

June 16,

20

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
MEETING OF THE LORDSTOWN VILLAGE BOARD OF PUBLIC AFFAIRS
1455 Salt Springs Road, Lordstown, Ohio
June 16, 2020
4:00 p.m. to 5:45 p.m.

IN ATTENDANCE: Mr. Kevin Campbell, President
Mr. Michael Sullivan, Vice-President
Mr. Thomas Dietz, Board Member
Mr. Darren Biggs, Supt. of Utilities
Ms. Cinthia Slusarczyk, Clerk
Mr. Christopher Kogelnik, Engineer

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS taken before me, DEBORAH LAVELLE, RPR, a court reporter and Notary Public within and for the State of Ohio on this 16th of June, 2020.

MR. CAMPBELL: All right, I'm gonna call the meeting to order. Please stand with me for the Lord's Prayer and Pledge of Allegiance.

ROLL CALL:

MR. CAMPBELL: Roll call please.
MS. SLUSARCZYK: Kevin Campbell.
MR. CAMPBELL: Here.
MS. SLUSARCZYK: Thomas Dietz.
MR. DIETZ: Here.
MS. SLUSARCZYK: Michael Sullivan.
MR. SULLIVAN: Here.
MS. SLUSARCZYK: Darren Biggs.
MR. BIGGS: Here.
MS. SLUSARCZYK: Cinthia Slusarczyk, present. Chris Kogelnik.
MR. KOGELNIK: Present.

APPROVAL AND CORRECTION OF MINUTES:

MR. CAMPBELL: All right, sir. We have the Approval and Correction of the Minutes. We got two sets, one from March 18, 2020 and one from May 19 of 2020.

MR. SULLIVAN: **Make a motion to approve -- can we do both at once?**

MR. CAMPBELL: No, do them separate.

MR. SULLIVAN: So --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: March 18.

MR. SULLIVAN: March 18, correct.

MR. CAMPBELL: I'll second that. All in favor?

(All respond aye.)

All opposed?

(No response.)

MR. CAMPBELL: I'll make a motion to approve the May 19, 2020 minutes.

MR. DIETZ: I'll second it.

MR. CAMPBELL: All in favor?

(All respond aye.)

June 16,

20

MR. CAMPBELL: All opposed?

(No response.)

MR. CAMPBELL: Again, thank you for the effort into our minutes.

CORRESPONDENCE:

MR. CAMPBELL: Any correspondence, Cindy?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: No.

PUBLIC COMMENTS:

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. Any Public Comments? Is there anybody on line that you know of?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: We do have somebody on the call.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Well then, I'll announce public comments. If you have something you would like to bring to the Board, please state your name and address and we'll give you five minutes at the public comments section. And if you're muted online, you'd have to unmute yourself first by hitting *6. If you have nothing, we'll wait a minute or so and then continue on. I assume that there are no public comments. Very good.

NEW BUSINESS:

1. Utility Billing Rate Review

MR. CAMPBELL: New Business. Number 1, Utility Billing Rate Review. We had this down again that we usually do this back in March. But with the chaos of the pandemic, everything put on hold, I asked that we consider a small rate increase to help balance out our debit that we've been running the last couple years, three, four years, I don't think you've calculated. I think what helped the Board, Cindy, if you look over the last year or so, our average usage that we've been using for the Village, and I think we can see like what a 10 cent rate increase would give us as just a bulk figure. Ten or fifteen is what I was thinking. I don't know if you gentlemen put some thought into it. And I'd like to do -- I don't want to, by any means, make a huge increase with the times we're in and things we're up against. And hopefully in the next couple years we're starting to get substantial water usage from these industries that are coming in. But until then, we need to get to that point, so --

MR. SULLIVAN: I thought we talked 15 or 20.

MR. CAMPBELL: Well yeah, we talked some different numbers. But we didn't actually see roughly what those numbers would bring, kind of what our usage would be. I know our usage fluctuates and that's a hard number to -- we're not gonna guarantee what we'll end up getting from that. But it would give us a ballpark if we get a 10 cent or 15 cent rate increase, roughly that would bring us in this amount of dollars, we've been running low, this amount, this is gonna help us, you know.

MR. SULLIVAN: At least break even.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah. I'm shooting for zero, I mean as close as we can get to it, to give us some time to hopefully get where these industries are online and we've worked out a lot of our asset management, our rate review, and we restructure to start going forward with the amount of water that we're projected to sell in the Village that we can start seeing a better side of things. So I guess that's where my mind-set is. Do you guys have any further thoughts on that?

June 16,

20

MR. SULLIVAN: No. I'm ready to move on it though.

MR. CAMPBELL: Well, I -- if Cindy could just give us some rough figures --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Unfortunately, the way our current billing is, it's not like I can take the dollar amount and divide it by something to get it. We have multiple tier rates and volumes, cubic feet gallons. That's something that would take a long time to come back and give you. I could work on it, but --

MR. CAMPBELL: Well, is there something --

MR. SULLIVAN: Couldn't we just take what the deficit's been like in the last year?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: If you take a half million dollars and divide it by 1,500 or 1,600 customers, you couldn't afford to buy water in the Village of Lordstown. So --

MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I recommend -- Darren and I met with CT in regards to the Asset Management Plan, and as they build that and complete that out they'll actually be able to roll that data into a rate study and kind of hit what we need to be making price per thousand gallons. But we might not have that information until fall, but it's already --

MR. CAMPBELL: That's what concerns me, that we could burn another six months or a year. Then that's why I'm leaning towards a small -- even if we just pick a small incremental rate because we know we need it and it kind of helps us get that direction and will ease up on some of our finances. And then it will help us factor into the big picture or next year when we have more concrete figures how far we're off and how much we're gonna need.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Would the Board consider going to Solicitor Dutton and CT? In last night's presentation by Mr. McNutt, he clearly stated the impact that LEC has had on our distribution system and what that small adder to their rate is. I think that's something that needs to be considered. It must be shot down, but I do think that's where you need to start.

MR. CAMPBELL: Oh, trying to get them to open up to cover more. Yeah, I guess it doesn't hurt to ask. I mean, that's pretty much where we would be requesting to change that.

MR. SULLIVAN: Run that by me again.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Lordstown Energy Center has a rate that's just a 10 cent adder. And the impact that they have had on our system, you can see that financially at the end of our carry-over at the end of last year the operation and maintenance, what they're paying towards our system like everybody pays towards the system, they're not contributing to that. That rate needs to be reviewed. And even if they're willing to sit down and talk about it or see -- we can show what they brought in, we recorded all their expenses and revenues and separate line items in our budget so if that was needed at one point in time, it's a little easier to get that data.

MR. CAMPBELL: Now I'm trying to recall, the adder does not go up on that -- was it a 10-year period?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I believe in five.

MR. KOGELNIK: Yeah, it --

MR. SULLIVAN: Yeah, it went up 5 cents.

MR. CAMPBELL: I think it goes up --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Three cents in five years.

Village of Lordstown Board of Trustees of Public Affairs

June 16,

20

MR. CAMPBELL: I'm just trying to remember. My thinking is maybe we might be able to ask them to jump to the next level early.

MR. KOGELNIK: If you give me a moment --

MR. CAMPBELL: It's not a lot of money, it really isn't. But I mean, it may be something at least they'll entertain. I think if we go to them with a flat out -- I'm just talking in general.

MR. SULLIVAN: But I seriously doubt that they will.

MR. CAMPBELL: Well, I have to agree with that. I don't think we'll make much headway with it. I was thinking if maybe we ask them to go to the next level early with something that's already in place we might have more leverage than to say, you know -- I don't know. That's just my train of thought. It won't gain us a whole lot, to be honest with you, money-wise, but it would help. I mean, I'm not sure who to -- I guess, like you said, Paul might be the person to try to see if we can crack that egg.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Start the conversation.

MR. CAMPBELL: Well those are our two avenues, that's a good thought. It's definitely something that we know that with that volume of water we should be seeing more. Chris.

MR. KOGELNIK: Yes. First five years, 10 cents. Six through ten years, 13 cents per thousand. Eleven through fifteen years, 15 cents per thousand. And then sixteen through twenty years, 20 cents per thousand.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah. Like I said, it doesn't go up a lot, it really doesn't. And we're three years in now.

MR. KOGELNIK: Roughly.

MR. CAMPBELL: So we would be asking basically a two-year jump.

MR. SULLIVAN: Go up two years. Well, I --

MR. CAMPBELL: It was just an idea. I guess we can start, see how the conversation goes, and always have it as a back-up offer and say would you consider jumping early in the tier structure to try to help us out.

MR. SULLIVAN: What I would suggest, that by next meeting we come and go ahead and do the 10 or 15, whatever we're gonna do.

MR. KOGELNIK: Can I suggest something else?

