RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

MEETING OF THE LORDSTOWN VILLAGE BOARD OF PUBLIC AFFAIRS 1455 Salt Springs Road, Lordstown, Ohio

May 17, 2022

4:00 p.m. to 4:45 p.m.

IN ATTENDANCE: Mr. Kevin Campbell, President

Mr. Christopher Peterson, Vice-President

Mr. Michael Sullivan, Board Member Mr. Darren Biggs, Supt. of Utilities

Ms. Cinthia Slusarczyk, Clerk

Mr. Christopher Kogelnik, Engineer

ALSO PRESENT: Mr. Don Reider, Utilities Committee

Ms. Kellie Bordner, Planning/Zoning Adm.

SPEAKERS: Mr. Mike McNinch, MVSD representative

Mr. Marty Loney, Western Reserve

Building Trades

Mr. Tony Deley, Ironworkers Local 207

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS taken before me, DEBORAH LAVELLE, RPR, a court reporter and Notary Public within and for the State of Ohio on this 17th of May, 2022.

MR. CAMPBELL: I'm gonna call the meeting to order. Please stand with me for the Lord's Prayer and Pleage of Allegiance.

ROLL CALL:

MR. CAMPBELL: Cindy, roll call please.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Kevin Campbell.

MR. CAMPBELL: Here.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Michael Sullivan.

MR. SULLIVAN: Here.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Christopher Peterson.

MR. PETERSON: Here.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Darren Biggs.

MR. BIGGS: Here.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Cinthia Slusarczyk, present. Chris

Kogelnik.

MR. KOGELNIK: Present.

APPROVAL AND CORRECTION OF MINUTES:

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. Very good. We have one set of minutes on our agenda for approval for any corrections from April 19, 2022.

MR. SULLIVAN: I make a motion to approve the minutes.

MR. CAMPBELL: I'll second the motion. All in favor?

(All respond aye.)

MR. CAMPBELL: All opposed?

(No response.)

MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you.

CORRESPONDENCE:

MR. CAMPBELL: Any correspondence, Cindy?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: No.

PUBLIC COMMENTS:

MR. CAMPBELL: Public Comments section. We have a public comments section. We ask that you stand up, state your name and address, and keep it to five minutes so we can get through our meeting. So if there's any public comments at this point.

MR. LONEY: Marty Loney. 493 Bev Road, Boardman, Ohio. I represent over 9,000 Western Reserve Building Trades members and we carry about 26 different locals in our Western Reserve Building Trades. One of the parts that are before you here today is water, of course for the second power plant coming. We stand before you here that we can -- we want to go on record saying that there's a deal in place here for Warren to solicit this water through the Village. It means quite a few jobs for the rest of us. We're talking over two-million-man hours. The Village of Lordstown has reaped the benefits of the income tax on the first one. We sought two-and-a-half million-man hours on that one. What we would like to see is to be able to put our people to work. When we start talking about temporary jobs and full-time jobs on what we do as construction workers, all of our jobs are temporary jobs. Maybe that doesn't create a whole bunch of permanent full-time jobs after the fact. But the point of the matter is that we'll put over 1,200 folks to work and we'll bring that income tax here, back to the Village of Lordstown. What we've seen in the past, and it happened right across the street, is there's companies that will come in -there was tax breaks given from the Trumbull County to a company right across the street. They brought in workers from out-of-state, that money went back with them. You collected that 1 percent. But the folks that live in this community and the folks that own small businesses, they're the ones that we're frequenting when we're here. So when we sit and talk about, you know, future expansion, that there certainly is a place for that because there's certainly a lot more coming when you start talking about the Bartlett Maritime place and things that may come to fruition. I can understand the part with MVSD, that makes sense for the future. But right now we have an opportunity before us that we have to make a decision on to put some folks to work. What we have right now is choices and opportunities. Some of the choices that are gonna be made today are gonna be opportunities for the rest of the building trades. So I'd like to stand in support for bringing that water and get this job going so we get Mr. Siderewicz going and build that plant.

