

September 5,

24

**RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS**  
**MEETING OF THE LORDSTOWN VILLAGE BOARD OF PUBLIC AFFAIRS**  
**1455 Salt Springs Road, Lordstown, Ohio**  
**September 5, 2024**  
**4:00 p.m. to 5:15 p.m.**

**IN ATTENDANCE:** Mr. Christopher Peterson, President  
Mr. Michael Sullivan, Vice-President  
Mr. Stanley Czeck, Board Member  
Mr. Darren Biggs, Supt. of Utilities  
Ms. Cinthia Slusarczyk, Clerk  
Mr. Christopher Kogelnik, Engineer

**ALSO PRESENT:** Ms. Jackie Woodward, Mayor  
Mr. Terry Campbell, Utilities Committee  
Ms. Jessica Blank, Utilities Committee  
Mr. Tom Cowie, Imperial Communities

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS taken before me, DEBORAH LAVELLE, RPR, a court reporter and Notary Public within and for the State of Ohio on this 5th of September, 2024.

MR. PETERSON: I'd like to call this meeting of the Board of Public Affairs to order. Please stand for the Lord's Prayer and Pledge of Allegiance.

**LORD'S PRAYER**

**PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE TO THE FLAG**

**ROLL CALL:**

MR. PETERSON: Welcome everyone. Roll call please.  
MS. SLUSARCZYK: Christopher Peterson.  
MR. PETERSON: Present.  
MS. SLUSARCZYK: Michael Sullivan.  
MR. SULLIVAN: Here.  
MS. SLUSARCZYK: Stanley Czeck.  
MR. CZECK: Here.  
MS. SLUSARCZYK: Darren Biggs.  
MR. BIGGS: Here.  
MS. SLUSARCZYK: Cinthia Slusarczyk, present. Chris Kogelnik.  
MR. KOGELNIK: Present.  
MS. SLUSARCZYK: Matt Ries.  
MR. PETERSON: I'd like to make a motion to excuse Matt. He's not feeling well.  
MR. SULLIVAN: Second.  
MR. PETERSON: All in favor?  
(All respond aye.)  
MR. PETERSON: Opposed?  
(No response.)  
MR. PETERSON: Motion passed.

**APPROVAL AND CORRECTION OF MINUTES:**

MR. PETERSON: Did anybody get a chance to read the minutes from the **August 14** meeting? I didn't get a chance to go through them.  
MR. CZECK: Yeah, I read them.  
MR. PETERSON: Do you want to approve them or table them until we get the changes fixed.  
MR. CZECK: Did you read them, Mike?

September 5,

24

MR. SULLIVAN: Yeah.

MR. CZECK: I'll make a motion to approve the minutes.

MR. PETERSON: There's a couple changes in them. I just got to read a couple of them. On the first page, "Mr. Peterson: I'd electric to call the meeting to order." I'd elect to call the meeting to order I'm assuming is what you meant. Cindy, did you see anything else?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Honestly, I only formatted the minutes. I have not had the opportunity to read them.

MR. PETERSON: Did you see anything else, Stan?

MR. CZECK: I didn't even notice that.

MR. PETERSON: Okay, no other changes. Motion to --

**MR. CZECK: Make a motion to accept the minutes.**

**MR. SULLIVAN: Second.**

**MR. PETERSON: All in favor?**

**(All respond aye.)**

**MR. PETERSON: Opposed.**

**(No response.)**

**MR. PETERSON: Motion passed, with the changes -- with the change. Okay.**

**CORRESPONDENCE:**

MR. PETERSON: Any correspondence?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: No.

**PUBLIC COMMENTS:**

MR. PETERSON: Okay. Any Public Comments? Okay. Seeing none --

**NEW BUSINESS:**

**1. A Resolution for the Board of Public Affairs to enter into a settlement agreement and release with Imperial Communities, Inc., to resolve a billing dispute over sanitary wastewater services.**

MR. PETERSON: New Business, item number 1. The Resolution for the Board of Public Affairs to enter into a settlement agreement and release with Imperial Communities, Inc. to resolve the billing dispute over sanitary district water services. Did you guys all a chance to read it?

MR. SULLIVAN: Yeah. The only thing that I was concerned about is we talk about we both agree that it needs to be renegotiated, the agreement, but there's no date to --

MR. PETERSON: Correct. Matt put that in here after our last meeting. He talked -- checked on the laws and stuff, and he said that having an agreement out there without end dates is not acceptable anymore and the agreements need redone, whether we just decide to keep them the same or not. But the agreements need to have an end date and renewed every, you know, 10 years whatever we decide. I don't think you can legally go over 20 is what he said. So he left that blank for us to decide when we were gonna negotiate, you know, what we -- the end date for the current agreements would be if that makes any sense. So however long we think it would take to sit down between us and Imperial and say hey, the agreements look good, we're just gonna put an end date on the current agreements or whatever it may be. So I would say that's not something that's gonna happen overnight obviously, I think we should give it enough time.

MR. SULLIVAN: Well, we ought to have some kind of time.

MR. PETERSON: Yeah. And he said -- he absolutely said

Village of Lordstown Board of Trustees of Public Affairs

September 5,

24

that's kind of my fault that the agreements need to have a termination date.

MR. CZECK: So you want to table this until Matt's here then so we can --

MR. PETERSON: We can do that if you want. He said we can pass it, show it to Imperial, and if they have any changes or something, because it's still got a partner ordinance so Council still also has to pass that because they passed the sewer ordinance. The water agreement was just passed by the Board. But the sewer agreement -- since this was sewer, it was passed with a sister ordinance; so he's keeping everything uniform, and it takes Council off the hook for liability if they cancel it also. Whatever you guys' pleasure is, if you want to table it and Matt explain it when he's back or you guys want to approve it.

MR. SULLIVAN: I don't think that he has to explain anything. I think we just need to put a date in there.

MR. PETERSON: Yeah. Do you have a suggestion of what that date may be or how long you think that would take between us to --

MR. COWIE: Well, we need to see the agreement, number one, before we --

MR. PETERSON: And I understand, yeah. Yeah.

MR. COWIE: Once we see that, then we can probably let you know when we think that we could put any date or sit down and negotiate that ending date. I mean, the long time frame I would say within the next month or something. If you guys are available and we're available and we get to see that agreement or get to see that -- what Matt drew up.

MR. PETERSON: Yep, yep.

MR. COWIE: Then we can move forward from there.

MR. PETERSON: Okay.

MR. COWIE: That's not something we're opposed to. I mean, looking at the -- we stated last time that we were -- we can take a look at those things with you, but we have to settle --

MR. PETERSON: Settle this, yeah, yeah. And that's kind of what this does, it gets this settled.