MR. CAMPBELL: Sure.

MR. KOGELNIK: When you go to negotiate with LEC, or if you do, you know, that we're -- one of the things that we described in our plan last night was that connector from the new system over to their 24-inch connector. That could help them with, you know, extra capacity, buffer capacity. You could use that to your advantage in talking with them.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah, because it would be an improvement to their water supply that they use.

MR. KOGELNIK: They should be paying for that.

MR. CAMPBELL: Somehow.

MR. KOGELNIK: Somehow, some way. If they want to jump into your tier structure earlier, you know, how much more does that get you as the, you know, the BPA or the Village? I'd wonder that.

MR. CAMPBELL: You were gonna say something before, something was --

MR. SULLIVAN: No, I just said that within the next thirty days we ought to figure out if we're gonna --

June 16,

20

MR. CAMPBELL: Well, let me check.

MR. SULLIVAN: Who should meet with --

MR. CAMPBELL: Let me chat with Paul first and see, you know, what kind of legs he thinks we can get with LEC in a rate revisit.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: When you talk to him, if you don't mind, I would like to be there or talk with him also.

MR. CAMPBELL: Sure, yeah. Yeah, we could do a call. Because I'm sure he'll want to know some other details.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Yeah. It keeps everybody on the same page.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah, yeah. All right. So we'll see what we can come up with that. And like I said, we always have the option to look at, you know, a smaller rate increase on across our tier. I mean, let me put it this way. If we did a small rate on this side, then once we -- six months or a year have the rates, our asset management put in place, and we have a better picture of some of the expenses and things that are gonna be, you know, either with we're getting funding or grants or Village pays part, whatever we need to get through these systems we need to have in place, we can look at our structure of our tier, realign, you know, our tier at that point. So --

MR. KOGELNIK: Yep. And leverage your private funding that's coming in potentially from these new, you know, businesses and industries.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yes. Good advice.

MR. KOGELNIK: The whole purpose of having this CIP is to say here's how you can help.

MR. CAMPBELL: And here's how it benefits you. Very good.

2. Woodford Excavating Final Pay Application

MR. CAMPBELL: Number 2, Woodford Excavating Final Pay Application. This was for the meter man project at Imperial, correct? It's all finished up?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: That is correct.

MR. CAMPBELL: So this is the final pay. Is that something that I assume we need to pass and sign on?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: You do have the reason I put on there. You already approved the expense, but I wanted you to know that the project is closed and if you had any questions or concerns. But that's your signature. And Kevin, you also have to sign that.

MR. CAMPBELL: I know, Chris, you expressed that they finished it up and everything looks good.

MR. KOGELNIK: Everything looks good. Darren, are you collecting the data on your SCADA system?

MR. BIGGS: Yes.

MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you guys for the extra work and effort put in to finish out this project. And I think one day we'll -- I keep saying when things settle down, but it doesn't seem to be the case. After we have some data collected, I think it would be advantageous to look at the figures, what we were using for calculations and what an actual meter in place is, I guess, one, just for knowledge so if we're ever in this situation again that we can say that was pretty close, it worked good, or it was so much of a percentage off.

MR. KOGELNIK: Yeah, it would be good to see over a couple months also the maintenance that's required for that meter because we had some concerns about, you know, some of the debris coming in through

June 16,

20

that flow stream and potentially getting hung up on the sensor there or the meter itself, the flume, that we'll have to talk about with Darren and listen to what he's dealing with there.

MR. CAMPBELL: So far it's been pretty good, Darren?

MR. BIGGS: We've already had to clean it a couple times, yep.

MR. CAMPBELL: Is it doing something you guys have to check every day or once every other day if you think of it?

MR. BIGGS: If we could check it every day then yes, it would be a good idea, because we never know when anything is gonna be down in there or -- it's been nothing, I don't think, that would really throw off the readings right now. But we see it coming, so we've been checking it and keeping it clear.

MR. CAMPBELL: Sounds good. Any questions?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: This needs your approval and signatures. We'll be holding this check until we receive their certified payroll. That's not been submitted to us but is expected by the end of the week. But with me being out of the office next week, Bill approves that or checks that anyhow. So once it's received and checked, the check will be released.

3. J.S. Bova Excavating Final Pay Application

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Very good. Number 3, J.S. Bova Excavating Final Pay Application. I believe this is for the water line, I guess. It doesn't say repair, but it's the TJX "T" removal aspect of that. I talked to Darren real quick. I believe everything went pretty well and smooth with it.

MR. BIGGS: Yes.

MR. CAMPBELL: They got their excavating ready, replaced it, I didn't hear a peep from pretty much anybody. There's some confusion online. My wife did say on the social media site there was some confusion on who had boiler alerts. But nothing major came out of it and -- overall, how long was the park down with that without water, do you know?

MR. BIGGS: It was probably close to 9:30 when we finally turned it off; and we were finishing up 3:00 3:30.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Not too bad.

MR. KOGELNIK: I have a question. What did the inside of the old pipe look like?

MR. BIGGS: Good. Just like the other, it was really looking good.

MR. CAMPBELL: That's great. So at this point it's up to TJX to finish up filling that line and all that side of it.

MR. BIGGS: They're aware of it too. I believe they are coming back next week. So as soon as they can, I think they're gonna get on that.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Now was there anything from the Village side that we need to do with them finishing up? Just as long as it gets done, there's no inspections or anything we have to do?

MR. BIGGS: Just make sure that gets grouted or slurred, just make sure it gets done. But the rest of it will be torn out just under Bailey.

MR. CAMPBELL: Under Bailey they are basically gonna concrete it in. And they can finish their excavating part. That is the last thing I believe that we had to do with the TJX project as far as

June 16,

20

water and sewer and stuff, right?

MR. BIGGS: Maybe. It should be. They still need to paint the hydrants over there.

MR. KOGELNIK: Along the relocated road. Okay.

MR. BIGGS: (Nodding head.)

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Good to know. Okay any questions?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: As far as the payment application goes, the contractor finished the project without using any of the contingency. We shared that expense with the developer, and so that contingency amount will be split and they will be returned their portion of the contingency money, which you've already signed upstairs for. Again, this is the --

MR. CAMPBELL: And you said you did -- the TJX "T" project -- you said you contacted them to make them aware?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I did. And I received an e-mail response back from Mark Cavicchi where to send the check. So he is aware as well.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Very good. Thanks again for everybody. I know we put a lot of worry and planning in this project, and I'm glad it's behind us and finished up and it went so well.

4. A Resolution authorizing the Fear Forest project situated within the Village of Lordstown to access sanitary sewer service from the Trumbull County Sanitary Sewer System

MR. CAMPBELL: Number four, a Resolution authorizing the Fear Forest project situated within the Village of Lordstown to access sanitary sewer service from the Trumbull County Sanitary Sewer System. I know we talked about this a couple times. There's not much option for them, that's the only service available for Fear Forest. So unless there's any updates or changes, I think we're just ready to pass that Resolution. Any other comments?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Last month's meeting it just stated that they would remain a customer of the Village of Lordstown, and then in this situation they would not. So this Resolution was cleaned up from the May meeting, and this is the corrected Resolution.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay.

MR. SULLIVAN: So this one they are not --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: They are not. That's how --

MR. CAMPBELL: It's because there's no option -- any other option for them. Our east side sewer can't service them.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: We can't get it to them.

MR. CAMPBELL: We need to sign this also, correct?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Well, you need to make a motion to pass the Resolution.

MR. CAMPBELL: I make a motion to pass the Resolution for Fear Forest.

MR. DIETZ: I'll second it.

MR. CAMPBELL: All in favor?

(All respond aye.)

MR. CAMPBELL: All opposed?

(No response.)

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. Very good.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: This will become Resolution 2020-11.

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. Very good.

5. Lordstown Motors Draft Agreement with the City of Warren

Village of Lordstown Board of Trustees of Public Affairs

June 16,

20

MR. CAMPBELL: Down to number 5, the Lordstown Motors Draft Agreement with the City of Warren. That's in our packet that Cindy put together. This was a draft agreement from Warren. I'm confused on what they expect us to do with this. I mean, this is just like a rough draft, there's no figures in it.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: You know what, I asked that when I received these yesterday morning via e-mail. And they said well, it's a rough draft for you to look at. However, I did go through and review this or compare it to the previous agreements that we had with General Motors Lordstown. One of the biggest things that they've changed is that instead of requiring the meters to be tested or calibrated, whatever the word you want to use there, every three years, once every three years, they changed it to every three months they would require them. And with this agreement being with us, that would be a requirement that we would have to make Lordstown Motors do. So at some point before this is passed, if that remains in there we need to get Lordstown Motors on board with that, if they would get on board with that.

