

**RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS**  
**MEETING OF THE LORDSTOWN VILLAGE BOARD OF PUBLIC AFFAIRS**  
**1455 Salt Springs Road, Lordstown, Ohio**  
**October 17, 2023**  
**4:00 p.m. to 5:07 p.m.**

**IN ATTENDANCE:** Mr. Christopher Peterson, President  
Mr. Kevin Campbell, Vice-President  
Mr. Michael Sullivan, Board Member  
Mr. Darren Biggs, Supt. of Utilities  
Ms. Cinthia Slusarczyk, Clerk  
Mr. Christopher Kogelnik, Engineer  
Atty. Matt Ries, Solicitor

**ALSO PRESENT:** Ms. Kellie Bordner, Planning/Zoning  
Mr. Robert Bond, Utilities Committee  
Atty. Leo Puhalla, Antonine Sisters  
Ms. Annissa Neider, Antonine Sisters  
Mr. Geno Leshnack, Antonine Sisters  
Mr. Tom Cowie, Imperial Communities  
Mr. Steve Remillard, TEC  
Mr. Jewoo Cho, TEC

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS taken before me, DEBORAH LAVELLE, RPR, a court reporter and Notary Public within and for the State of Ohio on this 17th of October, 2023.

MR. PETERSON: I'd like to call this October 17 Board of Public Affairs meeting to order. Please stand for the Lord's Prayer and Pledge of Allegiance.

**ROLL CALL:**

MR. PETERSON: Roll call please.  
MS. SLUSARCZYK: Christopher Peterson.  
MR. PETERSON: Here.  
MS. SLUSARCZYK: Kevin Campbell.  
MR. CAMPBELL: Here.  
MS. SLUSARCZYK: Michael Sullivan.  
MR. SULLIVAN: Here.  
MS. SLUSARCZYK: Darren Biggs.  
MR. BIGGS: Here.  
MS. SLUSARCZYK: Cinthia Slusarczyk, present. Chris Kogelnik.  
MR. KOGELNIK: Present.  
MS. SLUSARCZYK: Matt Ries.  
MR. RIES: Here.

**APPROVAL AND CORRECTION OF MINUTES:**

MR. PETERSON: Approval and Correction of the Minutes. I guess we'll do these one at a time. **August 29, 2023.**  
MR. SULLIVAN: **Motion to accept.**  
MR. CAMPBELL: **I'll second.**  
MR. PETERSON: **All in favor?**  
**(All respond aye.)**  
MR. CAMPBELL: **I make a motion for September 7, 2023.**  
MR. SULLIVAN: **Second.**  
MR. PETERSON: **All in favor?**  
**(All respond aye.)**  
MR. PETERSON: **Passed. September 11, I'll make a motion.**

MR. CAMPBELL: I'll second.

MR. PETERSON: All in favor?

All respond aye.)

MR. CAMPBELL: September 19.

MR. SULLIVAN: Second.

MR. PETERSON: I'll make a motion. I don't care. All in favor?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: That was Peterson made the motion, Campbell second?

MR. CAMPBELL: Take that. Thank you for all the work in the minutes. I know we had a lot have meetings.

CORRESPONDENCE:

MR. PETERSON: Correspondence.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: No correspondence.

PUBLIC COMMENTS:

MR. PETERSON: Public Comments.

MS. BORDNER: Thank you. I just wanted to give you guys a TEC status update. In order to get to site plan review before Planning Commission we still need the following things at a minimum. BPA approval of the Warren water line -- I do understand that the cross-connection is being approved at this point, but there's more to it than that, just, you know, that obviously -- the receipt of the fully completed RUMA for both the construction of the facility and the construction of the Warren water line, some updated storm water management details, the lighting schematic for the facility, the addressing of the sound barriers to adequate resolution, and a more comprehensive and up-to-date civil site plan set to be reviewed by our Village Engineer and comments provided. That being said, TEC has committed to obtaining and paying for the zoning permit, therefore I have issued the TEC address -- TEC facility address -- which is 7733 Tod Avenue S.W., and they are free to seek construction water through the Lordstown Utility Department.

MR. PETERSON: Thank you. Any other comments?

ATTY. PUHALLA: For the record, Leo Puhalla for the Antonine Sisters. Attorney Ries asked for an update as to where we're at on the installation of the water line for the Sisters. I don't know if you want it now or within some later point in time of the meeting.

MR. PETERSON: You're more than welcome to do it now, it's up to you.

MS. NEIDER: Annissa Neider, architect, and I have Geno Leshnack here with B&B contractors. Just an update for the water line. The main line pressure test, it was tested and passed and flushed. The bacteria test was taken and we're just waiting on those results. The fire line test with North Jackson is tomorrow at 4:00 p.m. with the fire chief and captain. On Thursday at 1:00 p.m. we plan on switching over the day care to get that online with the new water line. On Thursday also there will be 20 1-inch bores, again those are for the additional homes, put those on the new water line as well. The meter pits are 100 percent installed. And then on next Monday 10/23 the water will be completely to the new addition for the Sisters. So that is the update. So we're coming across the finish line here.

MR. CAMPBELL: Very good.

MR. PETERSON: That's very good to hear.

MR. BIGGS: Did I hear you plan on turning that on on Thursday?

