

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
MEETING OF THE LORDSTOWN VILLAGE BOARD OF PUBLIC AFFAIRS
1455 Salt Springs Road, Lordstown, Ohio
July 19, 2022

IN ATTENDANCE: Mr. Kevin Campbell, President
Mr. Christopher Peterson, Vice-President
Mr. Michael Sullivan, Board Member
Mr. Darren Biggs, Supt. of Utilities
Ms. Cinthia Slusarczyk, Clerk
Mr. Christopher Kogelnik, Engineer
ALSO PRESENT: Ms. Kellie Bordner, Planning/Zoning

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS taken before me, DEBORAH LAVELLE, RPR, a court reporter and Notary Public within and for the State of Ohio on this 19th of July, 2022.

MR. CAMPBELL: Call the meeting to order. Please stand with me for the Lord's Prayer and Pledge of Allegiance.

LORD'S PRAYER

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

ROLL CALL:

MR. CAMPBELL: Roll call please.
MS. SLUSARCZYK: Kevin Campbell.
MR. CAMPBELL: Here.
MS. SLUSARCZYK: Michael Sullivan.
MR. SULLIVAN: Here.
MS. SLUSARCZYK: Christopher Peterson.
MR. PETERSON: Here.
MS. SLUSARCZYK: Darren Biggs.
MR. BIGGS: Here.
MS. SLUSARCZYK: Cinthia Slusarczyk, present. Chris Kogelnik.
MR. KOGELNIK: Present.

APPROVAL AND CORRECTION OF MINUTES:

MR. CAMPBELL: All right, very good. Approval of Minutes. We have from June 15 of 2022 and June 21 of 2022.
MR. SULLIVAN: I make a motion for June 15.
MR. CAMPBELL: I'll second that motion. All in favor?
(All respond aye.)
MR. CAMPBELL: All opposed?
(No response.)
MR. CAMPBELL: Very good. I'll make a motion for the June 21, 2022.
MR. PETERSON: I'll make -- I'll second.
MR. CAMPBELL: All those in favor?
(All respond aye.)
MR. CAMPBELL: All opposed?
(No response.)
MR. CAMPBELL: Thanks again for attention to our minutes.

CORRESPONDENCE:

MR. CAMPBELL: Any Correspondence, Cindy?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: No.

PUBLIC COMMENTS:

MR. CAMPBELL: Any Public Comments at this point? State your name and address so she has it.

MR. EBLING: George Ebling. 1456 Salt Springs Road, Lordstown.

MR. CAMPBELL: What have you got?

MR. EBLING: Well, we're in the process of building a new home on the end of Woodridge right here, last house on the street. And the water line runs 20 feet onto my lot which is all that I need to tap into it. But I understand the BPA bylaws don't permit me to do that. It says it has to go across the entire frontage.

MR. CAMPBELL: Oh, it doesn't cover his whole frontage of his lot? So is that from the building side, they didn't plan for that to be a full lot?

MR. EBLING: Well, it is. I mean, everything else is -- goes clear to the end, you know. The sewer, the gas, all that.

MR. CAMPBELL: But the water --

MR. EBLING: The water runs onto the lot 20 feet and stops.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: The parcel he's referring to is part of the proposed Platt two of Woodridge Way.

MR. CAMPBELL: For the next addition. That makes sense of why it's not --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: And Plat 2 never developed.

MR. CAMPBELL: I see.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Plat 1 was approved and whatever, and wherever they ran the lines and stopped is basically what he has.

MR. CAMPBELL: So he has some on his property, but it doesn't go across the whole front of the property?

MR. EBLING: Correct.

MR. CAMPBELL: So I don't know. Another new one for us. So if -- how much more does it have to be extended to make it legit?

MR. SULLIVAN: Probably 80 feet.

MR. KOGELNIK: So he's at the end of the subdivision?

MR. CAMPBELL: It sounds like it.

MR. EBLING: Yes. I have the last lot.

MR. KOGELNIK: And how wide approximately is the front of the lot?

MR. EBLING: The total lot's 102.

MR. KOGELNIK: And it stops partway along your lot?

MR. EBLING: Right.

MR. KOGELNIK: And that's an extraneous circumstance there where --

MR. CAMPBELL: Kellie, you look like you have information.

MS. BORDNER: So the situation with this is Plat No. 2 was never accepted, so the roadway was never extended. So none of that was ever approved through Planning Commission. I brought this up to the Mayor, I brought it up to Attorney Dutton, and they directed me to issue the zoning permit for the house. But there's no

continuation of the roadway. The roadway did not go down in front of this parcel, so now we're running into other issues that would have been addressed during Planning Commission had that Plat No. 2 been reviewed and approved and all of the extraneous issues addressed that go along with creating a public roadway and then having it accepted for public use and maintenance. There's, you know, a road which has to be created in accordance with street design standard and so on and so forth. So that hasn't happened. But if that had, then these things would have all been addressed. Ultimately that would have been the developer's responsibility. Obviously George is not the developer.

MR. CAMPBELL: No, no. All right. Well, I don't know if we have an answer at the present time on this; we're gonna have to, I guess, do some digging and see. Are there other issues?

MR. SULLIVAN: Well, the roadway itself would be --

MR. EBLING: Well, that's according to --

MR. CAMPBELL: Not our jurisdiction.

MR. EBLING: According to the mayor and the road superintendent that's gonna be taken care of. There never was a turn-around at the end.

MR. CAMPBELL: No cul-de-sac or something there.

MR. EBLING: So they put a turn-around in already and they informed me that, you know, when it's -- when they can, it will be paved. I mean, it is a dedicated street.

MR. CAMPBELL: It's just not long enough.

MR. EBLING: Yeah. I mean, it's dedicated, it's just not paved and accepted.

MR. SULLIVAN: Well, actually Phase 2 hasn't been.

MR. EBLING: No. And I think we all know who the property owner is that bought the rest of that property so it's never gonna go anywhere, you know.

MR. SULLIVAN: Unless you have a possibility to plant corn.

MR. EBLING: Correct.

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. I don't know what to do with it at this point. I'll have to do some more digging and research.

MR. PETERSON: How soon do you need an answer?

MR. EBLING: We might be ready to move in the end of August.

