

**RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS**  
**MEETING OF THE LORDSTOWN VILLAGE BOARD OF PUBLIC AFFAIRS**  
**1455 Salt Springs Road, Lordstown, Ohio**  
**January 21, 2020**  
**4:00 p.m. to 6:30 p.m.**

**IN ATTENDANCE:** Mr. Kevin Campbell, President  
Mr. Michael Sullivan, Vice-President  
Mr. Thomas Dietz, Board Member  
Mr. Darren Biggs, Supt. of Utilities  
Ms. Cinthia Slusarczyk, Clerk  
Mr. Christopher Kogelnik, Engineer

**ALSO PRESENT:** Mr. Lonny Reed, Old Dominion  
Mr. Franco Lucarelli, City of Warren  
Mr. Bob McNutt, CT Consultants  
Mr. Tom Cowie, Imperial Communities  
Mayor Arno Hill, Village of Lordstown  
Mr. Travis Sayler, Gresham Smith  
Ms. Julie Jameson, Gresham Smith  
Ms. Kellie Bordner, Planning/Zoning  
Mr. Don Reider, Village Council  
Ms. Donna Schrader  
Ms. Kay Arlow  
Ms. Kathy Hood  
Mr. Mark McGrail

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS taken before me, DEBORAH LAVELLE, RPR, a court reporter and Notary Public within and for the State of Ohio on this 21st of January, 2020.

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. I'll call our meeting to order. Would you please stand with me for the Lord's Prayer and Pledge of Allegiance.

**LORD'S PRAYER**  
**PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**

**ROLL CALL:**

MR. CAMPBELL: Cindy, roll call please.  
MS. SLUSARCZYK: Kevin Campbell.  
MR. CAMPBELL: Here.  
MS. SLUSARCZYK: Thomas Dietz.  
MR. DIETZ: Here.  
MS. SLUSARCZYK: Michael Sullivan.  
MR. SULLIVAN: Here.  
MS. SLUSARCZYK: Darren Biggs.  
MR. BIGGS: Here.  
MS. SLUSARCZYK: Cinthia Slusarczyk, present. Chris Kogelnik. He is sending Jeff.  
MR. McNUTT: Bob McNutt is here, and Jeff Smith will be here for CT.

**APPROVAL AND CORRECTION OF MINUTES:**

MR. CAMPBELL: Approval and Correction of Minutes. We have December 17, 2019. Any corrections or changes to those minutes?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: You can vote on them to approve.

MR. CAMPBELL: I'll second that motion. All in favor?  
(All respond aye.)

MR. CAMPBELL: Opposed?  
(No response.)

MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you again for the effort in our minutes.

**CORRESPONDENCE:**

MR. CAMPBELL: Any correspondence?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: We did receive some through engineering. But they're part of the Engineer's report, so I'll just let it go. And they are printed in your packets as well.

MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you.

**PUBLIC COMMENTS:**

MR. CAMPBELL: Public Comments. We have two sections in our minutes for public comments, before or after our Old and New Business. If there's -- we do have quite a slew of extra people than normal here for the meeting, so if there's public comments try to keep them in five minutes. Please state your name and address for our clerk so they can be recorded. Any public comments at this point?

KATHY HOOD: I'll go first then. Kathy Hood. 561 Salt Springs Road, Warren -- Lordstown. I have a few rentals, and you no longer allow me to -- when somebody moves in I have to keep the water, which makes the sewer in my name too. That's fine, except now you have no way of knowing who's moved into the township for taxes. So now they want me to give the names of people who have moved in to the tax department. So I think your idea was a bad idea, I thought so before, and I just wanted to state it again.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. I appreciate your time and bringing that to our attention. We'll be reviewing our rates and policies again next month or two, so we'll add that to something to review; and maybe we can find something that works better for people who have rental units.

MR. HOOD: And for yourselves, because you don't know who moved in now. Any other public comments at this point?

MAYOR HILL: Yeah, I got one. I just sold my other half of a condo. And I know everybody has a deposit, which I haven't got back yet. I was told if the lady who bought it wanted to put it in her name, I had to rush down that day or the water may have gotten shut off. Now, why did you increase the deposit for residents but then I had to come down that specific day to get -- pay that bill. Now if I weren't retired or weren't available and she wanted to put it in her name, I think it's kind of ludicrous that you raise the deposit so that way people who want to close their bill out -- you know, about any other bill you have a chance to come down and pay your bill. I was told I had to pay mine that day for her to transfer it over. To me that doesn't make any sense at all, especially for

somebody who works.

KATHY HOOD: And to get back to that, that's what always happened when the rentals were in their name; to get it in their name I had to come down and pay to put it in -- to pay off what was there.

MAYOR HILL: I think that's the reason you increased the deposit, so that way you wouldn't be stuck with a bill. And I can see if you wanted to do that with somebody who had a very delinquent bill. But for somebody -- you know, my bill was like twenty bucks. And to have to come down that specific day -- if I work until 4:00 or 5:00 --

MR. CAMPBELL: It makes it difficult.

MAYOR HILL: It makes it very difficult. You raised the deposit so you wouldn't get stuck.

MR. CAMPBELL: Well, it's to cover instances that we don't get payment on, correct. So again --

MAYOR HILL: You may want to take a look at that, Kevin. I'm just bringing that up because I thought they came and read the meter at 1:00, 1:30 and I had to be here that day. No other business runs that way.

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. Well, you missed -- Kathy had a statement similar for some of the issues. We're gonna be reviewing our rates and policies in the next month.

MAYOR HILL: Well, I'm just telling you that happened to me.

MR. CAMPBELL: Correct. I made a note of that.

MAYOR HILL: It's not like I'm going anywhere. I don't think Kathy's going anywhere.

KATHY HOOD: Not that I know of.

MAYOR HILL: So that's why I brought that up.

KATHY HOOD: And I don't think anyone that's rented from me has ever stuck them with the bill that I know of. And if they did, I would pay it.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. And just from our side of it, just to kind of keep in mind as a Board, we have to treat everybody the same regardless if we know you're a good customer or bad customer. I'm just saying we understand.

MAYOR HILL: I agree with that. But you also increased the deposit so you wouldn't get bit. But for someone to have to come down that exact day, that's crazy.

MR. CAMPBELL: I understand. We've got it noted and we'll review it.

MAYOR HILL: I do have one other thing. I got a call from Mark Gibbs. Mark Gibbs owns the property just in North Jackson on 45 on the left-hand side of the road.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay.

MAYOR HILL: He would like to be able to get water service. Now I know outside water sales have to be approved by the Village.

MR. CAMPBELL: Well yeah, the Utility Committee.

MAYOR HILL: But then he also asked about sewer, which that part of the sewer is for Trumbull County. But I'm just letting you know that there has been a request because you guys run the Water

Department and you guys would be reading the meters and everything else.

MR. CAMPBELL: Correct.

MAYOR HILL: And as far as the Utility Committee, I will pass that on. But I just wanted to give you an FYI that that could be coming down the pike.

MR. SULLIVAN: And the sewer portion, I believe Mahoning County would have to come to us, correct?

MAYOR HILL: That I don't know.

MR. SULLIVAN: I'm pretty sure because that's the way it was with the church. Right?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Uh-huh.

MAYOR HILL: But that's also where they had to create their own sewer district for that because with the church it was all -- there was what, seven or eight or nine customers. This is just one customer just over the line.

MR. SULLIVAN: Well, we had the same thing on Yerke Young on the south side of the road too where Mahoning County first had to approve it, and then we didn't have a problem with it as long as Mahoning County --

MR. DIETZ: About four houses on Yerke Young.

MR. SULLIVAN: Right.

MAYOR HILL: Well, I just want to let you know that's coming down the pike.

MR. CAMPBELL: Is he gonna make an official request to the Village or just bring it to our attention?

MAYOR HILL: He gave me a call, and I'm bringing it to your attention. And because I know water sales outside of Lordstown have to be approved by Village Council, but it has to go through here first.

MR. BIGGS: Mayor, I was aware of that anyhow. What I understand, he has to request Mahoning County -- he has to request Jackson first, then they request us --

MAYOR HILL: For water or sewer?

MR. SULLIVAN: Both.

MR. BIGGS: I'm only worried about the water, but I would imagine both. That's what I was gonna explain to him. Once he goes to them and they say we don't have water, they come to us and see what we can do. We can't just put a line across the county, you know, without having permission and what-not.

MAYOR HILL: I know that.

MR. BIGGS: That's what I'm saying. I was aware of it also, and I was gonna let them know so he can contact them and they can contact us and get the ball rolling on it.

MAYOR HILL: No problem.

MR. BIGGS: I was aware of it, but Kevin wasn't. I checked with Cindy, and I was gonna tell Mr. Gibbs to do that so that we can see what we can do for him.

MAYOR HILL: Okay.

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. Any other public comments at this point? Okay. We do have a couple extra chairs if you want to grab a seat.

MAYOR HILL: No, that's okay.

MR. CAMPBELL: And there's a sign-in sheet, if you would please -- we know you, but we appreciate you signing in. We've got some guests here and let's see -- down to our New Business items, we can get some people so they are not spending a lot of time at our meeting and moving on. Well, I guess it would take -- election of officers, it won't take us long.

**NEW BUSINESS:**

**1. Election of Officers**

MR. CAMPBELL: First order of business under New Business, Election of Officers.

**MR. SULLIVAN: I make a motion for Kevin Campbell to be chairman or president.**

**MR. DIETZ: I'll second it.**

**MR. CAMPBELL: All in favor?**

**(All respond aye.)**

**MR. CAMPBELL: All opposed?**

**(No response.)**

**MR. CAMPBELL: All right.**

MR. DIETZ: I make a motion for Mike Sullivan to be vice-president.

MR. CAMPBELL: I'll second that motion. All in favor?  
(All respond aye.)

MR. CAMPBELL: All opposed?

(No response.)

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. See, quick and dirty. Wish they were all that easy.

**2. Old Dominion Utility Services**

MR. CAMPBELL: I think we have somebody from Old Dominion. So I believe there's some utility services you're gonna be needing for the property and your building. I mean, Darren gave me a little bit of a heads-up, but I guess we can let you present and talk to the three person Board on what you will be needing. Do you have any kind of stats or flows or --

MR. REED: Not yet, I don't have any stats or anything. Do you want me to just stand here --

MR. CAMPBELL: Your name.

MR. REED: Lonny Reed. I'm with Legend Engineering representing Old Dominion. Fifteen acre site just north of the facility on Tod Avenue. That's 7,500 -- oh, 7,570. It's about a 10,000 square foot trucking facility. It will have part of the water and sewer usage, just employee bathrooms.

MR. SULLIVAN: No car wash?

MR. REED: No car wash. So right now we've designed sewer and water to both go out to Tod Avenue, I understand at least two separate lines at Tod Avenue. One is the Warren line and the other is Lordstown, right?

MR. CAMPBELL: For the water, yes. And so I know, because we got our engineers here and they are working on the water model for our system, so that's why we were asking for statistics.

Even if they are small, it's nice that we have something because we're basing the water model off of some things. What's your project breaking ground date and completion date, do you have that stuff yet?

MR. REED: Not yet.

MR. CAMPBELL: And I know that the battery plant is supposed to be right in that neighboring property to you, correct? So I know there's been some talk, this is all kind of fresh bringing it to the Board and Village. There's a lot of talk about making that all work together. And I guess as soon as we can get numbers from -- you know, from you and from them it will help us make designs and layout stuff for the Village that can accommodate. We got Warren here, which their line which currently supplies Lordstown Motors Corporation -- used to be the old G.M. property, so that's firing back up -- we're still trying to get figures from them on what they are going to be needing. I don't believe you've received anything.

MR. LUCARELLI: No, I have not. I have not received any figures. I did look at some past figures of the General Motors facility when it was in production three shifts, and that was about 600-some -- 650,000 gallons a day. I don't project Lordstown Motors to be at this high of a consumption.

MR. CAMPBELL: Especially just starting out.

MR. LUCARELLI: Exactly.

MR. SULLIVAN: I think they're just planning to start won shift.

MR. LUCARELLI: Yeah, probably. So that 650,000 was three shifts? Each shift it went down maybe about 18 percent when it went from three shifts to two shifts. There's plenty of water available on that line.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. I see we do have a packet. I guess as a net for our scribe is that when you're talking, if you have a comment for somebody please try to hold it, we'll be very quiet about it, so we have to have one conversation at a time. So yeah, we do have some figures here. I don't think CT has seen these or you guys have.

MR. SMITH: I've seen preliminary PPE numbers that were submitted from the form from Legend.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: That's them, yes.

MR. SMITH: Sixty-three-and-a-half. Yeah, right now they are proposing a flow rate of 63 1/2 gallons per minute at a pressure of 80 PSI for their domestic or the potable water, and then they have a fire suppression system of about 720 gallons a minute at 60 PSI -- or 56.7 PSI. I guess the question came up with -- you have the Lordstown 16-inch that runs along the west side of Route 45, and then you have the Village's 12-inch on the east side of Route 45. The question is which one should they be tying into and again relevant to the other development that's adjacent to it and the demands that they have, which are much higher.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah.