MS. NEIDER: Yeah, Thursday for the day care.  
MR. BIGGS: For the new line, correct?  
MS. NEIDER: Correct.  
MR. BIGGS: Yeah, that won't happen. We won't have the test back yet.  
MS. NEIDER: Oh, pending test. Thank you.  
MR. BIGGS: Don't pick a date yet. Today's test could not be tested, the humidity was too high with it. I need two good tests to come back. So if it will be Wednesday, Thursday it won't come back until Friday; you see what I'm saying. So check with me.  
MS. NEIDER: Based on the tests.  
MR. BIGGS: And the meter. We got the okay from the Antonine Sisters for the meter?  
MS. NEIDER: My understanding is yes.  
MR. BIGGS: They won't be surprised when they get that charge, is that what it is?  
MS. NEIDER: Yeah, yeah. We talked.  
MR. BIGGS: And we seen the pit was sitting there. We're going to come out tomorrow and make sure we're 17 inches; and then I was gonna go ahead and get that order, which it shouldn't be too long. But we're gonna need that and a bacteria test before anything.  
MS. NEIDER: Gotcha. Gotcha. Thank you.  
MR. PETERSON: Chris, you had some questions.  
MR. KOGELNIK: Annissa, is the substantial completion memorialized or is that pending the test?  
MS. NEIDER: That will be pending all the tests. And we'll sign the AIAG-704 per Matt's e-mail -- Attorney Matt Ries' e-mail with Leo.  
ATTY. RIES: I sent them, yeah. So we were talking, Leo sent me the AIA saying are these acceptable forms. I still want the BPA to review and approve to make sure what we're looking at is being documented to make sure the document -- we're accepting these lines once they've been reviewed and approved. That will include a lien waiver and everything else to make sure that everyone's been paid on the job. We'll also want a final completion document -- and I can prepare that -- that assigns, you know, any type of warranty from the contractor to us once we take that over.  
ATTY. PUHALLA: Yeah, that was from my perspective and Mr. Ries was correct. How do we memorialize this so 30 years from now there's something as a matter of record, you know, that hey, we're good, it was accepted into the line. So substantial completion, the lien waiver, and the final completion to assign any of the warranties that we receive. And Darren, you've been happy so far pending the tests and everything with the installation and --  
MR. BIGGS: Yeah, everything is going smooth. We had been out there for the pressure test, everything went good with that. We haven't seen any issues, so yes.  
MS. SLUSARCZYK: They'll need to make application as well for the service. That has not been done.  
MR. PETERSON: Okay. You need to make application for the service.  
MS. SLUSARCZYK: The Antonine Sisters, the owners, whoever owns the facility will have to make a deposit and establish the account.  
ATTY. PUHALLA: How do we evidence that it's, quote, been accepted into the completion? Once we get the substantial completion, the lien waiver, and the assignment of the warranties, the application

for what document -- for lack of a better term -- evidences that it's part of the public water system.

ATTY. RIES: The final certification that I'm gonna prepare will include that all of the work has been done, that it complies with our rules and regulations, we'll sign off on -- along with the Engineer, along with the Antonine Sisters, and that document will also transfer the warranty to the Village at that point in time. And that's gonna have to be approved through Council ultimately.

ATTY. PUHALLA: That's what I was gonna ask you. Once we memorialize this it would go to Council to review?

ATTY. RIES: Yes.

ATTY. PUHALLA: And they are the first and third Monday I think.

ATTY. RIES: It would be either through a resolution here or a recommendation here, but it would have to go through Council since it's outside of our jurisdiction.

ATTY. PUHALLA: Because ideally -- I know Council looks like they met last night, so the next meeting will be the beginning of month, the first Monday maybe, to target that date because I don't know when the BPA is meeting again. If the ultimate authority is Council, I don't want to have to wait another 30 days to have another BPA meeting and have another Council meeting that's 45 days out. If we can get everything done and have Council approve it because they are the ultimate authority anyway if I'm tracking it right because it outside the Village's geographical footprint.

ATTY. RIES: Correct.

ATTY. PUHALLA: Is that workable?

ATTY. RIES: I mean, we could do it just as a recommendation. It wouldn't have to be a formal meeting and resolution, we could do it as a recommendation. Or if you guys want to do it by resolution, we can have a meeting before that.

MR. PETERSON: I think we're gonna have one anyways so --

ATTY. RIES: So we'll target that first November date.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: November 6.

ATTY. PUHALLA: Thank you, Cindy. Thank you everyone. I appreciate that we're all working together. We'll get the application, continue to work with Darren on the tests, maybe target that November 6. And I'll work with you, Mr. Ries, on those three documents you referenced. Thank you for your time everyone.

MR. PETERSON: Chris, do you have any more questions or are you good?

MR. KOGELNIK: There may be a few details like record drawings or as-builts that you would submit with the final acceptance, but the final acceptance certificate that Solicitor Ries was mentioning is the document.

ATTY. PUHALLA: Okay. Okay. Super. Thank you everyone. Appreciate it.

MR. PETERSON: Any other public comments? Okay.

ATTY. RIES: Leo, one other thing, the recorded easements. We have the easements; they've already been signed and part of the agreement. I guess once we get to completion the easement would get recorded.

ATTY. PUHALLA: Once we have the final completion, I think was the term, Chris, you used, or Matt.

MR. KOGELNIK: Final acceptance.

ATTY. PUHALLA: Final acceptance. Once that's signed off and Council approves, I have them, they are just sitting in my file

waiting to record so --

MR. PETERSON: Okay. Any other public comments?

MR. BIGGS: I got one thing to add. Did you say the two bores were coming?

MS. NEIDER: On Thursday.

MR. BIGGS: Was that the decision that the Board made, are there two bores?

MR. KOGELNIK: For the services, Darren.

MR. BIGGS: Correct.

MR. KOGELNIK: Well, the services were to be part of the project.

MR. BIGGS: Well the ones connected with us now, correct Chris? But the other one was -- I was gonna ask them if they would like to join to have the city water, and at that time I was asked to hold off on that. So we still don't know if they are even gonna connect?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I think it was required the tap still had to be put in.

MR. BIGGS: The tap in the bore, we're talking the bore. So are we good with that?

MR. CAMPBELL: I'm okay with asking them.

MR. BIGGS: Well, at the time I wasn't allowed to ask.

MR. SULLIVAN: You're allowed to ask.

MR. PETERSON: You're allowed to ask.

MR. BIGGS: That's what I'm saying. We never found out. I don't believe anybody from Council went to them, am I wrong, Bob?

MR. BOND: I haven't heard of anything. I didn't know we were supposed to.

MR. BIGGS: You were the one that said hold off.

MR. BOND: Well, until we got this straightened out. It's been straightened out now.

MR. PETERSON: Go ask them.

MR. BIGGS: We might not need that second bore if they are not gonna connect to the water. They are not required to connect to the water.

MR. PETERSON: Not required to connect, but the tap has to be there.

MR. BIGGS: So at minimum a tap. But we may not need to bore at this time unless they wanted water later on, then it would be on the homeowner. Is that where we're going with that?

MR. PETERSON: Correct.

MR. KOGELNIK: That's what I understood.

MR. BIGGS: I just want to make sure.

MR. PETERSON: That's the way I understood it too.

MR. BIGGS: Me too. But I want to make sure everyone understands, wait for me to ask them if they want to connect. The one would go through the whole nine yards to be there. I would do what I can about talking to the homeowner and I'll get with you guys. How's that?

MS. NEIDER: Perfect.

MR. PETERSON: Good now?

MR. BIGGS: I think so.

MR. PETERSON: Once again, no other public comments, correct? Okay.