MR. CAMPBELL: Well yeah, it is soon.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I don't know that there's even a utility easement, and if the extension of the water line --

MR. EBLING: There is.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: For the whole length of the parcel?

MR. EBLING: Yeah. The additional 10 feet behind the road right-of-way line, yeah it's there. It's on that lot.

MR. SULLIVAN: So what he bought butts up against you?

MR. EBLING: Yes.

MR. SULLIVAN: So there will be no more.

MR. KOGELNIK: Was the proposed water line to be included in the -- inside the 10 foot utility easement or the road right-of-way?

MR. EBLING: Darren, where's it at?

MR. BIGGS: Normally how that's done is because it was a dead-end there's a hydrant and they have one stick after. That's

why it ended up being in there. That was it. So there was no road, there was nothing, it was just absolutely nothing there. So there shouldn't be -- I don't know how there's an easement if there should be nothing there.

MR. KOGELNIK: But is the existing hydrant and dead-end located in the road right-of-way or is it located --

MR. BIGGS: Yeah.

MR. KOGELNIK: That makes sense.

MR. BIGGS: Except where it goes to George's property it's not a road right-of-way because it was never a road. That's what I was trying to explain. The hydrant ends right on the corner. It's on his property and the neighbor's. That's where it ended and we put a stick after that. That's why it ended up being on his parcel.

MR. KOGELNIK: So if the roadway were accepted in Phase 2, if and when that happens, the water line would be extended through the public road right-of-way and in the easement?

MS. BORDNER: So okay. I'm sorry, but I guess I feel like I need to stand back up and say something then. So essentially what happened with regards to Plat No. 2 was the blueprint for that continuation of that roadway and the creation of two additional lots at the end of Woodridge Way was filed with the county. It's a blueprint. I mean, you're letting them know this is what we'd like to do. I don't even have anything in Planning Commission that ever suggests that that blueprint itself went through Planning Commission and was approved. However, what I do have is signatures from Village officials: The Mayor, the Planning Commission Chairman which I believe was you Mr. Sullivan at the time actually, Chet Phillips. I mean, there were other Village officials.

MR. CAMPBELL: That's a long time ago.

MS. BORDNER: That was clear back in 2007 if my memory serves.

MR. EBLING: That's about right, yeah.

MS. BORDNER: So when they filed this, those Village officials signed that, you know, proposed plat because that's what it was, it was like a plat and just a blueprint of here's what we're going to do. Someone's that's done just like we did on the relocated Hallock Young roadway, they did that. And then they went through and they created the road, the relocated road, in accordance with Village of Lordstown street design standards and so on and so forth. Once you get through that, you then come back to the Village as a developer and you say we would like the Village to dedicate and accept the relocated Hallock Young Road, in this case it would be the extended Woodridge Way Road, here within the Village as a public road for public use and maintenance. And they would come back through Planning Commission and they would have that reviewed and presumably approved. That didn't occur. So if that had all occurred then, you know, the utilities, the -- all of that would have been part of it, curbs, sidewalks, all of that. Just didn't happen.

MR. PETERSON: So what's the proper fix in your mind.

MS. BORDNER: I will tell you that you all need to speak with Attorney Dutton because again, I am explaining to you what our process is. But Attorney Dutton has indicated to me that because they signed the blueprint, the Plat No. 2 and filed it with the County, those signatures and that filing with the County acted as a legal

legally defined roadway. So he and Mayor Hill believe that it is, in fact, a legal roadway.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: What type of roadway?

MS. BORDNER: A roadway within the Village. I mean -- I can't describe to you, you know, we're supposed to have Class A roads.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Right.

MS. BORDNER: Okay. In fact, there was a time when Class B roads were discussed and Planning Commission said no, they need to be Class A. Regardless. I mean I'm getting off topic, but I'm just trying to explain to you that I have not the educational wherewithal to determine what type of a roadway this is, structurally or class-wise. That would be beyond my education and I can't answer that question. But as Mr. Ebling has said, the Mayor and the street commissioner have said, this is a viable roadway.

MR. CAMPBELL: It's just missing everything else.

MS. BORDNER: Correct. And Solicitor Dutton has apparently agreed with them on that so as far as that goes, I guess.

MR. CAMPBELL: I guess it's sound advice. We'll talk with Paul and get the --

MS. BORDNER: I just want you to be aware.

MR. CAMPBELL: And he can explain to us what our options are as a Board to address this issue. So, okay. I guess it's all we have at this point.

MR. PETERSON: We'll get back to you.

MR. CAMPBELL: Is there anything else you need to add to that?

MR. EBLING: No, no. I mean, I just think if you guys want to run it across there, have at it.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: We wouldn't, that's the thing. This says -- the rules say that the developer would have to extend, install the line, the tap, the curb box.

MR. CAMPBELL: It's all on them?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Everything.

MR. CAMPBELL: Is there a developer involved at this stage, or do you just have a contractor building the house on the lot?

MR. EBLING: I just have a contractor building a house on the lot that I purchased.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Well, is the contractor the owner of -- the previous owner, JCap Construction?

MR. EBLING: No. No.

MS. BORDNER: No. But one of the developers was the previous owner of this property.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: That's what I was wondering.

MS. BORDNER: I mean, I think that's what you were trying to get at. One of the developers was the previous owner of this particular parcel.

MR. CAMPBELL: And who's that?

MS. BORDNER: James Apger.

MR. CAMPBELL: Can you spell that?

MS. BORDNER: A-P-G-E-R.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Ordinance 31.99. That's an ordinance that isn't even just our rules, but Section 1105.08 it clearly states it shall be the owner/ developer's responsibility to provide and install the water utility line, water utility tap, and curb box, and

see appendix for technical water line installation. And then when you go into the details it says it has to go completely across the property.

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. Well, that gives me a picture of where we're at with it. Do you guys need anything else before we talk to Paul? I guess if we have any questions we'll be contacting you.

MR. EBLING: I guess my point is I can get a tap? I mean, you know what I'm saying.

MR. CAMPBELL: I understand from your side, yeah. Unfortunately, from our side there's --

MR. EBLING: No, and I understand completely.

MR. CAMPBELL: We want to make sure we understand the implications of where we're at when we make our decisions. All right. Any other public comments?