MR. CAMPBELL: I think that's pretty excessive, don't you? It's not a standard --

MR. KOGELNIK: That is not. That's unusual.

MR. CAMPBELL: -- every three months to have a calibration.

MR. KOGELNIK: And there's the distinction between calibrated -- and Darren can back me up on this -- and inspecting the meter. Calibrating the meter means adjusting the meter's accuracy. To do so every three months -- when did you say -- what was the interval, Cindy?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Every three months.

MR. KOGELNIK: To do so at every three months is really excessive.

MR. CAMPBELL: It says in the agreement tested by a certified water meter testing company to determine that the meter is properly operating. So it's -- I mean, isn't that pretty much a calibration?

MR. KOGELNIK: Well, I think you need to be more specific because you can inspect and make sure that the meter is operating by looking at various things. But to calibrate it, I want to be sure that you understand --

MR. CAMPBELL: Well, it says certified by a meter testing company.

MR. KOGELNIK: Okay. When you certify that the meter is operating correctly, typically you go through the whole calibration process.

MR. CAMPBELL: That's what I thought.

MR. KOGELNIK: Otherwise, all you could say is yeah, it's plugged into power and it's displaying a figure on the transmitter. But I --

MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah, I think we'd have to have that. I don't think we even go to Lordstown Motors with that requirement.

MR. KOGELNIK: And it costs money to calibrate versus just inspecting it.

MR. SULLIVAN: Yeah. Possibly one year.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Actually, this agreement references the two 10-inch meters; but in that pit there's also a 3-inch meter as well. And it's absent, that would have to be added to this agreement. And then finally, the term of this contract shall be for five years. I think I

June 16,

20

highlighted one and e-mailed it to you where I marked up or highlighted where I caught some things. There are some things missing, but it's primarily compared, the matching rate from Niles and Warren is what they instruct where they would meet the same rate that Niles sold water to us for. Yeah. But like I said, they instruct the Niles -- matching the Niles rate, and they put in a spot for theirs. And again, they put that daily interval and daily average. There is no way we're gonna continue with that daily wording in there unless they are sending me a reading every day that, you know, like I said, when LEC calls and barks. I can't -- we can't replicate that bill.

MR. SULLIVAN: Yeah.

MR. CAMPBELL: Well, I'm actually all right with the five year agreement for now because, I mean, they're still getting moving, there's a lot of flux yet with that and not knowing how much they are gonna be using water.

MR. SULLIVAN: But five years --

MR. CAMPBELL: I think that's a good starting point for this. I don't have a problem with that aspect of it. But the other things we talked about I think we definitely need to, you know, get more in a line with something that can be supported more appropriately.

MR. SULLIVAN: What's normal?

MR. KOGELNIK: For what?

MR. SULLIVAN: To be testing that.

MR. KOGELNIK: It's usually a minimum of a year.

MR. BIGGS: We do LEC at one year, Mike. Every year they are required. That was in their new contract that they will have it tested every year because it's such a high flow with them that any little bit off makes a huge difference. So in the contract it was required that they have it tested every year with the representative either from us or from Warren or both to be present to make sure that everything is okay with those because they could be a little bit -- it could be huge on something like that. And that's why, you know, with Warren putting that in there they're not paying for it, and they may say hey Lordstown, your customer, you go out there and make sure. They have nothing to do by putting that in there if they choose, nothing to pay, nothing to inspect.

MR. SULLIVAN: So would you say one year?

MR. CAMPBELL: That's up to us. But yeah, I believe that's one of the things that we should go back to them with. And;like she said, there's a meter that's missing out of this. And this would be at the expense -- just like LEC, it's their expense; so it would be at Lordstown Motors' expense. We're just trying to make it more appropriate and more of a standard that's recognized out there than every three months. And you said the rate because -- what was the rate that you were talking about, is it something that was just expressed, it's not in this?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: No. In the original agreement for General Motors it said it was the Niles -- they would match the Niles rate. So we know what Niles' rate is, then we in essence would know what the rate is here. This -- it don't have any rate, not on their own.

MR. CAMPBELL: That's what I thought.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: So I don't know if they are considering something special and unique.

MR. CAMPBELL: We'll see as other things develop out of that. All right. I don't know, I guess just leave it on here because that's not ready to be passed or done anything with at this point.

June 16,

20

6. ODFL Draft Agreement with the City of Warren

MR. CAMPBELL: We'll go down to numb 6, the Old Dominion Freight Line Draft Agreement.

MR. SULLIVAN: Should we request them to come to another meeting?

MR. CAMPBELL: Well, the Old Dominion agreement --

MR. SULLIVAN: Not the Old Dominion, the --

MR. DIETZ: The car plant -- truck plant.

MR. CAMPBELL: First, we gotta get something more in line with, you know, what -- we need to do some work yet on our end with Warren before we meet with Lordstown Motors. And the next agreement is the same situation but with, you know, Old Dominion. So it's an agreement that has a lot of blanks in it, that's a draft.

MR. SULLIVAN: Well, that's what I'm saying. Are we gonna have Cindy -- just whatever we figure, send back to them or if -- are we gonna request a meeting with them or -- with the two of them, I mean, with Warren?

MR. CAMPBELL: Well eventually, yeah, we're gonna have to have a sit-down with Warren because we don't have rates from them.

MR. SULLIVAN: That's what I'm saying.

MR. CAMPBELL: There's a sit-down meeting coming. These, I guess, were -- I guess the best way to put it, these -- I'm sure we got these because of a call that happened previously. And these are to hit a checklist of things that needed to be done, so we got something. That's where I feel these fall. So is it like many things, it's gotta start somewhere. So at least now it's started, we've got an agreement they sent to us, we can -- we can work through, reply back to the things we want. Eventually we're gonna have to have a meeting saying where is the rubber meeting the road, what are you going to charge us for water.

MR. DIETZ: Well, do we want to go over this one for the truck plant in this meeting and for it can be sent back to Warren with what we want?

MR. CAMPBELL: We're not quite ready, let's just -- we'll have a second meeting with that because there's a lot more behind putting these together than a couple quick tweaks to this. So --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Yeah. The bottom of page 1 I want to point out there, which was unique, is the very last two lines, it says Old Dominion Freight Line starts commercial operation and will be subject to Warren's minimum monthly charge based on meter size. So I wanted to check with Chris -- they represent in this agreement they sent zero to 92,000 gallons average daily water use. And what I read on the PP -- or what I recall from the PPE was probably like 2,000 gallons or -- maybe a day.

MR. KOGELNIK: Was that waste water, Cindy? Because --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: This is for water.

MR. KOGELNIK: That was one of my concerns on reviewing this. So I picked up the phone and called Jeff Smith, who's reviewing the land development plan. And 200,000 gallon maximum appears appropriate, as wells the 92,000 gallon per day average daily water use.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Daily water use is 92,000 gallons --

MR. KOGELNIK: Wait a second.

MR. CAMPBELL: I don't believe -- there's no way they will use that kind of water.

June 16,

20

MR. KOGELNIK: That's not correct.

MR. CAMPBELL: I think that's where Warren is coming in. If their meter's at a size that they're gonna have a minimum on that meter, they're saying they're gonna be charged that because they know they are not gonna be using that much water but they are going to be charged.

MR. KOGELNIK: Let me come back to that. Let me find the PPEF. And you're right, thanks for telling me that. That 92,000 seems way high for daily --

MR. CAMPBELL: What size is their meter, do you remember --

MR. KOGELNIK: I'll ask. Hold on.

MR. CAMPBELL: -- that they're planning? Basically that's what Warren is saying that, you know, subject to Warren's minimum monthly charge based on their meter size. So if their meter size is quite a large one and their water usage is really no -- then Warren's saying hey, you're gonna pay our minimum on that meter size because that's the size of the meter and you're not using it but you're still gonna pay the minimum. That could be quite steep.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: And again, it's like do these agreements move forward, or is there an understanding with a bulk water price. That's where bulk water would eliminate that issue for us. If this goes forward with an individual contract, that's an issue for us in two different billing methods. It's really hard to pull that off. Every month would be a special billing adjustment.

MR. KOGELNIK: I should have the answer coming in.

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. Very good. We'll move on.

OLD BUSINESS:

1. Gresham Smith - Battery Plant

MR. CAMPBELL: Old Business. We got the Gresham Smith Battery Plant. As we can all see, they are definitely moving a lot of dirt excavating over there. I know that there's been, as we had the meeting yesterday with the water model, a lot of discussion on the options to improve our system and supply them enough water for their facilities and meet the deadlines and all that fun stuff. So I guess any updates past what we've already talked about like yesterday?

MR. KOGELNIK: Yes, a couple things.

MR. CAMPBELL: Sure.

