continuing as you start to make those improvements, it could be more time than that.

MR. STRUNK: And you need to bring in Precision.

MR. DiGERONIMO: You have some asbestos to deal with.

So there's is a little bit more to do it. I would say you could be in a range of a day or you could be in a range of five, six days, I don't know. And that's just something -- we can obviously handle that pipe; but it's something nobody likes to do, nobody likes working with that AC. Just -- so I just wanted to put that caveat out there. It's not like I can't promise you it would be a day's worth of time.

MR. DIETZ: Question. The way you guys want to do it, five years down the road is it gonna break open on us or you can't answer that?

MR. DiGERONIMO: I plead the fifth because I don't want to self-incriminate on that. You just don't know.

MR. DIETZ: I've gotta look out what is the best for us in the long term, because I know we had one down here on 45 that they butted two male ends of the pipe together and put a clamp on it. The clamp was made out of stainless steel but the bolts were run-of-the-mill steel, and the bolts rusted off and guess what, we got a water line.

MR. DiGERONIMO: Yeah.

MR. SULLIVAN: Well you know, if it's a concern too that, like you said, it could be five, six days, \$5,000 a day, plus the \$5,000 for the cap, I don't know if we've got that kind of money.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: And in addition, if the cost exceeded a certain dollar amount it would have to be put out to bid. You couldn't just award it.

MR. SMITH: I believe the threshold is \$50,000, is that correct, for that?

MR. SULLIVAN: I thought it was lower than that.

MR. CAMPBELL: Well at this point, because I know there's been some discussion about maybe the Village covering the parts and you guys helping with the labor part -- I mean, has that been something that's been broached upon yet or --

MR. SMITH: That was something that I discussed with TJX, and the gentleman was Mark Cavicchi. And I know that he was saying that he's had a lot of expenditures with this project and they weren't really able to do anything more beyond what they need to do to fulfill their obligation by capping the pipe. So I'm not sure if they're a willing party, if they want to maybe share the cost, maybe you pay for the materials and they pay for the labor. When I brought that up it wasn't -- I didn't get a warm and fuzzy feeling.

MR. CAMPBELL: Well, no one likes to spend money. Yeah. But I mean, if part of it is their work and expense that they're gonna have to do something any way. And I definitely like the simpler solution of getting rid of all that chaos in there and aspects of that.

MR. SULLIVAN: I do too.

MR. CAMPBELL: I mean the solution that just plugging it, it just seems like there's so many band-aids in that spot it doesn't look like a solution for longevity for us.

My view is that we push to do what we can to get, you know, rid of all that, get a solid piece of pipe in there and clean it up and get rid of everything else. And I do appreciate your insight that older pipe and issues with that, I mean it is what it is. But if something starts going, we're gonna have a huge mess. I mean because, you know, when that starts leaking and you start tearing into it, you end up tearing it back and replacing it anyway. And I don't -- I mean, you got a different view on that, Darren?

MR. BIGGS: Well, my view is that I want it done right, okay.

MR. CAMPBELL: We're on the same page there.

MR. BIGGS: We wouldn't have had to do that before except for TJX. Now we found out there's a problem. Is it an Independence problem or TJX. But if it wasn't for TJX, we wouldn't have to be messing with it. This here was before me anyway and, I mean, we dug it up. Well I was unaware of it until we dug it up anyway and said holy -- well, that's not exactly what we said. So ideally, getting it all out of there, let's do it right. Now TJX obviously is gonna fight us about it because what do they care, they're gone. They're there, but now it's not a problem. That's good it was proposed that if that's why we took the time, especially Jeff, to figure out what we needed, we went out there and dug it up, these guys dug it up both ends. Let's get a price. And since it's not really all TJX, maybe we can help out and get this thing done right. Where if we do the pieces and parts, these guys are good with putting stuff together, they're already gonna have to open this up anyway to do that work. Whatever it would cost above that, if whatever that would be -- you know what I mean.

MR. CAMPBELL: We'll be on the hook for.

MR. BIGGS: Or however it works out or -- I was hoping for some kind of deal to make everybody happy and keep Lordstown happy and keep a nice clean thing.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah, keep a balance. I understand.

MR. BIGGS: If something happens here, again nobody knows, next year, five years, ten, we don't know; how much is it gonna cost us then to fix this thing.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah, plus the issues that go with it.

MR. BIGGS: So that's where I'm at on that. But -- and the other thing like Greg said, it would be nice if everything fell together and it took a day, okay. I could deal with that. Five days putting a trailer park out, now we gotta come up with something totally different to get water to them if there's an issue with that. So that's something we need to think about too. If ideally it's down as quick as possible --

MR. STRUNK: We're doing AC pipe, you don't know. We could be cutting into that thing, it splits farther, you chase it --

MR. BIGGS: Do we set up a contingency thing, you're adding more cost.

MR. DiGERONIMO: We can just tell you from a contractor's prospective, we don't like to chase work like that and put a hard number on these things because you just don't know what you're dealing with. You have to have contingency, secondary parts and pieces to chase bigger problems. You have to prepare for the worst too. I'm not trying to make a mountain out of a molehill.

MR. BIGGS: I'm with you on that is what I'm saying, do we need to come up with something else.

MR. STRUNK: To put the cap on, that's several hours. That's not a day, I can put that cap on and that thrust block in 3 or 4 hours and be done. So to try to give a time on to do what you want to do, that's -- that's pretty hard to --

MR. BIGGS: Well I think if everything fell together, you guys would be able to do that fairly quickly.

MR. STRUNK: The replacement.

MR. CAMPBELL: In an ideal world.

MR. STRUNK: Best case scenario.

MR. BIGGS: Obviously over five hours or whatever else and still, and that's just ideal. And if it's not ideal, what do we do.

MR. DiGERONIMO: And it adds a little bit more too that it's a little -- we have a contract signed with TJX, we have a bunch of risk mitigation in that type of contract. When we go in and touch AC that we're not supposed to, there's a whole bunch of liability we don't want to be responsible for. We want to be held harmless for chasing something that you don't know how you're gonna deal with it.

MR. BIGGS: If the Board here says, you know, what let's see what we can do about getting this whole thing replaced, would just tell them no anyway because you don't --

MR. DiGERONIMO: It would be no different than any contract with TJX. We would have to sit down and make sure that you felt that the language we would need to see we feel protected in. It's no different.

MR. BIGGS: So it's something we could overcome?

MR. DiGERONIMO: I'm not saying to say it can't be done. It's not as simple as doing that on T&M Independence. I'm not saying it can't be done, but there's some things to do if you're going to go to improvements and not just capping. There's probably some guys out here that do some stuff that are in the area in Youngstown that can deal with it AC. Our guys are obviously more than capable of doing it, but maybe you want somebody to get you some prices and quotes to see what you're dealing in here. You guys have obviously put the investigation up, and you can give them some of that information to see if they want to give you firm pricing on it. Some guys might be willing to do that.

MR. CAMPBELL: Well, how much risk do we have of just capping it? I mean, like if say, you know, we know that replacing it and doing what we really want is -- say it's

a 10 in risk. What's capping it, a 1, a 2, a 5? I mean, you're down there digging and messing with it.

MR. STRUNK: We've had good luck with these fittings that we've used on the other end, you know, with that type of a fitting, the cap itself. And then this restraint that we're doing, I think it's very, very small.

MR. CAMPBELL: And quicker.

MR. STRUNK: And the thrust block that you mentioned not having any resistance, it's gonna be you have that 12-inch pipe. I'm only gonna take out a small section of that, and that thrust block is gonna be up against that 12-inch existing pipe. And that's what, 100-some feet still in the ground that's gonna be grouted. That's what that thrust block is pushing against, not loose ditch material.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: When are you scheduled to do that capping?

MR. STRUNK: We're not scheduled because we have to be approved to do it. We're not scheduled for anything. We're waiting on someone to say do it, and then we would schedule it.

MR. SULLIVAN: I'd like to get with TJX and tell them we'll furnish the material and how much would you furnish in the labor.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Regardless, because there's an expense and you would have to have a resolution, and we do not have a resolution. So you couldn't -- I mean, besides to say prepare it or whatever, you couldn't do anything without a resolution.

MR. SULLIVAN: Well, that's no big deal to get a resolution.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Correct.