MR. BIGGS: If I can add to that too. I talked to George. I will be a little bit more involved. E.P.A. approved blow-off at the end. It's a lot more than just putting a line in the ground.

MS. BORDNER: But it should also be noted that our Village codes don't permit dead-end streets either.

MR. CAMPBELL: Unfortunately the street's not our part of it.

MS. BORDNER: I'm just stating, are we referencing it as a dead-end but it shouldn't be. There is still -- and it was my understanding that --

MR. PETERSON: And the Zoning Board enforces that.

MS. BORDNER: Even creating that for Mr. Ebling that they were going to put in some type of a "T" turn-around or something which generally would be a temporary situation. And I'm not sure upon whose property that "T" turn-around would be located. Again that's -- it's not within my purview, but I'm just telling you this is the information that I have.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah. More stuff that complicates it.

MS. BORDNER: It can't just end. We do have streets like that here in the Village, and Attorney Dutton has told me more than once that those should not have happened. So I'm just letting you know that because now simply what we're doing is perpetuating it.

MR. SULLIVAN: At one point we -- I think about '09 I think we did a planned unit development for that property, with interest from there all the way over to 45.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Yes.

MS. BORDNER: Correct. And that was revisited in 2017, 2018. That hopeful Spring Creek planned unit development had come back around, not with the current property owner Mr. Krisher but with Mala Properties -- M-A-L-A properties -- which was owned by a Dr. Awad.

MR. KOGELNIK: I remember that.

MS. BORDNER: And, you know, he then sold the property to Mr. Krisher. But it is prime property to have a PUD, and I can't say for certain that that won't come back again. I mean, it came back twice. It came twice.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah. All right. I guess that's all the information I need for now. Okay. Thanks.

MR. SULLIVAN: We'll do it as soon as we can.

NEW BUSINESS:

1. Salt Springs Road Booster Station Relocation

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. New Business. We have Salt Springs Road Booster Station Relocation. I know, Chris, you sent out an e-mail with some details to that and now that we have, I guess, a little more defined direction how we're gonna need to proceed with some things. Is this something that we should focus on separately from the big picture that we talked about or is it --

MR. KOGELNIK: It could be something that's done separately.

MR. CAMPBELL: I guess I'm trying to figure out our plan of attack, should be with --

MR. KOGELNIK: Well, the Salt Springs Road booster station -- water booster station should be relocated, you know, immediately after the Ultium project is done. Bob is working on a proposal for it, he just didn't have time to get you a written proposal.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah.

MR. KOGELNIK: So that's forthcoming.

MR. CAMPBELL: Is there an estimated value on that?

MR. KOGELNIK: We did initially have an estimated value of around \$850,000, but I don't know where he's at right now with his number. So let's let him finish his proposal and get it over to you.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. All right. If I understand correctly, that's on the Village to cover the cost of that relocation, right; that's not part of Ultium?

MR. KOGELNIK: That is not part of the project for Ultium.

2. CEF-L Valve Replacement Along 24" Water Transmission Line

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Very good. Number 2, CEF-L Valve Replacement Along 24" Water Line Transmission. Let's keep that on the agenda. We postponed the meeting with them until, I guess, we're ready to talk more nuts and bolts with LEC on what they would be able to come to the table with. So that's something for the big picture of maybe we can have them -- even though we're not contractually, have something to hold over them, maybe we can at least talk to them to provide half of that because it's going to be something that will increase their system. So that's something the mayor and I are going to plan to do probably.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: They called for that meeting, didn't they?

MR. CAMPBELL: They sent me -- they replied with an e-mail, said they were going to be in town. And this is when we were going through all the stuff with TEC, they were gonna be in town the next couple of days and there's no way. So I replied back, said appreciate you getting a hold of us, we need a little time, we're getting some things lined up and we'll sit and talk with you about options. He said okay, let me know or I'll let you know when I'm coming back into town. See if we can make some headway on that side of it.

3. City of Niles/Mahoning Valley Sanitary District Water

MR. CAMPBELL: Number 3, City of Niles/Mahoning Valley Sanitary Water District. So with the blessing of Council, I'd like to make a motion that we contact MVSD have a sit-down meeting with

the BPA and Council to discuss options on developing the 24-inch water line into a full system for Lordstown. So do I have a second on that?

MR. PETERSON: I second that.

MR. CAMPBELL: All in favor?

(All respond aye.)

MR. CAMPBELL: All opposed?

(No response.)

MR. CAMPBELL: So I'll work with Council and MVSD to get a meeting scheduled and we can sit and talk about options for that.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Are we or are you permitted with the cease and desist in place?

MR. CAMPBELL: Well, that's why I said I had permission to make the negotiation to get it going. That's why I'm going to work with them, because I do believe it's their place to orchestrate that meeting and get it advertised and we're invited to it is how I understood it. But I was asked, since we were having the meeting today, to at least get the Board's -- as a motion to support it. So that's why I started with the blessing of Council. So yeah, very good. Very good.

4. CT Work Authorization - Project Title: TEC Facility. This work authorization is to account for due diligence services already rendered by Chris Kogelnik, PE of CT Consultants at the request of the Village during the time span of November 30, 2021 (date of last invoice from CT) up to present day May 31, 2022.

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. Number 4, CT Work Authorization Project Title TEC Facility. This work authorization is to account for due diligence services already rendered by Chris Kogelnik, PE of CT Consultants at the request of the Village during the time span of November 30, 2021 (date of last invoice from CT) up to present day May 31, 2022. We have another one following this one. So at this point with the TEC project I believe the funds to pay CT will be reimbursed through that. Are we all on the same page of that understanding or --

MR. KOGELNIK: So say that again one more time please.

MR. CAMPBELL: So I believe since the TEC project has been approved and moving forward that it had reimbursement aspects for engineering and --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Wasn't there an effective --

MR. CAMPBELL: -- legal aspects.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I think there was an effective date in that master funding agreement though, wasn't there?

MR. CAMPBELL: I do. I don't know if it covers there or not.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I don't believe it does. To my recollection, which is very foggy, I would say I believe that master funding agreement went back to maybe April 1 if we're lucky. I can go upstairs and grab it if you'd like.

MR. CAMPBELL: Well, I'll contact Mr. Radtka on that and see what the date is and see if there's something we can do if it doesn't cover that time span. I thought it was covered with that, but it may not be.