MR. CZECK: Well let's put -- if we need a date, so let's go a little more than a month just in case so --

MR. PETERSON: I would say the end of the year. That would give it --

MR. CZECK: That way it gives us a little bit of time in case something happens.

MR. PETERSON: I don't want to put anybody up against the gun.

MR. COWIE: That's fine with us. By December.

MR. CZECK: Let's do it by December 21 or something, not at the very end of the year.

MR. PETERSON: Okay. So --

MR. CZECK: Do you want me to make a motion to add that in here, or do you want to do it during the --

MR. PETERSON: We can do it right now.

MR. SULLIVAN: Just add it.

MR. PETERSON: I'll make a motion --

MR. SULLIVAN: To amend.

MR. PETERSON: I'll make a motion -- hang on here.

MR. SULLIVAN: Motion to amend.

**MR. PETERSON: Yeah. I'll make a motion to amend the Imperial agreement with the date of December.**

September 5,

24

MR. CZECK: The 20th is a Friday, 21st is a Saturday.

MR. PETERSON: 2024. Do I have a second?

MR. SULLIVAN: Second.

MR. CZECK: Second.

MR. PETERSON: All in favor?

(All respond aye.)

MS. SLUSARCZYK: What date did you enter?

MR. PETERSON: December 20.

MR. COWIE: 20th.

MR. CZECK: Yeah, because the 21st is on a Saturday.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: 2024.

MR. PETERSON: Yes.

MR. CZECK: Yes.

MR. PETERSON: Okay. With that being done, do I have a motion to pass the resolution?

MR. SULLIVAN: I make a motion to pass the resolution.

MR. CZECK: Second.

MR. PETERSON: Second Stanley. All in favor?

(All respond aye.)

MR. PETERSON: Opposed?

(No response.)

MR. PETERSON: Motion passed. Okay. I'll get you a copy.

Okay.

**OLD BUSINESS:**

**1. Niles Bulk Water Agreement**

MR. PETERSON: Seeing no other New Business, Old Business. The Niles Bulk Water Agreement. Do you have any updates, Cindy, or --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: No. I did speak briefly to our Solicitor and reminded him the last update we had Niles Mayor was out on vacation and their attorney said that they would keep in touch, and that did not happen so I reminded Matt recently about that. We still need to get that in regards to adding the MVSD talks to that as well. So -- but as far as Niles communicating back to us, there has not been any communication.

MR. PETERSON: Okay. Nothing to add on that. Anybody have any questions?

MR. CZECK: No.

**2. Trumbull Energy Center - Warren Waterline**

MR. PETERSON: Seeing none, item number 2, Trumbull Energy Center Warren Waterline.

MR. KOGELNIK: We're done with the review basically, Chris, but we have to -- there's things that they still need to provide us. And those are the things that have been with us probably for about four or five months now.

MR. PETERSON: Okay.

MR. KOGELNIK: So --

MR. SULLIVAN: Does that fall in where we're not able to supply the amount of water that we need?

MR. KOGELNIK: No, but we're gonna cover that under that. This is just the line, but I can bring it up under that.

MR. SULLIVAN: Okay.

MR. KOGELNIK: So we reviewed the water line drawings. You know, functionally from the standpoint of design, generally speaking they're constructible. You know, they have made a change that they have to update their line for a nominal 30-inch HDPE type of pipe water

Village of Lordstown Board of Trustees of Public Affairs

September 5,

24

line which increases their -- you know, the outer diameter of that pipe significantly because HDPE has a really thick wall. So the concern there is just basically how that looks underground and what other utilities it may impact because it's a bigger diameter outside-to-outside pipe. And that's what they need to illustrate on their revisions. So -- but you know, they have a few things that are important. The SWIP, they have to, you know, demonstrate to the Village that they've got easements to cross those properties, they have to demonstrate to the Village they have an Ohio E.P.A. water permit, all those things. Until then it's just a set of plans that looks reasonably okay.

MR. SULLIVAN: With that larger pipe, is that gonna affect the lines going down Salt Springs?

MR. KOGELNIK: It won't if they update their drawings to reflect that they can clear those.

MR. SULLIVAN: They'll go under them?

MR. KOGELNIK: Yeah. That's the plan. So you know, we've done our part. I do need to submit the comments too.

MR. PETERSON: So we need to submit our comments to them?

MR. KOGELNIK: I have about five pages of them. But again, they're small comments.

MR. PETERSON: Is that something you want to send ahead of the meeting that we're setting up with them so they can read the comments, or is that something you want to give to them at the meeting?

MR. KOGELNIK: It's something we can give to them before the meeting, but it's not something that you go over with them at the meeting. These are engineering type comments.

MR. PETERSON: Okay. So you're gonna send that over to them?

MR. KOGELNIK: Yeah, I will send it.

MR. PETERSON: While we're discussing that, Darren and I met with Steve Remillard and -- who was the other guy?

MR. BIGGS: Ted.

MR. PETERSON: Ted -- concerning their construction water since that water line is not in yet. They're concerned -- Darren, do you want to take it or do you want me to take it?

MR. BIGGS: They want to commission some equipment over there. So what they're looking at is, I believe, right now about 350 gallons per minute taking. Come January they are possibly looking at 1,300 gallons per minute to do that kind of stuff. Their question to us was can they take it from the Warren line that crosses Tod Avenue. So that was a question that was brought to us, they would like to use that as construction water. What we'd have to look at is -- well, obviously you guys' approval; and per contract are we allowed to do that. The 4-inch line that we're supplying will not be able to do the 1,300 gallons a minute if that's what is required of them. So that's where we're at. We did discuss with them the price would be, which would be our bulk water what we're selling on the other side for that 4-inch. Steve didn't have a problem with that. Am I missing anything else?

MR. PETERSON: No. It would just be I think we need to talk, have that conversation with Warren also before we just say sure you can do that, because maybe Warren doesn't want to do that. I don't know, they never did say they asked Warren if they could supply through that. I never remember them saying that at the meeting.

MR. BIGGS: Correct. They did say they have a meter down there. But yeah, that would be --

Village of Lordstown Board of Trustees of Public Affairs

September 5,

24

MR. PETERSON: The one question we had.

MR. BIGGS: Warren should be notified, but it will be metered.

MR. PETERSON: The one question they had, Darren is not able to read the meter when we looked at the agreement. The agreement does say Warren is it to provide the meter. So we'll have to work that out between Warren and us too so you have access to be able to get a reading off of that meter.

MR. KOGELNIK: Well, at least they asked the Village first.