MR. SMITH: And then obviously with the sewer it's a similar situation where you have the county sewer is available at the frontage on the east side of Route 45 and then the Village has sewer nearby south on Hallock-Young Road. But it is a force main and, you

know, that would be kind of tricky to tie into that.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah, correct.

MR. SMITH: I think what the applicants are looking for is a direction on which system to tie into for their needs.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah. I don't know, we can't give an answer quite yet, seeing how, you know, we got a couple, you know, big properties in play here and how things are gonna work for the Village. We'll have to get back with you on that. So will that suffice what we need for now from them for just raw figures for water models? I'm just trying to make sure while he's here.

MR. SMITH: As far as for the Old Dominion freight line, these are the same numbers I had in my package so I assume these are good numbers then. Or are there still changes pending?

MR. REED: Those should be good. I'll let you know if there's anything else that is going to change on that.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Yes.

MAYOR HILL: Kevin. I will tell you, as far as if the battery plant gets located there, they're not gonna be a big water consumer. The way their facility goes they will be using mostly potable water for, you know, either kitchen or bathrooms or things like that. They will not be a big water consumer.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay.

MR. BIGGS: But Kevin, that's what I understood too. But when they turned in their PPE thing it's gonna be huge. Huge.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: They have chilling towers and stuff.

MR. BIGGS: Their preliminary -- their plan. It's a huge amount of water.

MR. SAYLER: Travis Sayler with Gretchen Smith. I'm here representing the battery plant, which I think is a few more down. It's kind of you all blurring together.

MR. SULLIVAN: I don't quite understand. You're saying up until production you'll need a lot of water. Is that --

MR. SAYLER: No. When production begins, the cooling tower requirement is about 1.3 million GPD.

MR. SULLIVAN: Per day or --

MR. SAYLER: Gallons per day. And that has to do with the heat models we're gonna end up having. It's similar to a power plant essentially. I was talking with my mechanical engineers who are designing the cooling system right now. It gonna be almost a continuous flow around 900 GPM, gallons per minute, roughly. The 1.3 million we're projecting is a summertime peak, so cooler times like this it won't be that high. But we're projecting worst case scenario July, August, those months.

MR. SULLIVAN: And that water would go into the sewer?

MR. SAYLER: Most of it's gonna evaporate off. I don't think it's more than 5 percent will flow down, and we'll either have to put that into the sewer or recycle it somehow. I don't know if we can recycle much of it, but there's not more than really 5 percent going into the sewer then.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Before we go any further, we're still on New Business with Old Dominion.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah, let's back it up. We appreciate all

the comments. So back to Old Dominion, are there any questions for them from our engineering aspect, maybe from the mayor from the Village's side that they need to provide at this point, or are we good to go?

MR. SULLIVAN: For the amount of water that they need I wouldn't think that it would be a problem.

MR. CAMPBELL: That's not my question. Is there anything else we would need from them while they are here that they have to lock down?

MR. McNUTT: Since I'm working on the model, if I'm understanding this right, you need about 63 gallons a minute at 80 PSI?

MR. REED: Correct.

MR. McNUTT: And so what we'll need to find out is based on the Warren water pressure versus the Village's water pressure, if you guys would be planning on putting in a booster pump or not. So that may be one more thing that I would want to at least broach with you. I don't know what your plan calls for yet, but if you are looking at doing something like that please let us know.

MR. REED: Okay.

MR. McNUTT: Because I'm fairly confident with our -- the water towers, both of them right next to each other. I don't think either one of those are pushing out 80 --

MR. BIGGS: We have 48 where you're at. I don't know if you put a high number down there.

MR. REED: 40, right.

MR. LUCARELLI: If I may -- what would be the reasoning for the need of 80 PSI?

MR. REED: I'm not sure. That's the number that came from the mechanical guys. But I'll find out.

MR. LUCARELLI: Okay. That's -- it's just pretty high.

MR. SULLIVAN: Yeah, it's pretty high just for restrooms.

MR. LUCARELLI: Because as far as there is no manufacturing being done there or anything --

MR. REED: Right.

MR. LUCARELLI: -- it's essentially a truck hub. Okay.

MR. SULLIVAN: Is it gonna be cars there too or just trucks?

MR. REED: No, just trucks.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Well, I guess there's no more questions for them, you're free to go. Appreciate your time.

MR. REED: As far as follow-up from this side --

MR. CAMPBELL: Check on the 80 PSI. You say roughly 48?

MR. BIGGS: Maybe 50.

MR. CAMPBELL: I guess say 50 to keep it easy. And if they need 80, then --

MR. LUCARELLI: No water where you go around here -- you will need a booster to get to 80.

MR. McNUTT: On the fire flow demand of 720 at 57 PSI, again is there -- would there be a planned fire booster pump? Because again, I don't think either of the tanks are producing 57 PSI, so we might have to tell you what's available and your architects may have



to change the type of fire suppression system that they put in to make that work.

MR. REED: Okay.

MR. McNUTT: Or add a Pump to make that work.

MR. BIGGS: Too we need to know how long we need to sustain that too.

MR. McNUTT: The fire flow, yes.

MR. BIGGS: That's on here.

MR. LUCARELLI: The water would be supplied. It's just what you do with it pressure-wise once it's past the meter essentially.

MR. DIETZ: Are you gonna be unloading any of the trailers there and reloading?

MR. REED: Yes.

MR. DIETZ: So it's gonna be like a shipping dock?

MR. REED: Yes, transfer facility.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Anything else, gentlemen?

MR. SMITH: Just a question that maybe Franco can answer regarding the question whether or not that line, that Warren line, is a dedicated line to G.M. and what the status is based on the sale of the property and things like that. I'm not sure if that's the detail we need at this point, but I guess that's kind of one of those questions that's come up during our review.

MR. LUCARELLI: It's not necessarily a dedicated line where as of right now that line's feeding --

MR. CAMPBELL: Matalco.

MR. LUCARELLI: -- Matalco and LEC.

MR. SULLIVAN: There's a few houses on it too.

MR. LUCARELLI: A few further down. But generally speaking is that line has much more capacity left. And like I said, at one point the General Motors plant was using over half a million just by itself. And when we structured LEC, we structured with making sure that we would at least leave a million to two million aside for General Motors per the past contract that was in place because the past contract stated that they may need up to a million gallons a day.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Very good. Thank you. Appreciate your time. Have a good night. We'll be in touch. You can, I guess, send stuff back to probably the Clerk's office, you know. I don't know, how did we originally get that, through the mail, from them? How did you -- the information we got --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I'd have to look at a chain.

MR. REED: This is coming through e-mail.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: If it did not come directly through me, I will reply to you tomorrow with a follow-up with my name to it.

(Mr. Reed leaves the meeting at this time).

#### **4. IamGIS**

MR. CAMPBELL: Well, the resolution for the water line, let's skip that for now. We'll go down to IamGIS, number 4. So what are the topics, what do we have with that aspect?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: The IamGIS, we were invoiced for their software when you approved that for initiation. It was for them to

locate the valves within the system and that, and it was very specific for one year. Now the renewal is up, and it's a very strict penalty again for not renewing. But with that price, Darren nor I could approve that, it has to have the Board's approval if you wish to continue using that software.

MR. CAMPBELL: And that's what we're using to keep track of everything we've got in our system. I doesn't see much choice but to renew it. Do you gentlemen have any questions on that?

**MR. SULLIVAN: Make a motion we renew.**

**MR. CAMPBELL: I'll second that. All in favor?**

**(All respond aye.)**

MR. CAMPBELL: I'm gonna go ahead and sign this because I think it just needs my signature. All opposed?

(No response.)

MR. CAMPBELL: Nobody opposed. Very good. All right.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Thank you.

MR. CAMPBELL: Take that off the list.

## **5. Gresham Smith - Preliminary Planning Evaluation**

MR. CAMPBELL: The Gresham Smith Preliminary Planning and Evaluation. This is for the power plant -- I mean the battery plant. Is that correct?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: He stepped out. All right you're up.

MR. SAYLER: Okay.

MR. CAMPBELL: Sorry about that. I know you got a little introduction earlier, but I guess give us your spiel.

MR. SAYLER: So Travis Sayler with Gresham Smith representing the battery facility. It's the joint venture between General Motors and LG Chemical. Right now it is called Gigapower, so I think that's as far as everything is going right now, how it's being named. We're working on refining our process layouts, which is gonna dictate our cooling towers and our chill requirements. Right now we think it's gonna be about 18,000 tons for the chillers, which is translating out to a peak of 1.3 million gallons a day summertime. We hope to bring that down a little bit. The domestic water flow, we got employee counts late last Thursday so we haven't finalized our bathroom fixture count yet. We based our bathroom fixture count on Ohio Building Code, it resulted in about 150,000 GPD. We think that it's gonna come down, but overall compared to the 1.3 million it isn't -- you know, it's --

MR. CAMPBELL: A drop in the bucket.

MR. SAYLER: So we've seen the employee counts now, we're coming down on that. We'll narrow that down based on our bathroom fixtures. Our big demand will be with the 1.3 or whatever the cooling towers require. There's talk right now about pulling steam lines from the new power plant over for some of the boilers and some of that as well. So we're working through some of our utility requirements and trying to offset some of our utility demands where we can. We were talking today about if it would be possible to do some sort of gray water reclamation from rain water. Our concern with that right now is if we have a drought and our cooling towers need water we do have to have some way to get the capacity to them, even if we come up with

a way to recycle rain water at times. So we're very preliminary still; but we're looking to break ground in April, so everything is moving fast on us. That's why I wanted to get here, present the project. Over the next month we hope to refine our numbers a lot better and come to you with a more concrete number next month, but we wanted to get that on the table so everybody is aware of it ahead of time.

MR. SULLIVAN: How big of a facility is it, how many square feet?

MR. SAYLER: Square foot's coming in at around two-and-a-half million square feet. It's still being refined. We did have meetings last week to discuss it, building code, things like that. We do have some support buildings. There's about half a dozen to a dozen support out-buildings that are included in that two-and-a-half million square feet.

MR. SULLIVAN: And what kind of space will you need for parking?

MR. SAYLER: Right now I think we're looking at around 900 parking spaces. That's essentially two of the three shifts, so for overlapping.

MR. SULLIVAN: So you're planning on a three- shift operation?

MR. SAYLER: Yes.

MR. LUCARELLI: Franco Lucarelli, City of Warren. When you're talking about the 1.3 peak MGD per day, do you have any -- in the preliminary drawings do you have any elevated storage or ground storage?

MR. SAYLER: For water, at this time we have not planned on it. That is something I've talked to my mechanical engineers, and they said it's gonna depend on the flows that we know we can get. If we can, to put some back\ -up storage in there for these cooling towers, that's something we're gonna look at. At this time we were not planning to do anything; but again, this is all developing at a quick pace. So if that's something we need to do, we'll get it accounted for.

MR. LUCARELLI: Just from past experience with the energy center, I know their ground storage, I think it's at 2 or 2.5 million gallons ground storage tank. And then it's fed through an air gap, in which case then they do whatever they want with it and whatever pressures they want with it and it's regulated at a flow per day so to speak. So that it's a consistent flow. The ground water tank stays full for whatever use needs to be done and go from there.

MR. SAYLER: I think what we're looking at right now is about 900 GPM for the cooling towers, which I think actually if you do the math it comes out to 1.2-something million GPD. So assume summertime peak flows it's taking it up to 1.3, but at a time like this we might be lower. So a tank might be something we could use for the hotter days to help regulate that.

MR. LUCARELLI: Well, 900 gallons per minute is 1.3.

MR. SAYLER: Somebody was doing some calculations today and said they were down to 1.25, 1.2. So we're not talking about big changes, so -- I do hope to refine that number when I talk to my mechanical engineers more over the next week and our plumbing

engineer.

MR. SULLIVAN: Can you tell me how environmentally friendly this plant will be?

MR. SAYLER: Trying to be environmentally friendly. Right now I'm doing the site layout, and I'm looking to incorporate whatever kind of water quality systems we can to help with that. We're gonna be dealing with some wetland mitigation. That's gonna result in some off-site wetland construction. Right now that's what we're thinking about there. But from an environmentally friendly standpoint, we'll be looking at probably pergil pavements, bios wells to deal with water quality. Our -- we have -- I'm not gonna say we have no processed waste, but the processed waste we have will be self-contained within the systems and then dumped into a tank and trucked off. So we don't plan to put any processed waste at this time into the sanitary --

MR. SULLIVAN: The sewer.

MR. SAYLER: Yeah. So the only sewer waste we expect at this time is standard domestic.

MR. McNUTT: A question on the water side again, to go back to that. You mentioned the 900 gallons per minute and that being 1.3 million gallons of peak water for the cooling towers.

MR. SAYLER: Yes.

MR. McNUTT: Is that 900 gallons per minute like the peak rate; or do you need that 1.3 million, instead of 24 hours over 16 or 18? I'm saying, what is the absolute peak rate that you're trying to get?

MR. SAYLER: My understanding was the 900 was just a continuous peak.

MR. McNUTT: Twenty-four hours a day?