**NEW BUSINESS:**

**1. A Resolution adopting revised Rules and Regulations governing water**

**use and service for the Village of Lordstown.**

MR. PETERSON: New Business. Item number 1, a Resolution adopting revised Rules and Regulations governing the water use and service for the Village of Lordstown.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I'd like to comment on that. This is the Rules and Regulations -- before it was an account maintenance fee and a minimum monthly bill. So to facilitate your Rules and Regulations two definitions were changed to state -- (I) is now the readiness-to-serve charge part of the billing, and then second is consumption or demand charge part of the bill. It's clarified to match your rate sheet.

MR. PETERSON: Should we hold off? If not --

ATTY. RIES: If you're asking if you want -- if you're considering a different rate than what's currently in here --

MR. PETERSON: Yes.

ATTY. RIES: I mean, the way it was prepared the Resolution includes the readiness-to-serve charge as well as the rates and everything else. It's all one document that we're approving, this Exhibit A. So if you're not ready to approve everything in its totality, then I would say you need to hold off.

MR. PETERSON: Just hold off.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay.

MR. PETERSON: Okay. We're gonna hold off on that item.

**2. A Resolution increasing rates and charges for consumers of the water utility service within and outside the Village of Lordstown and declaring an emergency**

MR. PETERSON: And item number 2, a Resolution increasing rates and charges for consumers of the water utility service within and outside the Village of Lordstown and declaring an emergency. I believe you guys' pleasure is to do the same thing with that one?

MR. SULLIVAN: Hold or table for a month.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah. Chris, I have something before you go to that if you wish.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Are we still on the resolution -- tabling the resolution? Now Mike said table it for a month. Does that mean that is all not being implemented it before this billing cycle starts? That would have to be done --

MR. PETERSON: Our goal is to move quickly. Yeah.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: That would have to be passed by October 25.

MR. PETERSON: Yeah. We're gonna do our best.

MR. SULLIVAN: We're gonna meet with Council before then.

MR. PETERSON: I'm hoping. You said October 25, Cindy?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Yes. That's the day -- scheduled reading date for the Cycle 2 billing.

MR. PETERSON: Anything else on that? Seeing none -- Chris.

MR. KOGELNIK: I just wanted to remind the Board that we did have a meeting last week with TEC representatives and Stantec regarding the Warren water line. It's not published on the agenda as new business or old business probably because the meeting happened on Thursday and I was laid up pretty much all last week. So this is for the cross-connect on State Route 45 for that portion of the Warren water line, between the Warren 16 and TEC. So right now Stantec has provided their design plan for that portion of the water line. From a general standpoint of the design it looks sound for the design. There are some small elements that they still need to do; and they also still

need to get, for example, the Lordstown roadway permit for crossing underneath the road. So in front of you is a memo, Chris, that summarizes just that. And it's my recommendation that after TEC and their design team address those minor things and get the roadway permit that they could proceed to construction of that water line. And I will remind everybody, this is a water line that is normally to be closed by way of a normally closed valve and is only intended for the redundant water needs of TEC in the event that the primary 24 goes down or is out of service. So if the Board wishes, they can make a motion or acknowledge that, you know, a memorandum from the Village Engineer has been produced that says just that.

MR. PETERSON: Okay. What's your guys' pleasure? Do you want to make a motion or just --

MR. CAMPBELL: Well, is this -- once it's connected can it be used until the main line is from Warren, is that the intent?

MR. KOGELNIK: That wasn't the stated intent. The intent was to --

MR. CAMPBELL: I know its ultimate end game. But until the line is into the project, is it gonna be used?

MR. KOGELNIK: So -- well, we'll talk about this probably -- no, we actually won't talk about it today according to the agenda. But to answer your question, they have drilled a well, water well, on site and that the use of that water well -- correct me if I'm wrong, Steve -- was intended to being used for construction purposes to mitigate dust, for use for dust control.

MR. REMILLARD: That's correct. It's a non-potable water well that was just used to help with the dust control and mitigation on site during construction. And then we were thinking to look at, you know, once we got construction water from the Village we would close that well, so that that was the only purpose was to make sure we had construction water available.

MR. KOGELNIK: And that got our second question going as to are they going to use that redundant or cross-connect water line for construction. And so Steve mentioned during our meeting that TEC prefers to purchase construction water off of the Village, so that wouldn't come from that water line.

MR. CAMPBELL: Okay.

MR. SULLIVAN: So that line -- as soon as the Lordstown line goes on they'll cap that one?

MR. KOGELNIK: As soon as the Warren line, the cross-connect, goes on it's gonna be capped. They'll test it to make sure that it's -- you know, it holds its pressure and that sort of thing, and then that Warren cross-connect will be capped.

MR. SULLIVAN: So in the meantime how are they gonna hook into our water then.

MR. KOGELNIK: Yes. They would make an agreement with the Village and get -- you know, get the account set up and everything. And that's why Kellie just mentioned the address for the site. They can't do that without having the address established.

MR. SULLIVAN: Right.

MR. KOGELNIK: So everything is kind of happening in front of you in a manner that I think we've talked about that would be acceptable. So right now what is, you know, being discussed is the review -- my review of the cross-connect, and I'm recommending that after they do these incidental items I called them that they can proceed to construction. This is a BPA decision because it involves connecting to an existing water line already in the Village. The other

part of the water line coming from TEC and going north is a Council decision because that's originating from outside the Village.

MR. CAMPBELL: I don't have any questions about that. What do we need with it at this point?

MR. SULLIVAN: So are you recommending --

MR. KOGELNIK: I'm making the BPA make some sort of motion either acknowledging my memo or approving.

MR. SULLIVAN: Well, we can do that. But in that motion should we say that it's not completed until those minor items are --

MR. KOGELNIK: Yes, yes. You can state that the developer can proceed to building the cross-connect contingent upon acceptably completing the remaining review items.

MR. SULLIVAN: I make that motion. Matt, we're voting on something that's not on the agenda.

MR. KOGELNIK: Can you not do that?

ATTY. RIES: I mean, this ties into Kellie's public comment and your report. I would say we probably should not vote on something that's not on the agenda though.

MR. CAMPBELL: We can say -- what was the other one you recommended possibly, we can say we acknowledge?

ATTY. RIES: Sure, that's a topic for discussion.

MR. KOGELNIK: Per the Engineer's review memo.

MR. PETERSON: So if you need an official motion, then next time we meet we'll put it on the agenda and do an official motion. We acknowledge that you can move forward with it and --

MR. KOGELNIK: Okay.

MR. PETERSON: Any more questions?