MR. PETERSON: Yeah, yeah. And he did say he was gonna max out us first and then use Warren, correct Darren? Is that the way you understood it also?

MR. BIGGS: I don't know if he -- well, I think by maxing out is what he can mostly get through that 4-inch.

MR. PETERSON: Yeah, correct.

MR. BIGGS: And where we're at. And you gotta remember that we're not getting enough water in the Village now. So my opinion on that if they take it from Warren we don't have to worry about supplying it and I don't have to worry about juggling water, plus we get the bulk rate, we really have nothing to do because it goes through a meter. It seems like a great idea except for will the contract allow it between us and Warren or us and TEC. You know, that's what needs looked into.

MR. PETERSON: I would say we present that question to Matt.

MR. BIGGS: On the outside it seems like a great scenario.

MR. PETERSON: I will say we present that question to Matt if you guys are okay with it and see what Matt says after he looks over the agreement, then we can give them an answer if that's okay with you guys.

MR. SULLIVAN: That's good.

MR. CZECK: Yeah.

MR. PETERSON: I will CC you on the e-mail tomorrow, Cindy.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Because I do have comments to Matt in regards to --

MR. PETERSON: If you want to do it, that's fine.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I'm only here tomorrow, so I would like to get it off to him tomorrow ASAP.

MR. PETERSON: Go ahead and ask him then. Darren, do you have anything else to add?

MR. BIGGS: I think that was it.

MR. PETERSON: Any questions?

### 3. Trumbull Energy Center - Sanitary Sewer

MR. PETERSON: Seeing none, Trumbull Energy Center Sanitary Sewer.

MR. KOGELNIK: I left a voicemail for Steve and I'm waiting for his reply.

MR. PETERSON: The one question I had, and I looked back at my note from the meeting, do they have a list -- are they thinking about not going into sewer there because they were wishy-washy on that.

MR. BIGGS: I spoke on this when we talked to them, Chris. And the way I remember it is they still realize that they have to ask you. They don't see a way with the wetlands and how far it is to get it over there. Regardless of the lift station or whatever they have there, they do have something there now, it's not hooked to anything. It's not running. But I think they are still planning on going with

September 5,

24

Trumbull, and we explained to them the problems with that.

MR. PETERSON: Correct.

MR. BIGGS: Obviously they need to come to you guys. But I think that's where we left it. I don't remember him saying he's gonna come or, okay, we'll try to get over to, you know, Hallock Young.

MR. PETERSON: Because he knew at the meeting we were talking about having a meeting today.

MR. CZECK: We told him no.

MR. PETERSON: We told him no. And what he is saying is the cost to get over to us, because they can't go through the wetlands, they have to go down Tod -- along Tod down to Hallock Young -- Darren, correct me if I'm wrong -- and then down Hallock Young to get into our line. They can't cross the wetlands is what they are saying, correct?

MR. BIGGS: That sounds about right. And they cannot make it to the line that we have behind American Way, they had no way. He looked into that too.

MR. PETERSON: They don't have any easements to get to that?

MR. BIGGS: He doesn't have the property to get over there.

MR. PETERSON: Even though it shows he owns the property now and once it comes online the property reverts back to LEC. I think we looked at the parcels. But he said part of the court agreement, part of that goes back to LEC.

MR. BIGGS: There was some of that. That's where our construction line goes across too. But you have that gas big thing back there, it was just -- he's looking into it, but he's not seeing a way. But he realizes I hope by now, I think I told him over a year ago, he's gotta get permission from you guys. But until he asks you --

MR. SULLIVAN: It's come up two or three times, and every time we told him tie into ours.

MR. PETERSON: Tie into us, yeah. Okay. We'll just wait for him to come back and see what he says. Okay. Any questions on that?

#### 4. Project Funding

MR. PETERSON: Item number 4, Project Funding.

MR. KOGELNIK: We're gonna continue looking for funding for the Village. Right now we have to make a decision on the U.S. E.P.A. funding for the 24-inch water line. Being that your priority number one project was a paving project, and you submitted that last week I think it was, we need to talk about what you're gonna do for a project funding in 2025. These OPWC rounds that we typically have had, I think we're on like the 38th or 39th round, nobody knows how long OPWC will be in existence. It's been a great little program.

MR. PETERSON: I've heard the same thing.

MR. KOGELNIK: You know, so we do need to try and strike that, decide what we're gonna do for 2025 and then make an application, you know, to OPWC District 6 then. Meanwhile we should, you know, continue the search for funding now, capital budgets, earmarks, potentially ARC and those sorts of avenues, even U.S. E.D.A. Not U.S.D.A., U.S. E.D.A.

MR. SULLIVAN: Where are we as far as the \$4 million, how far would that take us.

MR. KOGELNIK: Well, the \$4 million is only a fraction of what you need for the future 24-inch line.

MR. SULLIVAN: I know we need 14. But we've said that we were gonna use the \$4 million to go as far as we could.

Village of Lordstown Board of Trustees of Public Affairs

September 5,

24

MR. KOGELNIK: That was a concept that we talked about. But I think we're looking at trying to do that whole, you know, design, at least get the entire design done, get the planning done, so woke aggressively go after funding.

MR. SULLIVAN: Well, if that runs won't we end up losing the four?

MR. CZECK: There's no time limit.

MR. PETERSON: There's no time limit on the \$4 million.

MR. KOGELNIK: Which is really unique and good for the Village. So but you know, the value of a dollar dissipates over years, so you want to try and take advantage of these things. That's the name of the game. And you want to try and use what you got as leverage for more. So we need to do that.

MR. SULLIVAN: So would we go back to the same funding that was funded for '24 to '25?

MR. KOGELNIK: You might be able to do that through a new capital budget. There's nothing that says you can't. But you need to demonstrate --

MR. PETERSON: That we're trying to move the project forward.

MR. KOGELNIK: -- to the funding agency hey, this is what I did with your money.

MR. PETERSON: You gotta show that you did something with it.

MR. SULLIVAN: That's why I was asking if we have a plan.

MR. PETERSON: If I just leave the money sit there and do nothing they are less likely to --

MR. KOGELNIK: Part of that is to put a plan on paper to show the funding agency that you've done the due diligence on this.

MR. SULLIVAN: I thought the plan was to come right out of Mahoning Valley and hope to get to Highland.

MR. KOGELNIK: We talked about --

MR. PETERSON: The original plan was because they were paving Salt Springs is to get that part covered. It won't go to Mahoning Valley, it would just be a pipe in the ground where they are doing the paving.

MR. KOGELNIK: Just basically a conduit for about 5,000 feet, Mike, from about the railroad tracks to Highland. That's what we were thinking about. But I -- I don't think that's a reliable solid plan.