MR. SAYLER: For the summer, yes. So it's -- again, it's -- I'm learning the cooling tower system as I go because I'm a civil engineer, I'm more dirt and mud and -- the cooling tower mechanical systems are a little newer to me, so I'm learning that. But my understanding from talking to my process engineers is 900 for the summer days when we're the hottest anticipated peak flow we're gonna need.

MR. LUCARELLI: It's all based off ambient air temperature for that coolant. Now Bob, in most cases like this doesn't it require some form of on-site storage to help keep that continuous flow without taxing the system?

MR. McNUTT: Correct. And that's one of the reasons why I want to ask what the actual peak rate is. And then as you work through, you know your off-peak rates, you know, may be -- maybe we can look at the idea with you guys about some storage so that we can trickle in over time. I just drew myself a quick diagram at less than 900, but then on those hot summer days where you need that 900 instantly all day long you can be pulling out of that while we come in at a lesser rate. Whatever the systems -- whichever pipe we connect to, whatever that will do for you.

MR. SAYLER: I think that's something we'll have to look at knowing what our demand is gonna be.

MR. SMITH: Another situation is to avoid the hammer. We

had very slow open and close rates for the valves with LEC as well. That may also influence part of your design as well.

MR. LUCARELLI: I think we have an 18 minute open and close rate on the valve, and it's a hard stop. As far as the open goes, so it can only open so far to get the flow rate, in which case when we supply it it's 1,800 gallons per minute, and that gives them their 2.5 MGD per day. But they have that ground storage tank, in which case they take everything from there, we just dump in. Because that goes back to the previous question about the pressures. The pressures are gonna be around 50 irregardless. So that's why it goes into the tanks and air gap with the air gap for the back-flow, and then everything is regulated internally at the plants with whatever system you design. Did I say that correct?

MR. SAYLER: I will say for our fire flows I know my engineer calculated that based on 40 PSI. So from a fire flow standpoint, I think they've already accounted for the lower pressures. It's gonna be that cooling tower flow rate that we're gonna have to -- and it might be a tank that, like you said, it's a slower refill but big enough we should be okay.

MR. SMITH: Just to clarify on the sewer too, you said it was just gonna be domestic waste. But I thought you said earlier 5 percent of the blow-down --

MR. SAYLER: We got a figure out where that's good. I talked to them today that could be recycled back into the cooling water that's required or if we need to do something else with it. Some jurisdictions actually allow you to discharge it through your storm water. But it does have the anti-algae chemical in it, so we need to figure out if we would even be allowed to put it in our regular storm water or if we would need to discharge it through the sanitary.

MR. SMITH: Okay.

MR. SAYLER: So that is one of the outstanding items I'm still working through.

MR. LUCARELLI: Would there be -- and I know the civil part. Would there be a high metal content with the water that has gone through that hydrologic cycle for --

MR. SAYLER: I will look into that. That is -- I don't know the answer to that, so I will have to look into that. I will have to ask my mechanical guys.

MR. SMITH: I think that's something everybody is gonna want to be see if it's gonna be released to the environment or down to the Warren water treatment plant because they are obviously concerned with those numbers as well.

MR. LUCARELLI: Yes, like -- like I mean, everything is doable, we just need to know the numbers ahead of time.

MR. CAMPBELL: You mentioned something about steam lines from the power plant, so just elaborate on that. Is that something you're working on negotiations to them to supply?

MR. SAYLER: It's gonna come, I believe, underground. We're looking at how to get it because we've got boilers and everything else, so to supply some of the steam required for the process we're looking at pulling some from the power plants.

MR. CAMPBELL: Interesting concept. Waste not, want

not, right? I like it.

MAYOR HILL: Interesting thing about that is you have two power plants are there. If one's down for maintenance, you still have the other back-up.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah. Well, that's a great start and we're glad to hear all that, that's for sure. Any other questions for now? Is there anything we need to ask of them other than they're gonna still work and get stuff to us, but --

MR. LUCARELLI: No, except that at this point I'd just like to exchange some contact information so we can understand what's going on. It is a larger volume of water than I think anybody was expecting.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah.

MR. SAYLER: I can give everybody --

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. Anything else for them before they head out the door?

KATHY HOOD: Can I ask something? This is all sewer and water, that's you guys. But what about the air, what's this do to our air? The batteries can't be --

MR. SAYLER: This will be steam, so it's water vapor that's going in the air.

KATHY HOOD: No spills.

MR. SAYLER: The process system is all self-contained, so everything -- we have a solvent recovery system that will keep all the chemicals and pipes in tanks.

MR. CAMPBELL: After a point you have to haul it out.

MR. SAYLER: Yes.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. I appreciate your time. I look forward to your addition to the Village.

MR. SAYLER: Great, thank you guys.

## **OLD BUSINESS:**

### **6. Warren Water**

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. Where do we want to finish up at? Well, we -- let's go ahead and dive into the TJX while Franco -- is there anything else that Franco -- to optimize your time since you're here.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: He's the Warren Water -- the agreement with Warren expires in September of this year. I've talked to you because of the General Motors/Lordstown Motors --

MR. LUCARELLI: Yeah, I have the law department reviewing that contract and changed the verbiage, the names the dates things of that nature. So as soon as I get that back I'll send it over and you would have legal look at it.

MR. DIETZ: Mr. Franco, are you guys using the tower on 45 by Bettikers' anymore to the one that added pressure to the line, the tall skinny one?

MR. LUCARELLI: No, no. Essentially that's just there for looks. You're talking where the pump station is at?

MR. DIETZ: Yes.

MR. LUCARELLI: We haven't used that in years. Everything is by-passed around there. And we don't need it for

pressure, frankly, because there's three pumps in that pump house and we only use one pump at any given time. And every once in a while when there was a -- when -- if we would have General Motors or something else we would put on a second pump to help with the flow. But we generally don't need -- we don't need that little tower. We haven't used it in I think over 20 years.

MR. DIETZ: I was just curious.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. So I guess the big question -- the big picture question is we roughly know what's coming back into the Village, which is great news. I mean, hopefully it comes together and comes to fruition fairly quick. But between Lordstown Motors coming up to speed and the battery plant, over the next couple years we're hoping to have quite a bit of water usage back in the Village. Do you know roughly -- I mean, I think we're trying to plan for what we've got, what you can supply. We're working together to see what works out, makes the best solution for both parties.

MR. LUCARELLI: Sure.

MR. CAMPBELL: So would you think roughly that your line would be able to supply both or that's not even feasible, just so we're knowing where we're at.

MR. LUCARELLI: Off the top of my head, right now I would say yes we can supply both. But I wouldn't say 100 percent until I speak with our consultant. I have to speak with Stantec, we're on the hydraulic model.

MR. CAMPBELL: Just like we're doing.

MR. LUCARELLI: We have a hydraulic model, and they'll run that for us to make sure of the capacity. But I see no problem with the capacity on that 16-inch line, just due to the fact that that pump station was upgraded, I can't remember how many years ago. But like I said, there's three pumps in there, and two are always on standby. So we're supplying two-and-a-half million gallons a day to the energy center with just the one pump. The key to all that is -- and the engineers, the smart guys in the room, will attest to it is -- well, Bob's here -- is that they have their -- they have on-site storage and then that's where they're gonna regulate their pressure and their flows is what they're on. The same way 60 years ago General Motors put a 250,000 gallon tank back then, the energy center has a 2.5 million gallon tank I think or 2 million; the same thing would apply because what that's gonna do is that would help any flow.

MR. CAMPBELL: Buffer it.

MR. LUCARELLI: It will help buffer any flow and help with fire suppression. Did I say that right, gentlemen?

MR. CAMPBELL: The other one we didn't talk about is the second energy plant, power plant. Just in that area between power plant and two battery plants there's a lot of water usage in that spot.

MR. LUCARELLI: Right now the proposal for the second energy center is a completely separate line. So it wouldn't affect that line on State Route 45 at all. It would be an entirely --

MR. CAMPBELL: So that would be a dedicated line with something else able to tie onto it?

MR. LUCARELLI: No, it -- at a future date there will be capacity for -- if there were more expansion in the Village for someone

to tap off of that line. It will eventually have a limit of what could go through it. But when it's being constructed the way it's designed now, there will be more -- obviously more capacity than what's needed for the energy center, the second energy center.

MR. SULLIVAN: The last time we met we talked about if -- at that point if there was gonna be a second energy, that any negotiations for water we would meet jointly with the energy plant. Then we got back -- I don't know if it's rumors -- I guess that you were negotiating a certain amount with them.

MR. LUCARELLI: What we have -- what we still need we need to negotiate is what the materials -- they're still looking at the easements in the Village and I guess parts of Warren Township, that it has to go through to get to the Village, and what the buildings, the size of the pump station, because there will be a pump station on Main Street.

MR. SULLIVAN: Well, what I'm talking about is the price of the water.

MR. LUCARELLI: No, none of that's been finalized.

MR. SULLIVAN: Or discussed?

MR. LUCARELLI: It's been discussed; but it was discussed two years ago, three years ago, when it -- that first started. But none of it's been finalized. We do not have any finalized agreements with CEF.

MR. SULLIVAN: So we would still have the same understanding if they came come to you and want to talk about that?

MR. LUCARELLI: Sure. I'm amicable to talk to anybody about the rates.

MR. SULLIVAN: What I'm talking about is if they come to you and want to do that, you would say that we need to include --

MR. CAMPBELL: Lordstown.

MR. SULLIVAN: -- the BPA.

MR. LUCARELLI: I'll reach out.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah. We do appreciate that. All good news, good news. Are there any other questions? So you're working on that agreement, which it expires in September of this year.

MR. LUCARELLI: Is it this year or last year?

MR. CAMPBELL: I think it expires this year.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: September 2005 is when it was written.

MR. LUCARELLI: Okay. I have -- actually have it sitting on my desk, I didn't -- so as long as the law department amends that. But then the other thing I'm gonna need to know is, I mean, we ended up getting a pretty good idea what the battery facility needs as far as their peak production, but we don't know anything from Lordstown Motors.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I have requested that information and they are working on that. He did say that he would like to transfer the accounts at the end of January, and I told him I had to have the information the engineers requested for that to occur, and waiting your approval or acknowledgement that it's okay. If you had the contract, you had seen that we need your approval too.

MR. LUCARELLI: And that's the first thing the law department asked me when I took it down there is well, how much water



are they gonna use. I said we don't know. I told them that you were -- you're gonna ask the question to see what the potential of their -- their consumption could be. But --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: And I have not gotten it back yet.

MR. CAMPBELL: Well, we all necessity they are gonna do a ramp-up, so hopefully if things go well it builds and they get second and third shift back. We all know it's gonna take time to get to that point. At this point they will probably give that an estimate for start-up and -- we have a rough idea for three shifts from what the plant was.

MR. LUCARELLI: I have to believe that with -- because even with the General Motors facility when it was running with the vehicles through the years, as the technology increased and efficiency increased that water consumption kept going down and down and down. And that's when they were producing how many thousands of vehicles a month.

MR. SULLIVAN: Eight hundred a day I think it was.

MR. LUCARELLI: So just internal discussion. We didn't really -- we don't really believe that there will be a lot of consumption in the scheme of things. I mean, not the 1.3 million or probably not even a 600,000 just because of the sheer volume of building 30,000 vehicles a month versus 500 vehicles a month.

MR. CAMPBELL: I agree. Yeah. Less employees, less water use too, so --

MR. LUCARELLI: Yeah. And that seemed like a lot of -- did that seem like a lot of bathrooms?

MR. CAMPBELL: Oh, for that battery plant. Yes. All right, I wasn't the only one.

MR. LUCARELLI: When he first said that, I looked at you guys thinking that seems like a lot, 150,000 gallons a day for bathrooms.

MR. McNUTT: With that, yeah, it seems high. But I don't know whether showers or anything else they may have, I don't know anything about what their scheme is for domestic water use.

MR. LUCARELLI: It's a little difficult, without any preliminary design, of what the estimates could be. And if they're still refining their process as far as the cooling goes, then --

MR. CAMPBELL: That could change it a lot.

MR. LUCARELLI: That's a hole other --

MR. CAMPBELL: To be determined.

MR. LUCARELLI: And I haven't checked with the Waste Water Department, but I don't know if that 5 percent number is correct, because I don't seem to believe that the energy center -- with using that for the cooling towers, I think there's a lot more than 5 percent discharge.

MR. CAMPBELL: As I recall, you are correct.

MR. SULLIVAN: You are correct.

MR. LUCARELLI: I don't know what it is exactly.

MR. CAMPBELL: I know it's not five.

MR. LUCARELLI: Five percent of 1.3 million, not very much. But if you get upwards of 30, 40 percent, now you're talking some actual discharge.

MR. SULLIVAN: Do you know what that is, Darren? I know it's pretty high.

MR. BIGGS: I do not.

MR. LUCARELLI: I mean, I could find out from our Waste Water Department and see if we can get some type of estimate on what the discharge is. That way you guys get a better understanding.

MR. SMITH: We have the meter manhole that's there as well that should have a record of what the flows have been.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah. Well, I guess different process, different cooling tower, maybe different technology, and I don't know. Maybe, like you said, they save up some of their chemicals and ship them out rather than -- or maybe they keep circulating them down rather than trying to flush it when it's lower. I don't know.