MR. SULLIVAN: I mean, even if we wanted to get a special meeting with TJX and --

MR. CAMPBELL: Correct.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Yes.

MR. CAMPBELL: What do you gentlemen feel? Darren voiced his concerns and direction he would like to go.

MR. SULLIVAN: Well, I'm just basically supporting what Darren said is going with the option two but getting with TJX so --

MR. CAMPBELL: See if we can work out something.

MR. SULLIVAN: See if we can work out an agreement with them.

MR. CAMPBELL: All right.

MR. BIGGS: Might as well see where it goes.

MR. CAMPBELL: Now is there a delay of anything like, Cindy, kind of that was heading towards if this isn't scheduled -- I mean, is there a delay -- I see you nodding your heads already.

MR. STRUNK: It been holding us up already for weeks. I don't have a print. Where the old Hallock Road is, there's a hydrant right on the corner, it's in my cut area to make that new entrance into the site. And there's approximately, I don't know, maybe three or four thousand

yards of material there. And the water line where the hydrant is, all of that's all affected. And I can't do anything to remove that because the valve that's shutting the water off is right there. So yeah, that's --

MR. CAMPBELL: So we're already in.

MR. STRUNK: Yeah, already.

MR. CAMPBELL: I was afraid of that. It's never easy.

MR. DiGERONIMO: Would you guys have any reservations or would you have any thoughts in potentially doing the cap work up front and still trying to deal with that improvement side on the back end here with TJX and however you guys want to do it, so to somewhat curry favor? In essence, it would keep things moving. This thing has been in the way for eight weeks now.

MR. STRUNK: We're gonna be here for months and months if there's an issue for leaking.

MR. DiGERONIMO: And to me, it's kind of trying to say hey, we want to negotiate with this thing. And I don't think by just us capping it, putting it in place, that that automatically means they've fulfilled everything and you can't deal with them on the back end of it. But it still keeps us moving and it's out of that delay issue, and we're moving forward and you're trying to get this thing negotiated.

MR. CAMPBELL: This is -- that is an option to consider. Part of it is good faith moving forward.

MR. BIGGS: To answer that with my opinion on that, TJX is already paying you to put a cap on there, correct?

MR. DiGERONIMO: It's in our contract.

MR. BIGGS: I'll throw it out there, \$3,000. And what if it takes to basically replace that is \$5,000. If they were already playing the three, we want it done right, we pay the extra two. If they say you've already done it, we're not putting that towards it --

MR. CAMPBELL: We've lost some revenue.

MR. BIGGS: Not that I'm trying to hold you guys up. I was hoping all this would get worked out.

MR. DiGERONIMO: It's a leverage situation, I understand.

MR. SULLIVAN: We lose our negotiating power.

MR. CAMPBELL: And it's already done from their side. But again, you don't want them holding up, you know, a multi-million dollar project over a couple thousand dollars that you're just trying to get the water line straightened around.

MR. SULLIVAN: No. But if it turns out to be \$50,000 or \$40,000, if it went awry like he said, like six days at \$5,000 a day --

MR. CAMPBELL: Well then, like just keeping the contingency plan keeping water for the park, you know, which I guess it's something we should consider even if you're gonna cap it. Because if something does go crazy, you know, because we already know what that line looks like and it goes oh, man we can't -- it's done, we can't

cap, we can't do what we were gonna plan, we're gonna have to replace some stuff --

MR. BIGGS: There's only one way into the park now.

MR. CAMPBELL: Then we're in trouble because we didn't plan for it to be a big problem, and they're out of water and we're still trying to get this thing together as fast as we can. Like I said, before the contingency plan would be smart even doing the cap, so --

MR. STRUNK: I don't understand the part, it goes right over top of that C line.

MR. SMITH: For the proposed --

MR. STRUNK: The proposal that you proposed. I've never seen this.

MR. SMITH: The quote I got from Pipeline, you got like a reducer that has an AC fitting on the one end, a mechanical joint on the other end. And I think -- have you ever used anything like that, Darren?

MR. BIGGS: I haven't, no.

MR. STRUNK: I haven't.

MR. DIETZ: Is that the page where you're showing the two options?

MR. STRUNK: That's the second option.

MR. SMITH: Yes.

MR. DIETZ: I just wanted to make sure I'm on the right page here.

MR. SMITH: He's got a 14-inch high maximum coupling and then a 4 by 8 MJ reducer. And then the MJ -- you know, 14-inch MJ gaskets and 14 DI mega lug -- so he's got some kind of a coupler.

MR. STRUNK: Have you used any kind of fitting on that AC pipe like that.

MR. BIGGS: Not that I can remember.

MR. STRUNK: That would be my only concern is I haven't. So it looks just like -- it looks just like those couplers that we're taking apart. It's got a rubber gasket and it just slides on.

MR. BIGGS: That's what I'm seeing. If we need to research that if we're going to go that direction, I encourage you guys to check it out and make sure, you know what I mean, but --

MR. STRUNK: That's where we don't want the responsibility of that thing, you know. It's your parts that you're buying and then saying us to install it. How do we take responsibility for something like that? I mean, I guess if this manufacturer is saying it works, I guess you gotta take their word for it. But I've never installed anything --

MR. BIGGS: And that's what we're doing.

MR. STRUNK: We were comfortable with the fittings putting in that, and they worked. I mean, it was a big difference in our pipe sizes from the ductile to the AC and we were questioning that. And it worked, but --

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. So at this point what's the Board feel? Do you guys want to try to get a meeting next week or so? You guys have been working with the

people on the site and TJX's end of it to -- I mean, do you know who we need to talk to to meet to see --

MR. SMITH: I would highly recommend Mark Cavicchi. And do you know his schedule; does he plan to be here next week?

MR. DiGERONIMO: He was here for the last couple of weeks, and with the holidays coming up -- I mean, he's certainly available by conference calls and things like that. He usually can -- he does have a third party rep, Daniel Kiley. He can come in for things like that too. He's pretty versed on the project, so --

MR. SMITH: Okay. Well I guess, do we want to then schedule something with --

MR. CAMPBELL: Well, we won't know until we sit and talk with them. That's the point we're at right now. The only options we have at this point are just say go ahead and plug it and pray and hope for the best, or sit down and talk with them and try to work out something that we can agree to and move on as fast as we can. And you know, maybe we sit in that meeting and we come up with all right, cap it for now, get you moving and have an agreement that we'll work together to get it done the way we need it, maybe we'll come up with something like that. But until we sit and talk, we won't know.

MR. STRUNK: What testing procedures do you have after, if and when we do this?

MR. BIGGS: As far as what?

MR. STRUNK: Pressure testing, bacteria, all the others.

MR. BIGGS: I'll definitely do the bacteria test.

MR. STRUNK: But anything on that you're handling?

MR. BIGGS: Absolutely, yep. Yep.

MR. SMITH: What about pressure testing on that?

MR. BIGGS: What's the length that we're under?

MR. SMITH: Twenty feet.

MR. STRUNK: So we just do a visual before we back-fill.

MR. BIGGS: I would think.

MR. DIETZ: We'll set a meeting up. It won't be this weekend.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Truthfully, next week is a three day work week with the employees. And if they need it, I would say we should try to reach out and talk to Mr. Cavicchi to coordinate something by the end of this week. Once you talk to him and there's a decision, you would need legislation to pass that if there's any expense from the Board. If they start to work for the Village of Lordstown, then we have to have insurance and, you know, that's a whole other thing. If the work is done with -- in conjunction with TJX it could be, you know, we -- whatever the outcome is is the relationship between the project. But if you hired Independence, there's other things.

MR. CAMPBELL: That opens another can of worms.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: So it's not gonna happen in just one

meeting I think.

MR. CAMPBELL: It never does.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: But if you did Thursday or Friday, Monday or Tuesday of next week, you could pass that if it, in fact, required some passage of legislation.

MR. DIETZ: Well, I'm gone this weekend.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Will you be back next week?

MR. DIETZ: Yeah, I hope.

MR. SULLIVAN: Are you gonna be here Thursday?

MR. DIETZ: I'm going to Columbus to the kids' dance stuff.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: When would you be leaving?

MR. DIETZ: Probably early Friday or late Thursday.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I'll try working on Thursday coordination, at least by teleconference. What was the other gentleman's name that.