MR. SULLIVAN: That article in the paper Sunday saying that they were trying to get a year.

MR. CAMPBELL: I didn't see the article.

MR. SULLIVAN: Front page it had --

MR. PETERSON: I didn't read the paper.

MR. CAMPBELL: I don't get the paper either.

MR. SULLIVAN: Arno said that he was first, Kellie and him wrote a letter disagreeing with the one year extension and --

MR. PETERSON: Our siting board, the Power Siting Board, that's what he's talking about.

MR. CAMPBELL: I didn't get a chance to pull it up and read it yet. I don't know the details of how that affects any of this. Do you have something, Chris?

MR. SULLIVAN: Does that affect anything?

MR. KOGELNIK: No. That is -- like Chris said, that is completely to do with the Ohio Power Siting Board. There was some certification that was publicly objected to in a letter. That has nothing to do with the --

MR. CAMPBELL: Master agreement.

MR. SULLIVAN: So they'll still be putting the shovel in the ground or --

MR. KOGELNIK: I'm not even going to comment on that. But that has nothing to do with CT.

MR. PETERSON: That was just them withdrawing their objection.

MR. KOGELNIK: In front of you for item number four is the time that we have incurred since November 30 of last year up until now. But the work authorization I had sent to you all was probably a few weeks ago and it was tabled.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yes.

MR. KOGELNIK: And is -- there's been other time that has been incurred by me as a result of all of the TEC water agreements, et cetera.

MR. CAMPBELL: Correct.

MR. KOGELNIK: And so I talked with Clerk Blank last evening, and he suggested that I send my invoice directly to the developer.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I believe your work authorization said you e-mailed the bills to the developer.

MR. KOGELNIK: I'm willing to do whatever it takes to --

MR. CAMPBELL: Let me talk with Mr. Radtka and see where the dates are, where things fall. I know he worked closely trying to make sure he could encompass as much of that as he could. I thought it was encompassed.

MR. PETERSON: I actually thought it was too. But I'm like Cindy, I can't remember the dates now.

MR. CAMPBELL: I'll take it upon that to see where we're at with it. I guess worst case we pay from the Village and we get what we can from the project. If it's already said and done we can't change it or if the project itself won't pay for that, I don't know.

MR. KOGELNIK: It's all documented in the e-mail I sent to you and Bill Blank. I even spent an hour more on it. That's up to date, that e-mail.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. And that e-mail, do I have a copy of that?

MR. KOGELNIK: You don't have one, it was just to Cindy

and to Bill.

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. Would you mind forwarding that with me. If that was the most up-to-date, I would like to start with that and go to Mr. Radtka and see where we're at with that.

MR. KOGELNIK: I can just forward it to you.

5. CT Work Authorization - Project Title: Water Rate Study for the Village of Lordstown. Additional work performed by CT to analyze the impact of the rate study from TEC

MR. CAMPBELL: Next one same boat, CT Work Authorization. Same thing, it's in the same boat. Research and check that one out.

MR. KOGELNIK: Number five references a water rate study. We've submitted a work authorization -- okay, hold on a second. So number five references a water rate study but also states CT to analyze the impact on the rate study from TEC. Oh, this is the overage from that impact from TEC, okay. Yes, we did submit a work authorization for number five.

MR. CAMPBELL: And that is that in that e-mail that you're sending to Cindy?

MR. KOGELNIK: No, that's separate.

MR. CAMPBELL: That is separate.

MR. KOGELNIK: Wrong Cindy, it was around \$2,000.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I was gonna say like \$1,700.

MR. KOGELNIK: Yeah, we talked about this one in a prior BPA meeting. So that is for that smaller amount there.

MR. CAMPBELL: Well then, let me read it because it's not on the record. Number 5, CT Work Authorization - Project title: Water Rate Study for the Village of Lordstown. Additional work performed by CT to analyze the impact on the rate study from TEC. So at this point I'm okay with moving forward with the BPA paying.

MR. PETERSON: That's fine with me.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: To -- are you okay with the BPA paying it from your funds or paying it from the master funding agreement.

MR. PETERSON: If the master funding agreement pays for it.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: To be reimbursed by TEC.

MR. CAMPBELL: If it can be reimbursed through that, great. If not, I still think the BPA should pay it. That's where I sit with it.

MR. PETERSON: Yeah, that's fine. But I'd rather submit it to TEC.

MR. CAMPBELL: Oh, yeah. I guess that's what you're saying we have to --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I want to understand how to proceed. If it's not covered by TEC, then it's coming out of the water fund?

MR. CAMPBELL: Yes.

MR. PETERSON: Correct.

MR. CAMPBELL: That's what I'm okay with. Then I'll make a motion for payment for this to be reimbursed by the TEC project, and if not reimbursable it would come from the BPA funds. Is that stated correctly? Do I have a second?

MR. PETERSON: I'll second that.

MR. CAMPBELL: All in favor?

(All respond aye.)

MR. CAMPBELL: All opposed?

(No response.)

MR. CAMPBELL: I guess that's one whittled down.

6. CT Work Authorization - Project Title: Village of Lordstown Sanitary Sewer Rate Study for the Village's East Side Sanitary Sewer

MR. CAMPBELL: Number 6, CT Work Authorization - Project Title: Village of Lordstown Sanitary Sewer Rate Study for the East Side Sanitary Sewer. This is outside the TEC project, correct? So this is on -- is this the one that we did -- we broke up chunks between Council and us?

MR. KOGELNIK: I believe so, yes. And it is only for sewer.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah. But I know we talked about --

MR. PETERSON: We wanted something added to those two, correct?

MR. KOGELNIK: Yeah. So one thing that the Village BPA could do is in conjunction with number six you could include impact fees for sewers and analyze that. For right now I'd probably keep it simple for what tonight's agenda is and just submit and get the work authorization approved for that study. And then if we have to include an impact fee analysis for that. we can tell you how much more that would be.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Now the part for Council, has it been approved yet or is it still hanging in limbo also?

MR. KOGELNIK: I think it was hanging.

MR. PETERSON: I think we were first and then --

MR. KOGELNIK: You guys are always first and you recommend to Council.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Not for sewer rates though.