MR. CAMPBELL: I appreciate your time and appreciate you coming. Any other public comments at this point?

NEW BUSINESS:

1. Salt Springs Road Booster Station Relocation

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. We'll move on to New Business items. Number 1, Salt Springs Road Booster Station Relocation. So I know we had that -- is that something Chris can speak to where we're at and what we need?

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ KOGELNIK: We're in the process right now of concepting and cost estimating that one so that we can align our

services and help the Village seek funding for the project.

 $\mbox{MR. CAMPBELL:}$ Very good. Darren, do you have any questions at this point with it?

MR. BIGGS: I don't.

MR. CAMPBELL: Any questions from the Board?

MR. PETERSON: No.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$ CAMPBELL: All right. We see that you progressed. We appreciate your effort in that.

2. CEF-L Valve Replacement Along 24" Water Transmission Line

MR. CAMPBELL: Number 2, CEF-L Valve Replacement Along the 24" Water Transmission Line. I believe there was a letter sent back -- I guess Cindy has a copy of the letter -- that there's some -- they're responsible from -- CEF-L is. At this point, some of the things in the agreement that they're disputing don't fall in line with what we're seeing. So we'll be talking with our Solicitor and seeing where he wants to take it from this point. So I guess that's where it's at. We don't have that project to move forward yet until they are willing to cover their aspect of that that we expected. So any questions on that? No?

3. Li-Cycle PPE

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. Number 3, Li-Cycle PPE. What do we need to do with that?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: We were anticipating the PPE to be submitted. There's a project meeting tomorrow. However, we did not receive the PPE for the project. I received some other site plan review stuff but was not able to review it today either. But as of right now, we have not received the PPE form from them. So perhaps next month.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ CAMPBELL: All right. We'll put it on for next month. Thank you.

4. City of Niles/Mahoning Valley Sanitary District Water

MR. CAMPBELL: Number 4, City of Niles/Mahoning Valley Sanitary District Water. Is this in regards to the TEC aspect, or where are we -- what is the reason why this is on for that aspect of it?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Mahoning Valley Sanitary District called and asked to be added to the agenda.

MR. CAMPBELL: Now I understand.

MR. McNINCH: Yes, thank you. Mike McNinch, Chief of Mahoning Valley Sanitary District. Thank you for the time to speak. I'd like to point out several things. I spoke at the Lordstown Council meeting two weeks ago, presented my proposal, Niles' proposal, how to expedite the TEC project. I'd like to thank you for pointing out everybody in the region wants this to happen immediately. MVSD is in the best position to make that happen as soon as possible. That's one thing I want to make sure that everybody understands. We have an existing 24-inch line out here that can handle the capacity immediately. Reviewing your agenda, you have an existing agreement with Lordstown Energy; and for whatever reason, they are choosing to not honor it is that what I just heard.

MR. CAMPBELL: There was part of that agreement for a 24" valve replacement in the contract, and there's a date distribution discrepancy between when we execute and when we want to do it. So that's what that's referring to.

MR. McNINCH: Okay. My understanding was that was pretty clear-cut, that as soon as their production was online that that was -- those improvements were made or were necessary to make sure that that line was functional to keep them in water.

MR. CAMPBELL: For longevity, correct.

MR. McNINCH: So I don't even know why they would be fighting or arguing that because it's in their own benefit.

MR. CAMPBELL: We'll have to let the Solicitor take upon that task. We've made a request.

MR. McNINCH: And it is the intro -- March 29 the Village actually submitted a letter to the Public Utilities Commission. Have you all seen this letter before?

MR. CAMPBELL: Uh-huh.

MR. McNINCH: Key points. The developer presented no site plan review to the Village. All the development is happening external to the Village's review. The developer's refusing to align with the Village's master water plan which ties back into the improvements made to the existing line. The Village has been -these are direct quotes -- the Village has been directly advised by the developer for the past five years that construction of this project was to begin at various past dates. As of yet there's no shovel in the ground, nothing has been done. Again the developer is told that he must align with his -- the water needs with the completed Master Water Plan. And to close, Bill Siderewicz was sent an invoice on November 30; and as of March 29 there was no response call or payment made. Has that ever even been followed up with? The next item is going all the way back to September of 2019. City of Warren has passed several pieces of legislation. Are you all familiar with those?

MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah.

MR. McNINCH: Okay. The key point of that is he has entered into an agreement with the City of Warren circumventing the BPA, circumventing Lordstown Council. He has agreed to provide a new pump station interconnection, a new water line, and other related improvements needed to the Warren water plant. The Warren water plant cannot even produce enough water right now is my understanding. Are you familiar with that?

MR. CAMPBELL: At the present state I believe that is a true statement, yes. I believe part of their plan is to improve their system.

MR. McNINCH: Another key item, TEC has applied for their preliminary MPDES permit. This is so that they can discharge cooling water into Mud Creek. Is everyone familiar with that?

MR. CAMPBELL: Yes.

MR. McNINCH: This again was done to circumvent the sanitary sewer system, I believe, so that they could get around either paying the sanitary sewer fees to Lordstown, the permitting fee --

 $\mbox{MR. CAMPBELL:}\ \mbox{\footnote{I}}$ think part of it also was capacity from what I was explained.

MR. McNINCH: Okay. To be clear, the quality of Warren water is not an issue. Their quality is -- I want to say is comparable to the MVSD quality. The MPDES permit does not stipulate what that water source is, it only stipulates what the discharge into the creek must be, temperature, chemical content. We believe both of those, MVSD and Warren, are comparable. So again, Warren's water quality is not the issue. It is my understanding that the Warren water rate is higher than the Niles water rate, what is being proposed. I am not sure if that is finalized. I am not sure if it is the agreement. And it is a public document, we are requesting that it be provided.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MR}}.$ CAMPBELL: It's not an official finished document at this point.

MR. McNINCH: So again, at this point Bill Siderewicz is presenting information that has not been decided or finalized. You know, you have an existing contract in place with the City of Niles. You know exactly what that water is. I believe \$2.53 per thousand?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: That is correct.

MR. McNINCH: You can go to any developer, you can say what your wholesale rate is, you can tell them what their rate will be. If you make that agreement with Warren for one particular entity, what is to stop the next entity from coming in? The shipyard. The shipyard could come in and say we want Newton Falls water, we're going to get an agreement with Newton Falls. The JEDD that's going on in on Bailey Road can say we want Portage County water, we'll go to them to make an agreement. None of them benefit Lordstown as a whole. I want to make sure everybody understands that, that if you go with Niles, if you go with MVSD, you are benefiting everybody. All your existing customers, all your future customers, you have a fixed rate, you can go to them, it will keep everybody's rate as low as possible from today, tomorrow and any time into the future. I've been going on. Is there any questions?

MR. CAMPBELL: Would MVSD be interested in adding a second 24-inch line if TEC does not become a project of the Village?

MR. McNINCH: I mentioned that then, I addressed that two weeks ago. Knowing what TEC -- TEC has a fixed capacity, fixed volume, fixed demand. We're able to do hard projections based on that. Anything beyond that at this point is speculation. If you can come to us and say we have this potential development with this

MR. CAMPBELL: So if TEC happened to not be a project in the Village, then we'd have to approach and look at other circumstances that may bring that second 24-inch line into the Village?

MR. McNINCH: Correct, correct. And again, because MVSD is a non-profit public water treatment plant, we service everyone from Pennsylvania to the Portage County line, from Boardman all the way up to Vienna, I cannot commit to having somebody else subsidize it.

MR. CAMPBELL: Understood.

demand, can we make the numbers work --

MR. McNINCH: And it's potential, that's the problem. Anything else other than TEC right now is potential development. If you are able to commit to Niles -- Director Hale is MVSD director, he's the Niles representative, Councilwoman Marchese is from Niles,

so I'd like to thank them for attending. Is there anyone else from Niles?