MR. KOGELNIK: We have submitted a letter to Eastgate for USEDA funding. That doesn't have to do with BPA directly. But the funding we're seeking would help offset the developer's cost of that water system improvement project. And right now Eastgate is telling us that we could submit that grant application to the USEDA, and we're seeking 52 percent of the costs from USEDA. This project is actually eligible for up to 80 -- 80 percent of the costs. Right now our estimate for the water system improvements to serve the battery plant are 11.265 million dollars, and that includes engineering, permitting, et cetera, okay. So right now we're in the midst of submitting a letter to Eastgate. They will submit some sort of an application to USEDA asking for the 52 percent funding. We have a meeting coming up I think sometime next week with the Chicago office of USEDA to make that request and that pitch for the project. So that in combination with the 1.5 million that the Mayor has verbally secured through ODSA -- that's the Ohio Developmental Services Agency -- could be used to offset that 11.265 million. So we're doing that concurrently to understanding, you know, what the water model is

June 16,

20

saying, having the presentation, doing the plan review for the battery plant and also, you know, coming up with those cost estimates and those concepts for it. So it's taken a lot of people to do a lot of different things. And we're talking with the property owner right now about securing the land for the battery plant. So everybody has a role.

MR. CAMPBELL: You mean for the tower?

MR. KOGELNIK: I'm sorry, thank you for correcting me. The tower. So everybody has a role and it's -- you know, every day is a task it seems like. And we're trying to put all the tasks together so that we can approach the project soundly. But right now we need to begin the work, the due diligence planning work, the permitting work, in order to stay on time for the battery plant, because in two years from now they're gonna need to draw their peak amount of water and we need to be ready. So we need to begin the due diligence work, like Bob said yesterday, we need to begin it two weeks ago.

MR. SULLIVAN: Does that 11.2 or whatever you said, does that take in the 20-inch line?

MR. KOGELNIK: No, no. We talked to Eastgate about the 20-inch line. Albeit an interesting project to fund through the USEDPA, it does not have the appeal that the current project through the battery plant has where you have 1,100 hundred jobs. That has to be one of the best projects in the fund -- or in the state to fund. It's got jobs tied to it, it's got a time schedule, it's got permits that are already secured, it's got a developer who's already digging dirt. When you have a project like that, and you attach it to the 20-inch water line, in the future you'll be able to seek funding for that one too.

MR. CAMPBELL: Very good. Any other -- have you got any other updates for the battery plant?

MR. KOGELNIK: Well, yeah. With the battery plant what we need to do is to begin doing the work -- hold on one second. I sent to Cindy just before today's meeting a list of four things that, you know, in terms of agreements with -- between the Village and the developer that need to be started on immediately. And so I would recommend that the BPA put into motion some of these items so that the Village can begin to coordinate and agree with the developer so that we can begin the work. If you want, I can do that now or I can do that when I have my report.

MR. CAMPBELL: Let's do it now, that way we don't lose track of it.

MR. KOGELNIK: So item number 1, we're recommending that the developer enter into an agreement with the Village to front the estimated cost of 11.265 million dollars for the design and construction to fully implement the Lordstown full service plan or to reimburse the Village for those costs. The Village of Lordstown has devoted time and effort to seek other external state and federal funding to assist in off-setting the estimated total project cost of 11.265 million, of which to date no written state or federal funding agreements have yet been received. So we hope to receive those written things so that we can tell the developer hey, we successfully got these for you so that we can reduce your costs on the project, but we're not there yet. So that's number 1. You need to enter into an agreement with that, and Paul needs to draft that up I presume. There's a 1(A). 1(A) would be the Village should authorize the Village engineer to begin with the following due diligence efforts immediately following the one item I just described, and that effort would embody water utility planning efforts, site permitting, such as the

June 16,

20

Indiana bat, wetlands permitting, et cetera. Geotechnical engineering is gonna be essential so that we can determine the stability of the foundations for the water tank and preliminary design efforts for up to an amount of \$200,000, in addition to be able to maintain the schedule compliant with the developer's timeline to meet their peak water demands. So that amount of \$200,000 would be taken from the estimated costs we've itemized for engineering and permitting. That initial authorization would begin immediately and last until approximately the end of July. Then there is a second item here. The Village will need to authorize CT and a tank manufacturer to enter into a design-build construction contract to deliver the Lordstown full service plan in a turnkey manner. That's a little bit different than in the past on Lordstown Energy Center where we did design-bid-build. Design-build takes the bidding element completely away. And you already are pared up with a contractor to build a system, and that takes some of the other extra time out that bidding would normally occupy. So what that also usually does is gets you a more -- a better project in the end. So we're suggesting that that occur.

MR. SULLIVAN: What's the tank look like? Similar to what we have?

MR. KOGELNIK: No, I don't think so.

MR. BIGGS: Nowhere near. Six times as big and all empty in the middle. Huge.

MR. SULLIVAN: Plastic?

MR. BIGGS: Composite is the one we were looking at.

MR. SULLIVAN: That's the one that I had read on one of the emails that the life expectancy is about 15 to 20 years?

MR. BIGGS: No.

MR. KOGELNIK: I don't remember that.

MR. BIGGS: It wasn't a life expectancy. Before any painting or that.

MR. CAMPBELL: Before we get sidetracked on the tank, which is a good topic for discussion, let's let Chris finish where he's at on this proposal.

MR. KOGELNIK: All right. The last thing is the Village will need to enter into an agreement to secure the needed property for the proposed tank or also the other future tanks. As you remember from the presentation, we're suggesting the Lordstown full service plan have one initial tank followed by either one or two more in the future. And the Village will need to agree with the developer to reimburse the Village for that land if the Village or -- if the Village chooses to proceed with developer paying for it. We're not sure. We really aren't sure about that.

MR. SULLIVAN: How much land?

MR. KOGELNIK: We're looking at a minimum of five acres. And the land -- if you could look down on the two towers that you have right there on 45, it would wrap around the west side of those two towers, Mike. So there's like a little part, I'd say maybe a couple hundred feet north of the two towers, and then it wraps around the two towers to the south towards the Norfolk-Southern railroad.

MR. SULLIVAN: So all the discussion I had heard prior to this was on the other side of the road?

MR. KOGELNIK: Yes, we were initially talking about the east side of the road.

MR. SULLIVAN: That's gone?

June 16,

20

MR. KOGELNIK: That's gone.

MR. DIETZ: The owner said no way.

MR. KOGELNIK: All right. And going forward, if the BPA should make or pass a resolution stating they accept, reject or wish to modify the water distribution system improvement recommendations we announced yesterday at the presentation. And then if you wish, we can work with you to create an implementation schedule to set forth when those improvements should be built so that you can commit to them. That's part of capital improvements planning. And then when -- you know, when those improvements are gonna land, we can then determine what other external funding we can pursue and couple that up with your private developers that come in that need that. So those are the things that I'd recommend that the BPA act on tonight. And if I may respond to the question that was asked earlier, ODFL meter is a 2-inch and they have an 8-inch tap. ODFL's, sorry. Old Dominion Freight Line. You probably can't hear me. Their average daily flow is estimated to be 64 gallons a minute. I find that hard to believe. That's a lot.

MR. SULLIVAN: Yeah. What I understood is the only water they were actually gonna use was in the office.

MR. KOGELNIK: Yeah, was just --

MR. SULLIVAN: The toilet and the sink.

MR. KOGELNIK: I'm gonna have to make a phone call.

MR. SULLIVAN: Because they said there was no truck wash or anything there.

MR. KOGELNIK: I don't think that's correct, so let me make a phone call. But those are the four things that I'd recommend that the BPA act on, the wording of which is just from me. That doesn't mean it's your context, but I'd recommend you put it into your context.

MR. DIETZ: Well, what size of -- they had an 8-inch tap and what size was the meters?

MR. KOGELNIK: 2-inch meter, 8-inch tap.

MR. SULLIVAN: That's for --

MR. KOGELNIK: Old Dominion.

MR. SULLIVAN: What about the tap for Fear Forest or --

MR. KOGELNIK: Do you want me to ask that?

MR. SULLIVAN: Where you were talking about their minimal would be much higher if the meter was a large meter or whatever.

MR. KOGELNIK: I don't recall that. Do you want me to confirm the meter size for Fear Forest?

MR. BIGGS: That should be a six.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: That already exists too.

MR. CAMPBELL: And it already exists, it's already in place.

MR. BIGGS: The meter doesn't exist, the tap is in place.

MR. KOGELNIK: I'm gonna go outside and take a call.

MR. SULLIVAN: Their minimum isn't any different than it is now.

MR. BIGGS: It will be much different, yeah.

MR. CAMPBELL: So, the items that Chris presented, I know that the water model was the large picture of the Village and our 20-inch redundant line and things along those lines. Since the water tank that they are looking to put in is directly benefiting the battery plant, I'm gonna have to abstain from the decisions on that. So I want you gentleman to -- if you have questions about what's for discussion tonight on that -- he wants -- basically he's looking for some kind of directions.