MR. BIGGS: I got one, Chris. Does that just include the cross-over coming from 45 or does that include the temporary construction water?

MR. KOGELNIK: No, just the cross-over.

MR. BIGGS: That's what I thought. He would still like the construction water. I know he mixed it in two, but I believe it should be two separate.

MR. PETERSON: The other item should be the construction water, which we can bring up under old business because we brought it up in the past.

MR. CAMPBELL: Is it on the agenda?

MR. BIGGS: That won't even need to be approved. They come up and sign up and do everything. This was a different --

MR. PETERSON: They would make application.

MR. BIGGS: It was just a little different situation. And as long as you guys are happy, I guess, with, you know, what TEC has done --

MR. PETERSON: As long as Zoning and Council is happy, I'm good if you guys are good.

MR. SULLIVAN: What were you gonna say?

MR. REMILLARD: I was just gonna say we were waiting for an address as well, and that was one of the key items when we -- we got the reinstated -- some of the work that was taken out after LEC was done and got ready for it. But I think the hold-up at the time was having an address for the facility, and Miss Bordner covered that earlier. So we were hoping with that we would be able to come back in and set up an account and get -- pay for the meter, we pay for all the components for it and set up a water account and take construction water off of the pipe that's just north of Henn Parkway there where LEC took water during their construction.



MS. SLUSARCZYK: For clarification, it wouldn't be an account, it would be bulk water customer, temporary. It's not a permanent connection to the system.

MR. PETERSON: Are you comfortable with that, Darren?

MR. BIGGS: I am. I guess the only other concern I would have, if it's okay with you guys, for them to get construction water is I would be hesitant to turn that on with that well connected to that same line.

MR. REMILLARD: No.

MR. BIGGS: I would like to see that --

MR. PETERSON: I totally agree.

MR. BIGGS: -- before that gets turned on we get everything set up, and before we turn it on I would really like to see that well gone.

MR. PETERSON: You can't even do that.

MR. REMILLARD: Because it's potable/non-potable.

MR. BIGGS: I don't want to have a problem over there. If we could have that kind of capped off, I would have no problem getting you that meter back when I'm satisfied with that and Cindy is satisfied signing you up for bulk water we can turn it on.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: The other question I have is Darren returned that meter and charged to the department to Metron. It was like 140 bucks almost to ship that back. We don't have the meter necessary to -- so we'd have to order the meter again. And are you gonna charge for the return shipping on that, or what do you want to do with that?

MR. CAMPBELL: I'd say we charge for it.

MR. REMILLARD: We'll pay for it.

MR. CAMPBELL: We appreciate that.

MR. PETERSON: Appreciate that. Okay. Anything else under New Business?

MR. CAMPBELL: Any other new new business?

#### **OLD BUSINESS:**

##### **1. Antonine Village Phase 2 Expansion Water Line**

MR. PETERSON: Seeing none, Old Business. Antonine Village Phase 2 Expansion Water Line, I think we've addressed that.

##### **2. Trumbull Energy Center - Sanitary Sewer**

MR. PETERSON: Trumbull Energy Center Sanitary Sewer.

MR. KOGELNIK: So this is the sanitary sewer that needs to connect to Hallock Young Road from your admin building.

MR. REMILLARD: Yes. And what we wanted to do was talk about a couple of options. I know, Chris, you underlined one where it would go to Hallock Young Road. The concern we had was going everything south of that way down there is pretty much wetlands and crossing Mud Creek. And then the other option was to go north and over towards the American Way building and it was congested. So we didn't know if we could work out a commercial arrangement with the Village that would make it indifferent if we just ran the pipe across the -- you know, next to the access road that we're putting in and connect into the County, we pay the County, but we'd also pay the Village as well so that the Village would be effectively -- you wouldn't be losing a customer.

MR. KOGELNIK: Well, that's one idea probably. But the reason why we were intending for you to connect to the Hallock Young location was because it's a location that we have capacity, and that

connection would actually help with the Village's hydraulics through that point.

MR. REMILLARD: Okay.

MR. KOGELNIK: So it was more than money, in other words, was the purpose of that connection.

MR. REMILLARD: Okay.

MR. KOGELNIK: Nonetheless, we'll let the BPA decide.

MR. REMILLARD: I guess with that -- and I didn't realize that, Chris. So if we could take it back, I think our concern is the environmental impact of trying to get the line down there. So if we could do some work for the Board, put together a drawing and just show the impacts and that, and then discuss it, you know, once we have everything in front --

MR. KOGELNIK: Would that be acceptable?

MR. PETERSON: Yeah. Do you guys agree with that?

MR. SULLIVAN: Yeah.

MR. REMILLARD: Thank you.

MR. PETERSON: No problem.

### 3. Project Funding

MR. PETERSON: Item number 3, Project Funding.

MR. KOGELNIK: Well, the only new thing -- well, there's a couple things I think that we could talk about. The Mayor alerted me like a couple weeks ago about some potential ARPA fund that was accessible by way of the County. So we jumped on that, worked with Cindy, Cindy ultimately ushered the applications over to the County. And those two funding pieces were for the water booster station and the Hallock Young connector water line, and we asked for the full construction amount on both projects.

MR. SULLIVAN: Yes.

MR. KOGELNIK: So maybe you have some luck with the County Commissioners for the ARPA funds. And then I'm staying in contact with -- I shouldn't say me, it's Bob -- Bob Bond has to stay in contact -- thank you, Bob -- with the congressman's office regarding the CPF funding that we have an allocation for. That's the \$4 million allocation. And so I'm sure Bob is gonna over time have updates for you.

MR. BOND: If I may say something on that. Last Thursday evening I had been invited to an event that the congressman held, and I had a brief conversation with him, but it had to be brief because he was leaving that night to go back to Washington, Speaker of the House type deal. But I thought it was important that we make contact, make it a personal contact, and have a relationship with these people. Not just him, but I mean there will be others in the future that we need to do that. When people are trying to help us, we've got to thank them and move forward and hope we can develop a good working relationship; and that's what I was attempting to do there.

MR. PETERSON: Thank you very much.

MR. SULLIVAN: Yeah, along with the state senator too.

MR. BOND: Yeah. And that was the reason I didn't make your last BPA meeting, I was up there. I had committee members were coming here, and I thought it was important to go ahead and work on that front as well.

MR. KOGELNIK: I think that's great.

MR. BOND: Thank you.

MR. KOGELNIK: That's all for project funding for right now.

#### 4. Proposed Hallock Young Road Water Line Improvements

MR. PETERSON: Okay. Proposed Hallock Young Road Water Line Improvement.