MR. DiGERONIMO: Mr. Daniel Kiley. It's K-I-L-E-Y. I can forward you his contact info.

MR. SMITH: Would the Board be opposed to a teleconference?

MR. CAMPBELL: Cindy, if we could get --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: We would just need to exchange signatures or something.

MR. CAMPBELL: Oh, yeah. But at least for the initial conversation to see if we can get on the same page.

MR. SMITH: I'm just almost wondering if he would be available now, get him on the phone and get that first discussion out of the way.

MR. CAMPBELL: We're all sitting here. It's worth a shot.

MR. SMITH: Nothing like putting the pressure on.

MR. DiGERONIMO: He won't mind talking back. He's from Boston.

MR. DIETZ: You say he's in Boston?

MR. DiGERONIMO: He's in Boston. He's got that east coast mentality. They've been very good guys to work for too. They've been very fair.

MR. CAMPBELL: Well, the only thing I'm thinking is while we're waiting to see if he can make any progress with that is what kind of contingency plan can we put in place for the park.

MR. BIGGS: Probably what Chris had brought up before and the hydrant around the corner. That's what he came up with before. That's about it. I don't know what other choices he would have.

MR. CAMPBELL: Need to get water into it and then just do a bypass from the hydrant to hydrant.

MR. BIGGS: The only thing I mentioned to him was if it's that first meter pit right there, we would have to make sure with that that it's taken care of also if we go corner-to-corner water running down, plus parallel down Bailey.

MR. CAMPBELL: Oh, oh, oh. Okay.

MR. BIGGS: It would take some thought. Nothing is

gonna be --

MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah. Just start -- I'd like to have something in place regardless because if things just didn't go well --

MR. DIETZ: Nothing is ever --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: If anything did happen and TJX agreed and that line was taken out of service and replaced, you would have to do a boil warning and everything.

MR. BIGGS: Uh-huh.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: That probably wouldn't fly well with the 280 homes within Imperial if it was done before the holiday.

MR. BIGGS: I'm gonna have to do that anyway.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Before the holiday?

MR. BIGGS: When they shut down it's gotta happen. I don't see that happening before the holiday, do you guys? You would like it to --

MR. STRUNK: It would be tough. Before Thanksgiving?

MR. BIGGS: Yeah.

MR. STRUNK: Yeah, if you capped it you could.

MR. SULLIVAN: Do you guys have a three-day week next week?

MR. STRUNK: Yes.

MR. SMITH: He wasn't in.

MR. CAMPBELL: Well, that's that answer for now.

MR. SULLIVAN: So we'll try to set it up for Thursday.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: We'll talk to them, and I will reach out to all of you and make sure you're good. Is there any conflict for Thursday?

MR. CAMPBELL: I should be good for Thursday, and about any time would work. As long as I knew ahead of time, I could make it work.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: All right.

MR. CAMPBELL: So Jeff, at this point are you gonna try to -- how much are they aware of? I know you've been working with them on it, but I know you've talked with Mark. But has it just been kind of an in-passing conversation, does he know any details? I mean, how much have you had --

MR. SMITH: He knows the details from what I understand. He's been copied on a few emails.

MR. DIGERONIMO: He's been out on site, he's seen it. He kind of knows what's --

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay, all right. Very good. I just want to make sure he's kept in the loop.

MR. SMITH: He's familiar with it because we've discussed even because that was the proposition before was maybe a shared cost.

MR. CAMPBELL: Well, the Board definitely loves that idea. But I do understand their time with it, but we would -- I think you guys can see and I think Mark sees that we're wanting to get a good solution for us to alleviate future problems. And we all know that if you

Band-Aid fix and bury it, that's part of what we're probably looking at now is well years of -- well, you know. And then one day it just goes really south on you and parts are --

MR. STRUNK: Well, you're really looking at more of a problem with your line, not the cap.

MR. CAMPBELL: Correct.

MR. STRUNK: Correct.

MR. CAMPBELL: I would assume, yeah. I mean, just -- I mean, it just looks awful convoluted, this whole bolting structure, just to cap the thing off and plug it and all the stuff you're doing like. And you look at all the other issues with it, it seems like a cleaner way to go the way we want. This pipe is not user-friendly is the best way to put it if we had to do much with that pipe. I think, isn't that the same pipe up above where they tied into it, right?

MR. BIGGS: Yeah, right.

MR. CAMPBELL: So I mean, there's some experience with it that went fairly okay, didn't it.

MR. DIGERONIMO: We had the perfect scenario when we got in it and did our lateral work.

MR. STRUNK: We had some other material that we bought for contingency if it didn't work correctly.

MR. CAMPBELL: But you didn't need that contingency?

MR. STRUNK: Because it went good, yeah. We had to have Precision come in, put the pipe, then get rid of the pipe and then use those fittings, similar -- the exact same fitting that we want to use for the cap. And yeah, those fit good, didn't leak, turned back on, went well.

MR. CAMPBELL: Well, I guess -- I live right there where that ties in.

MR. STRUNK: Oh, okay.

MR. CAMPBELL: So what I'm noticing is there is that a shut-off valve or something that's put right, that cap -

MR. STRUNK: Yes.

MR. CAMPBELL: The problem is trucks come up and turn and use that, and they've missed it a couple times. If it gets run over by semis --

MR. STRUNK: You got them all over the place.

MR. CAMPBELL: When semis come and hit that big turn-around in front of that place, they come and end up in my yard. And you can come and see where a semi has just missed it. If it's run over, what's gonna break because it's gonna be a semi, not a car.

MR. STRUNK: Normally it just breaks that box. It's sitting on top of a valve.

MR. BIGGS: It's not attached, it's just sitting there so it can do that. Because normally if they hit it, it bends it over. Normally it doesn't bother the valve, just the box. So ideally that's what it for. It shouldn't break anything down in there. I would hope not anyway.

MR. STRUNK: They're cast so they're gonna break

before that valve.

MR. CAMPBELL: I was just checking because I saw that -- I saw it was already concrete. But it would have been nice if it was concrete around the whole thing and just held it there. Maybe when TJX is finally done I won't have that problem because it seems like people -- the semis come, it's the biggest turn-around, oh like I'm going the wrong way, they turn and swing in my yard and it just ends up being right in a bad spot. Everybody says it's not my yard, I don't care. I think that's all for you gentlemen, correct? I appreciate your time.

MR. SMITH: I think that's it.

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. Run for the hills. Have a good night.

(The gentlemen from Independence Exc. leave the meeting at this time.)

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. That was the number 1 on Old Business, right?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Yes, but we're not done yet. That was their discussion.

MR. CAMPBELL: While we're on it --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Yes, I'm sorry. I have my stuff in accordance with the agenda, so let me see. All right. In regards to Independence Excavating, we have a bulk water contract with them; and their first invoice was sent out on September 30 and was not paid in a timely manner, which it incurred a penalty. The penalty on the account was \$1,019.31. Yes, \$1,019.31. So they did pay the penalty later on the second invoice when it was charged and issued to them. But he asked if there would be any type of forgiveness on that. I told him no, but I would bring it to the Board. It's your decision.

MR. CAMPBELL: I know. But this must be a prime opportunity, gentlemen, to consider helping out with the situation we're trying to get help out with. Just my two cents of what I'm thinking here. Because if they're still paying for the bill, this is just a penalty thing, and if we're trying to help out a situation, we're trying to get them to cough up a little bit on --

MR. BIGGS: If I may too?

MR. CAMPBELL: Sure.

MR. BIGGS: Not being a big business -- but if you remember when we had that break up there, these gentlemen were called and said we would get you any supplies you need, and they got an operator and dug that up for us right away.

MR. CAMPBELL: Break?

MR. BIGGS: On the corner when we drilled through there. They dug it up, they did all the work.

MR. CAMPBELL: They didn't cause the problem?

MR. BIGGS: They did not cause the problem. They jumped right on it.

MR. CAMPBELL: I didn't realize it was somebody else. So they came and helped out?

MR. BIGGS: I want to make sure you were aware. They were already there, can you dig that up for us; please, you're right here and you got the equipment. And they had no issue, they came to me. That was very nice of them.

MR. CAMPBELL: I think under the circumstances, a good opportunity to extend a helping hand where we can.