June 16,

20

MR. SULLIVAN: We'll make a motion. I'd still like to understand what the tank --

MR. CAMPBELL: We can get to that, absolutely. There was a nice presentation that I think there's --

MR. BIGGS: I was gonna say I think there's one tomorrow at noon.

MR. CAMPBELL: I think there's one more coming up.

MR. BIGGS: That the manufacturer put on a little hour, hour-fifteen-minute on the construction of that tower that -- it's all online if you want that information.

MR. SULLIVAN: It's online?

MR. BIGGS: Yeah. It's like one of these, but it's -- you can still watch it also.

MR. CAMPBELL: It's a virtual meeting. You can't watch it whenever you want. There's a time for it, you join into the call.

MR. SULLIVAN: Noon. I'm gonna be at the chiropractor.

MR. CAMPBELL: There was a lot of good information on how they construct the tower, what it's made of, how they do things, why they do things.

MR. SULLIVAN: Was there a life expectancy talked about.

MR. CAMPBELL: It's in there.

MR. BIGGS: I'm sure it is, but I don't remember what it was. Fifteen years just seems way low.

MR. CAMPBELL: Well there is 15 to 20 years on the metal part of the tank. There would some maintenance at that point if something would need to be done. The base that supports the metal tank part is all concrete, it's pretty much maintenance-free. It's constructed well, and they have a very methodical method of testing the concrete, making sure it's curing appropriately.

MR. SULLIVAN: Is it gonna be up in the air like the other ones?

MR. CAMPBELL: Think of a concrete silo and there's gonna be a big water tower metal tank on top. That's basically what it will look like. The concrete tower is just all concrete. It's a high-grade concrete so you don't need to paint it or pressure wash it or do anything with it. And the tank itself is metal and that they said, depending on the conditions and environment, 15 to 20 years it's gonna need touch-up paint, you know, like we do with ours, it's gonna need cleaned out. Part of it depends how it's used. If a lot of water is coming in and going out it will probably flush itself out and it won't have too much sediment issues we get in our tanks. There will be maintenance on the tank. And that's back to where CT is correct too eventually because you're gonna have to do maintenance on it, it has to come out of service. If it's out of service, you need something also.

MR. SULLIVAN: You go to the other one.

MR. CAMPBELL: The big picture of things is we're gonna need two, especially with the projected growth.

MR. SULLIVAN: Who's the property owner on that side? Is that the --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Marty Schessel. It's Tri Mor, but Marty Schessel.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. So back to where Chris is requiring for the Board, do you gentlemen have any questions with the four items that he has listed there? And if you're --

Village of Lordstown Board of Trustees of Public Affairs

June 16,

20

MR. SULLIVAN: Well, how do you want the motion? Do you want 1, 1(A) --

MR. CAMPBELL: That's up to you gentlemen and what Chris would feel we need. I can't say.

MR. SULLIVAN: What do you think?

MR. KOGELNIK: I recommend that the BPA authorize the Solicitor in the Village to draw up an agreement for reimbursement of the total project costs, with the understanding that the Village has devoted time and effort to seeking state and federal external funding to help offset the estimated total project cost, and that the engineering and design for the project should commence asap.

MR. SULLIVAN: So we can make that one motion?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Yes. Part of what he stated before with entering into this agreement was part of your resolution for the battery plant. This is getting that ball rolling. I have already talked to the Solicitor about drafting that agreement, but we need the Board to require that agreement to be drafted.

MR. SULLIVAN: Okay I'll make the motion to require that agreement.

MR. CAMPBELL: Is there a second?

MR. DIETZ: I'll second it.

MR. CAMPBELL: All in favor?

(Mr. Sullivan and Mr. Dietz respond aye.)

MR. CAMPBELL: Abstain. That motion carries. Appreciate that.

MR. SULLIVAN: So that covers us on the whole thing?

MR. KOGELNIK: That covers you on the total project costs for what you're expecting the developer to pay for, Mike. You're not --

MR. SULLIVAN: Well, all I'm asking is do we need any other motions or --

MR. KOGELNIK: You will, yes. You will. For instance, we'll need authorization to begin with the preliminary design efforts. That was 1(A). You'll need to -- and some of these things -- these things have to come in order. You can't authorize us to begin or you probably don't want to authorize us to begin until you've entered into some form of an agreement with the developer to be assured that you have the money coming in. Right?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Correct.

MR. KOGELNIK: So we understand that. But what we're trying to do here is to give you the stepping stones of what you need to do. So --

MR. SULLIVAN: I understand that.

MR. KOGELNIK: We need to move and get that agreement with the developer and the Village asap.

MR. SULLIVAN: So before any other agreements or motions are made, we need an agreement on number 1?

MR. KOGELNIK: Yep. And right after that 1(A).

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Which you would be working on developing those work authorizations now though.

MR. KOGELNIK: Yes.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: So when the time comes they would just be --

MR. KOGELNIK: Yes, we're --

June 16,

20

MS. SLUSARCZYK: So he'll prepare those work authorizations. Once you get the agreement, then you can pass the work authorizations for CT to roll.

MR. SULLIVAN: Yeah. Well, are these like if you get the authorization, can you wait another month then for --

MR. KOGELNIK: No.

MR. SULLIVAN: -- a motion on 1(A)?

MR. KOGELNIK: You're already three weeks behind. So what you're gonna need to do is coordinate with your Council and Paul Dutton to create this agreement and get that signed immediately with the developer and have a special meeting and get it approved. That's how I see this happening.

MR. SULLIVAN: So we'll need a special meeting.

MR. KOGELNIK: Because if you wait another month, this will never happen.

MR. SULLIVAN: That's all I'm trying to figure out is if we should do it today or if we need to wait.

MR. KOGELNIK: I put this into motion right now, this item number 1.

MR. SULLIVAN: Well right, we already did that. But I'm talking about 1 (A) and 2 and 3.

MR. DIETZ: As soon as we get 1 approved, we gotta go after 1(A).

MR. KOGELNIK: Yep.

MR. DIETZ: Right on down the list. As soon as 1 is approved --

MR. SULLIVAN: But we can't wait until the next meeting.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Correct. Chris on number 2, the contract with the tank for the construction of the tank, is that a contract that exists, any blanket that we would just enter that information into; or is that something that we can verbally ask them to start preparing for passage when 1 arrives into our hand, 1(A) is passed, 2 is --

MR. KOGELNIK: The latter. It's not yet established, we'll create it.

MR. DIETZ: I think I know what Mike is asking. Can we make a motion for 1(A) today?

MR. KOGELNIK: Yes, pending the execution of item number 1, which is the agreement.

MR. SULLIVAN: Right. So then you'd be able to move right into that?

MR. KOGELNIK: Yep. That's a good idea.

MR. DIETZ: I think that's what Mike is trying to get across.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I believe you can make a motion to support it. But because of the \$200,000 value, think you're going to have to have a written resolution. But your action tonight by motion can direct me to have that resolution prepared as the second thing from the Solicitor.

MR. SULLIVAN: Already made or make a second motion.

MR. DIETZ: We supported one.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I would say if a resolution is necessary, then you authorize me to order that resolution to cover 1(A).

MR. SULLIVAN: Okay. I'll make a motion to cover 1(A).

MR. CAMPBELL: Do we have a second?

MR. DIETZ: I'll second.

June 16,

20

MR. CAMPBELL: All in favor?

(Mr. Sullivan and Mr. Dietz respond aye.)

MR. CAMPBELL: Abstain. Motion carries. Okay. I think that covers what you needed for now, right Chris? So I wanted to -- I don't want to forget, Mike had some questions about the tower. While you were out on your call we roughly explained some of those things to him. But there is one more -- is there one more meeting for the tower or not?

MR. KOGELNIK: Yes. Hold on one second. Are you talking about webinar?

MR. CAMPBELL: Yes.

MR. KOGELNIK: Yeah, it's this Thursday at noon. I'm gonna be on that call, I'm gonna be on that webinar. Would you like to participate?

MR. SULLIVAN: Yeah.

MR. CAMPBELL: I would recommend it, gentlemen, I really would. If you have the time, it's worth an hour-and-a-half.

MR. KOGELNIK: Mike, I'll send you the invite in the e-mail.

MR. DIETZ: Do you have to have a computer or what?

MR. SULLIVAN: Can we do it on the phone?

MR. KOGELNIK: Let's see.

MR. BIGGS: You won't see anything. I mean, obviously --

MR. KOGELNIK: There is a phone number. But as Darren stated, this is a visual too. I tell you what, Mike, I'll come here and hook it up to this screen if you want to sit with me.