MR. PETERSON: I think if you get the design, move forward with the design and have it on paper, I think it's going to open more funding opportunities. Not to say that you're gonna get it, but it can't hurt.

MR. KOGELNIK: So in concert with funding, we have had positive discussions about the due diligence items, so much so that we're now able to build some of this into our plan. That's the part that, you know, is needing to continue on. On Tuesday night I submitted a list of things to Council for due diligence. And I know that some people understand that I'm not authorized to do things, but our minds don't stop. We continue thinking at our group. My experts keep feeding me information. You might want to think about this, you might want to think about that. So albeit I don't have a piece of paper that says, you know, I have a green light to do the due diligence or even the design for the water line, these things are still being fed to me and I'm gonna share them with, you know, Council, specifically the Utility Committee, until, you know, I do get authorized for it. So

Village of Lordstown Board of Trustees of Public Affairs

September 5,

24

just understand that there's a lot of people looking at your project trying to make it work.

MR. PETERSON: I believe -- correct me if I'm wrong Mayor, the Utility Committee is gonna start meeting to keep -- we want to keep the ball rolling, correct?

MAYOR WOODWARD: Yes. So we have dates scheduled for the Utility Committee, we need to like make that official. But what they are planning to do is have two meetings each month. One of those meetings will run concurrent with your BPA meetings, that way if any decisions need to be made or conversations need to be had they can do that. And then they will have a separate meeting -- it would be separate from the BPA -- for any additional items that may come up after the fact.

MR. PETERSON: Okay. So everybody is trying.

MR. KOGELNIK: Yeah.

MAYOR WOODWARD: Right. And if their meeting is not needed, the second meeting, they can cancel it. But at least it's scheduled so if you have anything that they need to look at then they can get to it faster.

MR. KOGELNIK: Mayor, I know that one of the projects that you were initially interested in was those five sanitary sewer extensions. There might be an opportunity there through C.D.B.G. Have you ever considered that?

MAYOR WOODWARD: I have long forgotten about that funding. That's one I haven't heard in a while so --

MR. KOGELNIK: Community Development Block Grants.

MAYOR WOODWARD: I didn't realize that was still a thing.

MR. KOGELNIK: There's a regular program for that and there's a competitive -- Phyllis Dunlap who's helping me or will help me on the water line project funding, she's pretty much an expert with C.D.B.G. That can take it's own kind of funding track because it's not water, you know, it's sanitary.

MR. PETERSON: Yeah.

MR. KOGELNIK: And so if, you know, we don't have to spend our effort in other words on the sanitary, we can have Phyllis working on that if and when the time comes.

MR. PETERSON: Doesn't it have to be on the LMI though?

MR. KOGELNIK: It does.

MR. PETERSON: Do we have a --

MR. KOGELNIK: Low-to-moderate income.

MAYOR WOODWARD: I don't know.

MR. KOGELNIK: We'll have to look at your census tracks and determine whether or not you have any. You would have to do a survey to determine whether or not -- there's not many residential parcels in these five areas.

MR. PETERSON: On -- so it might --

MR. KOGELNIK: There might be one or two areas that might be LMI eligible.

MR. PETERSON: I didn't think anyone in the Village would meet the LMI.

MAYOR WOODWARD: Is that specific to each area or for the Village as the whole, the LMI?

MR. KOGELNIK: It could be specific.

MAYOR WOODWARD: Just for whoever that would cover?

MR. PETERSON: It's like a part of my town, the southern part of my town is not LMI. So anything that covers in that area of town you can use that kind of money for.

September 5,

24

MAYOR WOODWARD: Okay.

MR. KOGELNIK: So you know, if you got an area that has a five residential potential connections and you know that there is some illicit sewage disposal systems there or two with problems, I would probably look at those areas and then put a plan together and engage Phyllis. But that's all I have for project funding. But I really do want to get going on this due diligence stuff, get a document put together with plans, be able to, like I said before, stick it in my briefcase and take it on a road show.

MR. PETERSON: Yep. Okay. Anybody have any questions for Chris?

MR. CZECK: No.

##### 5. Proposed Hallock Young Road Water Line Improvements

MR. PETERSON: Seeing none, item number 5, Proposed Hallock Young Road Water Line Improvements.

MR. KOGELNIK: We're waiting on the Village to determine if they want to move forward on that project. Part of that, you know, obviously deals with the discussion with Warren probably.

MR. PETERSON: Yeah. Okay.

MR. SULLIVAN: We have determined that we want to move forward, haven't we?

MR. PETERSON: We've determined it, but it's not funded yet is my understanding. We don't have the kind of capital to fund it out or --

MR. KOGELNIK: Correct, you don't have -- you'd have to -- there's Ohio E.P.A., the loan money, you know, waiting if you want to use that.

MR. SULLIVAN: Well, I thought that Council had agreed that they would pick up the payment until 20 -- late 2025.

MR. PETERSON: Well, I think we've kind of moved that over to the 24-inch because that seems to be more important. Am I correct?

MR. CAMPBELL: I believe so.

MR. PETERSON: That's what I understood, correct.

MAYOR WOODWARD: Sorry, I missed what you said because I was thinking about something else.

MR. PETERSON: He said we had talked to Council about the Hallock Young water line extension, using the payments on that. We've kind of moved that, asking Council for help on the 24 instead of that.

MAYOR WOODWARD: That was what I thought was -- that Council would take on one.

MR. PETERSON: Since that was the bigger payment and everything and that seems to be the big issue.

MR. KOGELNIK: I recall that.

MR. PETERSON: Yeah. I just wanted to make sure.

MAYOR WOODWARD: It was my understanding that that proposed Hallock Young Road water line was gonna fall on the BPA.

MR. SULLIVAN: So it's kind of off the board?

MR. PETERSON: It's not off the board, it's still there. But I think we're focusing our resources on the 24 right now.

MR. KOGELNIK: There is a timeline of order with all this. And the reason why is what Darren just said, you're struggling right now.

MR. PETERSON: You're struggling to keep water in town. An excess supply --

MR. KOGELNIK: If we had full capacity in the existing 24 where we can assure that we can supply Foxconn, I think you'd be moving

September 5,

24

quicker with that. Right now --

MR. SULLIVAN: But if we don't do the Hallock Young and they go to their five, six thousand employees, we got no water to them, right, if we don't have the 24 and we don't have Hallock Young --

MR. KOGELNIK: I don't follow you. What about the five or six thousand employees?

MR. SULLIVAN: Well then, we would go up to like 900,000 gallons a day.

MR. KOGELNIK: Oh.