MR. DIETZ: And we already got them to agree to the meter pit for us.

MR. CAMPBELL: Oh yes, yes, correct. Yeah.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Well, this is Independence Excavating, not TJX. This is Independence.

MR. SULLIVAN: That's who these guys are?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Yes, correct.

MR. CAMPBELL: But there's --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: It's your decision, not mine. I don't make those decisions. It was billed and paid and they just asked, you know. And I don't make that decision, I bring that to you to rule and decide on.

MR. CAMPBELL: That's how I feel about it, gentlemen. I think it's something we can waive. And since we're heading -- they've already helped us in one scenario, good will, without even any asking. And now we're looking to work with them on a future project, I think may help out with it.

MR. SULLIVAN: All right. We'll make a motion to waive the --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I will be sure that they know.

MR. DIETZ: I'll second it.

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. All in favor?

(All respond aye.)

MR. CAMPBELL: All opposed?

(No response.)

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Okay, waive. And in regards to the same subject, the invoice for Trafftech, the company that --

MR. CAMPBELL: That actually drilled through the pipe.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: That actually drilled through the pipe. We adjusted the rate sheets before, and we really never had to charge the labor rate man hours. And the way it's written is each man hour is billed at \$120. If it's a call-out after hours, it's billed a three hour minimum. So in my invoicing, the break occurred prior to noon, I think say in the 11:00 hour --

MR. CAMPBELL: Uh-huh.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: -- we were on the clock and then some people worked over.

MR. CAMPBELL: Uh-huh.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: So as you see, the eight-and-a-half hours for Vinny he was actually called back to work, he was not working that day. So they were charged for the call-out. No big deal. But on the rest of the employees I only billed for the time beyond our scheduled workday. However, if we were taken away from our work, I wanted to see how you wanted that to be charged. If our charges

began when we began working on the break until we finished or from the time our shift ended and only incurred overtime --

MR. SULLIVAN: What do you think, Darren?

MR. CAMPBELL: Well, it's up to you.

MR. SULLIVAN: Well, I know that. I'm just --

MR. BIGGS: I didn't even realize we had a set on there. What I did for her was I wrote down everybody's hours, and I split it between the normal working hours and then when we went into overtime. And that was for the Road Department also on there. So I was unaware that you guys had a price thing.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Man hour charge.

MR. BIGGS: I did it how many hours we were actually there and separated the two on what I was putting that together.

MR. SULLIVAN: Well that's what this is, right?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Kind of. The hours they said was overtime is what I charged the \$120 for, not our workday hours. But if you say our workday was interrupted, instead of charging it for me, for example, Bill said yes, I am to charge for my time. So instead of at noon or 11:00 when we were notified and started with the boil, different things, until 7:30 that night, I only billed for overtime for me for the 3.25 hours from 4:00 to 7:15.

MR. SULLIVAN: Right.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Which is kind of concurrent with what Darren did breaking down our regular day and -- but for billing the contractor, whether you paid me \$10 or if you paid me \$15, it doesn't make a difference when it goes to the contractor. I just need to know the hours.

MR. CAMPBELL: Correct.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: You want billed for the whole time from 11:00 to 7:30 or 8:00, whatever the last hour was? Until 8:24 looks like the last person left. That's the difference. If you chose to bill for the entire time, the invoice would be greater than what you see here.

MR. CAMPBELL: Oh yeah, that's for sure.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Yeah. Like I said, I did what was considered overtime hours with the exception of Goddard, and that's because Goddard was called from home so he was a call-out for the entire time. There's no doubt, yeah, exactly.

MR. CAMPBELL: What has the Village done in the past? There's nothing --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: We haven't had to do that.

MR. CAMPBELL: Like even in the Streets and Roads Department? Like if something happens like they hit out a pole and take out a bunch of stuff, there's nothing encompassed in their workday?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: During their workday. I don't know that they have a man hour charge that they do that. I don't know. I mean, but from having been there --

MR. BIGGS: No, I don't know. That's what I'm saying, this was new. I didn't --

MR. SULLIVAN: Did you pull all your people at that point?

MR. CAMPBELL: He pulled everybody.

MR. BIGGS: I had Road Department helping me. We had to tag all those homes and stuff too. It was hard for me to even do the work. The E.P.A. was ringing my phone like crazy.

MR. SULLIVAN: It seems no, it should have been paid for the time you pulled everybody.

MR. CAMPBELL: So they are all working on it from the start?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Our employees did. The other employees started at 3:00 -- 2:30 and 3:00.

MR. CAMPBELL: So the ones out of our department were overtime?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Overtime, our employees.

MR. CAMPBELL: Those wouldn't change.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: So it would be Darren and Cindy and Bob. Correct.

MR. CAMPBELL: I think it's appropriate for them. It was all-out when you guys started working on that. Go from the time you guys spent repairing that or working towards getting it repaired.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: So from the noon --

MR. CAMPBELL: That's my two cents.

MR. SULLIVAN: Right, I agree.

MR. DIETZ: I agree with the way she has it here. Is that what you guys are saying?

MR. SULLIVAN: We're saying like Cindy, she's just charging from the end of her shift on the overtime. We're saying it should be from noon because that's when everybody was pulled and said okay, you gotta take care of this disaster.

MR. CAMPBELL: You can't do your normal job, you have to do this because it caused the problem. And I'm fine if for our department billing the full-time for that. Do you guys feel the same?

MR. SULLIVAN: Yes.

MR. CAMPBELL: Do we need a motion for that? Is that all that repair part was 700-some, that's all the repair cost?

MR. BIGGS: That's one. That's one repair band.

MR. CAMPBELL: But that's all it took? I thought there was more parts that was needed. That was it?

MR. BIGGS: No, that was it. Well, it was a -- it's done fine, it will hold, everything is good. With TJX long-term we probably would have done something else, but as soon as this is taken care of it's gone anyway. That line that we just repaired, it's gonna disappear.

MR. CAMPBELL: Wait. So where this is fixed is part what we're talking is gonna get all replaced? I did not realize that.

MR. BIGGS: It would be the southwest corner of Hallock Young and Bailey Road. Yeah, the -- timing-wise it could have been all disconnected like it is now, but it

just wasn't at the time that they -- when they did that. Yeah. So it was a big 24-inch.

MR. CAMPBELL: Is there any more drilling going on after we get this all ironed out? Last thing we need is to get this fixed up and blow another hole through it. Crazy. All right. Thank you, Cindy. Was that everything for the --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: That was all I had on that subject.

NEW BUSINESS:

1. Employee Wage Resolution

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. Let's go back up to New Business items if that's all right with everybody, get back on track. We have our Employee Wage Resolution. I've been sending emails back and forth with Bill.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Did you want to do executive session on that?

MR. BIGGS: Probably should.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: He asked me about it earlier, about executive session for that; and I said to mention it to you.

MR. CAMPBELL: Do we want to save it towards the end then?

MR. BIGGS: I would think so.

MR. CAMPBELL: Rather than delay everybody, we'll save that for the end.

2. Master Water Model Plan Update

MR. CAMPBELL: Master Water Model Plan Update. I think Jeff may have some stuff for us on that, or not.

MR. SMITH: I don't have any specifics on it, but I do know it was discussed last time. And it sounds like the Utilities Committee for Council may be reaching out to work with you to proceed with that from my understanding.

MR. SULLIVAN: That would be different.

MR. DIETZ: Well, the head of the Utilities Committee is not in town right now. I don't know where she's at. But Bond could have come, but he didn't come. I told him, but I don't know what's gonna happen.

MR. SULLIVAN: Who's on there?

MR. DIETZ: Karen Jones is in charge. Bond is there.

MR. SULLIVAN: And Ronnie.

MR. DIETZ: I think Ronnie is, I'm not sure.

MR. BIGGS: What's that?

MR. DIETZ: On the Utilities.

MR. BIGGS: Isn't it Jones and Sheely and Liming?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Liming.

MR. CAMPBELL: I think you're right.

MR. SULLIVAN: That's the new -- since the election.

MR. CAMPBELL: No, that's --

MR. BIGGS: That's the way it's been. I don't think the Mayor's changed anything since --

MR. CAMPBELL: All right.

MR. BIGGS: -- the election anyway.

MR. CAMPBELL: So from CT's side you had no questions

or issues, because we had our meeting and got our chunk of it moving.