MR. SULLIVAN: I will.

MR. DIETZ: What time.

MR. KOGELNIK: Noon.

MR. CAMPBELL: It's Thursday at noon. That works out great.

MR. KOGELNIK: Is that okay?

MR. DIETZ: Thursday?

MR. KOGELNIK: Thursday at noon.

MR. SULLIVAN: Yes.

MR. KOGELNIK: You bring the bear claws.

MR. DIETZ: If I -- yeah. At 12:00?

MR. KOGELNIK: Noon.

MR. DIETZ: Because --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Do both of you plan on attending that at the same time?

MR. CAMPBELL: It has to be a meeting then.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I just need to know because we'll make it a meeting. You don't have to attend the meeting, but you cannot discuss anything else with it spelled out on the -- and it's not gonna benefit the public unless they want to come in and see it. I just need to know the plan.

MR. DIETZ: I plan on coming.

MR. SULLIVAN: So do I.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: All right, it's a meeting. We don't need the transcript.

MR. CAMPBELL: It's all presentation from them.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: All right.

MR. CAMPBELL: That's great. I'm glad we talked through that. Was there anything else on the battery plant that either --

MR. KOGELNIK: Not on the battery plant, but I want to go

June 16,

20

back to Old Dominion if you don't mind.

MR. CAMPBELL: Sure.

MR. KOGELNIK: Jeff again checked the PPEF form, and it is stated as 64 gallon a minute at 91,000 gallons per day. That can't be. They just -- they would have to have some other wash water or something like that. So I'm gonna check that because you're right, you're absolutely right, I don't agree with it.

MR. CAMPBELL: Unless they are washing trucks.

MR. KOGELNIK: That's what I mean. But there wasn't any wash water system planned in this thing. So allow me to go back and double-check that.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I think they submitted more than one PPE, and I swear it was less than 2,000 gallons a day.

MR. KOGELNIK: If there's only 30 or so workers there, employees at a facility like that only require around 25s gallon per day. 25 gallons per day. And that's on the high side.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I do -- if I'm thinking numbers, I think it was 1,156 gallons per day.

MR. KOGELNIK: Let me check that.

MR. CAMPBELL: I think you're correct. I think what Jeff may be referring to, there might be a --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Early. They went really high.

MR. CAMPBELL: And we're like oh no, we'll revisit those numbers and they came back with --

MR. BIGGS: Chris, where are they getting their water from.

MR. KOGELNIK: That's from -- I think that's from the Warren.

MR. BIGGS: Right. So what's the -- so I mean why --

MR. KOGELNIK: Why is there a question?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Because they spelled it out in the agreement that they are requiring 92,000 gallons daily.

MR. BIGGS: It's Warren.

MR. KOGELNIK: We're just questioning the obvious though.

MR. BIGGS: Okay.

MR. KOGELNIK: No, it just doesn't seem right, Darren.

MR. BIGGS: Agreed. But it's not Lordstown, that's what I want to make sure. We're not supplying them.

MR. CAMPBELL: But in the agreement they've projected to the Board, I want to make sure that we're at least aligning and inspecting, Warren put in there they're gonna have a minimum maintenance fee on it.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: If you recall Franco Lucarelli's statement that if they are saying that minimum, he wants an expected portion paid to them if they are gonna hook it up. We have to clarify it and make sure we're all under the same assumption or conclusion of what they are going -- what they're requesting in the event that he wants guaranteed water sales at that level.

MR. CAMPBELL: Correct.

MR. DIETZ: Friday is that drug class down here?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: It's Thursday at noon.

MR. DIETZ: It's Thursday at noon. Is it gonna be down here?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: There's a TC here and in that room. And with just four people or five, we can still maintain six foot.

MR. DIETZ: I'm still wondering if I'm in that drug class or not.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I'm in the drug class on Friday.

June 16,

20

MR. CAMPBELL: How do we know? She said if you're available send it and --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: You don't have -- if you want that Friday class tell her so, she can put you into it. I won't be here next week for the other available dates, so I have to be here for Friday's drug class.

MR. CAMPBELL: I expected her -- because the e-mail was confusing.

MR. DIETZ: She wasn't in until today.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: If you want to participate in Friday's class, let me know.

MR. BIGGS: I was just wondering what the drug class --

MR. CAMPBELL: Oh.

MR. DIETZ: That one we have every year that I --

MR. BIGGS: I know what it is. I don't know why we're talking about it right now. Two different days.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: And there is not a drug class on Thursday.

MR. DIETZ: All right. I'm sorry, I'm getting confused.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: No, it's --

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. Mike's in the restroom. What else can -- we can talk about the drug class.

MR. BIGGS: I got an update on the battery plant anyway with the contractors. It kind of has to do with them. We agreed to have them tie it to the Warren's 4-inch line for their construction, their second.

MR. CAMPBELL: Let's let Mike listen in on that, dealing with that aspect of it. All right. Sorry about that. Go ahead.

MR. BIGGS: The construction company over there that's building it, the battery plant, they put in over 2,000 foot temporary line that we agreed to tie into Warren for the construction. Their second bacteria test went in today, yesterday's passed okay. This afternoon they should have did the -- got the back flow preventer tested, they've had all that. Everything is going according to plan. If everything works out tomorrow, total green light, they can use this for whatever they need, they'll be done with that portion.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Thank you for the update. Any questions. Good, all right. So Chris, are you up to date where we're at? We're gonna move on. Anything else?

MR. KOGELNIK: I don't have anything more to add for water for the battery plant or Old Dominion.

2. TJX - Water and Sanitary Sewer

MR. CAMPBELL: Next item under Old Business, TJX Water and Sanitary Sewer. We already talked, I guess, somewhat about that with the item for the Bova Excavating. So anything else at this point? Can we take it off the agenda?

MR. SULLIVAN: I would think.

MR. CAMPBELL: I can't think of any reason to keep this one on there at this point, so -- yeah, something off and done.

3. Master Water Model Plan Update

MR. CAMPBELL: Number 3, Master Water Model Plan Update. We had that meeting yesterday, which was very good. And again, appreciate everything CT put into that. A lot of good advice. And as with many big projects that are in great need, there's a big dollar figure behind

June 16,

20

it. So hopefully with the assistance of our engineering firm and the grant and politicians and all the likelihood of, I guess, attention that we're getting on our 45 corridor that we can get a lot of this stuff funded and put together over the next probably two, three, four years we're looking of work here for water line improvement and tanks. So are there any questions or further additions for our water model that this point?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Does that complete the project with CT?

MR. KOGELNIK: Actually no, there's just some small refinements; you know, like for example the schedule, the implementation schedule. Without an implementation schedule, you really don't have a capital improvement plan.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay.

MR. KOGELNIK: The CIP should marry-up planned expenses with a time frame.

MR. SULLIVAN: On the second battery plant, would it be hard to get funding because they only have nineteen employees and --

MR. KOGELNIK: No. I mean, the second battery plant brings revenue into the Village, construction jobs, taxes. I don't know -- how do you know what the second battery plant is going to employ in terms of numbers?

MR. SULLIVAN: Well, all right. I have -- I just assumed that this one's 19 and they would be somewhere around the same place.

MR. KOGELNIK: Yeah. Some of these things, Mike, are so preliminary that it's hard for us to pay attention to them until we see some credible information on paper. It's great to hear the buzz out there that there is --

MR. CAMPBELL: You mean power plant?

MR. SULLIVAN: Yeah.

MR. BIGGS: Power plant.

MR. CAMPBELL: There was confusion there. I thought we were all confused for a minute.

MR. SULLIVAN: My bad.

MR. KOGELNIK: So the power plant is something even more intriguing because it produces electricity and takes an otherwise coal-burning energy plant off of the grid big time. That's a big-time plus for the state and federal government to help fund, Okay. So even though only 19 employees, the impacts of providing such a betterment are significant.

MR. SULLIVAN: Well, I didn't know too with the Ohio House passing that bail-out for the nukes --

MR. KOGELNIK: Yeah, I remember that. Senate Bill 6.

MR. SULLIVAN: -- that they would be interested in --

MR. KOGELNIK: Yeah.

MR. SULLIVAN: -- funding the --

MR. KOGELNIK: There's a lot of variables that deal with that industry that we -- we can't be -- we can't be concerned with. We have to be concerned with the water and sewer needs for the developments that are gonna credibly land on State Route 45.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Very good. So back to our water model, there's a couple items there for that aspect of it.

MR. KOGELNIK: Yep. Generally speaking though, the bulk of the work is done and, you know, it gives you a road map for the future.

MR. CAMPBELL: Very good. Do you gentlemen have any further questions on that? All right, we're gonna move on.