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MS}}.$ MARCHESE: Not right now, they are in a another meeting.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$ McNINCH: I want you to understand Niles is here in support of this proposal.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yes, we do understand at the present time they've come to the table. And I think some of these ideas with the second 24 was brought up a year-and-a-half ago or so with different people that are at MVSD.

MR. McNINCH: Correct.

MR. CAMPBELL: We hope that would have come to fruition sooner so that would be easier to work with rather than this situation that is upon us. But like I said at this point, I do thank you for your time and presenting what you have. Do the other Board members have anything else they would like to add or ask?

MR. SULLIVAN: Well, yeah. I would like to add we talked to all our experts, CT, Superintendent, Cindy, all the people that understand the water and the pricing. CT went to your where it would encase the whole Village, we could JEDD to North Jackson, we could JEDD to Lake Milton. And my concern in this whole thing is the residents. You mentioned CT. Since CT has come to the Village, the Board has lost money every year.

MR. CAMPBELL: You mean LEC.

MR. SULLIVAN: LEC, sorry. Because of the water agreement that was made. The agreement that Niles had proposed, and the Mayor and Cindy I think went and talked to them and got — we would get approximately 95 cents more perfect thousand if we go with Mahoning Valley. It seems to me that it would be ridiculous, and Siderewicz's argument was well we gotta have it right now. Well, he's got it right now. And I truly believe that if we don't do this and we don't see that 95 cents we're gonna have to raise all the rates on the Village residents. I don't want to do that, and I don't think anybody else on the Board wants to raise the rates. That's basically what I have to say.

MR. CAMPBELL: Anything you would like to add, Chris? MR. PETERSON: No.

MR. CAMPBELL: I guess the only thing that I think we have to make sure that we understand through this concept of the MVSD water connection is that the TEC project would need to have an agreement with MVSD that they're gonna come to the Village so that you knew they were coming so you would build a 24-inch water line; is that a correct statement?

MR. McNINCH: No. We would need a commitment from the Village of Lordstown because Lordstown Village dictates who can --

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Well then, this Village would need an agreement with the project -- with the TEC project to say that they're coming to the Village and it can use the MVSD water.

MR. McNINCH: The scenario that I envisioned is that Lordstown Village would go to Niles and guarantee that Niles would be the water provider to the Village for the next, pick a term, 20, 30 years. At that point Niles would have the commitment, the Director would give me the authority to proceed.

MR. CAMPBELL: I understand. My point being that if the

project does not support going with that water supply, at least at this point in time, then the MVSD option is a non-starter at this point. Until that project wants to go that direction, we can't make them sign that until they are ready to do that, plain and simple.

MR. McNINCH: The Village can enact that document --

MR. CAMPBELL: Still they have to be for it.

MR. McNINCH: -- and go to the developer and say these are the terms of you developing your land. The letter that the Village sent to the Public Utility Commission specifically stated that developer has been directed on that for over five years.

MR. CAMPBELL: Well that's another topic of discussion, but yes. I'm just saying with the water aspect where we're approaching things right now and what's upon us and why everybody is here is that project needs to support the direction of what it can make work. If they won't sign the agreements to move forward and they end, then that opportunity is gone. They may change, they may decide to come back and try to make MVSD work, that's the million -multi-million dollar question. So I'm just trying to make that point that before they could walk away and leave because it doesn't support what they have built up to this point. And regardless of how it got here, regardless of how that sandwich got made, there's a sandwich before us. We can decide to eat the sandwich or throw the sandwich away. That's what the rough part is that we have. And some of these things we brought up are very valid points, but I can't change those. None of us have a time machine. So here's where we're at before us. We have this opportunity, we have a direction that we think would be the best for the Village. But if we can't get that direction right now and it falls away, we've lost that opportunity. So that's something that this Board and the Village have before us to decide. I just want to make sure that it's clear that it's not an easy decision just to go one way or another. And I do appreciate your time and bringing these things to the table for the Village and the opportunity that's before us. And if it's not with the TEC project, I hope that we can continue and work toward something that does grow this Village for water supply for some bigger customers. I don't want to close that door by any means. That's all I wanted to add.