MR. SMITH: Right. I have nothing that I'm aware of, right.

MR. CAMPBELL: I just wanted to check.

MR. DIETZ: Bill brought up the statement last night that he thought it was a good idea. Most of them were saying they thought it was a good idea if I understood them right, you know.

MR. CAMPBELL: We're hoping they are saying it's a good enough idea that they want to pay for the expansion.

MR. BIGGS: It was perceived well. When Chris gave his little short, you know, here's what it is, I think he did -- it was pretty clear, you know, to get the ball rolling and that it was perceived -- I mean, even by the community that was there too and, you know, the Council that was up there it wasn't like oh man, it's good, maybe we should look into it. So I think --

MR. CAMPBELL: We're getting them on the pages then.

MR. BIGGS: It was good, right.

MR. CAMPBELL: Cindy, what did you have here?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Referring to the Water Model Plan update and the potential or the need for Council to participate with the planning, this is -- as of today, this is the map of the Village of Lordstown. I failed to do it in color for you, but you can see the marked areas. There's three known businesses that we know are moving into the Village which is Lordstown Motors, Old Dominion and Trumbull Energy Center. When they get here we don't know, but we know where they intend to go and what. In addition to those three businesses, we have four potential businesses to plan for highlighted in those areas. That is how vital this Water Model update is.

MR. CAMPBELL: It is, yep.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: So I wanted you to see where those potential sites are and if -- I talked to Kelly this morning to see if there's some way she can, you know, talk to her committee and to express it, and she said she has. That gave us -- her and I talked, we did that for today, and I don't know those new businesses. They may not -- they might walk away faster than they came. However, we needed to know the areas that could be considered for future growth and development, and right there is right now today.

MR. CAMPBELL: That's what we're looking at?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: So again, to be pro-active, let's be pro-active not reactive.

MR. CAMPBELL: The first thing they ask is what they can get.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Unfortunately, until they give us numbers or locations, right now we can't even answer that if they give us numbers or locations.

MR. SULLIVAN: Lordstown Motors, did they take the whole complex?

MR. DIETZ: Yes. Henn's property.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Lordstown Motors.

MR. DIETZ: Is that what you're talking about? Oh, G.M.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: There's nothing, no facts. All I heard is there's many buildings over there that could be used for other things.

MR. SULLIVAN: There's what?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: There's many buildings that could be used for other things. Nobody knows exactly what they have acquired.

MR. SULLIVAN: Didn't you say it was 7,400 acres which would be -- that would take in the fab plant and the --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I don't believe they need all of it. Whether they bought it or not doesn't mean that they are going to use it all.

MR. SULLIVAN: Well, I just wondered.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Unfortunately.

MR. SULLIVAN: And there was nothing on the battery.

MR. DIETZ: Well, according to the news --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: That could be one of these future chunks. Could be. I don't know, I'm not --

MR. CAMPBELL: It's all just hearsay and feeling stuff out.

MR. DIETZ: Well, according to the news when the guy was talking, the batteries and the motors were gonna be made at Lordstown.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Uh-huh. But some people say that Spitzer is in Lordstown too so -- just FYI for the Water Model. I mean, there are things right here, right now, that it needs to proceed.

MR. CAMPBELL: We need it, yep.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: The next thing I had for the Water Model Plan is Old Dominion, the trucking company that bought part of the North Point property, submitted today. Kelly brought this up this afternoon, their PPE form and a sketch of their building. However, the PPE form is incomplete, especially where it comes to water. So I told her send it back, tell them it doesn't work. I mean, it's here if you would like to see it. But I told her that I was bringing this to you regardless because you need to know that Old Dominion is a potential customer.

MR. CAMPBELL: They're not gonna be a big water user, but we need to know.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: The question that lies with them typically is the Scare Forest or Fear Forest was made to connect to the Lordstown water system. The Warren water line is on their parcel, Lordstown water line is across Tod Avenue. So if they came to me today and wanted to connect to water, I want to tell them they have to connect to the Lordstown water system on the other side of the street. I need you to think about that. Is that what you want them to do, is that what would be good for the Water Model Plan is having them connect to the Lordstown? Again another question is where can we afford to -- they're not

going to have heavy water demand, but that's blank so I can't speculate.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: It's just domestic water use purposes. It doesn't look like they're gonna have a truck bay or truck wash in there.

MR. SULLIVAN: Is that by the water, the road that goes in by the water? Right here (indicating)?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Show me, Mike. I can't see --

MR. SULLIVAN: The Lordstown Motors here and Old Dominion.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Old Dominion is truthfully at the south part of that highlighted area. North Point is still the northern end who actually wanted to re-acquire the property from Dominion, and Dominion wouldn't give it up. North Point was a couple -- I don't know the measurements, but they have a purchased parcel there and they would not sell it back.

MR. SULLIVAN: But that -- where is that exactly?

MR. BIGGS: It's directly across from Henn Parkway.

MR. SULLIVAN: Yeah, that's what I thought.

MR. BIGGS: If you come out of Henn Parkway, it will actually be the left part of it.

MR. DIETZ: It doesn't go up as far as that driveway to that dry well that G.M. drilled.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: No, it's just --

MR. BIGGS: I don't know what G.M. drilled.

MR. DIETZ: They drilled a dry well for brine on that property.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Everything that was submitted is not -- this is the sketch of the building, but it's not to the road or for planning purposes, it's just the -- you're more than welcome to look at this. I was only given the two, one for me and one for Darren. But again, it's food for thought if you have any opinion on that and you want to let me know if they do come.

MR. SULLIVAN: And the ones that you just have blacked out is space available for --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: That is interested sites. That's all I know.

MR. SULLIVAN: That somebody is looking at. We don't know who or --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: We do not. I do not.

MR. CAMPBELL: All right, very good. Thank you, Cindy.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: So for Old Dominion there was a potential connection, and they submitted a PPE form incomplete.

MR. DIETZ: Didn't they clear right up to the road that used to go into Anchor? So that's the way you can go down and look at it tomorrow. They cleared right up to where Anchor's driveway used to come out on 45. So that will give you a point that you can go down and look at it, okay.

OLD BUSINESS:

2. Utility Department Building

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. Very good. Moving on down to our Old Business item of Utility Department Building. I know Chris is still trying to find out funding or options. I think the last thing he found was basically a loan that we could do, but we would still have to pay back the loan. I appreciate that he's still beating the bushes for us.

MR. BIGGS: And he still is looking too. He actually went to other meetings, conferences, whatever. And he had mentioned to me talking to him that not really because it isn't that much, that maybe there's other places that you can look into, or if you added more maybe they would be able to help. But he's still looking, he's still active.

MR. SULLIVAN: I said at the last meeting that I would get a hold of the reps to see if they could come up with anything and I talked to Gil. Shawn was out-of-town, and he said he'd get with Shawn. And I didn't quite understand -- he said the budget has been passed, but there's a secondary budget or something. But anyway, he said there's another tool that they may be able to -- I told him we would need \$3 million to put up a new building, and he said that they would definitely get that into the works to see if they could do anything with it.

MR. CAMPBELL: Keep beating the bushes.

3. I&I

MR. CAMPBELL: Number 3, I&I, which I know as crazy as things have been it's not even on the radar right now. I understand that.

4. Sanitary Sewer Rate Review

MR. CAMPBELL: Number 4, the Sanitary Sewer Rate Review. We're keeping on our marks to make suggestions for the Council to do something with. When we do our rate review in February/March for our water stuff, we should make another recommendation to the Council and see.

MR. SULLIVAN: See what they want to do.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: The rate study was given to Paul, and I have not heard anything back as to what they were trying to do or not trying to do there.

5. Warren Water

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Warren Water, which we have down to keep marks on. I think part of that's to keep in the loop with the TEC project. And we know that that agreement's trying from -- I think the Warren side trying to get legs underneath it. I know from the Village side that we're still considering other options and putting some things together. So I think there might be a better solution for us rather than having Warren just take care of all of it. So we'll keep it on here for things as it comes around and our Water Model is part of that partner.

6. Personnel

MR. CAMPBELL: Personnel. Was there something under personnel, or was it just left from last meeting?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Darren actually asked me that, and I think it was just carried over. Would you like me to remove it?