MR. CAMPBELL: As far as the fun aspect. Like we did our water rate study and we covered part, they covered part. We're going to do a similar thing. At this point neither matter has come to the table to pay for anything.

MR. KOGELNIK: That's correct.

MR. CAMPBELL: I'll make a motion to support that work authorization for the BPA's portion of it --

MR. KOGELNIK: Yeah.

MR. CAMPBELL: -- I guess is the best way to phrase it. Do I have a second?

MR. PETERSON: I'll second.

MR. CAMPBELL: All in favor?

(All respond aye.)

MR. CAMPBELL: All opposed?

(No response.)

MR. CAMPBELL: Are there any questions on that for you, Cindy?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: No.

7. A Resolution supplementing Resolution No. 2020-16 recommending that the Village of Lordstown enter into a First Addendum to agreement with the Board of Commissioners of Trumbull County, Ohio, for sewer services for the Ultium Cells, LLC Battery Production Plant

MR. CAMPBELL: Number 7, a Resolution supplementing

Resolution No. 2020-16 recommending that the Village of Lordstown enter into a first addendum to agreement with the Board of Commissioners of Trumbull County, Ohio, for sewer services for the Ultium Cells, LLC battery production plant. I'll have to abstain from any of this, I'm gonna turn it over to the parties to be discussed. This is the resolution stuff that you had Paul draw up, right?

MR. PETERSON: Yeah, it's the stuff you had Paul draw up. I was fine with it. Are you okay with it?

MR. SULLIVAN: Yeah. It was agreed to.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Well --

MR. PETERSON: Was there any changes?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Well, Paul drafted the -- it's not even a -- there is a resolution, but it's a first addendum to the contract which Trumbull County has to sign and Ultium has to sign for on the way we bill. So am I okay with it? Only if he says that's what has to happen. But that's what he prepared.

MR. CAMPBELL: At this point I shouldn't take part if the other parties haven't signed it.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Correct. Because I don't know where it needs to start because it is sewer billing.

MR. PETERSON: Is that something that has to be passed tonight?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: They want -- I would -- I can't answer that.

MR. PETERSON: Because I agree with you, why do we have to have all the parties. Because all the parties are involved, is that why they all have to sign?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: You'd have to ask Mr. Dutton.

MR. PETERSON: Yeah.

MR. SULLIVAN: Well, why don't we run it by Paul.

MR. PETERSON: Yeah, we can talk to Paul.

MR. CAMPBELL: Don't look at me.

MR. PETERSON: Yeah, let's talk to Paul.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Who's gonna follow-up with Paul.

MR. PETERSON: I will. I'll did it. Do you want me to do it Mike or --

MR. SULLIVAN: That's fine.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Very good.

8. A Resolution supplementing Resolution No. 2022-5 recommending that the Village of Lordstown enter into a First Addendum to Water Service Agreement with Clean Energy Future - Trumbull, LLC

MR. CAMPBELL: Number 8, a Resolution supplementing Resolution No. 2022-5 recommending that the Village of Lordstown enter into a first addendum to water service agreement with Clean Energy Future - Trumbull, LLC. I'm just trying to understand what this one is pertaining to.

MR. PETERSON: This was the indemnification.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: It's the indemnification.

MR. CAMPBELL: Oh, oh, now I understand what that is.

MR. PETERSON: I'll make a motion.

MR. SULLIVAN: I'll second.

MR. CAMPBELL: All in favor?

(All respond aye.)

MR. CAMPBELL: All opposed?

(No response.)

MR. CAMPBELL: So do we have a Resolution to sign for that?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: We do.

MR. CAMPBELL: Let's not miss that. Sometimes we get carried away and forget to sign it.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I apologize but I did not look for the resolution number, so I will assign the next number accordingly.

MR. CAMPBELL: That's fine. First page done.

OLD BUSINESS:

1. City of Warren - Bulk Water (Warren Water)

MR. CAMPBELL: Old Business, City of Warren Bulk Water (Warren Water Agreement). I don't believe there's anything left on that at this point. I can check with Mr. Radtka, I think it went through Council and is all signed and finished up. But I'll check with Mr. Radtka on that and see if there's anything left that --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Would you like it struck from the agenda unless you find otherwise?

MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah, take it off. And then if I find there's something that we need to address, I'll send it back out so we have to put it on there.

2. Ultium Sewer Connection

MR. CAMPBELL: Number 2, Ultium Sewer Connection. I think that was part of the legislation that we had covering on that, or is there other things?

MR. KOGELNIK: There was some discussion at the prior two meetings actually about this. We just have not had time to get to them. I was gonna communicate with Darren about --

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. So there's still stuff to be addressed with it.

MR. KOGELNIK: Yeah.

MR. CAMPBELL: Then we leave it on.

3. Imperial Sewer Agreement

MR. CAMPBELL: Number 3, Imperial Sewer Agreement. I need to -- we'll have to schedule a meeting.

4. Rate Study - Water

MR. CAMPBELL: Number 4, Rate Study for water. Do we need to do anything at this point with that?

MR. KOGELNIK: Not -- so we included inside of the rate study both scenarios. One thing that the Village might want to consider, the Village BPA, is now that you know you're gonna have Warren as a provider to TEC figures --

MR. CAMPBELL: And the figures that go with it.

MR. KOGELNIK: You know where you land. So you might want to consider them. But your picture gets defined more and more as time goes by. You don't know if MVSD is gonna build that line coming in and then absorb the O&M on your existing line.

MR. CAMPBELL: I think at this point we wait.

MR. PETERSON: I was gonna say I'm thinking we wait with

Ultium.

MR. CAMPBELL: Keep it on the agenda before we release you to start cranking numbers.

MR. KOGELNIK: Bob, I'm 99 percent sure, would agree that any time you have a rate study like this you should always be looking at it each and every year to see what impacts you have might have, whether they're, you know, significant or not, and then adjust your rates accordingly. That's why it's important. And now that you've got a model for all of that, you can do that.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah, we do have some -- it's gonna be a couple years before we start seeing that revenue anyway, so -- and seeing what other stuff develops. I just say we keep it on the radar.

MR. KOGELNIK: Right. You might have that influx of revenue in Warren and, you know, that goes in to populate how you're gonna be using that for some of your expenses hopefully.