MR. SULLIVAN: I just wanted to add one thing. As far as TEC is concerned, the price that they would pay for water from either entity is the same. The only difference is we would be losing the 95 cents per thousand.

MR. CAMPBELL: Anything else, Chris? All right.

MR. McNINCH: Thank you for your time.

MR. CAMPBELL: Appreciate it, thank you.

5. CT Work Authorization - Project Title: TEC Facility

MR. CAMPBELL: Number 5, CT Work Authorization for the Project Title TEC Facility. I believe there was -- I don't know the exact details where we're at with that. I think there was an additional work authorization submitted that we can --

MR. KOGELNIK: What is that work authorization for?

MR. CAMPBELL: Project Title TEC Facility.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ KOGELNIK: Yes. This is for the work that was done from November 30 until now. So CT needs to be compensated for that.

And anything else going forward for the TEC agreement would have to be authorized by the Village.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. At this point in time I don't believe there's a reimbursable agreement set up for this project, so it won't come out of the project. So at this point we'd have to decide it's gonna come out of the Village funds, or if this project moves forward then we have the opportunity to try to tack it to the project. So is it all right if we sit on this to see how things play out?

MR. KOGELNIK: Yes.

MR. CAMPBELL: I appreciate that. Thank you.

MOTION REGARDING TEC WATER CONNECTION:

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. At this point, which we alluded to in the discussions before we get into our Old Business and I guess why we're here, I'd like for the -- we don't have the agreement for the details that are before us, that would need to be worked out. But I think at this point the TEC project, from what I understand, is close to deadlines for their financial aspect and need a direction to move forward if we're gonna support connecting to Warren or if we're gonna hold back and try to see if we can get them to connect to MVSD and take the chances that the project can't survive and then it could lapse because of that. So I've been asked by Council in general to get a feel of the Board of how we want to move forward with this project. So I would like to ask the Board members if they could -- I'm gonna make a motion that we accept the connection to Warren for the TEC project and if you gentleman want to add any thoughts or discussion with that. And if there's a second we can move forward, and if there's not a second then there's nothing to vote on. So that's my motion. I guess I do not have a second, so I guess that's where it stands. We don't have the support of the Board to move forward with a water connection for the TEC project to the Warren connection. I guess that's where we stand with it, and we'll have to see how things play out from here. I'd like to move on to our Old Business. If you guys would like to stay for Old Business you're more than welcome. And if not, thanks for coming out tonight.

OLD BUSINESS:

1. City of Warren - Bulk Water (Warren Water)

MR. CAMPBELL: All right, Old Business. Bulk Water Agreement. Is that something on the Council side that needs to be finished up if we're gonna try to work out something with, you know, the TEC project? It doesn't seem like it's going to be going that direction, so that is definitely a new avenue we're gonna have to cross and work out. So I guess at this point we keep it on our agenda because it's got some customers on there that --

2. Ultium Sewer Connection

MR. CAMPBELL: Number 2, Ultium Sewer Connection. I think there was a couple things on there that we wanted to touch base on, that's why we kept it on the agenda. There was a billing issue more than anything.

MR. KOGELNIK: There's some technical items. We're

still working on the technical items with Ultium.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. We'll keep it on there and keep us informed.

3. Imperial Sewer Agreement

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$ CAMPBELL: The Imperial Sewer Agreement. I know that we need to get scheduled with them.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Yeah. We just billed them again. We're still billing in the same method as we were before. So I actually had that on my report too as well to -- if we could look at our calendars and try to pick something in the future; not necessarily in the next couple of days, but like the next two weeks.

MR. CAMPBELL: I know we had a couple dates scheduled and conflicts came, but we gotta -- I'll send an e-mail out and we can see what we can align. Just real quick, for you it would have to be after like 4:00 or what would be a time --

MR. PETERSON: Yeah, yeah, preferably.

MR. CAMPBELL: So I need to know that.