MR. LUCARELLI: I do know they use reverse osmosis.

MR. SULLIVAN: I think they cycle it three times.

MR. LUCARELLI: Three or four times depending on --

MR. CAMPBELL: Weather conditions.

MR. LUCARELLI: Like now they can't because it's too cold. But the warmer the water, the more times they can recycle it, it's less dense.

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. Was there anything else for Mr. Franco at this time?

MR. SULLIVAN: Appreciate you coming.

MR. CAMPBELL: Appreciate your coming and keeping us in the loop. Like I said, it's all good news.

(Mr. Lucarelli leaves the meeting at this time).

#### **NEW BUSINESS:**

### **3. A Resolution accepting the proposal of J.S. Bova to proceed with replacement of the Ellsworth-Bailey Road waterline, such proposal being the most responsive, lowest and best proposal.**

MR. CAMPBELL: I guess as good as any time we'll dig into TJX and the fun that we're at with that. Are there any representatives from TJX here?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Did you want to finish New Business?

MR. CAMPBELL: Well that's for New Business, the -- yeah. So that's TJX.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: They're related.

MR. CAMPBELL: I just figured we would start with that. All right. So we'll go back up to New Business. We have a Resolution accepting the proposal of J.S. Bova to proceed with replacement of the Ellsworth-Bailey Road waterline, such proposal being the most responsive, lowest and best proposal. So I know that there's been some communications with TJX and with their estimate of what it would cost them to do -- I guess the best phrase is "cap the T" process that they were going to do. I think they were willing to pay up to \$2,000, that was it. My feeling is that seems pretty low. I was hoping our engineering department, you guys, could kind of voice a little better reasoning to, you know, either where it should be or if that is really in line with what it would probably cost to do what they propose.

MR. SMITH: We did share with them the cost at the

recommendation for the -- from the bid that was -- I'm sorry, the quote that was received from J.S. Bova so that he was aware of the amount that, you know, it would cost for both materials and the labor and also for the \$5,000 contingency. From what I recall from the previous conversations and discussions at the various BPA meetings, it was discussed that, you know, maybe the Village would pay for the materials, they would pay for the labor; but I'm not sure if any negotiations took place.

MR. CAMPBELL: That was proposed, but that did not float -- that boat didn't float with them. It ended up being kind of a stalemate for, I guess, Chris had said all right, I'm just gonna flat out say that I don't like your solution for this problem, I don't like the custom design, the block that's gonna have to get chiseled out to get this installed, all the issues that are around that; and he finally said I just don't think it's something we're gonna support from an engineering firm representing the Village. So at that point it came to a decision how we're gonna move forward because they weren't interested in doing our solution like you suggested with -- you know, we suggested hey, we'll cover the cost of the material, you guys do the labor, we'll do it the way we want it, it's done. They didn't like that idea. So it ended up being that we're taking over the project, we got the bids out there, they were supposed to go back, look at what they would spend to do what they wanted to do, how they wanted to install it which was, you know, capping it with that custom bracket and that aspect with that. So they came back with I guess \$2,000 to do that work of digging it up, making a custom bracket, installing it you know. I don't know. My gut feel was that that was low, I just didn't feel that that was appropriate. I know that the Village has different overhead from what they are being -- they are already on site and have things in place. But as a Village we have our overheads, I understand that aspect. But I still thought that was a low number, and I didn't know if you guys had a chance to think about it, justify it or counter-balance it with, I guess, just probably a more appropriate number that they would spend doing that.

MR. SULLIVAN: Well, and when Chris was here last time, his guesstimate was if we went that route that it would cost the Village about \$10,000. Now we're at \$17,000. Is that \$17,000, is that before the \$3,000 -- or \$2,000?

MR. CAMPBELL: Correct, yeah. That doesn't factor in what we're getting from -- the \$2,000 we're getting from TJX, it would bring it down \$2,000.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: But there's an additional \$10,000 from the engineers as well.

MR. CAMPBELL: Up to, yeah, all depending. What CT proposed --

MR. SULLIVAN: So it's gonna cost us \$27,000 or \$25,000?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Probably.

MR. CAMPBELL: I'll let you guys speak.

MR. SMITH: The bid that was received from J.S. Bova was \$6,000 roughly for the materials, roughly \$6,000 for the labor, and \$5,000 was the contingency that we had built into the quote. That's your total of \$17,000.

MR. SULLIVAN: \$5,000 for the contingency? What's that go for, the engineering?

MR. SMITH: No, that would basically cover if they had to order additional pieces and parts to -- and again, they would only get that if it was agreed by all parties, including the Village or the Village Engineer. That was just in place so we wouldn't have to wait for the next BPA Council meeting rotation, that we had that flexibility to, you know, administer money to the project if they needed to order additional parts beyond what was required.

MR. CAMPBELL: And that's in there because we talked of the complexity of that older pipe, it might split and be back-digging issues. The plan was if it goes not as planned, then we have enough buffer in there to get it done that day without saying we're right in the middle of it, we've got Imperial Communities without water and we stymied ours. That's why we're planning to have to buffer from the project side. And in their estimate, you know, from an engineering side, some aspects, if things go wrong we gotta get somebody in line, we gotta get -- people are gonna stay longer, we might have to route -- hope we don't have to do this, but do above-ground supply just to get Imperial back up with some water supply. So we're trying to plan for worst case scenario. I expect things to go well and smooth, but I would also like to have a plan for what we're doing because it's all those residents that are affected.

MR. DIETZ: Well I know TJX is moving into the community, but we still have our responsibility to the 300 residents --

MR. CAMPBELL: Yes, that's about right.

MR. DIETZ: -- in the trailer park who have been here. We've gotta take care of our people.

MR. SULLIVAN: Well, I understand that. But I don't understand, you know, the engineering of \$10,000. Now whether we went that way or we went with the cap, we would have still had to have engineering or they would have had --

MR. SMITH: Right. The engineering for this, just so everybody, we broke it out into two phases; one is for preparing the bids for, you know, to get the quotes for this, which included us cleaning up our sketches into real drawings and sending it out to the contractors, dealing with some of the RFIs that came out, and also notifying them now, you know, getting that package together with, you know, for -- to get bids from the three contractors. We're not using that full \$5,000 right now, we're sitting just between \$2,000 and \$3,000. But that portion is done, we're done, we're ready to sign off and get them under contract.

MR. SULLIVAN: But we're still looking at \$10,000 for --

MR. SMITH: Well then, and the other \$5,000 was for us to be out there during the construction to both administer the construction and to observe the construction. But again, everything was to be done in one day other than the pre-con meeting, and then whatever if we needed --

MR. SULLIVAN: But my point is, if we didn't do the whole replacement, we just did the cap, you would still have to be out there and it would still have to be engineered, correct?

MR. SMITH: They will -- they would have to submit -- and again, they've already gone through and submitted various proposals to us, but they weren't 100 percent thought-through. Because you have a big thrust block there, and they came up with the idea of capping the "T"; but they didn't account for how are they gonna strap it to the back of that "T" connection without, you know, chiseling out that thrust block and potentially, you know, jarring loose either a coupling or potentially crimping the pipe and cracking it. And again, we had a quote that we had received from Independence several months ago for them to do that work, and it was a very similar breakdown where they had \$6,000 for the parts, \$6,000 for the labor. But as the talks went on, they became more and more gun-shy and they decided they didn't even want to partake in that; so that's why we had to go and look for another contractor to do that. So this has been evolving. And again, the whole understanding all along is all they have to do is put a cap on it; but because of the configuration that's there -- it's not, you know, like ductile iron that they can put a mechanical joint cap and be done with it, this is -- there's a lot more complexities and there's a whole bunch of fittings right at the "T", so it doesn't lend itself to be an easy fix. And apparently with the AC pipe there's not too many contractors, especially TJX contractors, that want to deal with it.

MR. CAMPBELL: At this point here's where we're at. The current deadline is by the end of the month, that was the goal. And we communicated that to TJX and said all right, if you want to keep on track with having it done by the end of the month, come back with a number and that you're gonna pay, you know, in regards to what you would be doing before -- they came back with the \$2,000. So at this point the Board can say all right, we're gonna accept that, live with it, we'll take the \$2,000 and we're gonna finish the project and it costs what it costs to be done, or we're done and we move on. If we want to go back to TJX and say we don't feel that's sufficient for what you were going to spend to do this, then our only real leverage is to postpone the project. Because I'm felling if we move forward and do it, you lose any leverage to --

MR. SULLIVAN: Right. Well, exactly.

MR. CAMPBELL: So I mean, that's where this Board is right now, that's the decision. So that's why I was asking CT what do you feel on the \$2,000 mark. Do you guys feel it's low, do you feel it's appropriate?

MR. SMITH: I think quite frankly -- we have that price quote from Independence shared with us, our quote, and it was right in line with that quote, it was \$12,000. If you exclude that \$5,000 contingency. I don't think the contractor's out of line for what they're asking, and it's right in line with what Independence had -- and you know, if that number was provided to us as well as to TJX, then it should be -- you know, that \$12,000 number is pretty --

MR. SULLIVAN: We're not talking \$22,000?

MR. SMITH: Oh, you're talking about --

MR. SULLIVAN: It's all one in the same piece, the engineering and the -- you know, it's all part of the project.

MR. SMITH: Right. You would have the engineering on top

of that. But I guess as for the construction itself --

MR. SULLIVAN: My biggest problem is that they're not taking any responsibility for any of the engineering.

MR. McNUTT: If I'm understanding right, Kevin, what you're saying is TJX proposed to give you guys \$2,000 back, saying that that would be their deduction -- that that's what they had planned to spend on that work.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Correct.

MR. CAMPBELL: Correct.

MR. McNUTT: The way they planned it, not the way we're planning it.

MR. CAMPBELL: Exactly.

MR. McNUTT: The way they planned it, they're proposing a \$2,000 payback. Did we look at their cap and did we come up for a cost for what we think that work was worth?

MR. SMITH: We did not come up with a cost for that because it was never approved because they had no contingency plan in place to address if it were to fail now or in the immediate future or, you know, a couple years down the road. They never came up with a contingency plan. And finally, their contractor just kind of went hands-off and said we don't want any part of that, we won't do it. So that's why we're looking at the other contractors.

MR. McNUTT: I'm understanding you would like us to take a quick look at that and see if \$2,000 was a good price for what they were doing rather than taking it for face value. I've been doing this for a while. If they are giving back money, it's a very small number. If they are charging you money, it's the \$12,000. I know contractors. I understand what you're looking for.

MR. SMITH: \$15,000 a day for three-day period.

MR. CAMPBELL: They gave us quotes for \$15,000 a day for the Independence contract for just labor for three days, and they come back with \$2,000. If it would have been relatively reasonable, I would be fine. But \$2,000, I think it's really low. I mean, we're taking over the project from them, taking the headache away.

MR. McNUTT: And the liability.

MR. SULLIVAN: Getting it up and running so they can move.

MR. CAMPBELL: And this is for them.

MR. DIETZ: That was my biggest problem with it. If they did -- if we went with that block and stuff and six months down the road it broke --

MR. CAMPBELL: It's all on us.

MR. DIETZ: -- it's all on us.

MR. CAMPBELL: They didn't want to offer any --

MR. DIETZ: We wanted it done right the first time.

MR. CAMPBELL: They didn't want to offer any kind of -- because Chris mentioned that. He said I'm gonna have you guys stand behind your work then, and they were whoa, whoa. We're on the right track, and I think we just need some muscle basically to say, you know, if you guys can come in and say hey, we looked at this, I think this is a more appropriate value of what work that you were gonna do. The Village is taking over this, this and that. That was all stuff that was on your nickel and now we're taking it over. You know, come up

to the plate a little here so we can get this job done for you, and it's done for you and it's done the way we want it and we can all move on I guess is the best way to put it.

MR. SMITH: And I don't think CT can interject itself in the negotiations per se, but we can provide you with enough background that you can negotiate with them directly and --

MR. CAMPBELL: Because as a Board, it's hard for us to go back with any kind of engineering materials. But if our engineer says here's what they come up with, you know, an estimate of --

MR. SULLIVAN: A piece of that engineering gotta be back toward in there.

MR. CAMPBELL: Well yeah, they're spending engineering. They probably spent some for whatever they were trying to figure out.

MR. McNUTT: Give me a call tomorrow afternoon because I have a meeting in the morning, I'll be out. And we'll talk about it briefly, and we'll see what we can come up with for the Board.

MR. CAMPBELL: We're not done with it yet, because Chris had mentioned in one of the emails, all right, at this point if the Board's not gonna approve this and move on tonight, then it's gonna have to be extended. Right?

MR. SULLIVAN: Well, if they're gonna come back within the next week or so, we could meet next week for example and approve it.

MR. SMITH: Well, either way we have eight working days between now and the 31st.

MR. CAMPBELL: We won't make it.

MR. SMITH: In fairness with the contractor. And just so that you know what their plan was originally or when I talked to them on Monday -- that was just yesterday -- when I talk to them yesterday morning they were planning on doing a pre-con meeting this Friday, and the following Friday they were planning on doing the work, which coincidentally is the 31st. They have other contract work they are doing, and they wanted to have their best guys on site on the Friday of when they are not working at the other projects. And again, that would be if everything went smooth tonight and we were giving them the okay. So I think it's inevitable that we're gonna have to request an extension for that.