MR. KOGELNIK: That project is idle right now. You've got your water permit for that project. There's really nothing more substantial to do. We do have some small work to do with the technical specifications and probably the front-end documents, but otherwise that project is ready to be constructed. That's why it was easy to roll into the ARPA application.

MR. PETERSON: Okay. Salt Springs Road --

MR. SULLIVAN: So where does that leave us?

MR. CAMPBELL: Project, no money.

MR. KOGELNIK: Waiting for money.

MR. PETERSON: Waiting on funds.

MR. KOGELNIK: So --

MR. SULLIVAN: So, well -- so if a portion of the funding comes in or none of it comes in, do we do a loan then?

MR. KOGELNIK: That depends on your urgency to build the project.

MR. SULLIVAN: You just got done saying it is urgent.

MR. KOGELNIK: Well, it is urgent and it's not. It's a shovel-ready project, right?

MR. SULLIVAN: Yeah.

MR. PETERSON: Which is what we want for money.

MR. KOGELNIK: We determined it was a Level 1 priority project many months ago. Is it as important as your second 24-inch water line from Niles, no, because if that water line breaks water is a moot point. So, but this is an important water line, you've invested quite a bit of money in design, it's done, you got your permit, it's ready to go. So it's important to just keep barking for, you know, your place in line for funding on the project.

MR. SULLIVAN: Well, do you have a time line on that? If Trumbull wouldn't help, they --

MR. KOGELNIK: I don't know anything about ARPA funds. All I know is that I filled out an application hoping and praying that we'll get money. You'll have to talk with the County Commissioners about that.

MR. PETERSON: The one question I actually had is I know we had a meeting with MVSD in the Spring, have we been keeping them in the loop on the 24-inch and do they have any ideas on their end.

MR. KOGELNIK: Actually we had a meeting today. Darren was in on it. And it was not for funding, it was for what's necessary to get the new 3-million-gallon tank operating, the booster station operating. We touched on funding, they asked about the funding. It was stated repeatedly during the metering that the 24-inch water line from Niles is that important and, you know, that everybody understands it's all about dollars. So that's where Bob comes in.

MR. PETERSON: As long as it's still on the radar when they come across a spare ten million.

MR. KOGELNIK: I'll be the first to let you know.

MR. BOND: I think it's very important to have that redundancy. That's why Chris suggested we take and make that personal contact with people, and I think that's a very good idea. I think it's something that needs to be carried forward and well, we go from there.

MR. KOGELNIK: I think it's unlikely that you're gonna get an all-grant money for the project.

MR. PETERSON: I know that.

MR. KOGELNIK: In today's world if you get 50 or 60 percent in grant money that is doing real good. So you just need to -- I'm just saying that for managing expectations.

MR. CAMPBELL: I guess like the other project, these projects don't happen unless you start heading in that direction. You don't just sit back and wait for a pile of money to do the project. You have to get stuff shovel-ready, have drawings. When we get serious about this we're gonna need some engineering drawings to get further, correct?

MR. KOGELNIK: Exactly. And we had a round-table discussion with MVSD. We talked about some steps that the BPA could take to start those things, remember. One was establishing that easement for the tower. The other one would be doing some aerial survey so that you have that, you know, in a couple months all the foliage is gonna be down, we could do aerial surveying that you could have all of that, provided you confer that that's your alignment you're gonna take. So there's steps you can take that show the funding agencies hey, we've committed, we've done all this work and, you know. So you need to think about that. There's nothing you need to do right now.

MR. PETERSON: Can you get us a dollar figure on the aerial surveys?

MR. KOGELNIK: Yeah, we can do that.

MR. PETERSON: Are you guys good with that?

MR. CAMPBELL: Sure.

MR. PETERSON: Something we can do this time of year and make a decision next month to see where our finances are. At least it's showing we're doing something.

MR. BOND: I think another thing that was mentioned about that was to take it and have a site for a water tower dedicated.

MR. KOGELNIK: We just mentioned that.

MR. BOND: Oh, you did? I'm sorry.

MR. PETERSON: Did we get anywhere on that? I know we talked about it.

MR. KOGELNIK: We have a drawing that roughly illustrates that, Chris. So it's just --

MR. PETERSON: Just pushing the trigger.

MR. KOGELNIK: Just pull the trigger. By pulling the trigger I mean drawing an easement, getting a description and an exhibit. So --

MR. PETERSON: Okay. Are you guys okay with that? Then he can come back to us next month with numbers.

MR. CAMPBELL: Sure.

MR. PETERSON: Anything else on the Hallock Young?

MR. KOGELNIK: No.

## **5. Salt Springs Road Booster Station Relocation**

MR. PETERSON: Salt Springs Road Booster Station Relocation.

MR. KOGELNIK: Our design is proceeding onward with that one. And I just mentioned to Kellie at last night's Council meeting we've discovered there's an issue with there not being an easement around the existing water line that is recorded. This is the water line that is along the Village's southern property boundary with Jackson Township, and therefore you're gonna need that easement and we're gonna have to re-establish it. Is it necessary for the booster

station? Technically not.

MR. PETERSON: But you still need it.

MR. KOGELNIK: But we're gonna need it, yeah. So the booster station design, it -- the preliminary design is just about done, and we've already started most of the permitting process. We'll have to go through that. We have had some interaction with the property owner, in fact just a couple hours ago, and also with his realtor. I did also receive an e-mail from the future property owner which is gonna be, I think, a logistics company or something like that. And we're just telling them hey, we're putting this booster station in a location where it's an unbuildable location anyway for them; and we're asking for them to give us the green light to proceed with the rest of the design. And so hopefully by next month I'll be able to tell you that we did receive that green light and we're proceeding with the rest of the design.

MR. PETERSON: Okay. All right.

## **6. City of Niles/Mahoning Valley Sanitary District Water**

MR. PETERSON: City of Niles/Mahoning Valley Sanitary District Water.

ATTY. RIES: I have not heard back from Phil Zuzolo. I mean, when I called him it was late August. I mean, I actually ran into him socially and I said, you know, I have called you, we gotta get together on this. He said I have called you on this. He said I know, I'll give you a call. But my understanding right now is we're billing them under the way we see the contract, and so it's one of those sleeping dog situations. I don't know. We were gonna send them a bill for --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: They bill us. They bill us for water. They had invoiced us at a greater dollar amount. We reconciled the difference and we sent that back to Niles right now or just recently. So that if it's gonna stimulate anything, they're either gonna accept the adjustment, pass it on in that next bill that we should have by the 5th of November, and if we're not we'll be hearing from them.