MR. SULLIVAN: How would we supply it if we don't have the 24 and we don't have the Hallock Young?

MR. KOGELNIK: Well, I'm glad you're thinking about that. But if we get the full capacity in the existing 24 we can at least meet Foxconn's demands today and supply a few others.

MR. SULLIVAN: Today.

MR. KOGELNIK: Yeah. So this is about -- not only is it about when you talk to Warren and all that other stuff, it's about making sure that you can reliably provide water to your existing customer base. And so that's why when I get to my report I'll talk to you about the air release valve improvement project. We have to get that thing done and it has to be successful. There's a lot of hope on this one.

MR. PETERSON: Step one, step two, step three, that's what he's looking at. Makes sense. Okay. Any more questions?

#### **6. Salt Springs Road Booster Station Relocation**

MR. PETERSON: Seeing none, Salt Springs Road Booster Station Relocation.

MR. KOGELNIK: We're waiting for the -- I guess is it the demolition of the existing home on that parcel?

MR. PETERSON: Yes. Have we heard anything?

MR. SULLIVAN: Two homes, right?

MR. PETERSON: No, just one.

MR. KOGELNIK: There's two parcels, Mike, you're correct. The one parcel, 06, the southern one.

MR. SULLIVAN: That's the one that would come down?

MR. KOGELNIK: That's the one that needs to come down, yeah.

MR. BIGGS: No update, Chris, on that, on a date for a demolition, no. No, that's --

MR. PETERSON: I know Matt was working on it, and I didn't ask him about that.

MR. BIGGS: It's still in his hands right now.

#### **7. Imperial Sewer Agreement**

MR. PETERSON: Item number 7, the sewer -- Imperial Sewer Agreement. I think we covered that.

MR. SULLIVAN: Yeah, it's covered.

#### **8. Utility Department Building**

MR. PETERSON: Okay. Item number 8, Utility Department Building. Who wants to take that one? I'll take it, I don't care. We're continuing to work with Council on what our options are, and we have a meeting scheduled on the 18th to discuss that. I know at Council they -- you guys are bringing in a company to test everything.

MAYOR WOODWARD: Council did agree to have the current building tested to see what kind of contaminants are in it. We don't

September 5,

24

know. Once we have that information then decisions could be made from there. I guess, you know, demolition of that building would be contingent on whether or not it can be remediated or if it has to be torn down.

MR. PETERSON: Yeah.

MAYOR WOODWARD: I think that's kind of what I get from talking to Council people. I'm not really sure whether they are gonna make a decision until they know how bad the building is, whether or not it can be fixed where it stands or if it has to be torn down. I don't know.

MR. KOGELNIK: If I may.

MR. PETERSON: Yeah.

MR. KOGELNIK: I'd emphasize this to the BPA. Irregardless of there being mold, rodents, or anything else in that building, your plan at a minimum should be to follow suit with what has been proposed for an addition and then a later demolition of the existing building so that you can then attach at a later date maybe some garage space and work space. At a minimum that's what you should do.

MR. PETERSON: Yeah, and I believe that's what track we're looking at and what is being discussed. In the meantime, I guess it should be on our record that the Mayor has graciously allowed them to use the office up in the admin building to at least get them out of there. Now just because they are using that office, his entire SCADA system is over in the other office. We haven't moved the SCADA or the hardware.

MR. BIGGS: Just the desktop. We can't move the SCADA.

MR. PETERSON: To move the SCADA over there would be very expensive. If we're going to move quickly on what we will do over there we can do that. If not, we would have to look at what it would cost to move the SCADA. Correct?

MR. BIGGS: That part's correct. There is a company coming out between 11:00 tomorrow to check on things. We'll know more after their report. But they will be here tomorrow. But as I was gonna say, it's kind of like what Chris did. I don't even understand how this report makes any difference anyway. We need a proper place to work out of. Put an addition on for a temporary thing, a place for us to work on, and then check everything out. Why we're checking it out now, I don't understand that. I mean, it may be somebody can explain that to me, but regardless --

MR. PETERSON: I believe they are checking it out to see what they're up against, and that will help them make the decision on the addition. Is that a correct statement or --

MR. BIGGS: The addition is a free-standing building. I don't see how that makes any difference. That's what I'm getting at.

MAYOR WOODWARD: I can't argue with him. I feel the same way Darren does. I don't understand what the difference is, but I think it might make somebody feel better knowing what they're up against and how much money it could cost to -- in the end of things it's Council's building so it's their responsibility. And I think maybe if they know that it needs to be demolished it would help taxpayers understand why money is being spent if that is what it comes down to. You know, you don't want to just say oh well, we just decided to tear it down. Why? Could it have been remediated? Maybe it could have been for a lesser amount of money. So I think it helps to justify choices to know what you're up against.

MR. KOGELNIK: That's fair.

MR. PETERSON: Yeah.

Village of Lordstown Board of Trustees of Public Affairs

September 5,

24

MR. BIGGS: Sounds good, but --

MAYOR WOODWARD: Sounds great.

MR. BIGGS: We still need it. I mean, we're still spending money on testing and roofs. I don't understand what Council's choice was with that. I was shocked, and it's kind of hey, let's kick it down the road.

MR. PETERSON: I don't think anybody is kicking anything down the road. Council is addressing the issue. I don't care what happened eight years ago, Council is addressing the issue today. There's two Council members and the mayor here.

MAYOR WOODWARD: They are having conversations about it, which is good. I guess nobody is shutting it down and saying no, absolutely not. So I'm taking that for what it's worth.

MR. PETERSON: Okay. Any questions?

MR. CZECK: Well, you'll know after tomorrow at the inspection. More than likely there's nothing that can be fixed.

MR. KOGELNIK: That's true too.

MR. PETERSON: Yeah. It's just how much money it costs to fix it.

MR. CZECK: Exactly.

**9. I&I**

MR. PETERSON: Okay. Item number 9, I&I.

MR. KOGELNIK: I did review our report, Mike. You're probably gonna be happy to know we do have a report pulled together. I do want to -- but this is basically just all of the flow monitoring. I do want to include and attach my other data that is supposed to accompany this flow report. There's a bunch of other things. If you read my proposal for the work that needs to be attached to it, such as what the Village should do in other sub areas like for -- like one, and like three. This is only pertinent to Pump Station No. 2.