MR. McNUTT: Or if we accept the bids from this other contractor to get this work going, does that mean that we're actually accepting the deduction from TJX; or is that a separate issue?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: This resolution does not address any agreement between TJX. It was intentionally written that way to only accept Bova's cost for the project and where it would be paid. We would be responsible for paying the contractor regardless of the value that was credited to the Village.

MR. McNUTT: So is that something that would make sense to --

MR. CAMPBELL: Well, if we approve it tonight and put everything in place to where it can be done by the 31st, then we've basically said it's gonna happen; or they're gonna go we'll just sit tight and once it's done we have no leverage over them to make it different. They're gonna go sue us, take us to court, whatever it

may be. But we would have nothing to get, you know, something more even or fairness from them in payment is how I feel.

MR. DIETZ: We've already been bled for holding them up.

MR. CAMPBELL: And they said they weren't in a huge hurry because of the weather and other stuff right now. But from the contractor's side, if this is delayed until -- I think Chris had put until mid-February or something.

MR. SMITH: Yeah, mid-February if we add a couple weeks to it. And that was the other thing too; if they were gonna come out a Friday, it would be difficult for Darren to run and do his testing because some of the laboratories, Friday, weekend type situation.

MR. CAMPBELL: I just think it's too late to hit the 31st.

MR. BIGGS: If you were gonna approve that, I was gonna

--

MR. CAMPBELL: Quit?

MR. BIGGS: Well --

MR. DIETZ: That would make some people happy.

MR. BIGGS: I can name one, I know that. But I didn't like the 31st. It's it too quick anyhow. That's why I didn't say anything. But if you were about to approve it, there were a bunch of reasons that I don't like it.

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. While we're talking about timelines, is adding two weeks to it feasible then?

MR. SMITH: Hopefully that will give enough time for you to negotiate. We'll provide you background information and you can take it at and negotiate.

MR. CAMPBELL: Here's something for that if we feel it's appropriate from your side, we would like to see that, we can get this moving and our new target date's roughly here.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Would we be able to expect a value for the task that's being removed from you; or would you need more time, when can we anticipate that.

MR. SMITH: I should be able to have that to you tomorrow.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: You know the work. It's just put something, dollar signs to that, correct?

MR. SMITH: Right, right.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: We could at least get an e-mail out to Mark this week.

MR. CAMPBELL: Say here's the details with it.

MR. DIETZ: Do you want a motion then?

MR. SULLIVAN: No.

MR. CAMPBELL: No. So you guys are gonna go ahead and take care of sending it? And while Tom's here from Imperial, you've been hearing where you're at with things. What are you thinking from your park's side? How much notice would you prefer to have, so something like that. We want to do a three-day notice.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I requested no less than three days.

MR. CAMPBELL: Is that like too short or is --

MR. COWIE: No. I mean, we would have to hang notices. Is that the --

MR. BIGGS: I was gonna do the same thing, put a sign out there, do the notices.



MR. COWIE: Yeah. I think especially if it doesn't fall on a Friday, you know --

MR. BIGGS: Agreed.

MR. COWIE: If it falls at the beginning of the week, we can tell them in the office and such that it's gonna happen. We can make our own signs inside, whatever you put on the sign, make people aware.

MR. CAMPBELL: What's the best case scenario estimate that they will be without water? Four hours?

MR. SMITH: They think probably three- to four- hour window. And with the other work that's going on on that same line that was installed when they -- when Independence tied in at the one location when they disconnected at the other, there were no issues with that pipe. You know, they had somebody come in, that was asbestos certified, everything cut nice, and there were no issues and everything re-attached. But we all know better than to expect that to happen when it's a really critical connection like this one. But indication is that, you know, it's -- it looks favorable that it should be, you know, get it in, get in and get it out type thing. But we just want to make sure we have some type of contingency plan with them, they have extra parts, extra pipe, and they are not leaving until it's done type thing.

MR. CAMPBELL: Total totally agree. We're all on the same page right there. Any other topics or questions at this point with that? Well, does this have a date on it?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: No.

MR. CAMPBELL: Can we approve it saying it's set for a new date? It's kind of still backing us into a corner. Once approved, it gets moving. We have to not approve it to keep playing our hand, unfortunately. All right. Everything else on that, just for --

MR. SULLIVAN: No.

#### **OLD BUSINESS:**

##### **1. TJX - Water and Sanitary Sewer**

MR. CAMPBELL: So that finishes up all our New Business items. Old Business items, first one was TJX, the water and sewer for TJX. Is there anything else pertaining to TJX for water and sewer that we needed to talk about?

MR. SMITH: No, I don't think so.

MR. CAMPBELL: It's on there just to kind of --

MR. SMITH: I think that's the big issue right now.

MR. CAMPBELL: Is this our last to-do with TJX as far as water and sewer?

MR. SMITH: With regard to sewer, we're still waiting for videos of the final sewer after it was installed, as well as inspections of the manholes, because they installed the manholes without calling our inspector out at the time. So we've asked for those on several occasions. We're not gonna approve the sewer until we see that everything is good. Everything is done with respect to the sewer, it's just we need that proof before we'll approve it and then put it on the one-year maintenance. So the longer it goes, it's

on them essentially. And I can send out another reminder to the contractor to request that. This is the last piece of water -- the water's been relocated, it's been abandoned everywhere else, this is the last piece of the main line water. But they still have their water meter and all their connections, you know, their water line leading to the meter, and then the rest of it's on the private side. So they still have their meter to put together if that's of any consequence to negotiations.

MR. CAMPBELL: I did not realize that. I thought that part was done. Thank you for that update, I was curious about that. We'll leave both those topics there because obviously it's not buttoned up.

## **2. Master Water Model Plan Update**

MR. CAMPBELL: Down to number 2, the Master Water Model Plan Update. So we kind of alluded to this thing that exists out there all day, so where are we at and what do we need? And I know it's kind of like hitting an a moving target.

MR. McNUTT: The first part of the master water modeling is to get the model updated. We've been working on the physical modeling phase of the work, we're just about done with that. We just need to tweak the pumps for the new pumping station we put on Salt Springs. The loading model phase, we are into that. We have to tweak the demands in both the Niles system that directly impacts where we get water from Brunstetter and in the Lordstown system. And that's one of the big moving targets. I'm talking existing demands, just really understanding how LEC is really using the water and how that's impacting our booster stations and our tank. So that's where we are on that phase. We met with Darren, we've got some of the operational phase data pulled; but that will go in third or so, get the ball rolling and done. So we will be talking to you more on that one. Hopefully we'll get the model wrapped up by the end of the month, the existing model. And then the fun starts, and that is between the new development on that 170 acres, that 15 acre parcel and looking at all the other things that are in the scope, there's a lot of moving targets that will be very -- I don't want to say difficult, but it will be very intensive to try to figure out how to model in such the widely moving target that we're talking about.

MR. CAMPBELL: We're not talking little things here moving in and out.

MR. McNUTT: The one site, peanuts, not a problem. 1.3 million gallons a day at 900 gallons per minute, that's a lot of water. So, yeah. So that will be the challenge. So to wrap up the existing model end of this month, maybe first week of February, and then we'll jump into all of these what-if scenarios.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Any questions about that for our engineer? Appreciate all your hard work in that.

Utility Department Building.

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. Utility Department Building. Real quick, any updates on that?

MR. SULLIVAN: Yeah, I talked to Shawn again, and they

meet in Columbus on Tuesday and Wednesdays. And I asked him about if we could do a video or a telephone interview with him and he said sure, that I just need to get with his secretary in Columbus and give him a date and a time and he'll participate.

MR. CAMPBELL: Oh, okay. Very good.

#### **4. I&I**

MR. CAMPBELL: Number 4. Any I&I update?

MR. DIETZ: Are you talking Shawn O'Brien?

MR. SULLIVAN: Uh-huh.

MR. BIGGS: No.

#### **5. Sanitary Sewer Rate Review**

MR. CAMPBELL: Number 5, Sanitary Sewer Rate Review. I believe there was -- oh, and I don't believe I did it. If my memory's correct from our last meeting to contact Paul on something that was for the sanitary sewer. There was some kind of rate study or something that I was supposed to contact Paul about.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I obtained the rate study that was in question from the City of Warren and I forwarded it to Paul, which is the reason why our rates haven't been adjusted. They were challenging the rate adjustment or the back-billing of the increased rate and they needed the rate study. I obtained it, I forwarded it to Paul, but there's been no forward action on it.

MR. SULLIVAN: From Paul back to us.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Yeah. At least not to me or to you through me.

MR. CAMPBELL: I haven't seen anything.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: What they're doing or if they are doing, I don't know. I brought it up to the Mayor just a couple weeks ago myself. We have a \$333,000 bill out there that it's nice to ignore, but it's not going to be nice to implement after the fact if we're forced to pay that. So every month that goes by is --

MR. CAMPBELL: Just not taken care of.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Correct, correct. And it needs to be.

MR. CAMPBELL: I thought there was something. I'll do some talking with the Mayor and Paul and see where we're at with it.

#### **6. Warren Water**

MR. CAMPBELL: Number 6, Warren Water. We already covered that. Any additional discussion on that since Franco was nice to join us?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I did talk to him and told him that I needed some sort of approval for Lordstown Motors. He did say he was taking it to legal, once he can get it through them he'll get it back to me. In the meantime, we have had two new potential customers, and that might have to be captured in there. It could be a separate one. I don't know what he's thinking now. I'm sure I will be getting a phone call to see how he wants to proceed with the possibility.

MR. CAMPBELL: Matalco has its own separate one.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: It is.

MR. CAMPBELL: I would assume it would be the same if it

goes that direction.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Lordstown has the first right of refusal for both customers. There's nothing saying they are entitled to connect to that Warren line.

MR. SULLIVAN: You're saying we would get the agreement from Warren. How about Niles? We're not looking at using any of the Niles line.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: If they connect to the Lordstown line, that would be Niles water. We can take on any new customer with the City of Niles, we just -- we would let them know. I mean, especially if there's a demand.

MR. CAMPBELL: That's why they're doing the water model from that side, so we know what we've got.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: And that's the benefit I'm very thankful that you initiated that water model last year and not this month, because as you can see, it takes some time and it's very critical with the two new companies.

## **7. Personnel**

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. Number 7, Personnel. That's been on there for any particular reason?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: That was carry-over. Some things weren't finished up, but you went into executive session for that.

MR. CAMPBELL: Is there any additional --

MR. SULLIVAN: I thought we finished that up. No? If we need to, we'll do it at the end.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I don't think anything has happened in the meantime. There's no updates to my knowledge.

## **PUBLIC COMMENTS:**

MR. CAMPBELL: Down to our second set of Public Comments. Any additional public comments at this time? All right, reports.

MR. McNUTT: I've got -- if I can just throw something out in case I maybe already missed my time frame.

MR. CAMPBELL: No, no, you haven't. We're coming back to you.

MR. McNUTT: I had sent in a proposal to help Darren with some asset management plan and contingency plan stuff. Where would be the right time to talk about that?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I have both of these here. If you want to bring them up under the Engineer's report, which is almost next --

MR. McNUTT: We'll do it.

## **REPORTS:**

### **1. Solicitor's Report**

MR. CAMPBELL: Our first report, Solicitor's Report. Anything from our Solicitor?

### **2. Engineer's Report**

MR. CAMPBELL: Engineer's Report. Look, we're there already.

MR. McNUTT: So I'm gonna let Jeff start first because he's got the whole report, and I'll talk about those two proposals.

MR. SMITH: I'll just touch -- TJX land development project, we spoke about that. Old Dominion Freight Lines, obviously we spoke about that as well. Some of the upcoming land development reviews. We've been working with Darren with regard to the Anderson's. They're adding a second tank with respect to a land development plan review. And then I guess there was an issue with an outstanding water usage for their -- the meter that apparently wasn't registering any water, so we're working with Darren on resolving that issue with the owner. For the Trumbull Energy Centers, we still haven't heard anything from them. The rumor is they are breaking ground sometime this spring, but yet nothing has come across our desk yet, and I'm guessing nothing at Planning and Zoning office either. The Imperial meter manhole, I saw some submittals come in today, so that's still moving forward and we are reviewing them. The ones I think that came in today get the electrical panel, and the other electrical engineer is going to be looking at those and reporting back to them. And then the some of the other items that we're working on are the air release valves on the existing sewer force mains on the east side sanitary sewer system. I guess there's a concern about replacing some of these ones that are faulty and potentially replacing all of them depending on the pricing. So Chris has been working with Darren to get the information over to the vendor to try to get -- you know, get some pricing and sizing the correct ones. And that's -- again, that's an ongoing issue. And then I also have an -- I also have a work authorization from that Chris wanted me to share with you for that service for doing the engineering design review and work with the vendor for that. So I'll have that here.

MR. CAMPBELL: Pass it down. Let's see what we got here.

MR. SMITH: And again, it's an hourly contract, not to exceed \$2,000 is what he had relayed on his report. Unfortunately, he didn't get a chance to print it up before he went to the beach.