5. Ultium

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. Number 5, we just have Ultium listed here I guess as a catch-up to keep in touch with things. Is there any Ultium updates?

MR. KOGELNIK: Well the water booster station was tested, correct Darren?

MR. BIGGS: Twice now.

MR. KOGELNIK: Twice. And the water tower continues to go up, so -- and I think there was a valve that was worked on in conjunction with the water booster station start-up.

MR. BIGGS: Twice.

MR. CAMPBELL: So are they good now? I hear twice, it sounds like there was a problem.

MR. BIGGS: It did. I couldn't allow it to run. We have had an issue with draining our tanks, we had a problem with low pressure. A bunch of little issues. We had a water hammer. I mean, it just -- EFI, the manufacturer, they're up there today and they'll be up there tomorrow, hopefully fixing -- we had to add a valve actuator in there because basically it would want to flow through there and create problems. So we put that in there and it closed too fast and now we got a hammer. It would drain the tank. So we would open up a line to get more in the tank, it would take away from Ultium. There's been a whole bunch of stuff. We think we have it addressed. He's working on it today, finish up tomorrow, and hopefully we'll be able to get another test in tomorrow to see if we can put that booster station in service and take the Salt Springs out. So we'll see.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay.

MR. PETERSON: What's the expected date the tank will be done, do you guys even have that?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: November of '23.

MR. BIGGS: Yeah, that's next year. Yeah. I know it was next year though, Chris.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: There's been no progress change in the reports that I've seen. That's still what I'm reporting to the state every month.

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. Any other discussion on Ultium?

6. Utility Department Building

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. Number 6, Utility Department Building. Any other developments, changes? Keep it on the radar?

MR. KOGELNIK: Keep it on the radar.

MR. CAMPBELL: Absolutely.

7. I&I

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. Number 7, I&I.

MR. KOGELNIK: I have nothing new on that.

MR. CAMPBELL: Keep it on the radar.

MR. SULLIVAN: I thought you said that you did.

MR. KOGELNIK: We were looking at costs for the meters. We were talking with Darren and Vinny about the use of theirs.

MR. SULLIVAN: You were talking about should we buy them or rent them.

MR. KOGELNIK: Yes. And so I believe the Village was interested in just renting them after I had made that recommendation. Is that correct, Darren.

MR. BIGGS: That sounds right.

MR. KOGELNIK: Yeah. I -- based on the frequency that you would use --

MR. PETERSON: Yeah, there wasn't enough times.

MR. KOGELNIK: I would not recommend you purchased them because if you purchase them you're gonna have maintenance on these things when you're not using them. And I've got a lot of clients right now that have meters that they've purchased that they're either selling them or they're just letting them go to waste. And that's a waste of money because these things are like \$8,000 apiece to purchase new. And I gotta believe that now that inflation is out there --

MR. SULLIVAN: Do we need to make a motion to go ahead and rent them so you can continue with the I&I study?

MR. KOGELNIK: Yes. We would have to approve a rental price, and I think the rental price was like around \$3,000.00 a month per meter.

MR. SULLIVAN: And how long would we need the --

MR. KOGELNIK: We were gonna need them for like a couple months. So I will get you a formal quote and send that to the Board members in an e-mail.

8. Sanitary Sewer Rate Review

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Number 8, Sanitary Sewer Rate Review. Any topic of discussion with that at this point?

MR. SULLIVAN: With the Ultium going in the Warren, TEC going into Mud Creek, do you have enough flow to keep them --

MR. BIGGS: We'll have enough flow depending on what LEC does.

MR. CAMPBELL: I was gonna say it depends on LEC.

MR. BIGGS: That's gonna be the issue. If they start dumping in the creek or giving it to Warren, whatever happens after that, that's what will create problems.

MR. PETERSON: Have we heard anything?

MR. KOGELNIK: Yes. So Erm Gomes from Ohio E.P.A. called

me and was asking me more questions about LEC and whether or not the Village would realize the additional capacity if LEC were to, you know, vacate that sewer; and I said yeah, we would. And we would want free and clear access to that new connector sewer. And I think that based on our prior discussions a couple years ago -- Chris, you might not have seen those -- but I drew some schematics about how the Village could access that connector sewer and realize that capacity. So LEC, I think, has a permitted capacity of up to 1.3 MGD through that connector sewer. That's significant. And by permit the Village still has around 250,000 gallons per day of reserve capacity in the east side system. So you know, your reserve capacity, if LEC were to vacate and not have any waste water going to the east side, is around 1.6 MGD, which is very significant for development.

MR. CAMPBELL: I guess part of the problem is we don't know yet because --

MR. KOGELNIK: You don't.

MR. PETERSON: Yeah, until they make an official decision.

MR. KOGELNIK: Right. And then you don't know if LEC is going to honor what our recommendation was if they were gonna pull out, which is a minimum of 150,000 gallons per day.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: And didn't they want to maintain the right to have full --

MR. CAMPBELL: That's what I heard.

MR. PETERSON: Want to maintain the capacity is what I heard.

MR. CAMPBELL: That's a big problem.

MR. PETERSON: It doesn't help us.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: It doesn't give us the 250 if we're still contractually to provide that.

MR. KOGELNIK: Ohio E.P.A. does not typically get involved with private agreements. They are listening to your issues and concerns, but I'm not sure what they will do for you. But from a standpoint of reserve capacity, I encourage the Village to stand firm because we need that. Otherwise, I don't know how you're going to get more capacity for conveyance to send that to Warren, and you need that if you're gonna be bringing in more development.

MR. CAMPBELL: Understood. Yeah.

MR. KOGELNIK: So you know, some of that does not deal directly with sanitary sewer rate review, but it's where we're going.

MR. CAMPBELL: It's in the picture. Yeah.

PUBLIC COMMENTS:

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. Any further Public Comments? I don't think so, there's no more public.

REPORTS:

1. Solicitor's Report

MR. CAMPBELL: Reports. Solicitor's Report. I don't believe there's anything. No.

2. Engineer's Report

MR. CAMPBELL: Engineer's Report. Chris, anything else

you'd like to add.

MR. KOGELNIK: The only thing I didn't see on here, we did submit that proposal for the water line along Hallock Young between 45 and Ellsworth-Bailey, didn't we?