MR. SULLIVAN: Are you looking at a particular day or - $\,$

MR. CAMPBELL: No. When I'm looking at my schedule, I want to make sure I'm looking at an area because sometimes I can support an earlier meeting. But if you can't it doesn't matter.

4. Rate Study - Water

MR. CAMPBELL: The Rate Study for Water. I guess at this point there's no updates or discussion with that at this point.

MR. KOGELNIK: There aren't any updates regarding the water. But is there another point on the agenda for the wastewater rate study.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Yes.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yes.

MR. KOGELNIK: Alright. Nothing for water.

5. Ultium

 $\,$ MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Number 5, Ultium. Any updates or checkups on that topic that we need to --

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$ KOGELNIK: No. We did -- we do have a delay on the water booster station I believe that we're working on that.

MR. CAMPBELL: And a delay in like construction aspect?

MR. KOGELNIK: Yes, yes. And then the water -- the new water tower is continuing to go up vertically. But aside from that, the project is moving along as was intended.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Any other questions on that?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Kevin, I have one.

MR. CAMPBELL: Sure.

 $\,$ MS. SLUSARCZYK: As far as the water booster station goes, I got a letter for the maintenance warranty to begin. Is that acceptable?

MR. KOGELNIK: Let me get back to you on that.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Okay.

 $\ensuremath{\mathtt{MR}}.$ KOGELNIK: That's a good question given what I just said.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Okay. Thank you.

6. Utility Department Building

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ CAMPBELL: Utility Department Building. I know we keep that on our agenda because we're looking to get a better --

MR. BIGGS: Nothing new.

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. Keep it on there.

7. I&I

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MR}}.$ CAMPBELL: Number 7, I&I for our east side sewer system.

MR. KOGELNIK: Yes. I wanted to get that thing rolling with regards to getting a new meter in the collection system, but it's been delayed because we've been handling this stuff.

MR. CAMPBELL: Understood.

MR. KOGELNIK: So that's gonna be a priority next month.

MR. CAMPBELL: All right.

8. Sanitary Sewer Review

MR. CAMPBELL: Number 8, Sanitary Sewer Rate Review.

MR. KOGELNIK: I believe you have the proposal in front of you, Kevin. Do you have a proposal for the sanitary sewer rate study?

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MR}}\xspace$. CAMPBELL: Was it something that was printed as part of a packet?

 ${\tt MS.}$ SLUSARCZYK: No, not printed in tonight's packet. It was months ago.

MR. PETERSON: Yeah, it was previous.

MR. CAMPBELL: I do have it. I don't have it right here.

 $\,$ MR. KOGELNIK: So there's really nothing new regarding the proposal. If the Board would share that amongst each other to determine whether or not it's acceptable --

MR. CAMPBELL: Was there a part of it that it broke out between Council and the Village again or not, or we didn't get to that point.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: That's what we asked for last.

MR. KOGELNIK: Yeah. So we if you want us to just split the cost between Council and Board --

MR. CAMPBELL: I know what we did with the water part. There was parts that more aligned for their perspective and our perspective. If you could just go that's more ours, that's more theirs. They are -- we're more maintenance, they're more growth. Based upon that kind of division.

MR. KOGELNIK: Do you just want two signoffs?

MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah.

MR. KOGELNIK: Just get to the point?

MR. CAMPBELL: I guess that would be the best point.

Thank you. All right. Any questions from that point on?

PUBLIC COMMENTS:

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. Public Comments. Again we have another section for public comments. Same criteria: stand up, state your name and address, and keep it to five minutes. Sure.

MR. DELEY: Evening. Tony Deley. I live in Austintown, Ohio. I'm business manager of Ironworkers Local 207 and also a

delegate. Again we search Village rates. I don't mean to harp on this Trumbull Energy and Warren and Youngstown, but it seems to me with all the infrastructure we need from all the companies out, per se, that might come into Lordstown and have come into Lordstown that for Mahoning Valley -- and I'm not pointing fingers -- to sit on waiting for a signed agreement to bring in a 24-inch line into this Village was stupid I guess. It seems like you would need the line anyway at some point in that area. And if the investors of Trumbull Energy are going to upgrade, pay for an upgrade for Warren water to bring the water in, then why can't Trumbull Energy help Mahoning Valley for bringing the 24-inch line into the area, right? I mean, it's just common sense the way I look at it.