June 16,

20

4. Utility Department Building

MR. CAMPBELL: Utility Department Building. And I wanted to thank Chris yesterday for making sure we keep that in our scope as we're moving forward with the needs of our water system, and facilities for our department should definitely be in that projection.

MR. KOGELNIK: Yep.

MR. CAMPBELL: So I'm glad you're keeping that on the foresight. And I don't know if there's any further, I guess, development or subjects with that at this point.

5. I&I

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Moving down to number five, I&I. Any updates on our I&I?

MR. SULLIVAN: Well, I'd asked Chris to come back with the agreement that they had a couple years ago; and I think he gave it to you, Cindy.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I believe I forwarded it via e-mail. That was just last week or early this week, I don't remember.

MR. KOGELNIK: Right. So we had a proposal in 2016 that you had asked for. And I didn't change anything, and I just re-sent it to you because the scope of the work is the same, the time frame obviously changes. Now the price probably does change, but I told you we'd keep the -- we'd try to keep the cost the same at \$39,000. This is a program that involves a lot of tasks, probably a lot of time. It was originally planned for over a year. So the costs may need to be updated as time goes by, but the scope is all written down there. There's a schedule attached to the letter proposal. Take a look at it and let me know if you want to proceed with it.

MR. SULLIVAN: Well, when I had talked to you about it, I understood the \$39,000; but I thought we could do it in chunks --

MR. KOGELNIK: Yes. You'll have to --

MR. SULLIVAN: -- since it wouldn't be -- we could take what you believed would be the golden piece of it and start there. But we need to start.

MR. KOGELNIK: If you'd like, I can go through the main elements of it just to tell you what the list of elements are. Do you care for me to do that?

MR. SULLIVAN: Yeah.

MR. KOGELNIK: Okay. Hold on one second. Okay. There's, I think, five or six phases. The first phase is a program and pilot study development phase. And the second phase is scouting and implementing the pilot study work, preparing construction documents and doing the construction for mitigating some of the I&I that we find. Number three is evaluating the effectiveness of the pilot work via -- from flow monitoring, okay. So you're evaluating the success of what you do in phases one and two. Phase four, based on the success of the pilot work, consider applying that approach and technique to the rest of the east side system. So actually there was only four phases, I'm sorry. I thought there were five or six. So that's what it is. And then the proposal also breaks it down into further details. So Mike, take a look at it and let me know if you want to go through with it.

MR. SULLIVAN: What would be the possibility of getting grant money for that?

June 16,

20

MR. KOGELNIK: It depends on what you find. The likelihood of getting grant money -- for example, if you have a sanitary sewer that is dilapidated and it's accepting all kinds of I&I coming into it, you'd want to replace it. And so you would determine a cost estimate for doing that and then you'd go after, you know, an OPWC grant to replace that section of the sanitary sewer. The likelihood of doing that are pretty good. But to pay for the program, an I&I program, is usually unlikely.

MR. CAMPBELL: And honestly, what we're probably gonna find are the connections and stuff from residential homes are things that need to be fixed on residents' property more than we're gonna find an old dilapidated piece of line that's on the Village's dime that we can go for grant money for. So you know, I don't see much opportunity for grant money to help pay for residential properties that need improvements.

MR. SULLIVAN: Well, the \$39,000 --

MR. KOGELNIK: \$39,000 is only for developing the plan. It's not for ripping up Mrs. Smith's sewer lateral to replace it.

MR. SULLIVAN: But it's finding Mrs. Smith's. Got it.

MR. KOGELNIK: You got it.

MR. SULLIVAN: So could we, like I said, do that in phases?

MR. KOGELNIK: Yep.

MR. SULLIVAN: Could we have a phase one, pay you for that and then when we find out the results of that, go to phase two?

MR. KOGELNIK: Yes, you can take it step-by-step if you're wondering that.

MR. SULLIVAN: So if you could give us a breakdown of costs for each phase.

MR. KOGELNIK: Oh, okay. Yeah, we didn't do that, we just did it as lump sum.

MR. SULLIVAN: Well, I think we would have a much better chance of moving forward if we just did it in phases.

MR. CAMPBELL: Well, I think there's a slight disconnect on what we're saying here. I think what Mike is asking for is areas to completely analyze and go through. Like all right, we believe there's gonna be -- the homes are older, we got more older homes in this area so we're gonna do a chunk and do that phase of the project and find any places right here in that chunk of it. And here's one that's probably not gonna have that much, we may end up doing it later and kind of move through it that way. What you presented is a project, we're gonna look at all of it, here's the next step, we're looking at all of it. Correct? You don't have it broke up by moving through the most likely areas that are problematic to --

MR. KOGELNIK: I didn't follow that. But what we're trying to do is a pilot program so we can evaluate one small area of the east side system, monitor the flow from the success of doing some of that work in that small area, and if that is successful doing it on the entire east side system. So one step at a time, the first small area. You pick the area, of course. Find it, tell us what the area is so we can look at that. We'll look at that and do a pilot program, and if -- we'll monitor the flow rates from that area. And if that is successful after doing the program of replacing Mrs. Smith's lateral, then we'll proceed to implementing that on the entire east side system.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. I understand better.

MR. KOGELNIK: Is that --

MR. SULLIVAN: That's good.

June 16,

20

MR. KOGELNIK: May be we were saying the same thing, Kevin. Apologies.

MR. CAMPBELL: That's all right.

MR. SULLIVAN: But just so we can break it down into the phases.

MR. KOGELNIK: I will do that, Mike, for you.

MR. SULLIVAN: Okay.

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. Very good.

6. Sanitary Sewer Rate Review

MR. CAMPBELL: Number 6, Sanitary Sewer Rate Review. We keep this on our agenda to keep tabs on it. I don't think there's any updates or changes at this point. Gentlemen, any questions?

7. Warren Water

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. Moving on down to Warren Water. We've danced around a couple agreements that Warren's presented us and maybe some opportunities for looking at maybe an agreement that just covers us as an entirety. But I guess at this point that's something that we're gonna have to sit down and talk with Warren and probably be smart for us to have a meeting on our end, kind of get our ducks in a row, and then some things have changed since our previous meetings with Warren. So I think it would behove us to have a little sit-down first as a Board and maybe Utility Department and get kind of an understanding on all right, do we want to entertain these individual agreements or do you want to go and look at a, you know, just a blanket agreement that hey, you sell water to the Board and we take care of it from there and don't worry about it and we just let you know when somebody is connecting to your line and move on from that side it. I'm just talking, you know, through it because that's kind of where we're at right now. We already have a couple individual agreements with Warren, and we've been presented a couple more. Do we want to continue that path or do we want to look at doing something different. So we should -- I'll send out some dates, and I guess we'll look at having a meeting just to discuss that. Any other questions or topics on that? All right.

8. Personnel

MR. CAMPBELL: Number 8, Personnel Issues. Did I -- let me -- I know we're working through some adjustment because of the pandemic and some of the things that we had for time frames on some testing requirements required by our employees. I know that we were approaching, or close to approaching, one that we had already set up. But Bill presented an option which I thought was a pretty good one. If I remember correctly, he presented an extension of basically the window that the pandemic disrupted, you know, all our lifestyles and kind of delayed some of the testing and some of the things we require of our employees. Are the testing facilities back up and functioning at this point?

MR. BIGGS: Last time I checked two days ago, no.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. So I guess whenever we have -- when they open up, we want to at least have a date to say all right, from this period to this period you couldn't do anything and we'll take a look at extending, I guess, that window that that ends up being to give a little better buffer of, you know, getting the certifications and things they need.

June 16,

20

MR. SULLIVAN: Did either of the employees reach out to the guy that does the educating.

MR. BIGGS: I passed that along, Mike. I have no idea if they did or not though.

MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you for the leg work.

MR. BIGGS: I made sure she got it. I hate to guess, but probably with everything going on it probably did not happen. But.

MR. SULLIVAN: They say that guy is the best.

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. So is there any immediate action?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: There's a letter that needs to be sent. I drafted it and sent it to you. If you want that letter, something has to -- we need to get you to sign it if you're in favor of what it said.

MR. CAMPBELL: Do you guys remember getting a letter?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: It was e-mailed to you, I think, last week.

MR. DIETZ: I read it. I answered you.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Yes, you did. In your e-mail, check it. And if you're in favor, I'll print it with everything that happened this week. I don't have it printed and ready for you to sign.

MR. CAMPBELL: That's fine.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: But I could print it of the meeting even if you chose to do that. But it's late already.

MR. SULLIVAN: Kevin will just need to sign it, right?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Previously all three of you had signed it, it can go that way. If you just want Kevin to sign it, tell me and that's exactly what I'll do.

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. Yeah, take a look at the letter and see what he can get from their --

MR. SULLIVAN: If you're good with it, go ahead.

MR. CAMPBELL: I want you to read the letter first.