MR. CAMPBELL: Yes. I remember seeing it. I remember pulling it up and looking at the drawings.

MR. KOGELNIK: It's been a few weeks, hellish weeks. So we did submit that. If the Board would want to consider that --

MR. CAMPBELL: Looks like a \$2 million project, something like that.

MR. KOGELNIK: Roughly total project cost.

MR. PETERSON: I remember seeing it now.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: So you would want that under New Business going forward?

MR. CAMPBELL: Yes.

MR. KOGELNIK: Yeah.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I'm sorry Chris, I totally --

MR. KOGELNIK: That's okay. I probably should have called you when I saw the agenda, but I didn't know if it was gonna be --

MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah, yeah, I understand. It happens, believe me, with everything that was going on. So at this point, to get that up to speed we would need to support the project, at least get the engineering and stuff ready to submit for any kind of funds or things like that, correct?

MR. KOGELNIK: That's what I had explained at the last meeting. If your interest is to pursue ARC funding, ARC funding requires that you have the planning already done. They want shovel readiness.

MR. BIGGS: With supporting mentioned too. It was mentioned maybe you guys or somebody go to the businesses that would be affected by it, help out, get letters for support to try to add towards the funding, make it look for appealing. He asked if that could happen.

MR. KOGELNIK: If I could encourage the Board to appoint somebody to make those inquiries with those, you know, stakeholders, that would be really huge. So --

MR. CAMPBELL: You would be going to the businesses along there and asking them would you tie in, or is it something we're to force them to --

MR. BIGGS: Basically a letter saying yes, this could help us out also, this is a good thing that Lordstown's doing.

MR. CAMPBELL: Oh, for the ARC loan.

MR. BIGGS: The funding, correct.

MR. KOGELNIK: So picture this. ARC is all about jobs retention and new jobs. So if, for example, Foxconn were to become a customer of the Village directly by way of that water line, yes Foxconn states that, you know, we would -- this water line will help support our 500 or so employees or it could help us to --

MR. CAMPBELL: Grow.

MR. KOGELNIK: Grow our business to whatever. That's the kind of letter of support that ARC would be asking about.

MR. CAMPBELL: It would be the number one to put on the list.

MR. KOGELNIK: Darren will have a letter too stating that the water line helps to resolve two dead-end issues, et cetera. So you have to build your case and your project, and that's what the application needs to speak to. So typically the municipalities seek out those letters of support typically. That's the mayor that usually does that. So one way, shape, or form that needs --

MR. CAMPBELL: Well I know, Mike, you have connections with the people at Foxconn already. Would you mind -- because that would be a huge one to have with them because that's gonna be one of the major customers benefiting from that.

MR. KOGELNIK: The regional chamber which is promoting this project, on the western side of Foxconn property right there at the turnpike there's some undeveloped property. I imagine that the regional chamber --

MR. CAMPBELL: So the chamber could provide a letter for what they project for growth.

MR. KOGELNIK: Eastgate, they help to bring in new development to the Village. I would ask Eastgate if they would pledge some support.

MR. SULLIVAN: U.A.W. is in there now trying to organ I asked the organize or what are they doing.

MR. KOGELNIK: See, that's the other thing with regards to the water system is your knowledge about what these customers need over a year, over five years, over ten years is really important. So if you could talk with them about what their upcoming needs are, what their concerns might be, you can try to minimize the element of surprise and help them to support their growth. Like for example, does Foxconn have the same paint needs that the former General Motors plant needed. I think the paint process at General Motors was a huge element of the water demand, wasn't it?

MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah.

MR. SULLIVAN: Well, yeah. And they kept PPG and it wasn't, hell what, five, six years ago that they put in that paint booth, \$55 million.

MR. KOGELNIK: Okay. So you understand what I'm saying though.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah, yeah. All right. So back to what we need for the project moving forward. If the Board approved the engineering costs for the project, then you guys could start developing the engineering aspect of it?

MR. KOGELNIK: Right.

MR. CAMPBELL: And probably the sooner the better on this.

MR. KOGELNIK: And your permitting would be done through the Ohio E.P.A., so the permitting would be in hand. Who knows, by that time you do realize from -- revenue from the Warren water line. I doubt it, but any little bit helps. You're gonna have a huge local share on this if you're going to ARC for \$500,000. The maximum you can request I think from ARC was \$500,000, so you would be asking for \$1.5 million. Now OPWC, for example, you can't really go after OPWC for new water lines; but OPWC does reserve 20 percent of their allocation for new systems. You might be able to ask OPWC for a small amount. But if you ask and build your funding, your local share becomes lower. Do you want -- are you interested in doing that? I'd

have to imagine the answer is yes.

MR. PETERSON: Yeah, absolutely.

MR. KOGELNIK: So, you know, you have to --

MR. CAMPBELL: I know Darren sees a huge benefit out of it for looping the system and potential growth. So I do have an -- if not this meeting, can you provide us with the engineering costs that we would need to support for that? I assume that's one of the main aspects that we can jump on to get this thing going, right?

MR. KOGELNIK: Yeah.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I think he said that's what you sent was the proposal, wasn't it?

MR. KOGELNIK: Yes, that was in the letter proposal we sent.

MR. CAMPBELL: It was in there for the engineering costs for what we have come up with. I guess we'll put it under New Business for the next meeting. Can we wait that long?

MR. PETERSON: Can it wait?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: This would be for 2023.

MR. KOGELNIK: This is a significant proposal. I think you should read it and make a motion on it. I think it can wait another month.

MR. CAMPBELL: I'm sorry, anything else? Any questions for the engineer?

MR. KOGELNIK: No.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Chris, if you don't mind, you got a phone call from Darren -- help me out.

MR. BIGGS: Yes, you did.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: In regards to the water line Phase 2 project. I'm trying to think of the gentleman's name, and it's posted on my desk. But he asked if we were going to -- if we wanted to remain in --

MR. KOGELNIK: Ohio E.P.A.?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I don't think it was the Ohio E.P.A.

MR. KOGELNIK: Was it regarding a nomination for --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Yeah.