MR. SULLIVAN: So have we found --

MR. KOGELNIK: Have we found I&I? What we have found is the branch sewers such as Brook Hollow and a couple of those other smaller ones, even the 8-inch coming down Salt Springs Road, the flow is so low that it's almost -- it's very difficult to detect a peak -- a peak flow. So that doesn't mean that there isn't a spike, it's just a small blip on the overall radar. So the point of this study was to understand what you could do in pump station tributary area number two to apply it to other sub areas. And so I suspect that, you know, one area that we've always kind of wondered about is that valley area on Highland Avenue. I think that under extreme situations you do get a good amount of I&I coming from there because there's manholes and whatnot that could easily or more easily flood. Correct, Darren?

MR. BIGGS: What are you talking about, Chris?

MR. KOGELNIK: That area in Highland where it dips down north of Salt Springs Road.

MR. BIGGS: Yeah, I had sent you pictures of that. Those yards flood and they go into the grinders. Absolutely.

MR. KOGELNIK: So those grinder pumps, they pump 20 gallons a minute, something like that. They could probably pump 24 hours for a flooding condition. So those pump ultimately to Pump Station No. 2 south to the intersection with Salt Springs Road. I suspect that's your biggest I&I creator in that area. But I think that your bigger I&I problems probably come from the south, you know, closer towards Pump Station No. 1 and Pump Station No. 4. Those are where your bigger magnitude infiltration and inflow rates are coming from, not the rural

Village of Lordstown Board of Trustees of Public Affairs

September 5,

24

part of Pump Station No. 2. So I'll do my best to pull the rest of this report together. Who knows, maybe this time not doing the building, I can spend that time on the I&I report.

MR. SULLIVAN: So along with the report, are you gonna move south.

MR. KOGELNIK: You can if you want to. This was only pertinent to number two.

MR. SULLIVAN: When we first engaged CT it was to find and repair any of the --

MR. KOGELNIK: It was to identify flow, inflow and infiltration in the Pump Station No. 2 tributary area as a study area and then apply that if the Village wants to other sub areas. So that's what you can do. That's what I'd recommend that you do on number one and number four. So that's where we're at with that.

MR. CZECK: Wasn't Highland the -- didn't it collapse a couple times over the years when they put that sewer system down there, the pipes. Because it had quicksand, the base was terrible. That was years ago.

MR. BIGGS: I don't remember that. Cindy, do you remember anything?

MR. CZECK: I remember that was a long time ago. There was a section through that Highland Avenue that we had to go in there and do it two or three times I'm thinking.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: On north Highland where there is not sanitary sewer, I think we did work along Highland above Kibler's and Krisher where there's quicksand. But the sewer system --

MR. PETERSON: I don't think it involved the sewer system.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: The repairs to the east side sewer system where there's actual replacement was along Hallock Young Road, and that was to reinstall it to eliminate peaks and valleys in the lay of the pipe. But I don't know of any other repair. There is a break just south of the triple railroad tracks that we made the contractor come out and it was under warranty. But other than that, I don't know of any other work done on the east side sewer system lines.

MR. BIGGS: LEC when they did that, but it wasn't a problem. The only one we fixed was heading out of Lordstown, there was a break and it was fixed. That's the only one I can think of. So unless it was before me, that's the only one we've been involved in.

MR. CZECK: I'm going way back.

MR. SULLIVAN: So what have we spent on your report?

MR. KOGELNIK: You've spent, I think, roughly half of the budget on the flow monitoring and the report writing.

MR. SULLIVAN: Which is what? What was --

MR. KOGELNIK: I think the total was roughly 34, so --

MR. SULLIVAN: I thought it was 36.

MR. KOGELNIK: In other words, you could use the balance to work on -- you know, what I would do is I would take what we're gonna prepare in this report and apply it to number one and number four. That's what I would do. So I think your bigger magnitude infiltration and inflow comes from those areas. It's kind of difficult to see all this because the east side system, aside from LEC, is almost purely domestic, and it normally had a low flow, not much infiltration and inflow. And then all of a sudden you get LEC connected in 2017 and now you've got flow rates that it shows spikes on the graph that come up between, you know, 300,000 gallons per day and 1.1 million gallons per day. And when you see that you can't even see the little spikes that you're trying to measure for infiltration and inflow, so it really

September 5,

24

becomes hard to look at when you look at the graph. So you have to really look at it with a trained eye and eliminate LEC from the equation because that's just pure flow being passed through three pump stations to get to Warren. So right now I can tell you the branch sewers, Hood Drive, Brook Hollow and places like that, the flow is very low. There are spikes, but they don't climb to a very high number. I'll continue to work on the report and finalize it and I can submit it.

MR. PETERSON: Okay. Any more questions for Chris?

**PUBLIC COMMENTS:**

MR. PETERSON: Seeing none, Public Comments.

MAYOR WOODWARD: I do have something that I wanted to share, and that was that I did have a conversation with Nick Santucci. Somebody had brought up contacting him. I think Jessica and I both reached out to him. He did reply to me, he called on Friday. We had a nice conversation about funding. Unfortunately, he is not our representative, he represents part of Trumbull County but Lordstown is not in it. He said that that will change once the redistricting occurs, but he's happy to advocate for us. So any time -- I just wanted to let the BPA know also, any time that grant funding is applied for he wants us to contact him so that he can pick up the phone and call on our behalf. He feels that sometimes when he calls and talks to somebody there that the application is more likely to be looked at, and if there's any problems with the application they are more likely to have us fix the problems rather than just throwing our application out. So --

MR. SULLIVAN: Who is it that you're talking about?

MAYOR WOODWARD: This is Nick Santucci. He also mentioned that we should probably reach out to Sarah Boyarko. If you remember, she was with the Regional Chamber of Commerce, and then she left and she went to Lake to River. He said that there may be opportunities with Jobs Ohio and Lake to River in that, you know, they are about building jobs in the area. And with Jobs, infrastructure is needed so it's possible that they may be willing or know something or have an opportunity for us for funding some way, somehow, I'm not exactly sure. And then he wasn't sure either, but he said it was worth trying to reach out to them. So I will be doing that in the coming weeks, reaching out specifically to Sarah to see if there's something that -- any ideas that she has that we could try. So I just wanted you guys to know that.

MR. PETERSON: Okay. Thank you, Mayor. Any questions? Any other public comments?

**REPORTS:**

**1. Solicitor's Report**

MR. PETERSON: Seeing none, Reports. Solicitor's Report. Matt didn't have anything for me he told me today when I talked to him.

**2. Engineer's Report**

MR. PETERSON: Engineer's Report.

MR. KOGELNIK: We're focused on the replacement of those nine air release valves on the existing 24-inch water line and the valve improvements at the MVSD vault. We had a meeting today, a lot was discussed. We have a lot to do. We're gonna take some very careful steps on this one so that we can make sure we're gonna have a successful outcome. One of those is gonna be we're gonna have a couple

September 5,

24

meetings with Darren just to understand the existing valves and that sort of thing. So I feel good about what we're gonna undertake here.