MR. CAMPBELL: I understand your logic.

MR. DELEY: And then you got the extra 24-inch line and feed the up-and-coming projects that are on the books for this Village. So again, if the investors want to upgrade Warren — to upgrade Warren to handle the water for Trumbull Energy, then I can't understand a second and a third to get that going. And also for Mahoning Valley not working with the investors of Trumbull Energy to help them out to get the 24-inch line in. So I don't know the whole ins and outs, I'm talking as a resident. It just seems common sense to me that you're throwing another 800-megawatt energy facility out the window that you as a Village have nothing to pay for to get that water in here. So that's my —

MR. CAMPBELL: Appreciate your time and comment, thank you. Anybody else? All right. Appreciate it. Thank you.

REPORTS:

1. Solicitor's Report

MR. CAMPBELL: Reports. Anything from our Solicitor for Solicitor Report?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: No.

2. Engineer's Report

MR. CAMPBELL: Engineer's Report, Chris.

MR. KOGELNIK: Yes, Kevin. We're working on the Li-Cycle facility with Ultium and plan review for Kelly's department, Planning and Zoning. And tomorrow we do have a meeting I believe at 2:00 p.m. to discuss the plan review process. Right now we're in the preliminary planning stages. They have submitted drawings to us, I believe, in two iterations now. And as Cindy had pointed out, the preliminary planning and evaluation form is incomplete right now. That form tells us how much water and wastewater would come to that facility and away from that facility. And thus far right now we're working constructively with the folks from Gresham Smith who are designing the facility to understand what their plan says, and we think that we can accommodate them for water and wastewater. Fortunately, actually Darren had a pretty good idea with regard to the connection for sanitary; and so we have something rather simple for them to make that connection for the water connection depending on, of course, the rate that they need. Their biggest rate is for fire suppression, not domestic. They are gonna have 50 or 60 people that are going to be employed there, so their domestic needs are not gonna be much. So we fully think that -- we believe that we can

provide them with all of their domestic needs and most of their fire suppression, but we need to get that instantaneous rate for fire suppression of 3,750 gallons per minute at 50 pounds down because there's no system that's gonna be able to provide that. So if they could work with us there, then the connection to the existing Lordstown 12-inch is gonna be rather simple. So that's good. So it's been, you know, another one of those iterative processes back and forth with communication with them; and tomorrow we're hoping to get all of our thoughts down on paper. And then they would have one last iteration of plan submittals back to the Village, and then they go to construction. Of course, Kelly would have to issue — the Planning Commission would have to issue a zoning permit. So that's where we're at with the project. We're hoping that moves forward.

MR. CAMPBELL: Good. Anything else or any questions?

MR. KOGELNIK: Well, we're working on the water line proposal that would go — this is the new water line that would go between that end point where Li-Cycle would connect and then over to Ellsworth Bailey. And we have run the model — rerun the model for water. And if we build that connector, we'll be able to approach Li-Cycle's fire suppression demand even though it's a really, you know, extraneous number. So I want — it's important that the Village understand that that connector could provide that high instantaneous rate yet also solve two dead-end problems. So we believe that connector is gonna be a valuable water line for the Village. Bob's proposal should be on your desk next week.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay.

MR. KOGELNIK: And you know, we plan to move forward after your approval of that, of course. I don't have anything else. Yeah, I think that's pretty much it.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ CAMPBELL: Any questions for Chris? Good, appreciate it. Thank you.

3. Utility Committee Report

MR. CAMPBELL: Utility Committee Report. Don left.

MR. SULLIVAN: He just left.

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. I don't believe there's anything from Utility Committee that I'm aware of.

MR. PETERSON: Nothing that I'm aware of.

MR. CAMPBELL: Do you know of anything, Mike?

MR. SULLIVAN: No.