MR. CAMPBELL: Any other questions or topics on that?

MR. BIGGS: Well, the thing I had with that is I was unaware on how that was gonna be charged, okay. When this first happened I was on vacation, we had one breakdown. Vinny called me and he asked what do I do, whatever. I gave him some direction, and I said you can always call Chris at CT. He did, Chris helped him out. And then we've been having some -- they were breaking on us and we know we have to replace them. So I reached back out to Chris because he was already involved, and I said are you sure what you had said, these are gonna work, can I order these, so when the next ones break we're ready. All our pieces and parts are done now. He said I'll look into it. This was around the holidays, okay. So it's been quite a while now, and he's got back to me on -- you know, he give me what all they're at, gave me paperwork. But he was dealing with the supplier and they needed to punch in all the information, and I was unaware -- I thought they just punched in the information, basically what size pipe, what the flow is. And you know, they give us hey, this is what we need to expel air and bring back in or whatever. I didn't realize, apparently Chris needed to do a little more work than

that to actually give it to the supplier for them to figure out, and so that was all new. I had talked to Chris about it this morning, he's on vacation. I just wasn't very happy with how this whole thing worked out, you know what I mean. You need anything, give us a call, you know what I mean. Next thing I'm getting a bill. I can't even authorize that and I started the thing because I reached out and I didn't want to order the wrong thing. You know, that's just where we're at. It's unfair to Chris, he's not here, he did try to explain it this morning. Not saying they shouldn't get something for their time, they've been a great asset.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah, I understand. They're not on a retainer, correct. So any time that they're contacted, working for the Village, they're doing work for the Village, and I guess it's kind of a relationship that well, if we're gonna be hard-nosed and they're not gonna do anymore work when we call them until we do that first. If they are going to be responsive and doing things and play catch-up, then we have to play catch-up when times needed. And when we need them, we spent a bunch of time.

MR. BIGGS: Right. I'm just explaining what the issue was. I'm not saying they didn't do the work, they did. But Jeff --

MR. CAMPBELL: You're just unaware --

MR. BIGGS: Now all of a sudden here we are and you guys are getting a bill, and I can't authorize this anyway and --

MR. CAMPBELL: Didn't know where it was at.

MR. BIGGS: How do we -- maybe a better way of handling that between us three, you know, on how this is not -- there's gonna be a bill for that. I was unaware he was doing all the work he was doing. He was in a part of this from all the other stuff. I thought he had all that information as it was. Apparently, he did not. And I don't know why if CT designed it and put it together to begin it, I thought it would be as simple -- not simple, let me look that stuff up. I guess there was a lot more to it and I didn't realize.

MR. SULLIVAN: Well, what is our hourly rate?

MR. SMITH: I'm sorry, what?

MR. SULLIVAN: What is our rate?

MR. SMITH: The hourly rate? I believe Chris' is \$192.00 an hour I believe. But I know he's also had some of our other staff, younger staff, working on it as well to provide the information to the vendor that he needed for both, for the flows as well as for providing the elevations of each of the individual --

MR. BIGGS: In talking with Chris this morning, he said he's got at least four hours involved in this already. I had no clue that he was doing that. I reached out to CT this morning, why are we getting this, what happened with that. And it was all explained. He's on vacation, and he actually called me.

MR. SULLIVAN: But four hours is 800 bucks.

MR. BIGGS: That's the high. I don't know if that's exactly what they're gonna do. It could be two people, I'm not sure. But I thought it was all information they had, seeing as they designed our system as it is, but apparently it's not.

MR. SULLIVAN: But what that's saying is we want to authorize \$2,000.

MR. CAMPBELL: Not to exceed. But the problem is it's not done yet. So they've started work on it, the project's still going, and he's like all right, probably to catch us up and make us aware that I'm spending time doing this and it's gonna need some more and I've already spent some time on it.

MR. BIGGS: And they're gonna need something. I just wanted to explain that was my thing. I spoke with CT about it this morning.

MR. SULLIVAN: So the \$2,000, not to exceed, is reasonable after you talked to Chris?

MR. BIGGS: From the work that he says that he put into it and probably will still do, yes. You know, you have to see what he still has to do and, you know, what he did. He says he's got four hours. I tend to believe him, you know what I mean, so yes.

**MR. SULLIVAN: I'll make a motion that we do the \$2,000 -- not to exceed \$2,000.**

**MR. DIETZ: I'll second it.**

**MR. CAMPBELL: All in favor?**

**(All respond aye.)**

**MR. CAMPBELL: All opposed?**

**(No response.)**

MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you, gentlemen. So I'm gonna go ahead and print and sign.

MR. DIETZ: These new valves, will they last or are they something that's gonna wear out?

MR. BIGGS: Those will be composite plastic. The other ones were -- they just --

MR. DIETZ: The acid from the --

MR. BIGGS: It wears out and --

MR. SULLIVAN: These are plastic ones here, they don't wear out like --

MR. SMITH: Not -- they supposedly -- well of course, everybody says everything lasts longer, but that's supposedly a better product because it will not, you know, corrode or --

MR. BIGGS: We have four of those in our system now.

MR. SMITH: And the plan right now is to be having each one be replaced with two of those, and that's obviously catching some --

MR. SULLIVAN: And these ones that we're replacing are the ones that were initially put in when the system was put in?

MR. BIGGS: Yes, that's correct.

MR. SULLIVAN: So that's twelve years; is that right?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: It varies, but yeah. I don't think the air release valves were part of the initial install, I think they were added after the fact.

MR. SULLIVAN: Well, some were and some weren't.

MR. SMITH: There were some. There probably should have been a few more at some of these because it's over rolling terrain and I know over some of the longer, hillier sections.

MR. SULLIVAN: We added though from the trailer park other over to Hallock Young, so -- but there were some initially before that.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: And to explain that work authorization, Darren and I are allowed up to \$1,000. And years ago when Mr. Mansell was on Council, they would get a bill after the fact. And he said no, when you foresee that this might exceed that limit, ask for a work authorization, do not proceed, you know, without a work authorization if it's going to be more than that allotted amount. So he is doing what is requested of him.

MR. CAMPBELL: What else did you have, sir?

MR. SMITH: I think that's it. Oh, one last item. I do have a new wall calendar for you for 2020.

MR. SULLIVAN: Well, good deal.

MR. CAMPBELL: Well, I guess with that in mind -- Tom missed Chris did bring, you know, a couple cookies at the end of the year. So sorry you missed that. All of the times you jabbed him, Chris came through. So you know --

MR. SULLIVAN: Cookies and water.

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. All right. We're running long as it is.

MR. DIETZ: Well, you guys wouldn't want me here anyhow because I had that flu.

MR. CAMPBELL: True. All right. What have you got, Bob?

MR. McNUTT: So at our meeting that we met with the Village with Darren to talk over the water modeling, got a lot of information. We were talking about the Asset Management Plan. I'm asking the question how is that going, because as we work on the master water plan in the model, the Asset Management Plan stuff works right into that. And not unlike a lot of our clients, with the staff you face, Darren and his staff are very stretched for what they need to do. And if I misrepresent this yell at me, but they are stretched for what they need to be doing. And so we talked about giving a proposal to help finish the Asset Management Plan that was required in October of 2018, and part of that plan requires a contingency plan. That's part of the Asset Management Plan now, has to be updated to -- I think it was 2016 that they had that change in what was required in the contingency plan. So we looked at those two documents, what we needed to do to finish pulling that together. Very similar to what we've done in our communities right around here, and I provided those two proposals. And we just want to find out, did you get a chance to look at them, do you have any questions on those. If and when you guys need our help, we're here to help you. If you can do it in-house and you don't need our help that's totally fine, but we want to make sure we give you the type of services that you guys need as an extension of the Village.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. So Darren, did -- where are you at with that aspect? Because I would assume things have gotten lighter in the department. The workload hasn't gotten lighter. And this stuff needs done, so I mean --

MR. BIGGS: Exactly. I've been trying to do it all, and it seems like the further I get the more stuff that there is. You know, even what he said, there's a matrix that needs done now. And what is it, American Water Infrastructure Act and all that stuff is



the E.P.A. that I gotta do. I'm getting to the point. I can't even leave just trying to get this stuff done with everything else going on. I've been trying to do that myself. I know the water model was gonna help out real big with that, it's part of it. That's real good. As far as someone else helping with this whole contingency plan or whatever else, about every other place does it anyhow. I was gonna try to put it in, and I wasn't aware that this was even part of it that they would help out until this morning's paperwork went through; and I gotta tell you, I was thrilled to death. I'm telling you, I just don't -- it's so much that when the E.P.A. would come in, I just have to take any hits and try to finish it up because I just didn't see with everything else that I could get that done.

MR. CAMPBELL: What are some of the deadlines.

MR. BIGGS: They've passed.

MR. McNUTT: October of 2018 for the contingency plan, and the contingency plan is technically supposed to be updated every year. After October of '18 the Asset Management Plan also needs to be updated every single year. Part of that may be just a matter of looking at it, saying did anything else -- or is everything still good and just put a sign-off in your file that you did look back through it all and it's all still current and applicable. The Asset Management Plan also requires a third part of that on the financial side is to do a rate review every three years. Now it doesn't say you have to go outside the Village to do it at all, you can do it internally; but there is a requirement on the financial side that you do rate reviews every three years.

MR. CAMPBELL: We do them every year.

MR. McNUTT: So that's important. Now Darren mentioned the American Water Infrastructure Act. That's a new law on the Federal level that got passed that's very similar to 2001 after we had the attacks of 9/11. The water systems across Ohio and nationally had to do a vulnerability assessment and an emergency response plan. With this new AWIA is that same basic plan but improved for today's age. So there's a lot more cyber information required in it, a lot more risk, the assessing the risk and the resiliency of your system, how quickly you can respond and developing a new updated emergency response plan. Now for the Village, that will not be due until sometime next year in 2021. But the Asset Management Plan that we're doing now, that all has to be done to prepare us for that other law that -- the bigger communities have to have it done I think June of this year, the first part, and December is the second part, the 150 to 100 thousand and finally get down to our size for 2021.

MR. SULLIVAN: But there's another one that we're behind on.

MR. CAMPBELL: We're behind on two aspects.

MR. McNUTT: The two that I have proposals in to you now on we're behind. The third one that's coming up we're not behind on, that's next year.

MR. SULLIVAN: So do you have a proposal to do them?

MR. McNUTT: To do the first two we do. We did not give you a proposal for the first one. We want to let a little time go by so we can do our bigger communities on revising our steps and I'm

--

MR. BIGGS: Some of this is done already. We have that. It's just matter of looking through, see what E.P.A. is requiring which, you know, they already know. That's taking me forever, where do we fit in with the population. There's a whole bunch of different things that I need to know on how to do that. We have a lot of it done. It basically needs a checkmark, done, okay. That we can work on a little bit more or what we need to do. That's kind of where we're at with those. That's how CT would be a huge help with this. Do we know -- he's seen some of the stuff, we have some of the stuff he hasn't yet. I've been working on it, and Bruce had stuff also. There is stuff there. It's just how much and what kind of scope the E.P.A. is requiring right now.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. What are the costs on these?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: On the Asset Management Plan preparation, it is hourly not to exceed \$9,500. And on the contingency plan I believe it's the same, but a lump sum of \$7,500.

MR. SULLIVAN: I don't understand. We got lump sum or hourly?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: On the Asset Management Plan it says not to exceed. I'm sorry, it's part of your --

MR. SULLIVAN: Packet.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Hourly not to exceed \$9,500. The contingency plan is a lump sum, \$7,500.

MR. SULLIVAN: So on the hourly they're saying they bill up to \$9,000?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Uh-huh. I can say that the E.P.A. notice to us is we have to submit the documents with our license to operate application in November.

MR. CAMPBELL: So they are very needed.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: It's -- yeah. It's not saying it's done, you will submit it in November.

MR. SULLIVAN: It's something we gotta do.

MR. CAMPBELL: Do you gentlemen have any other questions on that? Because I assume that they can be moving on that while we're working through getting stuff prepared. We do have a little time yet, but I don't want to wait until the last minute and throw them under the bus and say whoa.

MR. SULLIVAN: Why don't we get the lump sum on both so we know where we're at.

MR. McNUTT: We can do that. The reason I wanted to make the other one hourly, most communities have a lot of this stuff. We don't know exactly what you have. So it's a good chance that we're gonna be able to do it for less than that \$9,500. But until I see what we've got, we don't know that. If the Village really wants us to do it for a lump sum -- I mean, I'm not against making a little bit more money, don't get me wrong. But I don't think it's in your best interest to do it that way.

MR. CAMPBELL: Once you do an evaluation, you'll know where we're at.

MR. SULLIVAN: I'm not real good at math, but one said \$7,000 and one said \$9,000.

MR. McNUTT: They're two different projects. And the contingency plan, we know what that's gonna take, we've got a copy of that.

MR. SULLIVAN: So the \$7,000 would cover that.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah. It's putting it into a new format and it's getting it up-to-date. We know how much it's gonna take to get that to where it needs to be. If you gentlemen haven't got any other questions with it, I think we need to move forward on it.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I do believe that that is in the new format, isn't it? The contingency plan Bruce -- we did that. When we did it last, we took it from the E.P.A. template. So I want to make sure that you have the correct one there.