MR. SULLIVAN: CT is gonna undertake it?

MR. KOGELNIK: Yes, Mike, we're gonna get the design done, take it through bidding and into construction, we're gonna do spot inspections, et cetera. And I did talk to Trumbull County Engineer -- deputy engineer -- today, Gary Shaffer, and just let him know that we're gonna be needing to meet with him so that we can discuss, you know, the roadway issues that might be attributed to the project because in the end you're gonna be hiring a contractor to basically dig out the existing vault, replace it with a new vault.

MR. SULLIVAN: That's what I wondered, if that was gonna be on Darren or --

MR. KOGELNIK: Oh no, Darren's just gonna be basically an overseer and basically the owner of the project. So he's -- Darren's got all the -- you know, the necessary details for operation and maintenance; and so if we do something with the existing water line, we need to plan that out in advance with him. So this is kind of my -- our focus right now. It's everything. We need to reinstate the capacity for that existing water line. That's very important.

MR. PETERSON: Just to add to that, Council did pass the work authorization for them to move forward at Tuesday night's meeting.

MR. KOGELNIK: Yeah. So if I -- in terms of what we're doing right now, we're idle on the water booster station until that property can be acquired. We're idle on Hallock Young water line, you know, until that gets resolved. We've done all the things that we can do right now for the Warren water line through TEC. This is our focus, this project. It has to be done quickly. So I'm gonna use that to our advantage. We did have a good meeting with MVSD regarding the future 24-inch water line last Friday, and I summarized that and sent Terry a copy of that and also sent a copy of that to Bob Bond. I thought the dialogue was real good and represented some of the things that I had listed out for due diligence. And in terms of due diligence, on all of the activity for that 24-inch water line I'm gonna be submitting a work authorization request to Council for that at the next meeting.

MR. PETERSON: Any questions for Chris?

### 3. Utility Committee

MR. PETERSON: Seeing none, Utility Committee Report.

MR. CAMPBELL: No.

### 4. Clerk's Report

MR. PETERSON: Okay. Clerk's Report.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: One thing, an old item, it's small, but earlier this year we priced out a desk for Becky. She was working with three pieces of old furniture. Bill went ahead and replaced the -- Diana's desk, they found a desk and just replaced it. But Becky's the last piece because that needs approval from the BPA. Bill paid for Diana's, we would have to pay for Becky's. I gave it to you at a meeting several months ago. Did you make any decisions, can she proceed with the desk, or what is your thoughts?

MR. SULLIVAN: What does it cost to get her a desk?

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I think it was over \$3,000.

MR. PETERSON: I thought it was \$3,400.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: That quotes old, it's only good for 30 days, but I can't imagine it changing that much.

MR. SULLIVAN: I make a motion to accept the --

Village of Lordstown Board of Trustees of Public Affairs

September 5,

24

MR. CZECK: Second.

MR. SULLIVAN: I don't know if we want to put a number on it, just we accept?

MR. PETERSON: I'd say make a motion to replace the desk with the item that was quoted. And if it increases just we'll --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: You approve the item she selected.

MR. PETERSON: We're approving the item, not the dollar amount.

MR. CZECK: Second.

MR. PETERSON: All in favor?

(All respond aye.)

MR. PETERSON: Motion passed.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Thank you very much. Did everyone receive their financial reports? Do you have any questions in regards to --

MR. PETERSON: I just wanted to note that I noticed sewer was not gaining or anything. Just so it's on the record that this -- did everybody get that e-mail? Sewer has kind of stayed stagnant, we're not gaining anything in the sewer. And Darren, I don't believe you've done any major repairs to sewer or anything.

MR. BIGGS: None.

MR. PETERSON: So it's kind of a stagnant account. We're not losing, but we're not gaining.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Yeah. And that is why I'm asking because it's -- in addition to the fact the auditors recently -- we distribute the report, but we need acknowledgement of you receiving them.

MR. PETERSON: Yeah.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Just to make the auditors a little bit happy there. So you'll be seeing me ask that question more often. If you ever have a question, I'm there any time, I'm more than happy to walk through those with anybody. And then I was asked -- Chris asked me to follow up and keep an eye on the Commissioners' agendas and workshop meeting dates and in an attempt to do that, on their website the last agenda that was out there was from May. So I talked to Lisa down there, and she said give me your number, blah-blah-blah. But there's still no agendas, there's no workshop information. They just want to know what to discuss at this point, I don't know how to go an about getting that. I did request it and today -- yesterday should have been a workshop, today should have been a meeting; but I was not provided any information. We're aware though that they -- according to the news that they spent or allocated the ARPA money for the Warren hospital, so I don't know if that's official.

MR. PETERSON: Yeah, I don't know. I've been watching it and I --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I think until that settles down or resolves we're probably --

MR. SULLIVAN: I texted Mauro today and asked where we were at. He said it is not final what they're gonna do with the hospital or whatever. And the -- what did he call it, the --

MR. PETERSON: Jackie, what do you have?

MAYOR WOODWARD: I was gonna ask, I think they did allocate \$3 million -- the Trumbull County Commissioners did allocate \$3 million. I got an e-mail this morning with a request for support from the Village of Lordstown because our constituents -- my constituents use that hospital and that they would like to see it kept open and in the meantime of the bankruptcy versus the new owner taking over, and so they want us to contribute to that cause. So I've shared that with Council members so that they know. But yeah, it looks like they did

September 5,

24

divert the funds officially.

MS. BLANK: They pledged them in Warren. It said they pledged \$3 million.

MR. PETERSON: Was there anything left over?

MS. BLANK: When I talked to -- I don't know if it was Commissioner Denny or Frenchko, they told me that there was only a few hundred thousand dollars left to distribute.

MR. PETERSON: It's amazing now there's \$3 million.

MS. BLANK: I don't know where the numbers are. I just keep getting told we're on the maybe list.

MR. PETERSON: Maybe I should call the auditor again. I'll just ask her because she's kept a dialogue with me. I'll call her and say just wanted to know what this Trumbull Memorial stuff is, is there still consideration, or do we need to look elsewhere.

MS. BLANK: It was in the Tribune. They specifically said us, Niles, Cortland, and I forget who else. But we haven't contributed towards helping the hospital yet so we were mentioned.

MR. SULLIVAN: What Mauro said is "With Trumbull Memorial Hospital and the funds could be potentially dedicated to the hospital. We have not had a chance to look at maybe sheets. We'll post as we should know what direction we're headed and to Trumbull Memorial soon".