MR. CAMPBELL: We're gonna be doing the wage resolution stuff, so --

MR. SULLIVAN: We did get the permanent part-time, right?

MR. BIGGS: Yes.

MR. CAMPBELL: He's working out great.

MR. BIGGS: Yes.

MR. SULLIVAN: Is the part-timer done?

MR. BIGGS: They were done it would be last week, I believe. Yeah, they're done. Yes. I think the end of last week was their last day.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. I'm glad it worked out well again.

PUBLIC COMMENTS:

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. So all right, we're gonna save -- we're down to Public Comments. Any public comments at this point?

MR. COWIE: Gentleman, just a couple things. And probably -- well the first thing, as you know we've had this ongoing above all the individual meter charges for our water at the park there.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yes.

MR. COWIE: When the new meter was installed, the first time we got billed it was 173,000 gallons. I mean, it's been as high as 329,000 gallons in a quarter. Well it dropped from 257 to 191, which is 66,000 gallons less in this last quarter. I'm just bringing that to your attention.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah I know, because we're trying to figure out what's going on it with it.

MR. COWIE: That's a phenomenal amount of water that can't be accounted for by us or you. And that's above everything else that's -- everything is metered in the park, so --

MR. CAMPBELL: Everything is metered and we got the three master meters, and we're talking a difference between --

MR. COWIE: And we dropped 66,000 in this last quarter, which you know just -- it boggles our mind where is this water that, you know --

MR. CAMPBELL: And I think initially we were getting some trending. At first it seemed like it just kept growing.

MR. COWIE: It did.

MR. CAMPBELL: And it seems like now we're getting some undulation, so we were hoping we could have something --

MR. COWIE: I just don't want it to be forgotten

because it's -- it's \$1,500 to \$2,000 more on top of what we're already paying for our individual billings there. So I mean, I just don't want it to be forgotten that --

MR. CAMPBELL: Yep. But the hard part is the solution.

MR. COWIE: We can't prove it and you can't prove it, that's the thing.

MR. CAMPBELL: That's the problem.

MR. COWIE: But we're the ones paying for it. That's why I'm bringing it up. And I just had a -- let me show Darren this. We get the meter pit read-outs there, and just this one week in October. We only used 8,000 gallons in the front of the park where it's usually in the 27/25 thousand gallons. But in Park 3 we used 93,000. I mean, I didn't know if you guys had any issues with the individual pits or anything or if it's --

MR. BIGGS: No. Even if it was an issue, that wouldn't be --

MR. COWIE: I mean, going from the mid-twenties down to 8,000 gallons.

MR. BIGGS: We would still be able to get the right numbers off of it though. The totals would still be the same. I can't think of any --

MR. COWIE: I just don't understand why we would use that much less water in one week and it would jump up to those, the regular numbers, you know. They run in the mid-twenties to low-thirties for the front part. And I know --

MR. CAMPBELL: That wasn't in the same -- was that when that water line was drilled and busted and --

MR. COWIE: That was just in October sometime. And I think that water line --

MR. CAMPBELL: I'm just throwing out things that affected that line.

MR. COWIE: It could have been.

MR. CAMPBELL: Like wait, that could have caused us something. I don't know.

MR. COWIE: And I'm not looking to you to -- you don't have to give me an answer here. It's just when I see something, a number change drastically --

MR. CAMPBELL: Like that, which doesn't make sense.

MR. COWIE: -- in a week's time, just to bring it to your attention. So --

MR. BIGGS: Let me check for you tomorrow because that doesn't sound right. I'll see what I can come up with.

MR. CAMPBELL: You don't know if that water line broke then, if that's something -- because all of that got drained, did to weird stuff with the meter.

MR. COWIE: I thought it was earlier than October.

MR. DIETZ: Would that have messed the meter up?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I think Vinny was watching that meter because they already had where it wasn't registering correctly, earlier this summer it didn't register. And that's --

MR. BIGGS: There was some things with those -- two of the meters that were over there. The radios was giving us issues. We could still go down in there and --

MR. CAMPBELL: Read.

MR. BIGGS: Sure. So that's what I'm saying it's not making sense because that's still gonna be accurate. If it was -- if that jumped from 20 to 10, you know, 50 percent difference --

MR. COWIE: Might be able to live with that.

MR. BIGGS: Then it should have been at least picked up on the meter accounts, maybe not the radio. The radio could give us issues. And I still have all those numbers too where I can go back and see if there was anything specific on that day or that month. I know they've had to work on them for a while, the radio part.

MR. COWIE: When it wasn't transmitting the numbers out or whatever you had trouble with that, at least in the front there, so --

MR. BIGGS: Right, right.

MR. CAMPBELL: That's off of the billing that --

MR. COWIE: No, this is just a graph that they have that they allowed us to look at so we can look at each week.

MR. CAMPBELL: That's showing you guys plug in the values.

MR. BIGGS: We read every one of those weekly, and they have the opportunity to look at it also to see if there's an issue or --

MR. CAMPBELL: Because we're trying to get to the root cause.

MR. COWIE: We had a meter break last winter, and it showed up. And by us being allowed to see it, we could see how much water the people with the broken meter would be charged to recovery. It just went out on the ground, but we could figure it out just by those numbers for that meter pit there, how much extra went through it.

MR. CAMPBELL: I was just trying to understand what you were looking at.

MR. COWIE: Like I said, I'm not -- I'm just giving you something to look at again and it's happening or we only use this much water in a week's time. That's not very much in the front park.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: What week was that?

MR. COWIE: October 9.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: And that was for the front park?

MR. COWIE: Yes, Pit 1.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I'm not sure, but I know we've had a lot of changes up front. And I was wondering just maybe on the vacancies, have you thought of that?

MR. COWIE: Not that many. I don't think that --

MR. CAMPBELL: It wouldn't affect that much water usage.

MR. COWIE: I don't know.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I'm gonna look back and see what I can see.

MR. COWIE: That's three times less than what it's usually on a normal, so -- but it could be. I mean, that's a thought.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: We were drowned with final readings, so it's like I'm gonna look and see if there was a lot.

MR. CAMPBELL: Correlation with that.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: See what addresses we have up there.

MR. COWIE: Appreciate it. And is there anything on the flow meter?

MR. CAMPBELL: The master sewer meter?

MR. COWIE: I mean, is there a time frame? Should we be --

MR. SMITH: Right now the contractor's provided all of his documentation to get under contract, and I know that Chris typed up some forms I believe today from -- or I'm sorry, last night from Bill Blank that he was gonna forward to our office so we could do the notice to proceed for that meter manhole.

MR. CAMPBELL: Do you have a rough estimate?

MR. COWIE: Are we looking at this winter maybe or spring?

MR. SMITH: They have 180 days from notice to proceed, so it will be between I guess now and May.

MR. COWIE: Yeah, okay.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Thank you, Tom.

MR. COWIE: Yep, thank you.

MR. CAMPBELL: Any other public comments? All right, thanks.

REPORTS:

Solicitor's Report

MR. CAMPBELL: Solicitor's Report. Anything from our Solicitor?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Nada.

Engineer's Report

MR. CAMPBELL: Anything else to add?

MR. SMITH: Yeah, just a quick follow-up. I looked up online on the Auditor's site, and G.M. is still listed as the owner on all the properties including and surrounding the plant, as of today.

MR. CAMPBELL: Interesting. We'll see how that all plays out.

Utility Committee Report

MR. CAMPBELL: Number 3, Utility Committee Report. Anything? No? No?

Clerk's Report

MR. CAMPBELL: Cindy, Clerk's Report.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Well, some of it's painless. This is the list of the third Tuesday of every month for 2020 for potential meeting dates for next year. I always like you to see if there's a conflict in advance so we can just change it so there's less questions about the change of

the meeting. But if there are no conflicts, then in December I'll present this for your approval.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Okay. Next there's a request from me. Right now our shut-off parameter for a customer to shut off is any debt over \$18 goes for shut off. And that was based previously on a minimum bill for two billing periods.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: So the first one had a penalty which would throw it over the \$18. But now, one billing cycle, three-month billing period, is now \$18. So I would like for you to consider changing that to at least \$36 --

MR. CAMPBELL: That makes sense.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: -- to grab the ones that aren't paying attention to their account.

MR. CAMPBELL: No, I totally agree. Do you gentlemen understand that?