MR. McNUTT: I was gonna say I might not have the correct one as far as updating it in that format. Do you remember what year that was done?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Last year.

MR. CAMPBELL: I don't think it was last year. It might have been the year before.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: It will be dated. We did do it.

MR. CAMPBELL: It slips away on you.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: It took a weekend to come in and work it because he had to pull maps and stuff into their database.

MR. CAMPBELL: Well if that's a new format, it might be a little less money.

MR. McNUTT: Exactly. Send that to me. If we've got the right format it will be less money because it's just a matter of updating it to the current. We're not in the business to take money that we don't need to be charging you guys, so send that to me.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Absolutely.

MR. CAMPBELL: So what do you gentlemen want to do with these then? That one he's gonna re-look at and it might be hopefully less than \$7,000 to do that one. But the other one we can go ahead.

MR. SULLIVAN: Do you want a motion not to exceed the \$9,000?

MR. CAMPBELL: That one I think we can move forward with because it needs done, it needs evaluated, and they are gonna start doing it. I agree, let's move forward with that one.

**MR. SULLIVAN: I make a motion not to exceed the \$9,000.**

**MS. SLUSARCZYK: \$9,500.**

**MR. SULLIVAN: Correct.**

**MR. CAMPBELL: I'll second that. All in favor?**

**(All respond aye.)**

**MR. CAMPBELL: All opposed?**

**(No response.)**

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I'm sorry, who seconded that motion?

MR. CAMPBELL: I did.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: And that's for the Asset Management Plan, correct, \$9,500.

MR. SULLIVAN: Yes. Now on the other one, the lump sum, do we need to do anything?

MR. CAMPBELL: Well, let's wait to review what we got then because I don't want to lock in something that way, not be -- and maybe

they can give a little better estimate of what we need and we can pass that one. Sound great, Bob?

MR. McNUTT: Sounds great.

MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you for your time. This stuff started out kind of lost, but the E.P.A. as years go by gets more strict. And for the betterment of everybody, it just makes it more difficult with our departments to do more and more and more and more and more. So -- all right. Any questions, anything else from the engineers? Any questions for them? Okay.

### **3. Utility Committee Report**

MR. CAMPBELL: Utility Committee Report. Anything from our Utility Committee? Nope, we're good. Welcome, appreciate your time.

### **4. Clerk's Report**

MR. CAMPBELL: Clerk's Report.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I just I'm gonna hit on a couple things real fast. The letter to Mr. Hamady was sent. No comments, no remarks after that. I've been in talks with Lordstown Motors. Again, they would like to transition accounts at the end of January. We're waiting on their information back for the engineers to work with the water planning and the demands and stuff so we can be sure that that's good. And we need Warren's approval. So it all kind of --

MR. CAMPBELL: Coming together.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: -- put together there. I have two invoices here that are -- one is from Protect Systems, the company that does the SCADA system. This is for the cellular data for six cites for 12 months. The Route 45 tank records, Lordstown Energy Center metering vault, MVSD Salt Springs booster, Pritchard Ohltown booster and the Bailey Road tank. It's the cellular data on those invoices. Bill and I were talking, the Lordstown Energy Center metering vault we would like to take their portion and bill them for regardless. The invoices are greater than \$1,000, so you'll have to approve these. I don't -- there's no getting out of these --

MR. CAMPBELL: We gotta have it.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: -- services. But this Protect Systems is for \$2,520.

**MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. I'll make a motion to approve that invoice for \$2,520.**

**MR. DIETZ: I'll second that.**

**MR. CAMPBELL: All in favor?**

**(All respond aye.)**

**MR. CAMPBELL: All opposed?**

**(No response.)**

MR. CAMPBELL: Will you fill out those figures?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I will. I just need a signature. And do you support the billing of Lordstown Energy Center for their metering?

MR. CAMPBELL: Yes.

MR. DIETZ: Yes.

MR. SULLIVAN: Yes.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: The next invoice I have is also somewhat similar. There is -- this is for the software that is used, Mission Communications, with the cellular data. It's got alarms, it's what they log into every day, it's what actually notifies them of the problems in the lift stations. This is one invoice. I am -- I was awaiting her to combine all the accounts into one invoice, she'll pro-rate those, but it also will be over \$2,000. I don't have the exact figure because as they were installed and went into service it activated the service. So I believe -- and I checked my e-mail right before the meeting and I didn't have that. But when I do get it, we have to pay it right away to not --

MR. SULLIVAN: Can we make a motion not to exceed?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: If you just acknowledge that the charge is from Mission Communications, and I -- again, there's no --

**MR. CAMPBELL: I make a motion that we pay the invoice when it comes due for the Mission Communications.**

**MR. SULLIVAN: Second.**

**MR. CAMPBELL: All in favor?**

**(All respond aye.)**

**MR. CAMPBELL: All opposed?**

**(No response.)**

MR. DIETZ: Do you need that Darren, actually? Do you actually need that.

MR. BIGGS: Yeah. Yeah, kind of do, Tom. It helps out a lot.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: We billed Traffic Tech -- or Trafftech, and we have not had a payment. There's been communication with the insurance company and the adjuster. I sent an e-mail prior to today asking, you know, what's the status. I mean, if they're not going to pay them I would like to turn that over to Solicitor Dutton. Hopefully I get an answer shortly, but I just wanted to give you an update it's not paid. We're working on resolving that but --

**MR. DIETZ: I make a motion to go ahead and turn it over to the Solicitor.**

**MR. CAMPBELL: I'll second that. All in favor?**

**(All respond aye.)**

**MR. CAMPBELL: All opposed?**

**(No response.)**

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Okay. The next is in December, at the end of the month Lordstown Energy Center invoice is billed 50 days payable. I was off with the holidays, and when they brought the payment in they didn't upcharge the penalties first. It's a task or function that has to occur before you would receive a payment. They missed it. So I don't go back and miscellaneously charge those things without coming to you first. The payment was late, the penalty should have been charged. Now it has repeated again in January, so their bill is late again. I talked to Kevin before the meeting briefly. If we let it go for December, since it's gone, the year's closed, he said to send them a letter saying we let it go in December, we're charging it in January, and we're gonna send them a letter to that effect. But it's probably gonna -- it could generate some phone calls. You all need to be aware. But that is what we're going to

do.

MR. SULLIVAN: That is for who?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Lordstown Energy Center. It was about a \$300,00 bill.

MR. CAMPBELL: We can't afford those things to be late.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: And I don't want to put the charge on the account if you don't support the action now.

MR. CAMPBELL: Put the charge on the account.

MR. DIETZ: Do you want a motion for us to cover you to do that?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I would like a motion for you to waive December's penalties.

**MR. CAMPBELL: I make a motion to waive December's penalties.**

**MR. SULLIVAN: Second.**

**MR. CAMPBELL: All in favor?**

**(All respond aye.)**

**MR. CAMPBELL: All opposed?**

**(No response.)**

MS. SLUSARCZYK: And unless you have questions for me, I believe that is all I can possibly have for you. I do have some financials for the closing of the year, but I'll give that to you.

MR. SULLIVAN: Do we need to go back to the personnel or

--

MR. CAMPBELL: We still got Darren's report yet.

MR. SULLIVAN: I'm sorry. Okay with you, right?

## **5. Superintendent's Report**

MR. CAMPBELL: Superintendent's Report, Darren.

MR. BIGGS: About everything was covered, in the meantime, so really there was only a couple other things. One is the PPE form, you guys are familiar with that, Preliminary Planning Evaluation. New company comes in, new representative, whatever this is, what we're gonna need, blah-blah-blah. If it's all right with you guys, I'd like to look that over and make some changes on it.

MR. CAMPBELL: Does that fall under us or Zoning?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: No, that's ours.

MR. CAMPBELL: That's ours. I know there was some squabble about what was covered under that.

MR. BIGGS: We get it, but we put it out to them. This is the information we're requesting.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: We have it. If they come to us, I give it to them. A lot of times now businesses go through Planning and Zoning first, so -- well, Kelly will hand it out to them. We don't care how they get it as long as they get it and we get it back. The PPE forms are that you have for Old Dominion and Gigapower are -- you'll see the forms there.

KELLIE BORDNER: The PPE form.

MR. DIETZ: She didn't hear what you said.

MR. BIGGS: She doesn't need to.

MR. CAMPBELL: We may be updating it, and we'll get you copies.

MR. BIGGS: Wanted to make sure it was okay with you guys. It just seems too confusing.

MR. DIETZ: Do you have the time.

MR. BIGGS: I have to. Because I GET phone calls with help on how to fill these out and I have trouble with it.

MR. CAMPBELL: It shouldn't be something we can't understand.

MR. BIGGS: We need to simplify it. And it would help from your side too.

KELLIE BORDNER: Absolutely.

MR. BIGGS: No hurry because we still have one, but I would like to --

MR. CAMPBELL: Make it user friendly.

MR. BIGGS: That. Just wanted to check with that. Mr. Gibbs was brought up earlier. Is that okay that I do go to him and say you go to Mahoning County first, request what you need and they can come to us? That's the way I understood it and that's --

MR. CAMPBELL: When he brought it up, I wanted to make sure where we were at with it. I just didn't want him to think he contacted somebody and nobody got back.

MR. BIGGS: We mentioned it to him and told him it could happen, and I went to Cindy and said what's the proper channels. I was gonna go ahead and let him know and go from there, wherever it lands.

MR. DIETZ: If I remember correctly, that was brought up by Bruce quite a while ago too because he wanted to run off the end of the fire hydrant line and they wouldn't do it. So now stuff has changed, maybe they will.

MR. CAMPBELL: I don't know.

MR. BIGGS: Well if he requested, that's where we need to start. I'm not gonna look into that until Mahoning County comes and says hey, they would like to and you guys say I don't see a problem with it, I got enough, you know what I mean. I don't know. But I mean, I know there's a hydrant on the end. I don't know if there's an extension off of that or whatever. But it crosses another property and it goes into another county. I'm not gonna be too concerned with that, but I want to at least give Mr. Gibbs a direction to go to.

MR. DIETZ: An answer.

MR. BIGGS: Correct. At least a direction to go.

MR. SULLIVAN: When I was on Council, the Antonine Sisters wanted sewer and water. And Mary Jane and I were both on Utilities, so we went up to meet with them; and we got scorched from here and from Mahoning. And the Mahoning County Commissioners said they gotta come to us first. Like you said, there's another property in between, they got to agree. Because if the sewer's running in front of their property they are going to have to pay something. And eventually they did it, you know, but it was a couple years later. But yeah, that's -- and same thing along Yerke Young. I think there's four or five houses there.

MR. BIGGS: At least. There's more than that. But they usually come with a plan. They look at their resident's request and entertain it or don't. And if they do they say hey, they give us a

plan, engineers, you know, and it's systematic on our end compared to a customer saying hey, we don't design it, we just -- if we can meet the needs.

MR. SULLIVAN: Just let him know that we would like to do it, but we gotta get the okay from --

MR. BIGGS: Well, I'll give him direction to go to Mahoning County first or whatever he needs to do and then --

MR. CAMPBELL: Let him come our way.

MR. BIGGS: Wanted to make sure that was okay then. Jeff I had one thing for you. When we were talking about those air release valves, when you said that the manufacturer said two for everyone, did I hear you say --

MR. SMITH: That was their recommendation, so Chris wanted to look at that in detail.

MR. BIGGS: This is the first I'm hearing about that.

MR. SMITH: It came in his e-mail. So we would have four inside a machine hole now. That's kind of -- that's what their recommendation was, but Chris wanted to do investigation. He's either having Eric look at something right now or he'll get back to you as soon as he gets back.

MR. BIGGS: That's fine. I just wanted to make sure I heard that right because that's the first I've heard about that one.

MR. SMITH: It just came in e-mail right before I left. I probably shouldn't even have brought it up.

MR. BIGGS: I was gonna hear about it anyway. Last thing I got is the Anderson's down there, you know. Jeff touched on it a little bit. We went down there to run some test to find out what was going on with the meter, why things weren't working and whatnot. Came up with why it wasn't working properly and then that it does work and might be some kind of a solution. I did reach out to CT and said hey, this is what we got, this is what I'm thinking. They kicked it around and they agreed with the solution for fixing that. I talked to the operations guy down there, he's okay with it. He understands, you know, what's going on, he's more than willing to correct the problem. I actually have a meeting on Thursday with him and his plumber to see if we can make it happen to get everything working properly. But what I want to discuss with you guys is they have -- it's basically a hot box out front, okay, where there water comes in to go to one of their back buildings. It's an 8-inch that goes all the way to the back, it comes off of a hydrant so they can get fire suppression from that, and then it steps down to a 2-inch and goes into the building. There was a 2-inch out front that was supposed to meter that. It wasn't, it's all going through the -inch. So my suggestion was -- and confirmed by CT -- is to take that 2-inch off the -- out front there, put it all the way back inside that building. The reason I'm telling you this is because it's a weird thing, and I want to make sure I got you guys' permission to move ahead with that. You know how we do the pits and all that stuff and whatever. And the thing with this is I see you looking Mike, but that 8-inch that's on there now isn't metered anyway. So it's not metered all the way back there anyhow, so it's a little bit different. And then I mentioned another hot box come off of the -- basically the "T" in the back that steps down to a 2-inch



right there. I -- I'm thinking just inside the building there's probably between the hydrant and that building maybe 130 feet. Now we run 199 for formal or whatever setback. But to come off of where the 8-inch and a reducer and a 2-inch and to come up into the building, we're gonna be so close to the building now by doing that and I believe it's partially in their parking lot, their drive right there, that I'm asking can we just throw that meter and backflow preventer inside the building? The fire hydrant still will not be metered at all, which is a fire hydrant and we don't do that anyhow. The only thing coming off is that building.