MR. PETERSON: So there's that. Yeah, I'll reach out to her and just see what it affects or -- maybe they've adjusted stuff or --

MS. BLANK: I'll e-mail Frenchko again.

MR. PETERSON: Okay.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: That completes my report unless you have something for me.

MR. PETERSON: Any questions for Cindy?

##### 5. Superintendent's Report

MR. PETERSON: Seeing none, Superintendent's Report.

MR. BIGGS: One more thing to add on to what Chris Kogelnik was talking about the 24 is we're gonna re-exercise the valves along the 24 and get a count on turns up and down to make sure they match, make sure they're opening up all the way. We believe that they are, it shouldn't be a problem. Our machine that we have, the counter is broke on it. Chris and myself contacted MVSD to see if we could borrow their machine if they had one, and actually they said yes and they will actually do the work for us. They will have their crew do that for us. This just happened here not too long ago so I wanted to let everybody know that MVSD says we'll do it. So we'll have somebody there just to -- because it's ours and, you know, make sure the turns are --

MR. PETERSON: Please convey our thank you.

MR. BIGGS: That's why I wanted to let you know. I did definitely. They definitely will know again, but I wanted to let you guys know they are gonna help us out with that. The other thing I had was an order from Citco, there's actually a couple of them, for replacement items that we needed, \$8,626.94. Need to get official approval on that. I think everybody was aware before as I was purchasing, yeah, just to make it official now.

**MR. PETERSON: Okay. I'll make a motion we approve the items from Citco Water for \$8,626 -- and what was it, 46 cents?**

**MR. BIGGS: \$8,626.94.**

**MR. PETERSON: 94 cents, okay.**

**MR. SULLIVAN: Second.**

**MR. PETERSON: All in favor?**

September 5,

24

**(All respond aye.)**

**MR. PETERSON: Opposed.**

**(No response.)**

**MR. PETERSON: Motion passed.**

MR. BIGGS: That's all I have, unless you guys had something for me.

MR. PETERSON: Do you need to look at that valve turner for a replacement, because obviously you have issues with it.

MR. BIGGS: What we're looking at now is seeing if we can get the counter fixed on it because the counter is what is not working. You are 225 turns just to close it. I mean, you're 40 minutes on that. So we -- okay.

MR. PETERSON: I get it.

MR. BIGGS: So I don't know what they have. So I want to see if that's even possible before I even look into a new one or anything like that. I know there's better stuff out there and whatever, you're well aware Chris. I don't know where I'm going with that, you know, yet. You know, there's some of them that are real nice. We have the old real slow. But if I can get the counter fixed -- obviously I'm sure that's not gonna be on our priority list is a valve exerciser.

MR. PETERSON: Yeah. But the other side of that is I know the E.P.A. is really hammering systems for not exercising their valves at least once every five years.

MR. BIGGS: I have looked into it, Chris, but I haven't seen anything for that.

MR. PETERSON: I'll send you, don't you worry.

MR. BIGGS: I haven't seen anything that --

MR. PETERSON: They are writing up towns for -- what I do is -- the work is I do 20 percent a year, and then I do the critical every year.

MR. BIGGS: Us too. Actually we go further than that, we try to get more done than what we need to.

MR. PETERSON: Then with what we're required --

MR. BIGGS: We never know what is going to happen on a second year. We do 100 percent in five years.

MR. PETERSON: I know some of those older valve turners can be hard on the valves.

MR. BIGGS: They are slow. What you have, that makes it nice. I don't know about the new stuff, I haven't looked into it. Again, we have other things going on so --

MR. PETERSON: Okay.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Darren, also, I had a note for your approval -- for the Board's approval for the Craun Liebing to replace the PLC cart at Lift Station 1, \$5,453. I think we might have got distracted on the \$6,000 for Smart Sights.

MR. BIGGS: I gotcha. So you're saying I didn't ask for that one --

MS. SLUSARCZYK: I don't believe so.

MR. BIGGS: -- officially. That was when Lift Station 2 needed fixed and we had to get a card and they had to program it. Do you remember when it had broke down there and they had to come out and we waited for a while? I'm sure I talked to you guys. But the work is done. Apparently I officially didn't ask for permission for that one in a meeting.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: The amount was \$5,453.

MR. BIGGS: It's been fixed and everything is good to go

September 5,

24

down there now.

MR. PETERSON: Craun Liebing.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: The invoice date was after our July meeting. We had an August 5 meeting. That's about when that occurred. So this is the first meeting for you to cover, yeah.

MR. PETERSON: I'll make a motion we approve \$5,453 to Craun Liebing for the repairs at Lift Station --

MR. BIGGS: Repair at Lift Station 2.

MR. CZECK: Second.

MR. PETERSON: All in favor?

(All respond aye.)

MR. PETERSON: Opposed.

(No response.)

MR. PETERSON: Motion passed. Anything else?

MR. BIGGS: No. That's it for me.

**MEMBER COMMENTS:**

MR. PETERSON: Okay. Let's do Member Comments and then -- any member comments?

MR. CZECK: No.

MR. PETERSON: Okay. And then I'll make the motion. I'd like to make a motion for Executive Session to consider discipline of a public employee, inviting the Board and the Superintendent.

MR. SULLIVAN: Second.

MR. PETERSON: Roll call.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: The reason was to discipline an employee. The motion was by Peterson. Who seconds the motion?

MR. SULLIVAN: I did.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Sullivan. Chris Peterson.

MR. PETERSON: Yes.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Stanley Czeck.

MR. CZECK: Yes.

MS. SLUSARCZYK: Michael Sullivan.

MR. SULLIVAN: Yes.

MR. PETERSON: Okay. And there will be no business after.

MR. BIGGS: Chris, who's invited in that?

MR. PETERSON: Us and you.

**(The Board enters into Executive Session at 5:15 p.m.)**

C E R T I F I C A T E

STATE OF OHIO )

TRUMBULL COUNTY ) SS.

I, Deborah I. Lavelle, a Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio, duly commissioned and qualified, do hereby certify that the foregoing meeting before the Board of Public Affairs was written by me in the presence of the Members and transcribed by me using computer-aided transcription according to the stenotype notes taken at the time the said meeting took place.

I do further certify that I am not a relative, counsel or attorney of any Member, or otherwise interested in the event of this action.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal of office at Niles, Ohio on this 6th day of October, 2024.

Village of Lordstown Board of Trustees of Public Affairs

September 5,

24

---

DEBORAH I. LAVELLE, Notary Public  
My Commission expires 4/15/2027

Submitted:

Approved By:

Cynthia Slusarczyk, Clerk

Christopher Peterson, President

September 5,

24

PAGE

INTENTIONALLY

LEFT

BLANK