MR. DIETZ: Yeah.

MR. CAMPBELL: Do we need a motion with that?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Yes.

MR. CAMPBELL: I'll make a motion that we increase our water shut-off to triggering off at what, \$36.

MR. SULLIVAN: Second.

MR. CAMPBELL: All in favor?

(All respond aye.)

MR. CAMPBELL: All opposed?

(No response.)

MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah, I guess we kind of missed that when we were doing everything.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: In -- it's not set to read the two minimum billings, it's set at a dollar amount. So I appreciate that.

MR. CAMPBELL: And I think it works better for our Village too, so --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Exactly. Next is Trumbull County sewer customers. We transitioned 11 of 12 customers. Wait, we transitioned 11 of 13 potential customers and Trumbull County issued their final sewer bills. The guys went out and did a final meter reading for their sanitary sewer October 22, ended their service with Trumbull County. Then they were due to be billed at the end of this month, and we billed them in Cycle 2 which moved them up one calendar month. So they got a bill for two months instead of three months for water. So they had to have a little bit larger sewer bill and a smaller water bill, so kind of at the end of the day it balanced out. Going forward now that both bills have been paid, they'll pick up in the spring they'll get a bill around Valentine's Day which would be due on March 14 for April that would be done. One customer was missed, which just went out today. I will get that done. I don't know how to end them or where we're gonna end them because we did it so they wouldn't pay any minimums or any potential overlapping of billings. So I just found that out this afternoon, so

I'll do that and get them transitioned as well.

MR. CAMPBELL: Do your best with balancing it.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: A letter was sent to each one of the customers to explain that. I got no phone calls about the letter, so I'm hoping that everybody got it and understood it. Before the letter I was getting some phone calls with some questions; and I think the letter took care of everyone's question, they knew exactly what to expect going forward. I'll remove the sewer charges from the county between now and January when I do that billing again. But I left them there just until this billing closed, I couldn't take that off of their account. So I still have more work to do. But as I started to say is, there's 13 potential customers. One home is not connected to the sanitary sewer. I don't know why without looking further. But the rules on the east side sewer system says if it's in front of your home, you have to connect. You need to think about that.

MR. SULLIVAN: I think if it's in front of your home within 200 feet.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: 300 feet.

MR. CAMPBELL: I think it's 300 feet. If your home is within 300 feet of the road. And this one may be. I know it's a ways back there, I'm not sure.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Nor do I. I don't know what the exception was or why it's not, but I wanted to let you know that.

MR. CAMPBELL: Well, didn't we follow ours off of Trumbull County and it might align?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Trumbull County Health Department. Trumbull County Sanitary Engineer's is the one that had the sewer.

MR. CAMPBELL: I was just trying to see if, in my mind, it would line up.

MR. SULLIVAN: Who would tell them whether they had to hook up or not?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Trumbull County would be the one telling them they are required to hook up, not the health department. Well I just want you to know I am aware, but I haven't been able to check into anything to provide you any more information than that. Last -- not last, next -- we did get a notice, as I said before, of a potential -- or of a water rate increase from the City of Niles. And we -- they were gonna come out and meet with me and they didn't. I e-mailed them back afterwards. No reply whatsoever from that follow-up e-mail. Through a third party it came to me that the mayor called and spoke to somebody other than the Board of Public Affairs, and they told them after the election. So I don't know who's doing what, but all I can say is I'm trying to get answers to those questions. It has not happened.

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. Well --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: The clock is ticking regardless. That rate will go into effect January 1. So I mean, that doesn't give you a lot of time to react to that.

MR. CAMPBELL: Well, we do review our rates the first of the year. So we might be a little behind on if ours get increased, but at least we'll have figures to base our decision on.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: My next thing was Old Dominion, but we covered that under the other topics. Next is the computers, the replacement of the computers. Right now the solution is Kevin's gonna try to put a new hard drive in them and install Windows 10 for us.

MR. CAMPBELL: Which is basically to upgrade currently what we have to Windows 10 and hopefully just move pretty smoothly through that. Because the estimate for the two computers was just outrageous, it really was. I'm like that's --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I sent it to him like no, this isn't gonna fly.

MR. CAMPBELL: And we're trying to save some money. And our hardware's pretty good, so I'm thinking we have a shot. Even if we only get wheeled down to one or two that need it -- is it the two that were in your office and you got more?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I have one and Darren has four.

MR. CAMPBELL: So there is five. All right. Next was the meter manhole project. I, unlike Jeff, was under the understanding Chris had sent me an e-mail weeks ago when I asked if the notice to proceed was sent out, and I wanted to discuss that with him tonight. He told me that he would have that information, he was expecting a package at 4:00 that day weeks ago. I have not received notice to proceed or notice of award, anything that was given to the contractor. But yet CT sent me the bill, and that was kind of upsetting because I've asked and I've asked. And now they are saying today the notice to proceed hasn't happened. We bid that project out in September. It should not take two months to get them papers, that's usually turned around in seven to ten days.

MR. SMITH: I'll look on that. I may stand corrected.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Please do because --

MR. SMITH: I'm just going on what I understood from my understanding yesterday.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: That is really behind if it hasn't happened yet. The next, I'm gonna stick Darren's neck on the chopping block as well as mine. But we have issues with the grinder pump on Tod Avenue. We had three, and I believe now we're down to two. One I think is permanently eliminated because the duplexes went to a single dwelling and, therefore, zoning is not gonna allow that to change back. But the repairs to that grinder pump, which have been many, are significant. Most recently a lid -- the lid was cracked and broke. The lid -- the cost of the lid was --

MR. BIGGS: \$172.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: \$172. They are not our sewer customer, and the house is not even our water customer.

It is a Trumbull County sewer customer, and Trumbull County took those customers except for the grinders. At this point in time I would like to recommend to the Utility Committee or to the Board to consider charging the County a fee for -- to maintain those grinder pumps if they are the ones realizing the revenue.

MR. CAMPBELL: I agree.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: The control panel has gone out a couple times, that's a \$2,000 item. That one unit is just cursed from birth, there's no other way to put it. But it's costing -- whether you say the Water Department or the Village, I don't care how you look at it, it's costing us and it's nothing --

MR. CAMPBELL: And we're not pulling in on it.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: How do we fix it? I don't know how to proceed. I don't know if we sit down with Utility Committee or somebody has some ideas, but we need to try something or get the County to the table. Bill said maybe he could call Randy and say hey, you've had many years of revenue from it, now it's time for you to absorb it.

MR. CAMPBELL: How did we end up being on the hook for it?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: We were not to be. When it was initially done, and I was under the understanding that if the complaint come in -- at the time Dave Harrison was in Planning and Zoning -- that Dave would work with me to help the Road Department and the Water Department take care of the customer, which I do believe they are a Lordstown resident, we take care of them, especially after hours or late at night with the grinder pump.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: And there was problems, like I said, from birth with this grinder pump. And we kept a log, kept a book, so there is a reference guide as to what happened there because I can't log it with service orders. So Council was paying for that, and then we started getting the feedback of what's on that grinder pump, you know, control panel. We spend more time saying that was for the grinder pump that Council said that they would maintain the grinder pumps. We're maintaining our grinder pumps on the east side because we're realizing the revenue. Okay. So that means because they are our customer they are our expense, but that one is not. So when that invoice comes through, because Darren wants to buy a new lid at \$172, I have to say no. It's just constant back and forth. And there has to be a better solution. And it shouldn't be Council's expense either but this letter is just a draft. Please review it, edit it as you would like, if you want something said or not said. We need to sit down and discuss it so we know what the protocol is every time this comes up, or if there's a fix. Can we put a steel lid on there, maybe eliminate the lid being an issue. But the whole -- that whole grinder pump, there's two of them, the one is a definite problem. So I'm going to reach out to them, but I don't want you to

be.

MR. SULLIVAN: Is it two houses there on Tod?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: There is a duplex that Mr. Zoldan owned once that is owned by the owners of the Commerce Center. There's that home.

MR. SULLIVAN: Owned by who?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: The Bakeris brothers, they own the Commerce Center. And the home just north of Tait Road there. And that's where that -- I'd have to look. I know you had three, maybe four.

MR. DIETZ: On the right and the house right next to the machine shop which was --

MR. SULLIVAN: Well, that's the one that --

MR. DIETZ: The gray one.