ATTY. RIES: Okay. I guess that's what I was alluding to. When did we send that to them?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I don't know exactly, but I would say about two weeks ago. It was sent through billing to billing.

MR. PETERSON: So it's time we go through the motions.

ATTY. RIES: Something is going to prompt them.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I truthfully just foresee the credit -- you know, the balance on the next bill.

## **7. Imperial Sewer Agreement**

MR. PETERSON: Okay. Imperial Sewer Agreement.

MR. KOGELNIK: I received the information from Cindy, and then Darren also gave me the lift station run times. Right now I don't have everything pulled together, and that's my fault. But I do have everything that I need right now and I'm just -- I have to group Darren's data in the time frame chunks that comport with the water so that I can make that comparison. Now how do you want that delivered, you know, in a comparison? I need to understand how you want that illustrated on paper.

MR. PETERSON: Kevin, I know you've been working on it the most, the longest probably.

MR. CAMPBELL: Something along the lines of you have -- if you break it up by chunks for whatever the time period of what it was

as we were calculating it and then a comparable and make it apples to apples. I assume if you have a time period there would have to be calculations, and I assume you would make a time period enough to encapsulate maybe if we have enough for a full season.

MR. KOGELNIK: Yeah, I'm hoping we have that.

MR. CAMPBELL: And you have the same thing on a metered side.

MR. KOGELNIK: Okay.

MR. CAMPBELL: And then we can take those and come up with, I would assume, some kind of figure to say here's the difference.

MR. KOGELNIK: Okay.

MR. CAMPBELL: And then apply that to, you know, whichever direction it needs to balance out.

MR. KOGELNIK: So in terms of time frame chunks, would you like me to segregate the data in quarters?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Match the billing period.

MR. CAMPBELL: That makes sense. We can get our mind wrapped around it.

MR. KOGELNIK: So I have a column that says the calculation based off of the difference between water consumed and the master meter, and then I also have a column based on the water consumed difference versus the calculation of run time. So those -- that's how it would line up, right? I didn't make sense then.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I'm trying to follow you.

MR. KOGELNIK: So in one column you're gonna have the measurement of consumed water versus the sewer master meter.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Consumed water where? Master meters?

MR. KOGELNIK: Via your master water meters. And then in another column you're gonna have your consumed water via your master water meters less the run time calculated sewer. Right. And then you're gonna compare which ones are greater.

MR. CAMPBELL: We did -- the first billing was based off of run time of the pump. So we had the pumps ran this much. Whatever that volume was, that's what we billed it for. So we have that price per, I guess, the time period that we did it. I'm saying now we got a metered thing we billed off of. How does that money compare over the same period? Like you take a year of that, a year of this, average it out to being this if it was being metered, it average out to this if it was done by calculation, roughly it was the difference between the two methods. That's how I saw it in my mind.

MR. KOGELNIK: Just understand, I'm gonna give you this data, but it's gonna be up to you to apply the costs.

MR. CAMPBELL: I understand. That's fine.

MR. PETERSON: Do you have any idea --

MR. COWIE: Just to let you know how we viewed the situation. We were billed off of the meter in the pump station for 15 cycles, 15 billing cycles. Then we went to that formula with the run times of the pumps, and that was for 10 cycles. And now we have 12 cycles of the master meter that, you know, has been installed three years ago. So I mean, those are comparable. That's how we have viewed those, how we were billed in those situations. So I don't know how that -- you know, with what Chris is trying to gather, if that gives you an idea how we viewed it.

MR. KOGELNIK: So Tom, do you interpret what I just said as adding another column for the pump station mag meter that --

MR. COWIE: That was the initial way we were billed.

MR. KOGELNIK: Right. I heard you.

MR. COWIE: Yes. So there has to be -- I mean, that thing was, and everybody agreed that that was inaccurate, but that's how we were billed on our bills.

MR. KOGELNIK: Do you want me to add that? I would need that data.

MR. COWIE: And I don't know that you -- all we have is a bill that says --

MR. CAMPBELL: At what point in time did we say that we would -- I know there was a point in time we say we gotta move forward, we gotta get this thing going and start billing and get stuff going. But did it include that period of time where we were billing off the mag meter inside the pump station.

MR. COWIE: If you didn't think so, it should.

MR. CAMPBELL: I don't remember what that time period was. Then it includes a chunk of this, a chunk of that, and our current situation.

MR. COWIE: That's what we were billed on, so we're looking back just to -- the master meter should have been installed nine years ago.

MR. CAMPBELL: You're right. You're right. I'm thinking through --

MR. COWIE: That's where the whole thing started.

MR. CAMPBELL: He's right. The whole reason was to do all that.

MR. COWIE: The County was gonna come in over the sewer, you want us to tie on.

MR. CAMPBELL: He's right, there's another level.

MR. KOGELNIK: Do you know those dates?

MR. COWIE: Yes.

MR. KOGELNIK: Can you send me an e-mail with those dates, and I'll try and get the readings from Darren for that time frame and that will be a third column.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah.

MR. COWIE: Those dates are only -- they are only what we have off the billing. You know we got that the billing started.

MR. KOGELNIK: Well, that's close enough.

MR. COWIE: Exactly. That's what those dates are from, off our bills. But I do have those.

MR. KOGELNIK: I understand what you're saying, and I understand what the Board is gonna want.

MR. COWIE: Okay.

MR. KOGELNIK: Sorry, I apologize. Chris, I think you were gonna ask me when should you expect that.

MR. PETERSON: We were getting there.

MR. KOGELNIK: I will try and wrap this up in a couple weeks if you can get me --

MR. CAMPBELL: As long as you have everything you need.

MR. COWIE: Could you ask Chris, it will be three sessions like I said, one off the mag meter, one off the formula, and one off the master meters. So I'll give you those start and stop dates.

MR. PETERSON: Okay.

MR. COWIE: Do you think we can have this wrapped up by the end of the year?

MR. KOGELNIK: Yes.

## **8. Rate Study - Water**

MR. PETERSON: Okay. Next item, Rate Study Water. Nothing

to write up at this time.

#### **9. Utility Department Building**

MR. PETERSON: Utility Department Building.

MR. SULLIVAN: Nothing to bring up at this time.

#### **10. I&I**

MR. PETERSON: I&I.

MR. CAMPBELL: Back in the hot seat.