MR. SULLIVAN: But is any of that on private property?

MR. BIGGS: It's all on private property.

MR. SULLIVAN: Well, we can't do anything on private property, can we?

MR. BIGGS: We're not doing it. I have to agree with the fix on it and I helped -- I helped Anderson out with that. We went and found -- I ran some tests down there and we found the problem. And then so in the meantime I was trying to find a good solution that's gonna make everybody happy. I still have to agree with it, inspect it, make sure everything is good. And that's what we came up with. Are we doing any work with that; no, not at all. But I want to be able to tell them that myself and the Board approves with what they're gonna do, their fix is okay. So I mean, if you had any questions on it or if I didn't explain it right --

MR. SULLIVAN: If it's good with you, it's good with me.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: The exception is your bylaws say anything more than 199 foot away from the road has to be at the road because now all that line, hundreds of feet of line, could be leaking and we wouldn't know. So if we have the meter on here, any location that's on their private property we're getting paid for. The whole design over there is non-typical so we're past that, okay. The meter's in somewhere, but now he's gonna move it a little bit further back. It's not making it correct, it's making it take little bit further. But if there's a location on the property, hopefully we would be able to see that or they would be able to see that. But that's the difference.

MR. BIGGS: Right, exactly. It's a little bit different. Now as far as if there was a leak, we want to make sure it's metered because then they could drag their feet on getting it fixed. If there's a leak, it's an 8-inch line going back, it's not metered anyway. Even though the meter's up front, it's going through their 8-inch, their fire, instead of the two. We're still at the same --

MR. CAMPBELL: Dilemma.

MR. BIGGS: Nothing different than it has been.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: It's going through the 8-inch and the meters with a side step and it's straight, easier to go through the 8-inch flow, there's not enough pressure bending around through the 2-inch meter. It's recording the water for years and they've used water for years. They openly said -- or I calculated it out to be about \$12,500 from what they anticipated they used. We've lost it, so -- and they're going to -- the reason this is all brought up is

they want to put in a new tank or stand tower, I don't know the proper term, so there's gonna be two. And now we gotta connect the scenario that's there and getting that meter in place and operating first before they put in another tank has to be done.

MR. DIETZ: Well even though it's above, out beyond what we say, we've lost a lot of money. So this will correct that situation?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Yes. The meter will be beyond. It's gonna flow through the 8-inch, and ultimately before it gets used it will roll through the meter, but after the fire hydrant. You can't restrict the fire flow, but that's after the fire hydrant.

MR. SULLIVAN: And if there was a leak from the road to there, if it's 8-inch we'll know it real quick.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: We should.

MR. BIGGS: We should. It's in that field right through. So we would either have to drive by it or take Anderson's word for it, but same as anywhere else really.

MR. SULLIVAN: We would know just by the spike in the water use.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: It won't record on the meter, the loss was before the meter.

MR. CAMPBELL: It's before the meter, not after the meter.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: That's why your rules and regulations say --

MR. SULLIVAN: At the road.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Yeah, at the road. So that was changed or allowed or permitted in '12 I think we figured it out to be, in 2012. And the E.P.A. got involved with the set-up because of the chemicals and that, and it's like they kind of just fell in. But again, they have a new request. And because they want something, it's an opportune time for Darren to make them change so we can get that meter working.

MR. DIETZ: Their plumber has gotta do all the work?

MR. BIGGS: That's correct, Tom. That will not cost us a dime.

MR. DIETZ: Other than your time to watch them.

MR. SULLIVAN: That should save us some money.

MR. CAMPBELL: It's gonna get us some money.

MR. BIGGS: It's kind of a weird situation, so I wanted to make you aware of it.

MR. DIETZ: Do you want a motion to cover your butt?

MR. BIGGS: I always want a motion to cover my butt.

MR. CAMPBELL: What's your motion?

**MR. DIETZ: I'll make a motion to let Darren go ahead and correct the problem down there so we can start getting paid for the water they're using.**

**MS. SLUSARCZYK: Moving the 2-inch meter.**

**MR. DIETZ: Moving the 2-inch meter inside the building with the backflow preventer.**

**MR. CAMPBELL: I'll second that. All in favor?**

**(All respond aye.)**

**MR. CAMPBELL: All opposed?**

**(No response.)**

MR. CAMPBELL: Very good. What else you got?

MR. BIGGS: That's it for me. Everything else was covered.

MR. CAMPBELL: Any questions for Darren? I did have -- one was the lift stations and where we're at with those getting reworked or tested; and we're pretty good for now or -- when we had the power outage and the flood and the overheating --

MR. BIGGS: Yes. I did run tests on the them. We've been fine. They put the vents through and everything has been good. We had the power go out last weekend. I actually wanted to thank Mrs. Hood because we called and one didn't reset, so there was blinking light going off and still a motor running in there longer than it should, it didn't reset right. But yeah, we haven't had any failures on there.

MR. CAMPBELL: So whatever went wrong there, is it something that needs attention or is it just --

MR. BIGGS: We had a power outage over the weekend.

MR. CAMPBELL: What she caught.

MR. BIGGS: What she caught. If it's a solid light or a red light, there's an issue. This was a blinking light saying there's a problem. The motors kicked on as normal, but apparently the electric went on and off, on and off, and which really screwed with it. So you got the BFDs in there, they didn't reset. The BFD didn't come back on, the engine stayed running because it wasn't saying that we had the power to run the electric motors.

MR. SULLIVAN: I think mine went out three times in an hour-and-a-half.

MR. BIGGS: Normally if it went off and came back on, those lift stations should reset themselves. It did not. We had number two and number three that didn't because they went out. I turned them off, I turned them back on. They reset, we're good. I was unaware they didn't. She called, I went out 5:00 in the morning and to make sure. But then that's all it was. But I wanted to -- I wanted to thank her still. Without them calling, you know, we wouldn't know. They could have been worse than that. So that's why I have to go down there and -- somebody does, you know -- to see if there is an issue.

MR. DIETZ: That was just that side of town that lost their power.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay.

MR. SMITH: So you didn't get notification through the SCADA system?

MR. BIGGS: On the loss of power, okay. But then it's good, you know, everything comes back. But everything still runs with the engines running. But not -- it's controlling it, so everything is good. So when it came back we got power, everything should be good, which it was, everything was running good, but it just wasn't -- it was running off the motors and not off the electric because the station switched over, just the BFD did not. And on a normal one off, back on, everything should have powered back up just fine. But because

of that they're like -- it's almost like they shut down so that this wasn't gonna be an issue.

MR. SULLIVAN: But there's not an issue with the equipment that that happened.

MR. BIGGS: Everything worked properly. Power went out, the engines kicked on, everything went normal. I got the phone call that hey, we lost power on each one of these. I didn't get any more high temperature, I didn't get any of that that we went through before. Everything worked properly. When the power came back on I knew that. It's just that it didn't tell me that those didn't work, it was still on the standby.

MR. SULLIVAN: Well, that's masking it.

MR. BIGGS: Everything was running properly, that's why I didn't get that. Does that make sense?

MR. CAMPBELL: Clear as mud.

MR. BIGGS: I could have got a high water and I didn't get it, so I take it everything is final.

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. Nothing else for Darren? All right.

**MEMBER COMMENTS:**

MR. CAMPBELL: We're down to Member Comments. Well, yeah -- well, let's cover these before we do anything else. I had a couple quick things. One, I'm gonna be gone January 27 to February 6 in Florida. I know it's right in the hot time when we need something approved, so we may have to do a conference call.

MR. SULLIVAN: And I'm gonna be gone from February 7 for two weeks.

MR. CAMPBELL: Two weeks. So we're gonna be tag teaming.

MR. BIGGS: And Tom, you said you would be out this Thursday and Friday.

MR. DIETZ: Thursday and Friday I gotta go to a funeral.

MR. SULLIVAN: In Columbus.

MR. DIETZ: Zanesville. Tommy's ex-wife's sister who had a lung transplant. Everything went.

MR. CAMPBELL: So if we have some stuff that's coming especially for the water line replacement, we need some approvals.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: As soon as they get that, I'll call or let you know, or at least get you an e-mail.

MR. CAMPBELL: If we get that part done I can approve it and we'll be done.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: But the legislation is ordered. You know what's out there, depending on the figure.

MR. CAMPBELL: Well, all you need is two approvals really. But yeah, we can do a conference call and scribble my name on it. Last thing, I had some people question me about getting on our garbage recycling program. Is it only within the Village limits?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Absolutely.

MR. CAMPBELL: So anything outside the Village limits is not an option, correct?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Are they a water customer?

MR. CAMPBELL: Yes. That's why they were asking.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I can try because you entertained that, I can see.

MR. DIETZ: Who collects their garbage now?

MR. CAMPBELL: I don't believe they have any, that's why they were asking. It's on the edge there of Pritchard Ohltown, that line between Mahoning County and -- yeah.

MR. DIETZ: Well, the ones --

MR. CAMPBELL: But they're our water customer. And they said can we get garbage, and I said I'll ask. Don't you handle it. That's why I'm gonna ask.

MR. DIETZ: Well, Pritchard Ohltown has the BFI or whoever they are now, blue cans out there.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: The concern would be is if Mahoning County or North Jackson or whatever the entity is has a contract with a hauler because.

MR. CAMPBELL: I don't believe they do.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: We have a contract, but you don't have to participate. You can say I'm buying bags or I compost, so you don't have to go under Allied Waste's contract. But if we said we're not going with Allied Waste now, I go over to North Jackson and say hey, I likes yours better, we can't do that. If they don't have a contract there, we could see if Allied Waste would incorporate them as since they are a service customer of ours. We can -- that's all I can do is ask.

MR. CAMPBELL: Ask them and give me an answer.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Even if they don't want to do it now, this fall we'll be working on the new bid packs for next year.

MR. CAMPBELL: I believe that's all I had.

#### **QUARTERLY APPROVAL OF BILLING ADJUSTMENTS:**

MR. CAMPBELL: So let's do Quarterly Billing Adjustment which we had for this month. We reviewed those upstairs. **I'll make a motion to approve the quarterly billing adjustments.**

**MR. DIETZ: I'll second it.**

**MR. CAMPBELL: All in favor?**

**(All respond aye.)**

**MR. CAMPBELL: All opposed?**

**(No response.)**

MR. CAMPBELL: Any other member comments? Sorry, I didn't mean to skip you guys.

MR. DIETZ: One thing I want to bring up under our new contract with Allied Waste is I'm tired of following the garbage truck down the road when they dump into that front bin and they don't empty it and garbage is flying out along the road.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: If you see that, I would recommend that you call Allied Waste right then and there and tell them that the truck on Hallock Young -- because there's different drivers for holiday reasons and stuff right now. But that's the only way that it's gonna get corrected. Typically I could tell when a substitute driver is on our route because there is trash on the road. Ninety-nine percent of the time there's not. But I don't know if they don't think to do it or they're trying to rush and not do it, I don't necessity what

the reason is; but that's what they recommended to me. When you see it don't hesitate, just call, they can call the driver right then and there and say go back and pick it up and empty it more. Especially on windy days, it's a problem on windy days for them no matter what. But that's what they ask of us is call and let them know right away.

**OLD BUSINESS:**

**7. Personnel**

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. Did we have some personnel issues do you want to have an executive session on yet?

MR. SULLIVAN: We got the one left over from last month.

MR. CAMPBELL: Well then, I'll call executive session to finish up our personnel topic.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Did you second the motion, Mike?

MR. SULLIVAN: Yes.

MR. CAMPBELL: Roll call please.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Campbell.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yes.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Dietz.

MR. DIETZ: Yes.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Sullivan.

MR. SULLIVAN: Yes. Everybody?

MR. CAMPBELL: Yes. All except for --

(At this time, the Board entered into executive session at 6:20 p.m.)

C E R T I F I C A T E

STATE OF OHIO            )  
TRUMBULL COUNTY        )    SS.

I, Deborah I. Lavelle, a Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio, duly commissioned and qualified, do hereby certify that the foregoing meeting before the Board of Public Affairs was written by me in the presence of the Members and transcribed by me using computer-aided transcription according to the stenotype notes taken at the time the said meeting took place.

I do further certify that I am not a relative, counsel or attorney of any Member, or otherwise interested in the event of this action.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal of office at Niles, Ohio on this 15th day of February, 2020.

DEBORAH I. LAVELLE, Notary Public  
My Commission expires 4/16/2022

Submitted:

Approved By:

Cinthia Slusarczyk, clerk

Kevin Campbell, President