MR. SULLIVAN: That Zoldan and then Rafidi opened.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Yeah. So this is just to see if we can come up with a solution or protocol or even how it's gonna -- if it doesn't go beyond us how it's gonna work between us.

MR. CAMPBELL: If we don't speak up, it will never try to get better.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: The issue needs to be discussed, so all I want to do is discuss it with them. And that ends my report.

MR. CAMPBELL: Any questions for Cindy, gentlemen? No? All right. Thank you Cindy, appreciate that.

5. Superintendent's Report

MR. CAMPBELL: All right, Darren, Superintendent's report. What else do you have.

MR. BIGGS: Not much else, as always. We covered everything I got. One of the things I want you to think about with the Old Dominion or whoever goes in over there, not a real fan of running a service line under four lanes of highway plus the berm. It's not --

MR. CAMPBELL: It's a long ways.

MR. BIGGS: It is. So it's something to think about when you're deciding who's gonna supply because there's a line on the other side or whatever. So keep that in mind, that that's a long ways for a service line.

MR. DIETZ: Lot of movement.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yes.

MR. BIGGS: The -- again with the Water Model, we got something started last night, you know, with speaking with Council. It went well. We'll see. If we get some cooperation maybe everything will work out, nothing to worry about and we'll see, absolutely. Disinfectant by-products for November, we're good, we passed, everything is good with that one. Got the results back. Another thing I'd like to ask is petty cash. When you go get little things even from the Dollar Store up here, I walk over, I bother somebody for 44 or whatever, I go do my thing, I come back, I turn in the receipt and the change. And then if I could, if I'd -- just like a little box I can lock, hide in there \$100 maximum, whatever, where if

the guys need something or I need them to go get something for me, pull it out, the receipt goes back in there. Bill still has control over it where he can match up whatever money's in there with the receipts, it equals \$100, fine.

MR. CAMPBELL: That's fine.

MR. BIGGS: And then replenish it.

MR. CAMPBELL: As long as it's traced I don't think we'll have a problem with that.

MR. BIGGS: It will be by the office anyway, I'll report all that.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: That's why Bruce wouldn't do it, because you would account for it and give us the receipt for reimbursement only. We don't account or balance your activities. And that's why Bruce refused to do it, that's why Bruce brought the receipts over and here's my receipt. So that would be your responsibility 100 percent for the cash.

MR. BIGGS: Okay. Well, good. I mean, I don't have to answer to the office or something.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: You do. You would have to bring your receipt.

MR. BIGGS: You would have to -- I'm still the accountant. How would it work -- I'm confused now. Either way it will save me a lot of time and headache. We go get a thing of bleach when I gotta do the tests and don't use it a lot, we don't. But it's just -- it's minimal and I hate to get --

MR. CAMPBELL: As long as the fund's accountable and you can't get more until you show whatever or whatever bill.

MR. BIGGS: That's how I was gonna handle it anyway, and I thought the office would be okay with that. Whatever I need to do, obviously I would like your permission where we wouldn't have to --

MR. CAMPBELL: Would we need a motion for that something like that?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: No, we have to have a resolution.

MR. CAMPBELL: She'll get a resolution for next meeting.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: For \$100.

MR. CAMPBELL: I'm fine with that.

MR. BIGGS: That's plenty.

MR. DIETZ: Do you have a box or do we have to buy a box?

MR. BIGGS: I don't have one. I'll find something. Or whoever can find something, I don't care. I don't have anything, Tom, no. We can get something though.

MR. CAMPBELL: Good.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: He knows the rules. No tax, no receipt, no money.

MR. CAMPBELL: What else do you have?

MR. BIGGS: Sam Wills, you already brought it up. He's been with us before, he's working out real good. He is jumped right in and everything is working out real good. And other than that, until we get the executive --

I'm good unless you guys have any questions.

MR. CAMPBELL: The only thing I guess come up today is some calls about some water quality stuff, which I think Cindy --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Well, that's what I just got back. I didn't turn those those in yet. The water quality stuff we're having now, it's stemming from MVSD. I called down there this morning because I did see that there was some Facebook problems and that, I wanted to get a better answer for them. And so talking with John Nemet, he explained that the lake itself being warm takes a different feed, chemical feed, to keep everything in balance, okay, and safe. And it dropped temperature so fast that he had to adjust. And he's here, but it had to go fairly fast. So everything -- there's a lot right now coming through, and it's just gonna take a couple days to get out. It's absolutely safe, it's harmless, but especially with hot water you could be getting an odor right now, a slight odor out it. And everything is harmless. We went to the two places that had called us with odor, problems and/or taste. I explained that to them. I told them if they needed anything else, I gave them Mr. Nemet's phone number, he said he's more than willing --

MR. CAMPBELL: Go to him.

MR. BIGGS: Well, he's more the chemical. He said he has no issue with that, trying to explain. We did take the chlorine test right there showing it's still safe, you have that in there. Everything seemed fine. It's just something we're working through right now. It not only us having the issue, Kevin.

MR. CAMPBELL: Seasonal change things.

MR. BIGGS: Hopefully we get through it soon and back to normal.

MR. SULLIVAN: I see you were right across the street from me, and I haven't noticed anything.

MR. BIGGS: Some are and some aren't. Some are more susceptible to it, they can smell it. There was two people in the house, one did, one didn't and I didn't. It's your sensitivity affects that big time too. Right.

MR. CAMPBELL: What did you have, Tom?

MR. COWIE: Just we got a call today too, and it was because first they saw it on Facebook.

MR. CAMPBELL: Of course.

MR. COWIE: Then they could smell it. But if we get calls at the park there, would you want us to just say it's a seasonal thing or have them call.

MR. BIGGS: Lot me make that choice. Have them call us and that way I can make the choice and at least go check it out it, make sure it's not something. I still want to make sure that it's safe.

MR. COWIE: So just tell them to call the Water Department?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: The E.P.A. tells us water quality is never dismissed. You check it out, you verify it. It's

our responsibility if it's a complaint about quality.

MR. CAMPBELL: We appreciate that, Tom.

MR. COWIE: But that's how it played out. I seen that on Facebook.

MR. CAMPBELL: Then it's in their head.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: And that's Tom's work order.

MR. COWIE: Cancel Facebook.

MR. CAMPBELL: I wanted to thank you for your time. Anything else for Darren? Darren will appreciate that. Before we do an executive session we're gonna -- I assume you want to call the Board members and Cindy. Or is it just for the work resolution, wage resolution? Is that it, or is there additional stuff? I'm looking at you, Darren.

MR. BIGGS: I know and I'm thinking. Yeah, just us here is all that's needed.

MR. CAMPBELL: Just calling the Board and Cindy or just the Board and you to work through the wage resolution?

MR. BIGGS: And Cindy. And Cindy.

MR. CAMPBELL: And then we won't be able to pass anything on it because we have to put together a resolution anyway, so it would just be --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: But if we do a special meeting, I'll work on getting that just because Bill needs something and we don't want it to go to the last minute.

MR. CAMPBELL: Correct, correct. So we'll make that announcement. I make a motion that we go into executive session to cover -- what's our --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Employee wage resolution.

MR. CAMPBELL: That's the way to put it.

MR. DIETZ: I'll second it.

MR. CAMPBELL: All in favor?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Kevin Campbell.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yes.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Thomas Dietz.

MR. DIETZ: Yes.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Michael Sullivan.

MR. SULLIVAN: Yes.

MR. CAMPBELL: All right.

(At this time, an executive session is held off the record.)

C E R T I F I C A T E

STATE OF OHIO)
TRUMBULL COUNTY) SS.

I, Deborah I. Lavelle, a Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio, duly commissioned and qualified, do hereby certify that the foregoing meeting before the Board of Public Affairs was written by me in the presence of the Members and transcribed by me using computer-aided transcription according to the stenotype notes taken at the time the said meeting took place.

I do further certify that I am not a relative, counsel or attorney of any Member, or otherwise interested in the event

of this action.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal of office at Niles, Ohio on this 9th day of December, 2019.

DEBORAH I. LAVELLE, Notary Public
My Commission expires 4/16/2022

Submitted:

Approved By:

Cinthia Slusarczyk, clerk

Kevin Campbell, President