MR. KOGELNIK: Nothing right now. If --

MR. PETERSON: You don't have no headway with it at all or

--

MR. KOGELNIK: Not right now. Just every once in a while taking a look at the flows that Darren has shared with me. But nothing substantial to talk about.

#### **11. CEF-L Valve Replacement Along 24" Water Transmission Line**

MR. PETERSON: Valve Replacement Along 24-inch Transmission Line.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah, we're just keeping an eye on that one.

MR. PETERSON: Okay. Any other old business? Seeing none

--

#### **PUBLIC COMMENTS:**

MR. PETERSON: Any more public comments? Something, none?

#### **REPORTS:**

##### **Solicitor's Report**

MR. PETERSON: Reports. Solicitor's Report.

ATTY. RIES: No report, Chris.

##### **Engineer's Report**

MR. PETERSON: Engineer's report.

MR. KOGELNIK: No report.

##### **Utility Committee Report**

MR. PETERSON: Utility Committee Report.

MR. BOND: No report this evening.

##### **Clerk's Report**

MR. PETERSON: Clerk's Report.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: No report.

##### **Superintendent's Report**

MR. PETERSON: Superintendent's Report.

MR. BIGGS: I have one. Most of it was covered. I will need -- we have a laptop for our billing reads to read all other meters. It has been failing for a long, long time now. We're getting to the point where we can hardly use it and some things we cannot do. We're working with Metron who does the reads and what we need and as far as being compatible. So what we're looking at, we're -- I guess I would need approval for \$3,000 for the laptop. That should cover the laptop and them programming it.

MR. PETERSON: \$3,000 you said?

MR. BIGGS: \$3,200. Just to make sure. We're gonna be somewhere around there. I don't want to be \$11 off and have to come back to you guys.



**MR. SULLIVAN:** I make a motion to approve thirty -- not to exceed \$3,200.

**MR. PETERSON:** I'll second it. All in favor?

**(All respond aye.)**

**MR. PETERSON:** Motion passed. Is the laptop the one sitting on your desk, Cindy?

**MS. SLUSARCZYK:** Yeah.

**MR. PETERSON:** That's really old.

**MS. SLUSARCZYK:** 2008.

**MR. BIGGS:** We've been doing a lot to keep it going, but it's just not working anymore.

**MR. KOGELNIK:** I have something to add if you please.

**MR. PETERSON:** Go ahead.

**MR. KOGELNIK:** Should we mention at all the insertion valve?

**MR. BIGGS:** Should we?

**MS. SLUSARCZYK:** It's not on the agenda.

**MR. CAMPBELL:** It can be in your report.

**MR. KOGELNIK:** It's my understanding that we need a valve on the line from the old tank in order to get the complete booster tank -- booster station and new tank up and running. We need to install an insertion valve. And ideally we would like to be able to tap into the funds from the ODOD, the \$1.5 million principal forgiveness fund. I talked to Bill Blank about it last night. He basically said what we've heard all along, which is that until the Ultium funds are completely spent or depleted then he could tap into the ODOD funds. That valve is gonna cost between \$25,000 and \$30,000 we think, and it needs to be installed ASAP. And when would the Village close up their accounting books for the year?

**MS. SLUSARCZYK:** He typically likes to close his figures December 15. ODOD submission is required by December 31 we have to request payment. The work must be done for us to --

**MR. KOGELNIK:** Okay. So the question becomes are all of Ultium's funds spent, depleted on the project; and we need to move on from there and figure out if we can then tap into the ODOD funds. I think that this valve is applicable to the project, specifically for the tank, so I don't have a problem with that. And Bill stated that he would leave that interpretation up to me, but that's not gonna happen just with me. I'm gonna involve the Solicitor's review and oversight before I just say yes to that. But we need to get -- we need to make -- get this moving quickly.

**MR. PETERSON:** It needs to get moving now.

**MR. KOGELNIK:** So you're probably gonna hear some and see some emails and dialogue about this, the need for this valve over the next couple weeks I would imagine. That's all I'm gonna say right now. I can't say anything more, right?

**MR. BIGGS:** Correct. There's a lot of things are up in the air. I've talked to the other guys about it already. I'm looking for a contractor now to do it. Many reasons. Do we want to -- can we get it done, what are we gonna do with the tank, are we gonna keep it, get rid of it. There's still a lot of things that are in the works that I'm thinking through with CT. Right now we're still looking at the valve, he is correct with that. But you know we need to look into it. I'm looking to find somebody hopefully that can get it done within four weeks so that we can close out the books.

**MR. CAMPBELL:** That valve that was 25 to 30, I assume that doesn't include installation.

MR. KOGELNIK: We think, Kevin, that that includes installation.

MR. BIGGS: That should be everything, Kevin.

MR. KOGELNIK: I did receive a price from a local, you know, supplier, Core and Main, \$12,000 for just the valve.

MR. BIGGS: They're looking closer to 50 to doing the project.

MR. KOGELNIK: But we think it's \$25,000 to \$30,000 total for the install of that insertion valve. It's a 12-inch valve. It would be done on ductile iron line.

MR. BIGGS: Yes, I believe so.

MR. SULLIVAN: And you would install it?

MR. CAMPBELL: No, a contractor.

MR. KOGELNIK: This is a special type of construction.

MR. PETERSON: Is it gonna require shutting anything down to do it?

MR. BIGGS: That's the reason we need the valve, because we can't shut it down. That's the whole point of it right now. The tank is turned off underneath, but we have no way to come across the road under the other line to turn that off now. When Phase 1 was being established up there, the valve that did turn it off disappeared.

MR. PETERSON: So essentially you're gonna have to drain the tower to put a valve on it.

MR. BIGGS: It would be a hot tap.

MR. KOGELNIK: He would do a hot tap.

MR. BIGGS: We're not using the tower now because we can't because of that valve, yeah.

MR. KOGELNIK: That's what I wanted to write that up. Sorry to insert that stuff.

MR. PETERSON: Yep.

MR. CAMPBELL: Duly noted.

MR. PETERSON: Move forward if we need to do it. Darren, I don't think you were done.

MR. BIGGS: Pump station restoration. Salt Springs and Pritchard Ohltown are gonna be leaving.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: He requested \$3,200 and made a motion, but no one seconded the motion. \$3,200 for the laptop.

MR. PETERSON: I seconded it.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I didn't catch it, sorry. Darren.