4. Clerk's Report

MR. CAMPBELL: Clerk's Report, Cindy.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: We received the bid results for the site work for the water booster pump station. I forwarded them to the Board members, and I don't know if you would like to order the legislation to proceed with that project or just kind of -- I didn't get a response from the Board, so I didn't know whether to order legislation.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$ CAMPBELL: I will, due to my employment, have to abstain from that decision-making progress.

MR. PETERSON: I think we should move forward.

MR. SULLIVAN: On what?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: The new water booster station on State

Route 45. The final site work is the last phase of the improvement that needs to be started.

MR. SULLIVAN: Do you need a motion?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Well, I can get the legislation from the solicitor for you to accept the Engineer's recommendation for the contractor and we can get that project going. How long do we have to notify them?

MR. KOGELNIK: I believe you have, by the contract, 60 days.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Sixty days. So at the very latest, next month Paul's -- we received that about two weeks ago.

 $\mbox{MR. KOGELNIK:}\ \mbox{It is for you to move forward on that one. That project is all about time.}$

MR. CAMPBELL: They suggested we should have a special quick meeting if we get the legislation and get it passed. If you guys would voice what you would like with that, we'll get the legislation put together.

 ${\tt MS.}$ SLUSARCZYK: I'll send it off to Paul tomorrow and let you know when I receive it.

MR. SULLIVAN: If you have it, you can just get a hold of me and Chris to come up for a 10 minute meeting to pass it.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Okay. The only other thing I had was to make sure that we talked about the Imperial sewer account, which we all -- you know, we have already mentioned that under the Old Business. So we got to get that scheduled because like we did just bill there again, so it's another quarter's bill that we need to --

MR. CAMPBELL: Decide and get a direction with. Very good. Any questions for Cindy?

5. Superintendent's Report

MR. CAMPBELL: All right. Superintendent's report, Darren.

MR. BIGGS: Two quick things. First, disinfection by-products, we passed, everything is looking great on that end. Second, summer help, another summer help. I would like to hire Kyle Roberts. I don't think you have anything on that, but he's from Lordstown, he meets all the requirements, and just to get another body for summer help.

 $\ensuremath{\mathtt{MR}}.$ CAMPBELL: I'll make a motion that we hire Kyle Roberts for summer help.

MR. SULLIVAN: I'll second.

MR. PETERSON: I'll second.

MR. CAMPBELL: All in favor?

(All respond aye.)

MR. CAMPBELL: All opposed?

(No response.)

MR. CAMPBELL: There you go, put him to work. Anything else, Darren?

MR. BIGGS: That's it.

MR. CAMPBELL: Any other questions for Darren?

MEMBER COMMENTS:

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ CAMPBELL: Any Member Comments at this point? I don't have any.

QUARTERLY APPROVAL OF BILLING ADJUSTMENTS:

 $\ensuremath{\mathtt{MR}}.$ CAMPBELL: There's no quarterly billing adjustment for this.

ADJOURNMENT:

MR. CAMPBELL: I'll take motions for adjournment.

MR. SULLIVAN: So moved.

MR. CAMPBELL: All in favor?

(All respond aye.)

MR. CAMPBELL: All opposed?

(No response.)

MR. CAMPBELL: I'll second. I skipped a step. Meeting

adjourns at 4:43 p.m.

CERTIFICATE

STATE OF OHIO)

TRUMBULL COUNTY) SS.

I, Deborah I. Lavelle, a Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio, duly commissioned and qualified, do hereby certify that the foregoing meeting before the Board of Public Affairs was written by me in the presence of the Members and transcribed by me using computer-aided transcription according to the stenotype notes taken at the time the said meeting took place.

I do further certify that I am not a relative, counsel or attorney of any Member, or otherwise interested in the event of this action

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal of office at Niles, Ohio on this 31st day of May, 2022.

DEBORAH I. LAVELLE, Notary Public My Commission expires 4/15/2027

Submitted: Approved By:

Cinthia Slusarczyk, Clerk Kevin Campbell, President