MR. SULLIVAN: Well, I will.

PUBLIC COMMENTS:

MR. CAMPBELL: We're down to our second chunk of Public Comments. So again, if there's anybody online that would like to public comment, you have to unmute your phone and state your name and address and we'll give you five minutes of time. It will start now if there's anybody. I assume there is no questions from the public. We appreciate that. All right, we'll move on.

REPORTS:

1. Solicitor's Report

MR. CAMPBELL: Reports. Anything from our Solicitor?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: No.

2. Engineer's Report

MR. CAMPBELL: Chris, Engineer's report. Anything additional we didn't already cover?

MR. KOGELNIK: No.

MR. CAMPBELL: Any questions for our Engineer? No questions? Very good. Again, appreciate your time. I know things have been hot and heavy lately, and I don't foresee them easing up much any time soon.

3. Utility Committee Report

June 16,

20

MR. CAMPBELL: Utility Committee Report. Anything that we're updated from them that we're aware of? No? All right. I know Howard came to --

MR. SULLIVAN: He was here yesterday.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah, Howard came to the meeting last night, so I'm glad he was there.

4. Clerk's Report

MR. CAMPBELL: Cindy, Clerk's Report.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I do have a small report. One is that similar conversation we just had. The Ohio E.P.A. sent a notice out that they're revoking the no-disconnect reason for non-payment that prevented us for shutting people off. And you supported that by a motion to follow through with that recommendation or mandate from the Ohio E.P.A. Dated June 12 I received notice that 30 days from the date of this letter, or on July 10, that will cease. So effective July 11 or thereafter we would resume our shut-off process. But I need you to acknowledge and support that also by motion.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah, I don't have any further questions. I think that's something we should put back in gear. And so I'll make a motion to support the -- what would be -- July 11 we'd start our process for shut-off notice.

MR. SULLIVAN: Second.

MR. CAMPBELL: All in favor?

(All respond aye.)

MR. CAMPBELL: All opposed?

(No response.)

MR. CAMPBELL: Motion carries.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: The next thing I have is on an account with Imperial Communities. We have a new home being put on an existing pad that had a cumulative bill growing. The total -- I gave you the sheet so you could see it.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: To write-off the dollar amount on the account so we can transfer the account to the new home and tenant on that pad. It's Account 1612.1, requesting you to write off that.

MR. CAMPBELL: So I didn't look at a great detail of what it was. Was it because some of these -- was there actual water usage in that, or was it just the accumulation of --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: This account is very old.

MR. CAMPBELL: That's what I was getting at. Some of these pads have been there sitting for a long time.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: It is very possible on this particular one that there was. But the account holder was not the last resident in the home, it was the daughter. Then the daughter left and left an unpaid bill which accumulated for a period of time. The new software -- we had suspended that with the new software and we re-activated that \$9 charge. It's convoluted.

MR. CAMPBELL: So it's a mixed mess.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I do believe we attempted to go to -- no, different account. Never mind. I'm recalling the name. So there's no -- if anything, there may be a deposit on the account that I could apply if it has not already been applied. The most it would be would be \$125. And I don't think it's that great.

June 16,

20

MR. CAMPBELL: So at this point it's gonna be use, we're gonna have a water customer because they are opening the account up?

MR. SULLIVAN: And you want a motion to --

MR. CAMPBELL: She wants a motion to do something with it. Now I assume that pad, it went through our process of clean-up, lock down or --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: But this was an account that wasn't in Imperial's name or nobody's name, it was in a name. Let the daughter acquire the home, the daughter accrued the bill and then the daughter skipped out.

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. Well, I make a motion that we --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Write it off.

MR. CAMPBELL: Write it off I guess is the best way to phrase it and get it back to a functioning contributing account for us, because it's obviously got a lot of history and convoluted aspects to it. So that's my motion.

MR. DIETZ: I'll second it.

MR. CAMPBELL: All in favor?

(All respond aye.)

MR. CAMPBELL: All opposed?

(No response.)

MR. CAMPBELL: Well, there you go. You got one more water customer.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Thank you. I do not have anything additional at this time. Do you have any questions for me?

MR. CAMPBELL: Any questions for Cindy?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I will state that I shared with you an e-mail where we're working with Niles for a bulk water rate. I don't have anything contract-wise but that's the next step.

MR. SULLIVAN: Are you gonna come Thursday?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I'd like to see that, yes.

MR. CAMPBELL: Are you off next week?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Next week.

MR. CAMPBELL: That's what I thought.

(A discussion is had off the record.)

6. Superintendent's Report

MR. CAMPBELL: All right, Darren. What do you have, sir. Superintendent's Report.

MR. BIGGS: I had asked you about chances of a new truck. And I knew the answer, but --

MR. CAMPBELL: You had to ask.

MR. BIGGS: So I checked into things we needed to do with the Road Department and whatever else.

MR. CAMPBELL: What have we got?

MR. BIGGS: Right now we're about \$6,000 and about 80 hours worth of work so -- to get it decent. So that's where we're at. I just wanted to let you know.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Appreciate that.

MR. BIGGS: So that's what we'll have to do. The CCR is done, her part is the mailing and that kind of stuff, working on that. But everything else is done with that so we're good, it's been delivered and got that all done. I do have a problem with -- we had a problem with our Internet here, and --

June 16,

20

MR. CAMPBELL: Oh, yeah.

MR. BIGGS: -- and whatnot. And this is -- it got fixed. In the meantime I had a port -- Port 491 is -- got closed. I don't know how many weeks we're at now, but nobody can figure out how to open it. That's how we control things remotely. I need some help probably from you guys to get that thing done because I have no control over that.

MR. CAMPBELL: What port?

MR. BIGGS: 491.

MR. CAMPBELL: So you can't get out of here to -- well if the port's closed then you can't get out to communicate to do things or -- is that with our SCADA system?

MR. BIGGS: Yes.

MR. CAMPBELL: That's with the new system we have. Was it working on the old system, or is it something that -- what I'm getting at, was that a new port with the new system?

MR. BIGGS: No, it's always been the same.

MR. CAMPBELL: So that's been --

MR. BIGGS: I talked with those guys, and they said they need that one. It's been closed and Joel's been working Spectrum or whoever and it's just not happening. I'm not real sure why, but I can't do anything about it, so --

MR. CAMPBELL: I'm gonna have to give them a call because he's obviously been in the loop but not made much progress with it. Okay.

MR. BIGGS: Not sure what the hold-up is.

MR. CAMPBELL: All right, I'll call him. I got his number.

MR. BIGGS: I told you when Jeremy started on the second. Working out real well. And I think I told you that I found someone from the fire department to help us out with that part. He says no. So Travis is trying -- he's trying to find us somebody else that can give us the help. So that's where we're at with that. The Lift Station 2 air release valve was put in. Everything is fine with that, everything looks good. There was no issues, whatnot. Didn't work at all though. There's been no change.

MR. KOGELNIK: No flow betterment. That's the one thing I wanted to talk about in my report today, but I think I was find, save it for some handwritten report. But CT did their work.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Anything else?

MR. KOGELNIK: There's obviously something going on in the force main beyond the pump station.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yep. Anything else, Darren?

MR. BIGGS: No, that was it.

MR. SULLIVAN: So you put that new valve in and nothing?

MR. BIGGS: No difference.

MR. CAMPBELL: Well, now we know. All right. Nothing else for Darren? Do you gentlemen have questions for him?

MR. SULLIVAN: No, sir.

MEMBER COMMENTS:

MR. CAMPBELL: Any Member Comments?

MR. DIETZ: No.

QUARTERLY APPROVAL OF BILLING ADJUSTMENTS:

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. We did the quarterly billing adjustments last month. We'll have them in July, next month.

June 16,

20

ADJOURNMENT:

MR. CAMPBELL: I'll take motions for adjournment.
 MR. SULLIVAN: So moved.
 MR. CAMPBELL: I'll second. All in favor?
 (All respond aye.)
 MR. CAMPBELL: All opposed?
 (No response.)
 MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you, everybody.
 (Meeting ends at 5:47 p.m.)

C E R T I F I C A T E

STATE OF OHIO)
 TRUMBULL COUNTY) SS.

I, Deborah I. Lavelle, a Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio, duly commissioned and qualified, do hereby certify that the foregoing meeting before the Board of Public Affairs was written by me in the presence of the Members and transcribed by me using computer-aided transcription according to the stenotype notes taken at the time the said meeting took place.

I do further certify that I am not a relative, counsel or attorney of any Member, or otherwise interested in the event of this action.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal of office at Niles, Ohio on this 6th day of July, 2020.

DEBORAH I. LAVELLE, Notary Public
 My Commission expires 4/16/2022

Submitted:

Approved by:

Cinthia Slusarczyk, clerk

Kevin Campbell, President