MR. BIGGS: The pump station restore, the two, because they are both gonna be moving. I'm working with CT now to see what is needed and what can happen, and when we get more details I'll let you guys know so you can decide yeah, get rid of it, no, keep the concrete, you know, whatever. You know, we'll get all the details with the valving, the piping, everything that remains, the guard rail, the fence, the post. So just to keep you updated on that. As soon as we get something a little more concrete, then I'll pass it along to you guys and see what you think. We own both properties, so just to give you a heads-up on what's gonna be coming. Just to let everybody know, on the 30th is gonna be TEC's first heavy load. So we're working through details on that.

MR. CAMPBELL: The 30th of this month.

MR. BIGGS: Correct.

MR. KOGELNIK: I thought it was the 26th.

ATTY. RIES: I thought the 26th.

MR. BIGGS: I thought the 30th.

MR. KOGELNIK: Steve.

MR. REMILLARD: I think it is the 26th.

MR. BIGGS: Your heavy guy, that Willie from Kentucky, the 30th. That's where I got it from, he called me. I talked to him a couple times.

MR. KOGELNIK: That's gonna be something we're gonna want to put some boots on the ground.

MR. BIGGS: I'll need to know. But even the -- everybody -- I don't know who knew or who didn't, but so that looks like okay, the 26th through the 30th will be the first heavy load, then there will be a couple more after that. So it's starting.

MR. KOGELNIK: Well, that's important. And Steve, correct me if I'm wrong, but that route takes the same route that the LEC equipment took, right?

MR. REMILLARD: That's correct.

MR. KOGELNIK: So it does pass over the CSX tracks by the Ohio Commerce Center and then onto Lyntz Road and then onward.

MR. REMILLARD: Correct.

MR. KOGELNIK: At that junction right there at the CSX tracks there is an intricate Warren water line and Lordstown water line assembly.

MR. BIGGS: Chris, it is the same company, which is good.

MR. KOGELNIK: Edwards.

MR. BIGGS: From talking with the gentleman he was not -- he was there, he was not in charge, so he's somewhat familiar. I asked him to call the marking so we can mark our stuff, Warren can mark theirs, they can get a good idea, make sure everything was covered. He said he was gonna send me his whole plans and I was gonna get it to you on how he planned on that crossing. This was just all in the last couple days here.

MR. KOGELNIK: Steve, that's why we've been kind of insistent -- well, we have been insistent on the RUMA.

MR. REMILLARD: Yeah. I mean, we sent the RUMA back in March; but it's been the back and forth with the County, trying to make sure you're comfortable with the position the County's taking. That's been the challenge. And again, from our perspective we did the survey back in March or April; so I mean, anything that -- you know, we've documented it so that RUMA will cover anything. Obviously we stand -- we're committed to that. And again, if we've got the -- we just to have get the County to sign off on it. I know we're in agreement.

ATTY. RIES: The RUMA that was signed was subsequently revised at our request, and they accepted our changes. So there isn't currently a signed RUMA from any of the parties, but that's the reason we wanted to make some additional changes. They agreed to those changes. Then the hold-up was we were waiting on the County and the letter of credit. I got the letter of credit as of yesterday and I forwarded it on to some of you. The County's not a part to this, they are gonna oversee this, perhaps dispute resolution stuff and give just general guidance and advice, but they are basically gonna sign off on a letter. So for some reason on the County's end it's taken a lot to get this letter together. Darren and I are gonna roach out to the County and get this letter signed from them.

MR. KOGELNIK: Yeah. We have the roads pretty well documented by way of your videography. That crossing has two, those water lines, they are about five or six feet deep there. Just tiptoe.

MR. REMILLARD: That's at CSX?

MR. KOGELNIK: If you want drawings, I got drawings for that.

MR. REMILLARD: I know Edward was good. But if this is new infrastructure that's gone on, the team that did the last one may not be familiar with it so we can raise that with them. We'll do that.

MR. BIGGS: Chris, is -- there isn't many changes since the last one, correct?

MR. KOGELNIK: No.

MR. BIGGS: Someone told him that there was and I said I don't believe so. Not that I --

MR. KOGELNIK: Route changes.

MR. BIGGS: No lines.

MR. KOGELNIK: No, there hasn't been any water or sewer line changes in that vicinity. I just remembered looking at that piping arrangement before it was back-filled and I'm thinking to myself, man, you just don't want to put any pressure on the ground around there. I remember telling myself that a few times.

MR. REMILLARD: So I can follow-up with you, Chris.

MR. KOGELNIK: I'm gonna send you those drawings, yeah.

MR. REMILLARD: And we'll get with Edward on it and we'll confirm the date.

MR. BIGGS: Okay, good. Yeah. The CSX I believe is already working their end of it on the tracks right now. I thought I seen them down there today, so they're doing that. On the 30th -- and I'm pretty sure of that date -- Ultium would like to fire up their big fire pump. They tried the other ones, and we couldn't get them enough water. Now it seems that we can, so the 30th they want to commission/test their bigger ones. Just an update on that, so it sounds like a busy day.

MR. CAMPBELL: Of course.

MR. BIGGS: That's all I got.

MR. PETERSON: Okay. Any questions for Darren?

MR. CAMPBELL: Thanks, Darren.

MR. PETERSON: Thank you.

**MEMBER COMMENTS:**

MR. PETERSON: Member Comments? Anyone?

**QUARTERLY APPROVAL OF BILLING ADJUSTMENTS:**

MR. PETERSON: Quarterly Approval of Billing Adjustments.

MR. CAMPBELL: I'll make a motion to approve quarterly billing adjustments.

MR. SULLIVAN: I'll second.

MR. PETERSON: All in favor?

(All respond aye.)

MR. PETERSON: Passed.

**ADJOURNMENT:**

MR. PETERSON: Motion to adjourn.

MR. SULLIVAN: So moved.

MR. CAMPBELL: Second.

MR. PETERSON: All in favor?

(All respond aye.)

(The meeting ends at five 5:07 p.m.)

C E R T I F I C A T E

STATE OF OHIO            )  
TRUMBULL COUNTY        )    SS.

I, Deborah I. Lavelle, a Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio, duly commissioned and qualified, do hereby certify that the foregoing meeting before the Board of Public Affairs was written by me in the presence of the Members and transcribed by me using computer-aided transcription according to the stenotype notes taken at the time the said meeting took place.

I do further certify that I am not a relative, counsel or attorney of any Member, or otherwise interested in the event of this action.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal of office at Niles, Ohio on this 8th day of November, 2023.

\_\_\_\_\_  
DEBORAH I. LAVELLE, Notary Public  
My Commission expires 4/15/2027

Submitted by:

Approved by:

Cinthia Slusarczyk, Clerk

Christopher Peterson, President