MR. KOGELNIK: So Darren and I talked. That's probably in regards to your nomination that we prepared for you that states that you have this project out there and you were possibly gonna seek financial assistance via loan through O.E.P.A. WRS LA program -- W-R-S-L-A -- Water Resolving State Loan Account I believe that is. So you get to renew that every year if you don't implement that funding or execute an agreement. And that, in essence, holds that project as a potential project that Ohio E.P.A. wants to fund. You can understand why Ohio E.P.A. sees that on a list and says hey, I gotta call these people and find out if they're gonna move forward with this or not because if they do, that's a significant amount of money for them to tie up.

MR. PETERSON: It ties up the funding.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Well, when the gentleman called that's what he wanted to know, if we wanted to keep that on the list. It does not commit the project or us to the money but to keep it on the list, and I said yes --

MR. KOGELNIK: Yes.

MR. PETERSON: Correct.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: -- that is still a future plan of the department.

MR. KOGELNIK: Yes, you do. I'd recommend --

MR. CAMPBELL: You did good. All right.

MR. KOGELNIK: So the answer to that is yes. And we even talked about even the worst most extreme case of that, and that is that those nominations are transferable. That can be transferred to MVSD if needed.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: But that's a loan.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah, still --

MR. PETERSON: Yeah, it's a loan.

MR. CAMPBELL: It may be needed.

3. Utility Committee Report

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. If nothing else, Utility Committee Report. We attended their meeting.

MR. PETERSON: He was here, but I think he walked out with Kellie.

MR. CAMPBELL: I don't believe there's anything past that.

MR. PETERSON: I don't think so.

4. Clerk's Report

MR. CAMPBELL: Clerk's report, Cindy.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: No report.

MR. CAMPBELL: Any questions for our clerk? Very good.

5. Superintendent's Report

MR. CAMPBELL: All right, Darren, Superintendent's Report. What have you got, sir?

MR. BIGGS: Two more quick things. One is we've gotta start getting serious about more employees. We're getting too busy, it's too hard to keep up. Everything else has got me where I used to be able to go out and help out and whatever else. My hands are getting tied.

MR. CAMPBELL: Do we have another position we haven't filled yet in our --

MR. BIGGS: No. I asked for some last year, and it never went forward. I think the only thing you're thinking of is the permanent part-time, 28 hours. That's -- we need to start thinking full-time people.

MR. CAMPBELL: I'm just saying that, you know, but if we had a spot we'd look at, at least get you filled and get somebody in there.

MR. BIGGS: There's -- I need someone that can actually learn this and be there 40 hours.

MR. CAMPBELL: I'm just saying if we've got a spot that we can put somebody in, maybe that's the person, we get the legit spot to open up they're ready to move into. It takes time to get through all the stuff to get through to get somebody added.

MR. PETERSON: How many people, Darren?

MR. BIGGS: I would like three.

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. So we do have that permanent

part-time.

MR. PETERSON: Do we have a permanent part-time position open currently?

MR. BIGGS: That's correct.

MR. PETERSON: And we haven't moved on filling it or you haven't had anybody fill it?

MR. BIGGS: Both.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: You fill it and they quit because they go for full-time.

MR. PETERSON: They go to a bigger department.

MR. CAMPBELL: It's not much to entice anybody, that's the problem.

MR. BIGGS: The pay is okay, but still you're 28 hours, no benefits, you can't -- it's hard to keep somebody. It's a steppingstone for somebody is what it is.

MR. PETERSON: Would you be okay with moving that to a full-time position and eliminating that position, or do you want to keep that?

MR. BIGGS: Yes. And I have already mentioned that I have had -- I would be okay with getting rid of that.

MR. CAMPBELL: At least get one added quickly --

MR. PETERSON: Yeah.

MR. CAMPBELL: -- you know, but --

MR. BIGGS: However. We just need to really start --

MR. CAMPBELL: -- moving on that one.

MR. BIGGS: Yes. And the only other thing, I got first round of lead copper testing is complete and I'll be starting the other one real soon.

MR. CAMPBELL: Is that like the lead testing or just for copper, same principals?

MR. BIGGS: It's lead and copper. I wrote a letter to the E.P.A. here, what was it 2019 maybe. Actually I don't remember what it was. But anyway, putting me on a triennial so I can do half the sites every three years exactly. Well, now the E.P.A. is having me do twice the amount every six months.

MR. SULLIVAN: Oh, jeez.

MR. PETERSON: Just for the first year?

MR. BIGGS: It goes back to the first one, we need more employees.

MR. PETERSON: Is it because MVSD did something with treatment so it reset all your monitoring schedule?

MR. BIGGS: Other places they are actually hiring out so they can get that done for the E.P.A. It's not easy, it takes a lot of time.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. All right. What else did you have, Mr. Darren?

MR. BIGGS: That was it.

MR. CAMPBELL: Any questions for our Superintendent. All right, very good. Thank you.

MEMBER COMMENTS:

MR. CAMPBELL: Any Member Comments? I assume no member comments.

QUARTERLY APPROVAL OF BILLING ADJUSTMENTS:

MR. CAMPBELL: Quarterly Billing Adjustments. We do have those for today, right? July. Yeah, this is July. So I make a motion to approve the quarterly billing adjustments.

MR. SULLIVAN: So moved.

MR. CAMPBELL: All in favor?

(All respond aye.)

MR. CAMPBELL: All opposed?

(No response.)

ADJOURNMENT:

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. I'll take a motion for adjournment.

MR. SULLIVAN: So moved.

MR. CAMPBELL: I'll second. All in favor?

(All respond aye.)

MR. CAMPBELL: All opposed?

(No response.)

(Meeting ends at 5:00 p.m.)

C E R T I F I C A T E

STATE OF OHIO)

TRUMBULL COUNTY) SS.

I, Deborah I. Lavelle, a Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio, duly commissioned and qualified, do hereby certify that the foregoing meeting before the Board of Public Affairs was written by me in the presence of the Members and transcribed by me using computer-aided transcription according to the stenotype notes taken at the time the said meeting took place.

I do further certify that I am not a relative, counsel or attorney of any Member, or otherwise interested in the event of this action.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal of office at Niles, Ohio on this 11th day of August, 2022.

DEBORAH I. LAVELLE, Notary Public
My Commission expires 4/15/2027

Submitted:

Approved by:

Cinthia Slusarczyk, Clerk

Kevin Campbell, President